GOVERNMENT ORIENTAL SERIES

Class B, No. 1

GOVERNMENT ORIENTAL SERIES

Class B, No 1

PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE POPULCATION DEPARTMENT OF THE BHANDARE AR OPENTAL.

RESPARCH INSTITUTE,

POONA



Government Oriental Series-Class B, Yo. 1

COLLECTED WORKS

OF

SIR R. G. BHANDARKAR VOL. I

COMPPISING MISCELLANIOUS ARTICLES, REVIEWS, ADDRESSES &C.

FDITLD BY

The late Narayana Bapuji Utgikar, M A.

Editor, Virāţaparvan

Sometime, of the Deccan College and the Bhandarkar O. R. Institute

AND BY

Vasudev Gopal Paranjpe, M. A., LL. B., D. Litt.

Professor of Sanskrit, Fergusson College, Poona.

Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, 1933. Copie can be hid direct from the Bhand that O. R. Josephson, Posts (4) In the

Price Rs. six per copy, exclusive of Postage

Pages 1-529 and title pages 1-VIII printed by V. G. Paranipe,

M. A., LL. B., D. Litt., at the Bhandarkar Institute Press,

198 (17) Sadashiv, Poona No 2,

and the two Indexes (pages 531-600) printed by

Anant Vinayak Patwardhan B. A., at the

Aryabhushan Press, 936/3 Bhamburda Peth, Poona 4,

and Published by S. K. Belvalkar, M. A., Ph. D.,

Secretary, at the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute,

Poona.

CONTENTS OF VOL I

				Pages
F	PREI ACE			V11
1	A Peep into the Early History of India		••	1-61
2	The Samkhya Philosophy		••	62-78
3	Consideration of the Date of the Mahābhārata			79-93
4	The Aryans in the Land of the Asurs			94-101
5	Panint and the Geography of Afghanistan and the P	anja	ab r	02-107
6	On the Date of Patañjali and the King in whose re he lived	ngn		08-114
7	A Note on Professor Weber's Letter		r	15-120
8	Mahabhasya of Patañjali		تحواه الا	21-124
9	On the Interpretation of Patanjali		12	5-129
10	Reply to Professor Weber		13	0-135
11	Acarya, the friend of the student, and the relative between the three Acaryas	ions		6-147
12	The Maurya-Passage in the Mahabhasya	4 Merie	14	8-153
13	A Supplementary Note on the Maurya, Passage, 112, Mahabhasya			4-156
14	The Date of Patanjali, No. I. being the first reply Professor Peterson	to		71-185 ·
15	The Date of Patanjali, No. II: being a second reply Professor Peterson			6-208
16	Allusions to Kṛṣṇa in Patañjali's Mahābhāṣya	••	209	9-213
17	Vāsudeva of Pānīni, IV III. 98		214	j-216
18	Bhavabhūti's Quotations from the Rāmāyaņa		217	7-218
19	A Note on the Meaning of Ghata	•	219	-221
	White and Black Yajurvedas	••	222	
21	The Veda in India	•••	223	-230

•	
	272-2 3
23 I all approved on the transfer of the transfer of	- 15
21 A Budeni t James Service Profits	7.7
25 Dice of Viting Street, Village Classer, 2011 Rimanarda	2 14 0 6 3
aon o the ten living of the and the tente of the tente of the and the tente of the and the tente of tente	,0\$#\$6 ₁
27 The Rebuilt in the control of Sandal Braza Sala	
of the Richalia	() <u>{</u> - 1 5
28 Presidential Addres of the Openius School on of the Lie Oriental Conference of It La, held at Poolag on the	
5th November 2019	2.4 -, 21
29 My Visit to Vienna Oriental Contres	112-310
30 Verses dedicated to the Arvin Section of the Vienn Oriental Congress	36 1
31 The Critical, Comparative and Historical Method of	
Inquiry	362-393
32 Lines for fresh Research in Sand rit Literature and Indian Antiquities	39 - 15
33 Inaugural Address at the B.O R Institute	ş16-ş21
34 The Mahabhara a	422-126
35 Convocation Address	127-152
	453-460
37 The Ends and Aims of College Education	461-475
38 The Ideal of an Indian Scholar	476-479
39 A Review of Martin Haug's Anarey 1-Brahmana	480-495
40 A Review of Goldstücker's Panini	496-510
41 A Review of Mr Vincent Smith's Early History of India	a 511-522
42 The late Professor Peter Peterson	. 523-527
43 The late Mr A M T Jackson, 1 c s	. 528-529
Indexes	
I General Index	531-587
II. Index of Sanskrit and Prakrit words	<88-6nc

PREFACE

The present volume of R G Bhandarkar's Works, which is the last to be published although it is the first in the series, is being issued on the occasion of the seventh death-anniversary of Dr Bhandarkar, which falls on the Rsipañcami day of the Hindu Calendar and which is observed at the BOR Institute as a day of piety when literary tributes are offered to the memory of the revered departed, including special lectures by distinguished scholars The Institute itself submits on this day an account of its literary labours during the preceding twelve months as a kind of "Vānmaya-śrāddha" The Indexes to this Volume are the work of Mr G N Shrigondekar, B A, of the Publication Department of the Institute It is unfortunate that this Volume is appearing without a life of the late Sir R G Bhandarkar Professor D R Bhandarkar Calcutta, the son of Sir Ramkrishna, was to write the biography, but continued ill health has come in the way of his carrying out the pledge - a circumstance which nobody regrets more than he himself It is still more unfortunate that the original editor of these Volumes, Mr N B Utgikar, M A, has not lived to see the edition completed Sir Ramkrishna had, however, personally supervised the collection and arrangement of the works included in these Volumes The completion of the edition of the collected writings of a scholar who was remarkable for the wide range of his researches as well as for his originality, for his penetration as well as his catholicity, takes off from the Institute a heavy responsibility which it had undertaken nearly ten years ago and the Publication Department of the Institute has reasons to feel a sense of relief as well as gratification on the A memoir of the author and a general index of present occasion all his works are still a desideratum and it is to be hoped that a fifth volume containing them may eventually be offered to the public in the fullness of time

Poona, Rsipañcamī, Śake 1854 5th Sept 1932

V G PARANJPE

A PEEP INTO THE EARLY HISTORY OF INDIA

FROM THE FOUNDATION OF THE MAURYA DYNASTY
TO THE DOWNFALL OF THE IMPERIAL
GUPTA DYNASTY

(B.C 322—circa 500 A.D.)

[FROM THE JOURNAL OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, 1900, Vol XX, pp 356 ff]

Also printed in a Book form in 1920 by Messrs Taraporevalla, Bombay, with a Preface by H G Rawlinson, this reprint bearing the additional note "This article consists of a lecture read in March last (1910)—[sic. 1900?] before a Poona audience, but afterwards considerably amplified" The Poona audience referred to consisted most probably of a meeting held under the auspices of the (now defunct) Friends' Liberal Association.—

N B U

INTRODUCTORY.

I think I may take it for granted that an Indian who has received English education and has been introduced to the ancient history of European countries, naturally has a desire to be acquainted with the ancient history of his own country, to know by whom and how that country was governed in ancient times, or how its social and religious institutions have grown up, and what revolutions the country has gone through, but means for the satisfaction of this desire are wanting. India unfortunately has no written history. There are some chronicles written by Jains and others, referring to kings and princes who lived from about the eighth to the eleventh centuries of the Christian era and ruled over Gujrat and Rapputana. There are also lives of individual kings such as

I [R. G Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I]

the State of the state of the first of the state of Billion Delegate to a section of a section of fire full of the annual to the form of the second Intainth there is not not a state of the twelfth or dury. In the control of the dyne to Buth the come tell made at the entry to the entry to the Inom before to describe after the contract of the first trees. recording him, not be the have thrown our head to distance the distance of the first ledge latherto gather to the first of the first tory or to be respect to a national site with the it is afficient tage to be bornered at a control of the first of the first religious movements that two Piners from the house arrival of the Malion dans. The material for the area of and shall here shortly describe

First—Gold, effect and copper core of arcient large are found in all parts of the country, a pecially in No them India, when old mounds composed of the ruins of buildings are dug out. These coins bear certain emblems, and also legends in ancient characters containing the names of the princes who issued them, and some times of their fathers, with occasionally the date of their issue. From these we derive a knowledge of the kings and dynastics that ruled over the provinces in which the coins are found.

Secondly—We find inscriptions engraved on rocks and columns and on the remains of ancient temples wherein occur the names of princes, and sometimes of the provinces ruled over or conquered by them. In the case of temples and other benefactions we have the names of the donors, their profession, the description of the nature of their gift, and sometimes the name of the king in whose reign the gift was made. Again, we find in digging old ruins, charters of land-grants made by princes, inscribed on plates of copper. The grants therein recorded were made to individual Brahmins or to temples or to Buddhistic Vihāras. These copper-

plate inscriptions often give a full genealogy of the dynasty to which the grantor belonged, together with the most notable events in the reign of each of the princes belonging to the dynasty Often-times, there is a mere vague praise of the different kings which can have no historical value, but one who possesses a little critical power, can without much difficulty distinguish between v hat is historically true and what is not. A very large number of such grants have been found in our own Maratha country, in consequence of which we have been able to construct a sort of continuous political history from about the beginning of the sixth century to the time of the Mahomedan invasion

Thirdly-Another important source consists in the writings of foreigners who visited this country or obtained information about it from others. The invasion of Alexander the Great brought the Greeks in communication with India, and after his death his geneial Seleukos who obtained the province of Syria kept up a regular intercourse with a king who is called Sandrakottos by the Greeks, who reigned at Pataliputra, and at whose court resided an ambassador of Seleukos of the name of Megasthenes The work of Megasthenes, though not extant, was abridged by other writers and in this form it has come down to us. Then we have Ptolemy's geography which was written between 151 and 163 A.D., the date of his death. He gives the names of Indian towns and some times of the princes who reigned there at the time. Similarly we have got another work called the Periplus of the Frythmen Sea He too gives valuable pectriphical and whose author is unknown historical information. After Buddhism had perstrates wito China, several Chinese pilenms visited India in a fire to the, and have left us an account of what they ton . Such are left in Sung-yun, Hieun Israng, and I-t .mg. The visited the country in later times lander of a land and a land Besides the information given by the number of the state of their literature, and thur lim, which is the transfer the hills the overess different ments done in a For, all the a tore grows had good govern left from the

the distance of a few streets of the second streets of the second

The north of the first term of the control of the bott the hours of the control o

In a might the similarity of the constraint of the constraint deal of been critical power. So constitued and properties power can make a proper use of the read to the terminate I delivered a lecture or the cutted at lor operation of the d of study, which has been published. To what I have threat there, I shall only add that in de there with all the zerito with one of outd proceed on such principle, of evidence as the followed by a judge One must in the first place be imported, with no particular di two sition to find in the materials before him something that will tend to the glory of his race and country, nor should be have an opposite prejudice against the country or its p-ople. Nothing but dry truth should be his object, and he should in every case determine the credibility of the witness before him and the probability or otherwise of what is stated by him. He should ascertain whether he was an eye-witness or a contemporary witness, and whether in describing a certain event he himself was not open to the temptation of exaggeration or to the influence of the marvellous of the current legends should be considered to be historically true, but an endeavour should be made to find any germ of truth that there may be in them by evidence of another nature

THE MAURYAS (UPTO THE ACCESSION OF ASOKA)

I shall now proceed to give a short sketch of the history of India as determined by the critical use of these materials. As I have already observed, the Puranas give lists of kings, who, they say in

J Printed in this volume later

prophetic language, will reign in the future. In consequence of the corruption of manuscripts there are a great many discrepancies in the lists as given in different works of that class Besides, there is no chronological clue whatever to be found in them therefore, begin with that dynasty of which we have intimation elsewhere, and with that king whose date can be determined by unimpeachable evidence. Candragupta is mentioned as the founder of the Maurya dynasty. He is said to have uprooted the family of the Nandas who ruled before him and to have been assisted by a Brahmin of the name of Canakya He is one of those whose memory has been preserved by both Buddhist and Brahman-We have a dramatic play in which his acquisition of the throne through the help of Canakya is alluded to works also give similar accounts about him The grammanan Patafijali alludes to the Mauryas and speaks of a Candraguptasabhā In an inscription, dated in the year 72, which has been referred to the Saka era and is consequently equivalent to 150 A D, Candragupta the Maurya is spoken of as having caused a certain tank to be constructed, and we have contemporary evidence also of the existence of the king and of his acquisition of the throne in the writings of Greck authors They speak of Candragupta as being an ambitious man in his youth, and as having been present in the Panjab at the time of Alexander's invasion . He'is said to have freed the country from the Macedonian yoke, to have fought with Selcukos, who had obtained the Syrian province of the Alexandrian empire, and to have finally concluded a treaty Scleukos sent an ambassador to his court of the name with him of Megasthenes From this connection of Candragupta with Seleukos, we have been able to determine the date of his accession, Candragupta's capital was Pātahputra, which is about 322 B C which is represented by Greek writers to have been situated at the confluence of the Ganges and the Erannoboas, which last corresponds to our Hıranyabāhā Hıranyabāhā was another name of the Sona, and Patanjali speaks of Pataliputra as situated on the banks of the Sona" His (Candragupta's) successor, according

[ा] अनुशोणं पाटलिपुत्रम् । Mahābhāsya, Kielhorn's Edn, Vol 1, p 380

to one Portrace by the second of the second

River Book to It It to be the Att

After the Indian Term Fall and Control of the attention of the attention of religion fully, the position of the contract of Every thing via identical set, was a long on The go is are represented in the P = or $(P \to X, S)$ to have sagnificed the principal of Periods of $S \to S$ of $S \to S$ of are $S \to S$ the whole creation. Brillian is a critical in the Resemblar means a perturber ment a cross of the distributed, or that sort of power from thick contributes to die to complete with a month. Thence it came to signify the true parameters it the machine or its essence, and when the viol namer and it is graduald from a lind of sacrifice, its connected of earlier to be a read Braharan There was at the same time religious and philosophy all peculation upon an independent basis starting from the solf concious soil In the Rgycda Samhit's we have several philosophical hymns, and the speculation which they indicate ran on in its course, and the results of it we have in the Upanisads. In the celebrated hymn beginning with Nāsadāsīnno sadāsīti it is represented that in the darkness which enveloped the whole world in the beginning, that which was wrapped up in the Unsubstantial, developed through the force of brooding energy, and there arose in it a Desire which is spoken of as the first germ of the mind. This idea that our worldly existence, with its definite modes of thinking is the result of desire, developed in a variety of ways. This appears to be the idea adopted or appropriated by Buddhism, and one sense of the name Māra—the Buddhistic Prince of Darkness—is Kāma or desire. Of the four noble truths of Buddhism the first is misery (Duhkha),

I Rgveda, X 129

and the second, the origin of misery. This is thirst or desire. If, therefore, the misery of worldly existence is due to desire, the conclusion follows that, in the words of the Katha Upanisad, by uprooting your desire you are free from misery and attain immortality and eternal bliss. This is the third of the noble truths But immortality or eternal bliss one can speak of, when one regards the soul as something different from and lying beyond the mind or thoughts which have been set in motion by desire. When, however, the existence of such a thing beyond the mind or thought is denied, the condition of eternal bliss when thought has ceased, means, what some people call annihilation. In one of the sections of the Brhadaranyaka, which Upanisad and the Chandogya, might be regarded as collections of the speculations of various Rsis, there occurs a passage which comes very near to the demal of the soul as a separate substance "Yājñavalkya," says Ārtabhāga, the son of Jaratkāru, "when the speech of a man or Purusa who is dead, goes to Agm or fire, his breath to the wind, his sight to the sun, his mind to the moon, his power of hearing to the quarters, the body to the earth, and the self to the Akika or other, the hairs of his body to the herbs, and the hair- on the head to the trees, and the blood and seminal fluid are placed in the waters where does the Purusa exist?' Yamayahya answers." Artabhaga give me your hand. We alone shall I now of this and not the progre here." So then they went out and conver id with cooking or I what they spoke of was Karma (deals) and virially providence He who does mentorious Kennee de a 1 and he who does smful deeds, I see seriet With the fire bhāga, the son of Jarath ru, we to the exercise the second Here it will be seen that to course got so a posed are represented as large and the second are 1 1 m the Cosmos, and what remains a think in I involved in this enders on the control of the substance separate from the form of the

21 4 5 1 (c) that a hat remains to make the second being periode a the form of the transof the program de reason and a service of a sinful II this distance in the total Hill Conor religion by the freth of the considered so view the side of avoid the herl which extra the that Thirty I've near thought to bhiga done. Still the rise 1 file of the Upmind indiver prost of he form اوس م م سرع اور و dialogue between the Great Fine M. I can't be a carter, to and Narry in, a Public to Sunt it has rescrence Inown & Whot is your 3.1 "I am called Nata and by my parent of the But Nagaseri is not a separate a tity of Village of firth a in this way, Nigisona give on a ten and the clarest in which the long came, and soys. "A that is a part of celebrat when united form the chariot, so the five should be all a united in one body, form a being or living existence. He even a that "s there is nothing like a chariot independently of it parts, so there is nothing like a man independently of the various elements of which he is composed. Further on in the same boot we have -"The king said, 'What, is it Nagasena that is re-born?' 'Name-and-form is re-born' 'What is it this some name-andform that is re-born?' 'No, but by this name-and-form deeds are done, good and evil, and by these deeds (this Karma) another name-and-form is re-born' '- In the external world also the Buddhist believes in the existence of no substance. To him all knowledge is phenomenal, and this is what appears to be meant by the doctrine that every thing is Ksanika or momentary

i The five Skandhas are Rüpa physical constituents. Viffana, self-consciousness, Vedana feeling of pleasure or pain, Sampha name, and Samskara, love, hatred, and infatuation. These five constitute the human being

² नामहप or name-and-form is equivalent to the five Skandhas of which a living being is composed. The expression, therefore, signifies a living individual;

But it was not the metaphysical doctrines of Buddhism that influenced the masses of the people. What proved attractive was its ethical side. The Buddhist preachers discoursed on Dharma or righteorement to the people. Such discourses on Dharma without the introduction of any theistic idea have their representatives in the Brahmanic literature. In many of the episodes of the Mahabharata, especially in the Santi and AnnGranika books, we have simple ethical diconnes without any reference to God, of the nature of there we find in Buddhistic works, and sometimes the venes in the Mahabharata, are the same as those occuring in the latter. There appears to be at one time a period in which the thoughts of the Hindus were directed to the delineation of right conduct in itself without any theistic bearing. And Buddhism on its ethical side represents that phase. Right conduct is the last of the four noble truths of Buddhism. The origin of misery alluded to above is destroyed by what is called the Eight-fold Path-viz., right views, right resolve, right speech, right action, right hving, right effort, right self-knowledge, right contemplation. Thus the Buddhistic gospel is, that rightcous conduct is the means of the destruction of suffering, which may end in positive happiness or not, according as one regards his soul as substantial or phenomenal. It was this phase of Buddhism that, with the strenuous efforts of the missionaries and of the Emperor Asoka, enabled it to achieve success amongst the masses of the people, and what was wanting on the theistic side, was supplied by the perfection and marvellous powers attributed to the founder of the religion Without this faith in the perfection or, what we should call, the divine nature of Buddha, a mere ethical religion would probably not have succeeded. Buddhism was not a social revolution as has been thought by some writers. It was a religion established and propagated by persons

^{&#}x27; सस्यादृष्टि , सम्यक्संकल्पः, सम्यावाक्, सम्याकान्त , सम्यावावि , सम्यावि , सम्यावावि , सम्यावावि , सम्यावावि , सम्यावावि , सम्यावावि ,

s ho had secretel the entering of a transfer for the From true of old there exists to fell a receive and any programs with were a transcent and a transcent and a transcent and a transcent cortion. There is a thought on a contract the section of the secti pounded distinct them to progress to make a to creed Buddh as control to the same or fath of men from all enter the state that the most of the state of though in the discourse of the trial process of the transfer of the tion of costum from the land artises, or estimate the title of the of there who children ted time, the country to be decreased to tions. They even left the district enterential of their fet and to be performed sending to the Vederate 1. Their contribution arguments brought while t Bullburn by Uchser Telry of the co does not exist," he case the cet, the fatter ere of all he do not perform the Vedec rice bearing with the Guiblidizer and ending with the functal, even though they recard them he lastra but a relative or tentative treth' 1. Luddham, her ever, was a revolt against the racinficial in tem, and denied the authority of the Vedas as calculated to point out the path to salvation. And this is at the root of the hortility between Buddhum and Brahmanism

PROPAGATION OF BUDDHISM-AS'ONA'S EDICTS

Buddhism was propagated by a number of devoted persons. But I think the efforts of Asola contributed a good deal to its acceptance by the large mass of the people. Though of course in his Edicts he does not inculcate upon his people faith in Buddha and Samgha, still the Dharma or rightcourness that he preaches is in the spirit of Buddhism. The inscriptions of Asoka are engraved on rocks, pillars, and tablets of stone. Those of the first class are found at Girnar in Kāthiawād on the west, Shahbazgarhi in Afghanistan, Manshera on the northern frontiers of the Panjab, Khalsi near the sources of the Jumna in the Himālaya, and Dhauli in Katak, and Jaugad in Ganjam on the east. All these contain the

[ा] नास्त्यन तह्र्शनं यत्र सांज्ञतमेतदित्युक्त्वापि गर्भाधानायान्त्येष्टिपर्यन्ता वदिका कियां बनो नानुतिप्रति । Atmatattvaviveka, Calc. Ed of Samvat 1906, p 89 सांवृत is relating to सवृति, a Buddhist technical term.

same edicts, their number in some cases being fourteen, and less in others. In the last two places there are two separate edicts not found on the other rocks. These inscriptions are in two different characters-those at Girnar, Khalsi, Dhauli and Jaugad being in the character called Brāhmī, which is the earliest form of our modern Devanāgarī, and those at Shahbazgarhı and Mansesahra are in the character called Kharosthi, and are written from right to left in the manner of the ancient Pahlavi and the modern Persian and Arabic documents Two of the columns bearing inscriptions of the second class are now at Delhi. They were brought there by the Emperor Firozeshah from Siwalik and Meerut. The others exist at Allahabad, Radhia, Mathia, and Rāmpurvā. The edicts are the same on these columns, but the number of these on the Siwalik Delhi pillar is seven, the second Delhi pillar contains five, that at Rāmpurvā four, and the rest six In the case of both these classes, the inscriptions are well-preserved in some cases and mutilated in others Smaller edicts on rocks and tablets are found at Rupnāth and Sahasrām in Bhagelkhand, Bairat on the northeastern boundary of Rajputana and Siddapur in the Mysore territory. There is also a tablet inscription addressed to the Māgadha Samgha, and three small ones in caves at Barabar near Gaya. Two more inscriptions have been found at Paderia and Nighva in the Nepal Terai.

Extent of Asoka's Empire and the Date of his Coronation.

Now, in the first place, from the localities in which we find these inscriptions, it appears that Asoka's dominions extended from Kāthaiwād on the west to Katak and Ganjam on the east, and to Afghanistan, Panjab, and the sources of the Jumna in the north To the south it extended over the centre of the table-land of the the Deccan upto Mysore. In the second rock-edict he speaks of "conquered" countries and the "neighbouring or bordering" countries. In the last class he mentions the Codas, the Pāndyas, Satiyaputa, Ketalaputa or Keralaputa up to Tambapanii, and the countries of Antiyoko the Yona king and his neighbours. In the thirteenth rock-edict he speaks of his having achieved religious

sictory there took a thing of the or a for a few attended up to six landred Yo. I shore the little the the little and further a cay for a his order of the first of the contract of the king. Make and All of the late one of the additional terms the Costa and the Production of the Lot of the cost of the countries of "History". To I have no ment be to related by "the lines shout here," or a soll so be encrosed the set the countries of Vir., Vyr. You. Route, a William Mabler panti, Bhoja, Pitineka, Ar Man, and Pol. 1 f. Here there is a threefold division, ist, his own evil te, enter if it for the the neighbouring independent countries ruled over by Articles. and others, and those of the Cods and Paretter, and the "Hidarajas" or "lings here," se, in lis empire. On conform? both these passages, it would appear that Antiochi - 1 the other Greel princes as well as the princes of the Coder and Parlyac, were independent, while the land of the Vajic, whose country lay near Pataliputm, and of the Bhojas, the Peteritas, and the Andhras and the Pulindas were under his influence, i.e., were probably his feudatones, while the rest of the country was under his immediate sway. Among the feudatory princes must also be included those of the Gandhiras, Rastillas, and the Aparantas, who are mentioned in the fifth rock-edict, and to whose dominions he sent overseers of righteousness? From the mention of Antiyolo and others in the second and thirteenth edicts, the date when they were composed can be accurately determined. Antiyoko was Antiochus of Syria (260-247 BC), Turamāya was Ptolemy Philadelphos of Egypt (285-247 BC), Antikini was Antigonos Gonatus of Macedonia (278-242 BC), Maka was Magas of Cyrene

¹ Epi Ind Vol II pp 449-450 and 462-465 Hidarsja has been taken to be a proper name by both M Senart and Dr Bühler But Hida everywhere in these inscriptions means "here," and the sense the "kings here" fits in very well with the context. Asoka distinguishes between Hida and Aniesu—10, his own empire and the territories of his neighbours. The third class left must be of those who were kings in the extent of country that could be spoken of as Hida, 10, princes comprised in his empire or dependent princes. In p. 471, and Inscriptions of Piyadasi, by Senart, Vol. II, p. 84 and p. 92, note 63

³ Dharmamahamatrah.

(died 258 B.C.), and Ahkasudara was Alexander of Epirus (died between 262 and 258 B C). All of these were hving between 250 and 258 B.C., wherefore the matter in the inscription was composed between those years, ie, about 259 BC, and Asoka was crowned about 271 BC., as the edict was promulgated in the thriteenth year after the event.

ASOKA, A BUDDHIST-BUT TOLERANT AND LIBERAL.

In the edicts at Sahasaram, Bairat, Rupnāth, and Siddāpur,2 Asoka says that he was an Upāsaka or lay follower of Buddhism for more than two years and a half, but did not exert himself to promote righteousness, but for more than a year afterwards, he did so, and the result was that those men and gods that had been regarded as true in Jambudvipa before, were rendered false eighth rock-edict, he speaks of his having "set out for Sambodhi," which technically means perfect knowledge, after the end of the tenth year since his coronation. This expression occurs in Buddhistic Palı works, and signifies 'beginning to do such deeds as are calculated to lead in the end to perfection' From these two statements it appears that Asoka was a Buddhistic lay follower, and worked with a view to gain the highest good promised by Buddhism. He visited the Lumbini grove, where Sakyamuni was born, after he had been a crowned king for twenty years, and, having done worship, erected a stone column on the site with a stone enclosure (enclosing wall)3. Paderia in the Nepal Terai, where the inscription, which mentions this, was found engraved on a mutilated pillar, must be the site of the birth-place of Buddha. The other Nepal inscription that was found at Nighva represents his having increased the Stūpa raised to Konākamana, when fourteen years had elapsed since his coronation, and some years afterwards, probably in the same year in which he visited the Lumbini grove, he did worship

r Inscriptions of Piyadasi, by Senart, Vol II, p 86, Eng Trans

² Ind. Ant Vol XXII pp. 302-303, Separt, Vol II pp 57-58 and 67; and Epi Ind. Vol IV, p. 138

³ Epi. Ind. Vol V. p. 4 I think सिलाविगडभीवा must be an enclosure e-railing made of stone भीवा is probably connected with bhitti or bhittiks "a wall."

there? In the Bh Harr age , are attended, on a Charch Action och folimmet in The list in Diere (Righteonian a) or I have the fit that they in the a section in certain works which he pigned to the pertiant protections and by the prior teasy all arrivating the country that have any district and to the enough that Aroba came Bally a form there's to I'm tout's from to be to liberal tind on litely will solve in that there is truth in the teachers of all rected south in that it is a like earcluded that he was not actuated by a rectorion part, but by a simple respect for truth, and her est of discovers some work as to be acceptable to everylarly, and he moral over our sporked amongst people of all classes and creed

AGORA'S AND AND OBJECTS AND THE MEANS HE EMILOYED

Aloka's great object in publishing his edict, we to preach and promote righteournes among this subjects. Dharms or rightconsness consists, as said by him in the second pillar-edict, (r) in doing no ill, (2) doing a great deal of good, (3) in sympathy, (4) beneficence, (5) truth, and (6) purity. In the reventh edict he adds, (7) gentleness, and (8) saintliness. Besides this, he prohibited the killing of animals for religious sacrifices, and was very particular about it 4. In the fifth pillar-edict he does seem to allow the flesh of certain animals to be used, but he carefully enumerates those that should not be killed at all, and the conditions under which others should not be killed. Large feasts or banquets, where hundreds of thousands of animals were killed, he prohibited 5 He directed his officers to go on tours every five years for the inculcation of Dharma or righteousness and for other matters had Mahamatras or Governors of provinces before, but in the fifth

¹ Epi Ind Vol. V, pp 5-6

² Ind Ant Vol V, p 257 3 Ep Ind Vol II, pp 249, 269-71, and also Senart, Vol II pp 6, 26-27 The words are (1) अपासिनव, (2) यहकयान (वहकल्याण), (3) दया, (4) दान, (5) सच (सत्य), (6) सोचय (शोच), (7) मदव (मादंब), and (8) साघव (साधुत्व).

⁴ First Rock Edict and also the Fourth

⁵ First Rock Edict.

rock-edict he speaks of his having created the office of Dharmamahāmātras or overseers of righteousness in the fourteenth year after his coronation, and sent them to different countries-those under his immediate sway and those which were semi-independent. They were to work amongst old and young, rich and poor, householders and recluses, and amongst the followers of the different sects, and their business was to look to the good of all, to establish and promote righteousness, and to protect all from oppression. They were also to work amongst those who were near to him, in his family, and amongst his relations In the fourth rock edict he tells us that by his efforts, the destruction of animals, which was enormous before, has almost ceased by his religious orders or instructions, and that a regard for one's relations, for Brahmins and Śramanas or holy recluses, obedience to father and mother and to the old, and general righteousness have increased and will increase, and he hopes that his sons, grandsons, and great-grandsons, &c, up to the end of the Kalpa will go on promoting it; and, being righteous themselves, will instruct their subjects in righteousness. For, "this," he says, "is the highest duty one can perform, wz., that of preaching righteousness," In the seventh rock-edict he allows the followers of all sects to live wherever they like, because what they all aim at is self-restraint and purity, and in the twelfth, he says that he shows his regard for the members of all sects, for the recluses and householders, by gifts and in various other ways, but the highest or the best way of showing regard is to seek to increase the importance of all sects. This importance is increased by ceasing to extol one's own sect or revile that of another, and by showing respect for the creed of another. Asoka also speaks of his having planted trees and medicinal herbs, dug wells, and opened establishments for the distribution of water, for the good of men and animals in different places, even in the countries of his foreign neighbours.1 The inscriptions in two of the caves at Barabar mention their being dedicated after he had been a crowned monarch for twelve years to the use of members of the Aliva sect. which

¹¹ Second Rock Edict.

tike that of the Booldha to a new sect of rechange that in the ideal does not give any name.

This will give the render on the of the control of the following the problement of the least of the conduct was the only a we to be seen. He was the following the only a well of Somethin or need, of the least of the office of

Buppinstic Accounts

The Buddhist records give long accounts of Note and reportent him as one of their great patrons, but they are more or less legendary, and it is difficult to separate the truth from fals head. Some of their statements, such as that Asola visited Buddhie's birthplace, are, as we have seen, confirmed by the inscriptions. A great council of Buddhist priests is said to have been held at his instance to settle the Buddhistic canon, and though there is nothing improbable in it, still it is rather remarkable that no reference to the event occurs in the inscriptions, and Asoka does not seem to have interested himself with doctrinal Buddhism so much as to seek its settlement.

Successors of Asoma

The names of the successors of Asoka given in the Purānas do not agree. The Visnu Purāna gives Dasaratha as the name of his grandson, and there are three inscriptions in three caves in the Nāgārjuni hills, near Gayā, in which Dasaratha is represented immediately after his coronation to have dedicated them for the use

¹ Cunningham's Corpus Inscr Ind, plate XVI, Ind, Ant. Vol. XX p. 364

of the Ajīvaka monks. We have seen that Asoka dedicated similar caves, which are in the Barābar hills, for the use of the Ajīvakas No trace of any other successor of Asoka is found anywhere

THE SUNGAS AND THE KANVAYANAS

The dynasty of the Mauryas was uprooted, according to the Purānas, by Puspamitra or Pusyamitra, who founded the dynasty of the Sungas Pusyamitra is several times alluded to by Patañjali in the Mahābhāsya, and from the occurrence of his name in a particular passage, I have fixed Patañjali's date to be about 142 BC 2 Pusyamitra is represented by the Buddhists to have been their persecutor
It appears from the Mahābhāsya that he was a staunch adherent of Brahmanism and performed sacrifices. His son Agnimitra is the hero of Kālidāsa's Mālavikāgnimitra, in which also there is an allusion to the Asvamedha performed by It will thus appear that he could by no means Pusvamitra have been a patron of Buddhism, and the story of his having persecuted them may therefore be true An inscription on the Buddhistic Stūpa at Bharaut, between Jabbalpore and Allahabad, represents the place to have been situated in the dominions of the Sungas Agnimitra was probably his father's viceroy at Vidisā in eastern Mālwā The Sungas are mentioned as having reigned for 112 years in the Puranas They were followed by the Kanvayanas, the first of whom was Vāsudeva A duration of forty-five years is assigned to this dynasty

THE YAVANAS OR BACTRIAN GREEKS

Long before this time however, the Yavanas and even the Sakas make their appearance in Indian history. The instances given by Patañjali of the use of the Imperfect to indicate an action well-known to people, but not witnessed by the speaker, and still possible to have been seen by him, are, as is well known, Arunad Yava-

r Cunnigham's Corpus Inscr Ind, plate XVI, Ind Ant Vol XX, pp 364-65

² Ind Ant Vol I, pp 299 ff, Vol II pp 69 ff This article is printed in this Volume later

^{3 [}R G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol I]

nih Sileta - Area a Siria dinava dan la la la certain Yas in certifical pro- 1 of 1 and 1 acres and another the earlies of the control of The late Dr Into to be the track of the harm muder. He may have a fill the term of the the composite that the state of author of the Perit's xer error to the encentury AD. But were Strate for meet 32 - tes 5 " ed his aims is for a the June of the chart prince is more probable. In a cite of the first stances to the Sutra, I standard with the First Conference of yasanam as an include of in the result Deline, so the that they were Solar and I ved by the control of the control I have already dieded to a volt in Pd coret, effect to between Milande and National Alberta Alberta Hall Milande Parls Milinda has been identified with Menerder and a represented as Yayana ling who e capital was \$1 do in the Payab Helling. nas, too, in a passage which is me thy confined, a not the orereignty of India to Salas and other force is tall. But a the only reliable and definite evidence about the e-foreign land is furnished by their coins, we shall now proceed to consider them.

Coins of Bacthan Kings

Coms of silver and sometimes of copper have been found in Afghanistan and the Panjab, even as far custward as Mathurā and the Jumna, which bear bilingual legends lesides certain emblems characteristic of them. One of these is on the obverse, in Greek characters and language, giving the name of the prince as well as his titles, and the other, which is on the reverse, is in the Kharosthī characters, to which I have already drawn attention, and which are written from the right to the left, and in the Pāli or Prakrit language. For example, the coms of one of the earlier of these Bactro-Indian princes, Heliokles, contain on the obverse the legend Basileus Dikaioy Heliokleoys, which means

¹ Under Panini, III 2, 111

² Ind Ant Vol VIII p 143

³ Under Papini, II 4, 10

"of He'rolds, the righteous line," and on the reverse, the legend Medical ex Obsarolasa Helivahreyasa, which is the northern Profest for the Sandrat 'Maharajasya Dharmkasya Heliyakre-Viv. New, this Prakrit legend could have been used only beementioned by vere intended to be current in provinces inhabited by Hadu. The princes, therefore, whose coms bear such legends me t be considered to have held some province in India Khato the characters as stated before, are used in the rock inscriptions of Mola in Mehanistan, and on the northern frontiers of the Panjab. The Kharoethi legend used on the coins, therefore, indicates that in the beginning, the princes who used them, must have governed some parts of Afghanistan or the Panjab, and their use was continued even after their possessions extended further The founder of the Greco-Bactrian monarchy was He was followed by Euthydemus who appears to have been totally unconnected with him Demetrus, the son of Euthydemus, succeeded him and even in the life-time of his father carried his arms to India and conquered some territory Eucratides was his rival and they were at war with each other. But Eucratides in the end succeeded in making limself master of a province in India , and there appear to have been two dynasties or rather factions ruling contemporancously. To the line of Demetrus belonged Euthydemus II—probably his son,—Agathocles and Pantaleon prince of the name of Antimachus seems also to have been connected with them. The coins of the first two princes have no Prakrit legend, those of the next two have it in the Brāhmī or ancient Nagari characters, while those of the last have it in the Kharosthī Eucratides was succeeded by Heliocles, his son, who probably reigned from 160 B C to 150 B C 2 There are bilingual legends on the coms of these There were other princes who followed these, but whose order has not yet been determined, and the dates, too, have not been settled There names are these -Philoxenus, Lysias, Antialkidas, Theophilus, Amyntas, and Archebius. These and the preceding princes ruled over Bactria and Afgha-

¹ Percy Gardner's Coins of the Greek and Scythic kings, &c, Introduction 2 Lassen, Ind Altert Vol. II pp. 325-26

meta to the orthoral Post of the street of the come of the error of the street of the

In the consections of two of the property of the north society of a dilatora corresponding to Armiron at the later least of the Mahārājasa Aprilo das Itiliense. In the exercises we have Jayadrarsa Armalia and Or the term of Armiro we have Malarajasa Jayadrarsa Armalia and the form of Armiro dilaters, and exthose of others, such as Merander, we have Traditional Armara armadasa. Tradarasa is a corruption of some such word as tradarasa, where tejama stands for the Greek Popularia, and means brilliant. Sometimes we have Malatasa Jayadasa after Melarā cro

The chronology and the mutual relations of these Greco Indian langs are by no means clear. Some of the princes reigned in one province contemporaneously with others in other provinces. But it may generally be stated,—especially in view of the passage quoted from Patañjah above, and of the tradition alluded to by Kāhdāsa in the Mālavikāgnimitra, that Puspamitra's sacrificial horse was captured on the banks of the Sindhin or Indus by Yavana cavalry—it may be concluded that these kings were in possession of parts of India from about the Leginning of the second century Lefore Christ to the arrival of the Sakas whom we shall now preceed to consider

THE IMPERIAL SAKAS

The Saka comage is an imitiation of the Greco-Bactrian or Greco-Indian comage, though there are some emblems peculiar to the

¹ Lassen, l c Vol II, Bk II

There are two legends, as in the case of the former, one on the obverse in Greek letters, and the other on the reverse in Kharosthī character and in the Prakrit language Here, too, the mutual relation between the princes, their order of succession, as well as their dates, are by no means clear Still, from the bilingual legends on the coms, we have recently determined the order of the princes, and endeavoured to fix the period when they ruled following are the names arranged in the order thus determined .-(1) Vonones, (11) Spalifises, (111) Azes I., (11) Azilises, (v) Azes II, and (vi) Maues There are coms of two others, viz, Spalahores and his son Spalgadames, who, however, did not succeed to supreme power 1 Now, one thing to be remarked with reference to these princes is that in the legends on their coins, unlike the Greco-Indians, they style themselves Basileus Basileôn, corresponding to the Prakrit on the reverse Mahārājasa Rājarājasa. they style themselves "kings of kings," i. e, emperors also appropriate the epithet Mahatasa, corresponding to the Greek Megalou, which we find on the coins of Greek kings title "king of kings" cannot in the beginning at least, have been an empty boast. The Sakas must have conquered a very large portion of the country before they found themselves in a position to use this imperial title And we have evidence of the spread of their power First of all, the era at present called Salivahana Saka was up to about the thirteenth century, known by the name of 'the era of the Saka king of kings' and 'the era of the coronation of the Saka king' Now, such an era, bearing the name of the Saka king that has lasted to the present day, cannot have come to be generally used, unless the Saka kings had been very powerful, and their dominions extended over a very large portion of the country and lasted for a long time And we have positive evidence of the extent of their power Taxila in the Panjab, and Mathurā and the surrounding provinces were ruled over by princes who use the title of Ksatrapa or Mahāksatrapa So also a very long dynastv of Kstrapas or Mahākstrapas ruled over the part of the country ex-

I See the paper written ny Mr Devadatta R Bhandarkar, JBBRAS, 1900, Vol. XX, pp 16ff

tending from the constant of t the Minth Courter of the tor it - is a contract a like traject of the left to the last property of the last of to a foral to consider the effect to the ender the ther and the view in the term of the enterestical e the Person Kill Strate I do not set you the there prince were overably of a terre over a Broke of the conprince of the Westerner Latters of trong force at the contract objected to the Great of the record of the filter of the territor. together with a British be end in the force of the fire points to their connection with the North Home grand of the dates on their constant of their in their receiver of the for now been considered by all intensess, to what to the Sila err. It is by no means taken on the therefore to consider the and the Northern Keatrague to have been in the beginning of leave Viceroys of the Sala lings, and the Sala era to have been founded by the most powerful of these lines. If the even electric line any weight, the Sala lines, whose namer have been given obevefounded their power in the latter part of the next century of the Christian era. This goes against the opinion of all selectors and antiquarians who have lutherto written on the subject and who refer the foundation of the Saka power to about the leginning of the first century before Christ *

NORTHERN KEATRAPAS

The names of Northern Ksatrapas found on coins and in inscriptions are Zeionises, Kharamostis—Liaka and Patika who bore the surname Kusulaka and governed North-Western Panjab at Taxila, and Rājub(v)ula and his son Sodāsa who held power at Mathurā. The names of Liaka and Patika are found in a copperplate inscrip

I See D R Bhandarkar's paper referred to above for the whole argument Many circumstances have been brought forward, all of which point to the conclusion which we have arrived at, and thus render it highly probable. The objection against it, based on the style of the coins, has also been considered there

[:]Numismatic Chronicle for 1890, pp 125-129, Percy Gardner's Coins of Greek and Scythic Kings of India.

tion in which the foundation of a monastry and the placing of a relic of Śākyamuni are recorded i Inscriptions have been discovered at Mathura and Mora in Raiputana,2 which are dated in the reign of Sodasa. There was also found a Lion Pillar at Mathura on which there is an inscription in which the names of the mother of Śodāsa, his father Rājuvula, and other relatives are given, as well as those of the allied Ksatrapas, viz. Patika of Taksasılā and Miyika 3 The names of two other Ksatrapas, Hagana and Hagāmasa, have been discovered The coins of Zeionises and Kharamostis, and some of Rājuvula bear on the obverse a Greek legend and on the reverse one in Kharosthī characters, thus showing their close connection with their Saka masters Some of Rajuvula and those of Sodasa, Hagana and Hagamasa have a Brahmī legend only Rājuvula uses high-sounding imperial titles on some of his coins, whence it would appear that he made himself independent of his overlord The date of his son Sodasa is 72,5 equivalent, according to our view, to 150 A.D. It would thus appear that the Satraps who governed Mathura and the eastern portion of the Saka empire declared themselves independent some time before 150 AD, while those who governed north-western Panjab at Taxila, and consequently were nearer to their sovereign lords, acknowledged their authority till 78 Saka or 150 A D., as is evident from Patika's mention of Moga who has been identified with the Saka Emperor Maues, in the Taxila copperplate inscription referred to before

KSATRAPAS OF KĀTHIĀWĀD-MĀLWĀ

Silver coins of the Ksatrapas of Kāthiawād or Surāstra and Mālwā have been found in large numbers in those provinces. The latest find was in the rock-cells and temples to the south of the Uparkot, a tortress of Junāgadh in Kāthiawād, which consisted

¹ Epi Ind. Vol IV, pp 54ff

² Cunnigham's Arch Rep Vol III, p 30, and Vol XX, p 49, and Epi Ind Vol II, p 199

³ JRAS, 1894, PP 533ff

⁴ Ibid. p 549, and Cunnigham's Coins, of Anc Ind p 87

⁵ Epi Ind Vol VII, p 199, and Vol IV, p 55, n 2.

of technolic references 110 wat tetther the territory of the second aren of a stupe total and to procent of the rest at extra the little 1 1 levend positivity of the second positive in title Keitrig en Wilde Fig. director of marginal and and and . 1 the dynasty var free - in the street letters with observed the early the artist and four discontinuity of a country and the verse is Ragio State to the first the account of coms of this prince country of the restrict the by Prolemy a director of a partition of the continuous And from this adjustment to the form to mined to be there is AD and in the Cartest and Contika are evidently force in and not lide to the lineal force number of successor, one of stem are called to step or it, and others Mahalentrapes. There are other appropriate with trapas in the early part of their saider, and M hW array on the I ter-The former was evidently an inferior title and should that the bearer of it was a dependent prince while a M hill sate per held supreme power. There are inscriptions also in which the rain is of some of these princes are mentioned. In one at Jun'ig offi, dated 72, Rudradāman's minister Silvisāklic, a Pahlaya, son of Kulaipsi, is represented to have re-constructed the dam that had broken away of the lake Sudarsana. In it Rudradam in is stolen of as having been at war with Sitakarm, the lord of the Decean, and subjected to his sway a good many provinces to the north of Surastra. There is another inscription bearing the date 103 found at Gundā, in the Jāmnagar State, in which Rudrabhūti is represented as having dug a tank and constructed it in the reign of the Kṣatrapa Rudrasımha, son of Mahāksatrapa Rudradāman, grandson of Ksatrapa Jayadaman, and great-grandson of Mahaksatrapa

¹ JBBRAS, Vol XX, (1900) p 201

Castana ¹ A third found at Jasdan in Kāthiawād and dated 127, while Rudrasena was ruling, records the construction of a Sattra or a feeding-house for travellers, by one whose name appears to be Mānasasagara, and who was the son of Pranāthaka and grandson of Khara ² The genealogy of Rudrasena, that is given, is, that he was [the son] of Rudrasimha, grandson of Rudradāman, grandson of the son of Jayadāman, and great-grandson of the son of Castana Another inscription at Junāgadh of the grandson of Jayadāman represents some sort of gift in connection with those who had become Kevalis, i.e., perfect individuals, according to Jainas And the last that I have to notice is that found at Mulwāsar in Okhāmandala which refers itself to the reign of Rudrasena and bears the date 122.³

The following is a complete list of the Ksatrapa princes with the dates occurring on the coins, and in the inscriptions —

I Mahāksatrapas

r. Castana.

- 2 Rudradāman son of Jayadāman, 72.
- Dāmaghsada son of Rudradāman
- 4. Rudrasımha son of Rudradāman, 103, 106, 108, 109, 110, 113, 114, 115, 116, 118.
- 5 Jīvadāman son of Dāmaghsada, 119, 120.
- 6. Rudrasena son of Rudra sımha, 122, 125, 130, 131, 133, 134, 135, 136, 138, 140, 142, 144.

II Ksatrapas

- r. Castana
- 2 Jayadāman son of Caş-
- Dāmaghsada son of Rudradāman.
- 4. Rudrasımha son of Rudradāman, 102, 110, 112
- Satyadāman son Dāmaghsada
- Rudrasena son of Rudrasımba, 121

¹ Bhownagar Collection of Inscriptions, p 22

² Ib p 22 facs, and JBBRAS, Vol VIII, p 234

³ Bhownagar Coll of Inser, p 7 and p 23, see also JRAS, 1899, pp. 180ff.

^{4 [}R. G. Bhandarlear's Works, Vol. I]

I Mendeby

- 7. Sandrdinan in chick dreamh, 111
- 8 Dimeron to a of he trosimin, 145 150, 151, 152,
 - 153, 154, 155, 155, 157, 157, 158
 - g Dimapedaletion of Reach
 sena

 Solodiman con of Dimes
- 10 Yasodāman son of Dîmrsena, 161
- 11 Vijayasum, son of Dimessona, 163, 164, 165, 166, 170, 171, 172
- 12 Dāmajadasrī son of Dāmasena, 172, 174, 175, 176
- 13 Rudrasena son of Viradiman, 17(8²), 180, 183, 185, 186, 188, 190, 194
- 14 Viśvasimha son of Rudrasena, dates illegible.15 Bhartrdāman 203, 207, 210,
- 211, 214, 217, 220 7
- 16 Svāmi-Rudrasena son of Svāmi-Mahāksatrapa Rudradāman, 270, 271, 272, 273, 288, 290, 292, 293, 294, 296, 298, 300.
- Svāmi-Simhasena sister's son of Svāmi-Rudrasena 304.
 - 18 Svāmi-(Rudra?)sena son of Svāmi-Simhasena.
 10 Svāmi Budramaka son of
 - 19 Svāmi-Rudrasimha son of Svāmi-Mahāksatrapa Satyasena, 310

- $W=F^*z^*r_{\ell}/z^*$
- 7. Peth 2 - - - 1 to tea-
- or top the
- o November of Designation of Designation of Designation and the Company of Designation of Design
- man, it is it is if it.

 If Nils word is not of Index.
- 12 Bhartrdinan sen of Redmens 201, 202
 - 13 Vi vact i con of Bhortedimen, 216, 217, 218,

219, 222, 223, 224, 225,

tenn, 190, 191, 201, 201.

- 226

 14 Rudrasınılıa son Svāmi-Jivadāman, 227, 229, 230,
 231, 240.
- 15. YaSoduman son of Rudrasımha 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 249, 252, 253, 254.

Though the Ksatrapas occupied a subordinate position, they issued coins in their name, and from that it would appear that they were put in charge of a separate province Probably the Mahāksatrapas reigned at the capital, whether it was Ujjayanī as in Caṣṭana's time, or any other town, and the Ksatrapas in Kāthiawād.

THE RULE OF SUCCESSION AMONG THE KEATRAPAS AND THE IMPERIAL ŚAKAS

It will be seen that Rudradaman, the second in list I, was succeeded by his son Dāmaghsada, and he by his brother Rudrasimha and not by his son Satyadaman, who was only a Ksatrapa under After the two brothers, their sons became Mahāksatrapas successively, and after Rudrasena, the eldest son of Rudrasımha, his two brothers held the supreme power one after another, and two sons of Rudrasena were only Kstrapas under their uncle Similarly, three sons of Damasena (Nos. 10, 11 and 12) reigned one after another The position of Ksatrapa under the Mahāksatrapa was occupied by the brother of the latter, as in the case of No. 4 m list II, in the absence of the brother, by the elder brother's son, and in his absence, his own son brothers had been in power successively, their sons, beginning with those of the eldest, got possession of the throne, as in the case of Nos 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 in list I. Thus, according to the custom of this dynasty, the rightful heir to the throne was the next brother, and after the brothers, the sons in the order of their father's seniority Dr Bühler conjectures the existence of a similar custom among the northern Ksatrapas from the fact of Kharostha's bearing the title of Yuvarāja, while his brother Sodāsa was a reigning Ksatrapa * But it can be distinctly traced among the For, while the coins of Vonones represent him in ımperial Śakas Greek characters on the obverse as "King of kings," they show on the reverse in Kharosthī characters that his brother Spalahores held power under him, as the brother of a Mālwā Mahāksatrapa did under the latter On other coins we have Spalgadames, the son of

¹ JRAS, 1894, p. 532

Sphalal ores, as examined and the text same of the This Spale polition is the section of the first transfer of transfer of the first transfer of the first transfer of transfer who is stated "the loss decites his a which Spalings appear and that the Control of the as ! King of lars ! Still et as as i . . . I . . sovercum is accounted with the service of ractor. There i are of a describer in Sec. ? Cor which Are on the reserve a covered of the engine vene No con la 1 a de comercia l'inon the reverse in Where this characters and the first nes was the first supremerone early that A and a first on him and afterwards on Spates on a transfer of the contract of the rises succeeded Vonorer, and that the Mahrey and Greek has a whose brother Spalines is represented to be more to the Vonones The latter had another latter a real spot a real tat since he is not represented as an index indent. overs, then the charts in Greek characters on any coin, and in tend of him him has a time. is associated with Vonones, he must have died dismittle lifesters of the latter, and Spalinices, another brother, a up al Spalinices, position, and Spalgadames was at one time subcribinite to him, and also at another time directly to Vononie Subsequently Spokings. being Vonones' brother, obtained supreme peacer after his death The phrase Maharajabhrata or "Imps brother" is used pointedly to indicate the right of the person to be Crown Prince and subsequently to be successor. The prevalence of this custom among the imperial Sakas shows that the Mahaksatrapas and Kentrapas of India were intimately connected with them, i.e. derived their authority originally from them and were Sakas 1

FORTUNES OF THE KSATRAPA TAMILY OF KATHIAWAD-MALWA.

Castana was at first a Ksatrapa and then a Mahāksatrapa, probably because he first acknowledged the supremacy of his Śaka overlord and afterwards assumed independence. Jayadāman, his son, was a Ksatrapa only, and the reason appears to have been

I Percy Gardner Coins of Greek and Scythic Kings, pp 98 102, and Numismatic Chronicle, 1890, p 138

the same at that reven by me in the "Early History of the Deccan." ric, that Gotambutra and Pulmilly, invaded Ujjayani and deprivof him of aprenic power. Rudradiman, his son, then acquired h s lo than John and assumed the title of Mahaksatrapa I Reduction in the accession is regular up to Bhartrdaman, i.e., till about S. La 20th or 304 A.D. Then up to 270 Saka, or rather 288. re, for about 62 year, we have no Mahāksatrapa has a be a due to a prince or princes of some other family having established their sway over Mālwā, and we have an inscription at Sizen, of Visual's Bazdeo, or Väsudeva who belonged to the Ky and family to be mentioned hereafter, bearing the date 782 If the interpretation of the date of the princes of that' family given in the paper referred to above, and explained below, is correct, this corresponds to 278 Saka Very likely, therefore, Kaniska, the first or most famous prince of the family, whose dates range from 205 to 228 Sal a subjugated Malwa about the year 226 Saka. and he and his successors retained possession of the province till about 288 Salar The earliest date of the restored Mahaksatrapa is 270, but his coms are continuous only for four years is a gap of 15 years between 273 and 288, which shows that his power was not firmly established in 270, and that he was driven out again in 273 But a short time after, the Kusanas were humbled by the rising Guptas, and this last circumstance must have been availed of by the Mahāksatrapas to regain their power, which they did in 288 Sala It was, however, not long before the rising power turned its attention to Malwa also, and the Mahaksatrapa dynasty retained its regained sovereignty for about 22 or 23 years only, and was finally exterminated by the Guptas in 310 or 311 Saka, ie, 388 or 389 AD There must have been some minor revolution before this, when a prince of the name of Isvaradatta made himself a Mahāksatrapa and issued coins dated in the first and second years of his reign. He does not appear to have belonged to this dynasty

¹ Second Ed, pp 28-29

² Epi Ind Vol II, p 369

Treample for Courses or the second

The many transfer of the second state of the s Krich and a strain of the stra over that the state of the stat trips (m) via 3, 1 and a few and few and a few ford order a thirty of extreme at the fact of the first of the fact With the two in the second to the second of the cara in the contraction of the contraction entermonental entermonental the Sitner the lines of the tra the tree of 1,500 and 10 as and 15 are ramed Rederite that the area of the second Gotaminutes temperature to the temperature to heral store or of New years and the desire of the heral to above, he is allo represent that he promise the property the Stay was form Till the Stay The silver and a processor of l'al victor before il Sire e e e e e e e Ti entre and sect of the femditions I) and cooper that the organizationers ruled over the Person and the Political for the constant. The earliest prince of this dimestration of the restriction tions was Krame. The name of one am out on-Smile Strate hana—also occurs, but not as a prince re ming at the time. Kronz was followed by Stallar Sinkers successes must have been in possession of the country till the after part of the first century of the Christian on when the Sakas established their power. These, however, were dri in out of the country by Gofamiputra, and we have the names of Pullmann, Yayaafri Stiaharm, Catusparma Satalarm and l'adhariputra Salasura, as the successors of Gotamiputra, in the inscriptions in the caves and on the coins found at Bassem and Kolhapur, and not that of any Ksatrapa. So that the Sel as ruled over the Deccan for about one generation only.

The Śatavahana dynasty is mentioned in the Puraras under the name of the Andhrabhrtyas, and most of the names given above Simuka, the founder, Krana Śatakarni, Gotamiputra Śatakarni,

Pulumāyı and Yajñaśrī Śātakarnı occur in the genealogy there given The names of Catusparna and Sakasena, however, do not occur This dynasty is represented in the Purānas to have succeeded the Kānvāyanas But they do not appear to have held sway in Northern India Nahapāna's dates occuring in the incriptions of his son-in-law, Usavadāta, are 40, 41 and 42, and that occurring in the inscription at Junnar of his minister Ayama, is 46 On the supposition that the era is Saka, these are 118, 119, 120 and 124 AD Pulumāyı is represented as ruling at Paithana by Ptolemy, as he has represented Castana to be the king of Ujjayanī They were, therefore, contemporaries Hence the Sakas or Satraps were driven away from Mahārāstra between 124 and They, however, as has been shown before, ruled over Surāstra and Mālwā with some intermissions till 380 AD the earlier years Nahapāna is called a mere Ksatrapa in the inscriptions; but in the Junnar inscription of his minister he is called a Mahāksatrapa, which shows that like Castana he at first acknowledged the sovereign power of his Saka lord in the North, and then assumed independence

THE INDO-PARTHIANS OR PAHLAVAS

In the North, the Ksatrapas and the Saka emperors soon lost their power. They were succeeded by the Indo-Parthian or Pahlava kings Their names, determined from coins, are as follows:—

- r. Gondophares.
- 2 Abdagases, nephew of Gondophares.
- 3 Orthagnes
- 4. Arasakes.
- 5 Pakores
- 6 Sanabares

An inscription of Gondophares bearing date 103 has been discovered at Takht-i-Bahi, to the north-east of Peshāwar This is represented as the 26th year of his reign, and if the date refers to

the Salvery programment of a contract of the salvery reign mass AD Holler and the contract of the second second even in Western I sept. Hert. Spring and the second of their veter property of the transfer of continued to 1. "I the state of the state of the equivalent to truck by a vice of the section of the tion has been referred to the form of the pond to 17 AD, and to a construction of the co ZIAD Vicinity (1-1) in a major of the district century in Clin tion or strong a transaction of a second have visited Gorgo, have an interest and a as true, it confirms time to be a little of the a prince was remembered in the forest the second of the second able is it to suppose that be more than the second of the second as three hundred years, but one has the transfer of the rest of probably less than that There et al. Fig. 1 , enc. legends on the obverse and Kharo them treally after the second the case of the Sakas and the Gred. The they and the teles like the Salas. On some of Gordopinic companies in the Greek legend Basile is Basileon Me alon Gen activerrena i ed in the Kharosthi Malarajasa rajarajasi De airai isa Guzifi urasu i in ing 'of Gudaphara the great king, birg of him preferred by the the gods. On his coins all the high sounding epithets, ere of which only was used by his predecessors, are found, such as Afra'is hata, Dhramika equivalent to Dharnila, Marata, and Irida'a equivalent to tratuh. Some of his coins have not the Kharosthi legend at all, but only Greek-which probably shows that he added Indian provinces to his dominions after he had reigned for some time. The legends on the coins of his successors are more or less corrupt. This as well as the fact of the use of all the magniloquent epithets noticed above, shows that his dynasty succeeded those I have already noticed The most important of these Parthian princes was Gondophares, and he held possession of a large extent of country, but he does not seem to have penetrated to the east of the Panjab. The territories ruled over by his successors were much altrower.

THE KUSANAS.

After the Indo-Parthian or Pahlava dynasty, and perhaps, in the beginning, contemporaneous with it, we have another that gave itself the name of Kusana The Princes of this family known to us by name are as follows:—

- 1. Kujula-Kadphises.
- 2. Wema-Kadphises.
- 3. Kaniska.
- 4. Huviska.
- 5 Vāsudeva or Vāsuska.

Copper coins of a prince whose imperial titles are given thereon, but whose name does not occur, are found in large numbers in the Panjab, Khandahar, and the Kabul valley, and even in Mālwā There are a few silver coins also He probably belonged to this family and preceded Wema-Kadphises The last three princes in the above list are noticed in the Rajatarangini and are represented as belonging to the Turuska race—that is to say, they were Turks. And the dress, especially the cap, and the features of the royal figures on their coins appear Turkish I have already observed that some of the Greek kings reigned contemporaneously with princes of the later dynasties Some coins of Kujula-Kadphises, on the obverse of which is the name of the Greek prince Hermaeus, have on the reverse the name of Kujala-Kapsa or Kasa without highsounding titles This would show that he was subordinate to Herma. eus, and also that some Greek prince continued to reign somewhere, while the Sakas and the Indo-Parthians had supreme power are, however, other coins on which the name of Hermaeus does not occur, which indicates that he afterwards acquired independence. But it was his successor Wema-Kadphises who appears to have conquered a large extent of the country and risen to supreme power, as imperial titles appear on his coins, while they do not, on those of Kujula-Kadphises The same conclusion is pointed to by the fact that his coins are not merely confined to the Kabul valley and the Panjab as those of Kujula, but are found eastward as far as Gorakhpur and Ghazipur, and along the line of railway from

^{5 [}R G Bhandarker's Collected Works Vol 1]

The LAST THEFT KE AND

The three next line, call the rate in the contract of the The royal figure on them have dreamed and a contract Wema-Kadphises But the three Luce, and to be surely an independent path for then else transfer of the continuous the continuous transfer of the continuous may prehaps point to their constituing, on moles court fire. The legend is only one in Greek letters. On the entire of her la it is in the Greek language also and n_i de D_{i+1} $p_i P_{i+2} + P_{i+1} + P_{i+1}$ Phoy re, 'Kanisla, king of lings' On the majority of listeers, however, and on those of his successors it is in Greet letters, and perhaps in the Turkish language, and reads Sicci are Sico Kerli st Kushano, Shaoraro Shao Hat sH. Ki daro, ic, 'the Shith (king) of Shahs, Kanheski Kusana, &c.' The emblems on the reverse are figures of deities from the Greek, Persian and Brahmanic pantheon and of Buddha By the side of these figures their names also are given in Greek characters. Thus we have Salene, Helies, and Herakho, Muro=Mihira, Mazlohano=Mazdaonho, Shando, Mahāseno, Komaro, Bizago, which last is equivalent to Visikha, Boddo =Buddho, and Saka Mana Boddo=Śīlya Muni Buddha ' Thus

¹ Percy Gardner, L. c., pp 129 ff, Cunningham, Num Chron 1892,

these Turkish kings paid an equal respect to the gods of all these four religions. But the figures of Buddha in the sitting or meditative and the standing posture, occur on coins of Kaniska only. This may be regarded as evidence of the truth of what the Northern Buddhists say as to Kaniska being their patron. In his time and under his patronage a council of priests was held to settle the cannon again, and it was at this time that Buddhism, which had gradually been veering towards the Mahāyāna form, had that character definitely impressed upon it

THEIR DATES.

There are a great many inscriptions dated in the reigns of these They are chiefly dedications of Buddhistic and Jama three kings objects of worship for the use of the people, and occur principally As stated before, there is one inscription bearing the date 78 and referring itself to the reign of Vasudeva at Sanchi There is not a single Brahmanic inscription The dates vary from 5 in the reign of Kaniska to 98 in the reign of Vāsudeva scholars and antiquarians a few years ago believed Kaniska to be the founder of the Saka era, but the faith of some has been shaken. On this supposition the dates mentioned above run from 83 A D to But, according to all accounts, the Guptas succeeded 176 A D the Kusanas, like the latter and unlike the previous rulers, they issued a gold comage which is a close imitation of that of the latter. The forms of letters in the inscriptions of the Kusanas appear to belong to a later period For these reasons I have always believed Kaniska to have flourished later than the first century of the Christian era, and we have recently considered the whole question and come to the conclusion formerly stated that one of the Imperial Saka kings founded the Saka era between whom and the Saka founder of the Saka era came the other Saka princes—the Indo-Parthians and Wema-Kadphises reigned much later The practice of omitting hundreds in dates has long existed in that part of India, and in consideration of the fact that an inscription found at Mathura, which, though the name of the prince is omitted, contains titles used by the Kusanas and

bears the date 290 and some units which are not distinct, the conclusion is reasonable that the dates in the inscriptions of these three Kusana princes are abbreviated by the omission of two hundreds. These dates must be referred to the Saka cra, and will thus run from 205 Saka to 298 Saka, i.e., 283 AD, to 376 AD. And the period here assigned to the Kusana princes agrees with all that is known of them and their relations with other princes. The chronology of the previous dynasties also has been arranged in a manner consistent with it, and there is nothing against it, except numismatic theories, which, however, in consideration of the many types available for the princes of these dynasties, and the play of fancy, such as is presented to our view by the coins of the last three Kusana princes, cannot be rigidly adhered to 2

PREDOMINANCE OF EARLY BUDDHISM AND OF THE PRAKRIT DIALECTS DURING THE PERIOD GONE OVER

Thus from about the beginning of the second century before Christ, to about the end of the fourth century after, princes of foreign races were prominent in the history of India, and ruled sometimes over a large portion of the country upto the limits of Mahārāstra The names of no Hindu princes appear in inscriptions or on coins during this period, except in Mahārāstra, where, as we have seen, the Śātavāhanas drove the foreigners and governed the country, and in the South to which the foreigners did not During this period, it is the religion of Buddha alone penetrate that has left prominent traces, and was professed by the majority of the people The vestiges of the time are the Stūpas, or hemispherical structures purporting to contain a relic of Buddha or of saints, and the monasteries, and temples containing smaller Stupas These Stupas or Caityas were the objects of worship amongst the Buddhists And wherever there is a stupendous Stupa. we find sculpture, representing Buddhistic sacred objects, such as the Bodhi or Pippala and other trees, under which Śākyamuni and the previous Buddhas attained perfection, wheels representing metaphonically, the Dharmacakra, or wheel of righteousness, which

¹ VOJ Vol X, pp 171-2

² See D R. Bhandarkar's paper referred to before

Buddha turned, and so forth There are sculptures also representing events in the previous births of Buddha, about which many stones were current, and which we now find in the so-called Jataka Now, the remains of Vihāras, Caitya, and Stūpas literature are found in all parts of the country, including Afghanistan of them contain inscriptions also, recording the gifts of public or private individuals These gifts are the big structures themselves as well as smaller parts thereof, such as railings, pillars, and sculptures, and sometimes land or deposits of money for the maintenance of the priests Now, from the inscriptions recording these gifts, we find the position of the persons who made them remains of two great Stūpas exist in Central India,— at Sāñchi in the Bhopal territory, and Bharaut between Jabbalpore and Allahabad. near Sattan, a Railway Station From the form of characters, existing in the inscriptions found in them, the ages of the Stupas can be approximately determined That at Bharaut was begun about the middle of the third century before Christ, and continued to be added to, till about the end of the second century The Sanchi Stupa was probably first constructed about the same time, and it continued to be an object of adoration and additional gifts till about the eighth century of the Christian era The donors, as recorded in these two places, were often-times the Buddhist monks and nuns themselves, but the names of a great many lay-followers also Thus we have gifts from Grhapatis or householders or land-holders, Setthis or Seths, who occupied a prominent position ın a town or village, simple traders, who are called Vāmja or Vāmka, Rājalīpīkāras or royal scribes, Lekhakas or professional writers, and even Kārmikas, or ordinary workmen In the cavetemples in Mahārāstra, which began to be excavated about the middle of the first century before Christ, and continued to increase in number, and to have additional decorations till the end of the second century after, and were the objects of adoration and resort upto about the end of the mnth century, we find, among the donors, princes and chiefs, who called themselves Mahābhojas and Mahārathis, Naigamas or merchants, Suvarnakāras or goldsmiths, Vardhakas or carpenters, Dhānyasrenis or guilds of corn-dealers,

and Grhapatis, or ordinary householders. There are some Sakas and Yavanas also amongst them The great cave-temple at Karla was originally excavated by Bhūtapāla, a Śeth of Vanayanti, the lion-pillar in the court in front was scooped out by a Mahārathi named Agnimitra One of the cave-temples at Nasik was the gift of Gotamī, mother of Gotamīputra Śātakarm, and grandmother of Pulumavi A monastery there was the benefaction of Usavadāta, son-ın-law of Nahapāna. who deposited sums of money also with the guilds of weavers and another guild at Govardhana near Nasık, out of the interest on which, new garments were to be given to the priests in the rainy season. Such money-benefactions were also made by private individuals, as recorded in the inscriptions at Nasik and Kanheri. The period that we have been speaking of, has left no trace of a building or sculpture devoted to the use of the Brahmanic religion Of course, Brahmanism existed, and it was probably, during the period, being developed into the form which it assumed in later times The large but unfortunately mutiliated inscription at Nānāghāt, which is to be referred to the second half of the first century before Christ, opens with an invocation to Dharma, Indra. Samkarsana and Vāsudeva, and seems to speak of the Daksınā, or fees given by a royal lady for the performance of several Brahmanic sacrifices Gifts were made even by princes and chiefs to Brahmins Usavadāta, the son-in-law of Nahapāna, was a patron of both Brahmins and Buddhists of the Satraps of Surastra and Malwa were probably adherents of Brahmanism, as is indicated by their adoption of the name of the god Rudra as a component of their own names Wema-Kadphises was as we have seen, a worshipper of Siva In the South, we have ınscriptions of Śivaskandavarman, a ruler of Kāñchi, of Hārītiputra Satakarm, and of a king of Banavasi which are to be referred to the early part of the third century after Christ, and in which grants of land to Brahmins are recorded 1 But the religion certainly does not occupy a prominent position, and Buddhism was followed by the large mass of the people from princes down to the humble

¹ Epi Ind Vol VI, pp 84ff, and Vol I pp 2ff, also Ind Ant, Vol. XXV p 28

workman Another peculiarity of the period was the use of the Pāli or the current Prakrit language in inscriptions. Even the Brahmanic inscription at Nānāghāt and those in the South just noticed, are composed in this dialect. Sanskrit was the language of learned Brahmins and Prakrit of ordinary people of all castes. The use of the latter, therefore, indicates a greater deference for these people than for Brahmanic learning. The inscriptions in Kāthiawād, however, of the reigns of the Satrap kings are in Sanskrit and those of Usavadāta are in mixed Sanskrit and Prakrit. But in the middle of the fourth century, the whole scene changes, and we now proceed to the consideration of the events which it presents to our view.

CHANGE OF SCENE-THE GUPTAS

I have already observed that the Guptas succeeded the Kusanas The first prince was named Gupta, and his son was Ghatotkaca, both of whom are styled Mahārāja Ghatotkaca's son was Candragupta I, who is styled Mahārājādhirāja, or "King of kings" in the inscriptions It was during the time of this king that the power of the Guptas must have begun to rise But his son Samudragupta seems to have been one of the most powerful princes of this dynasty There is a long inscription describing his exploits, on the same pillar at Allahabad, which contains the in scriptions of Asoka There he is called Parākramānka which title is also to be found in other inscriptions as well as on his coins represented to have conquered and re-established in their dominions Mahendra, king of Kosala, Vyāghrarāja, king of Mahākāntāra, Mantarāja of Kerala and many other kings of Daksināpatha, to have rooted out kings reigning in Aryavarta of the names of Rudradeva, Matila, Nāgadatta, Candravarman, Ganapatināga, Nāgasena, Acyutanandın, Balavarman and others, and probably established his supremacy over their provinces, to have reduced to submission the chiefs of the forest regions, to have exacted tribute from, and subjected to his power, the kings of Samatata, Dāvaka. Kāmarūpa, Nepāla, Kartrpura, and other countries on the borders. and the tribes of Mālavas, Ārjunāyanas, Yaudheyas, Mādrakas. Ābhīras, Prārjunas, Sanakānikas and Kākakharaparikas; to have

re-established certain royal families which had lost their kingdoms; and to have formed alliances with Daivaputra Sāhi Sāhanuśāhi, princes of the Śaka and Murunda tribes, and with the Saimhalakas, who propitiated him with presents. There is an inscription referring itself to his reign found at Eran in the Sāgar district, which bears evidence to the fact that his dominions extended upto that district.

He was followed by Candragupta II, one of whose mscriptions dated in the year 82, is found at Udayaguri, near Bhilasa, in Eastern Mālwā³ It must here be remarked that the Guptas established an era of their own, as to the initial date of which there were long controversies among antiquarians, though it was given by Alberum, the Arabic traveller, as corresponding to 242 of the Saka But the question is now settled. Alberum's statement has been found to be correct and the first year of the Gupta era falls in 318-19 A D Candragupta's date 82, therefore, corresponds to 400 AD. Another inscription of the same king occurs at Mathura, showing that the Guptas had extended their power to that province which was subject before to the Kusanas 4 There is one more at Gadhwa, near Allahabad, dated in the year 88, corresponding to 406 A D, another at Sāūchi, dated 93 corresponding to 411 AD, and a third at Udayagin, which bears no date 5 These inscriptions show that the dominions of the Guptas embraced in the time of Candragupta II, the whole of the North-Western Provinces and Mālwā and the Central Provinces In the Udayagiri inscription which bears no date, that monarch is represented as "wonderful sunlike Brilliance" itself, and Śāba Vīrasena, who was his minister and a native of Pataliputra, as having accompanied the king in his carrer of conquest (literally, "the king whose object was to conquer the whole world") to the place, 1e, Udayagırı, or the region in which it was situated The conquest of Mālwā by Candragupta thus alluded to in this inscription, took place before 400 A.D., the date of the first Udayagur inscription The latest

¹ Fleet, Inscriptions of Early Gupta Kings No 1

² Ibid, No 2

⁴ Ibid, No 4

³ Ibid, No. 3

⁵ Ibid Nos 7, 5, 6.

the trial or on Walth stepper, is a we have entry on Sala the trial of the contents and ted to him in that you or about the first of the contents of the follows from the facts that the the contents of th

the first of the fellered by Kumfragupta. There are six to fight one at Goldhwa one at Bilsad in Eta estates to at Goldhwa one at Bilsad in Eta estates to the Month of the Mo

He lite thrown dite of Candragupta II is fir AD, and the treet of Kumutagupta [14], wherefore, the latter must have received to the throne in the interval between those two years,

Rue from aptewar followed by his son Skandagupta, of whom we have from reliptions. One of them, that at Junagadh in Kathiawal, represents the dyle of the celebrated Sudarsana lake to have burst in 136, and to have been repaired in 137. These years correspond to 151 and 455 AD. Another at Kahaum, Goral hipur district, North-Western Provinces, bears the year 141, corresponding to 459 AD., a third, engraved on a copperplate, and found in a stream at Indor in the Bulandshahr District, gives the year 146, i.e., 464 AD. There is another at Bihar, and the last or fifth is engraved on a pillar at Blutari, Ghazipur district, North-Western Provinces. In this inscription, a new foreign race makes its appearance for the first time—that of the Hūnas or Huns Skandagupta is represented to have defeated them and to have subjugated a tribe of the name of Pusyamitras.

After Skandagupta, the power of the dynasty began to decline There is an inscription at Eran in the Sagar distict, which bears the name of Budhagupta, and the date 165, corresponding to 483 A D ²

¹ Ibid, Nos 12 to 16

² Ibid, No 19

^{6 [}R G Bhandarkar's Collected Works, Vol 1]

This Budhagupta, however, and even Skandagupta are not mentioned in the genealogy of the main branch found engraved on a certain seal discovered at Bhitāri. Very likely, therefore, the family broke up, about the time of Skandagupta, into two or three branches which ruled over different provinces. The Vāyu and Vismu Purānas, after a confused list of foreign princes and the rulers of certain provinces, state that the Guptas will rule alongside of the Gangā and over Prayāga, Sāketa and Magadha

THE HUNAS OR HUNS AND THE AULIKARAS

In the inscription of the reign of Budhagupta mentioned above, a Brahman Mahāraja of the name of Mātr-Visnu and his brother Dhanya-Visnu record the erection of a Dhyajastambha or flagstaff to the god Janardana. In another of the first year of a prince named Toramāna, Dhanya-Visnu speaks of his brother Mātr-Vispu as having died in the interval, and of his erecting a temple to the Boar or Varāha incarnation of Visnu? There is another inscription at Gwalior, dated in the 15th year of Mihirakula, who is represented as Toramana's son, and it records the erection of a temple of the sun by Matrceta 3 Toramana belonged to the Huma race, so that it would appear that a short time after 174, G. E, or 492 A.D, the latest date of Budhagupta occurring on one of his coins, i.e., about 500 AD, the Hūnas established their power upto the Central Provinces But since only two princes of this race are mentioned, it appears that they did not retain it for a long time. An inscription at Mandasor in Western Mālwā represents Yasodharman to have subjugated Mihirakala We have an inscription of the same prince, dated 589 of the Mālava era corresponding to 533 A D 5 The engraver of both is the same person, and his name was Govinda. From the manner in which the different statements are made in this inscription, it appears that the family of the prince, which was known by the epithet of Aulikara, was brought into importance by Visnuvardhana, who was a pre-

I Jour. Bengal As Somety, Vol LVIII pp 88ff

² Fleet 1c. No 30

⁴ Ibid No 33

³ Ibid, No. 37

⁵ Ibid, No 35

decessor of Yasodharman, and it was he who first assumed the title of "Supreme Lord, King of kings". From this date of Yasodharman, therefore, it may be safely concluded that the two Hūna princes could not have reigned for more than forty years on the Cis-Satlaj side of India

VIGOROUS BRAHMANIC REVIVAL AND RENOVATION—SUPERSESSION OF THE PRAKRITS BY THE SANSKRIT

Now, in Candragupta's inscription at Mathura, and Skandagupta's Bihār and Bhitāri inscriptions, Samudragupta is represented as having performed the Asvamedha, which is pointedly spoken of as having gone out of use for a long time. This is the first instance of the Brahmanic revival under this dynasty achievement was considered so important that Samudragupta struck golden coins or medals, on the obverse of which is the figure of a horse let loose, and the title Asvamedhaparākrama, or "one who performed the achievement of a horse-sacrifice" on the reverse 1 Similar coins, bearing on the reverse the legend Asvamedha-Mahendra have been found Mahendra was a title assumed by Kumāragupta, as is evident from some of his coins on which his proper name as well as the title occur 2. It appears, therefore that he too performed the horse-sacrifice indicative of supreme sovereignty Candragupta II, Kumāragupta, and Skandagupta are called Parama-Bhagavatas on their coins, which shows that they were worshippers of Bhagavat Vasudeva One of the two Udayagırı inscriptions dated 82 G E =400 A D, is engraved on a panel over two figures,—one of a four-armed god attended by two female figures, and the other of a twelve-armed goddess may be Vismu and the goddess Candi The other Udavagiri inscription records the dedication of a cave to Sambhu Bilsad inscription of Kumaragupta speaks of the building of a Pratolī or gallery in the temple of Svāmi-Mahāsena by Dhruva-Sarman in the year 414 A D The Bihar inscription represents the erection of a yūpa or a sacrificial post, and that on the Bhitāri pillar, records the installation of an image of Sarngin and the grant

¹ JRAS, 1889, p. 65

² Ibid, pp 110, 105, 103

of a village by Skandagupta In the Junagadh inscription, a temple of Cakrabhrt (Visnu) is spoken of as having been erected in 456 A D. by Cakrapālita, son of Parnadatta, Skandagupta's governor of Surastra The Indore inscription of the time of Skandagupta records the endowment of Devavisna in 464 AD, for lighting a lamp in a temple of the sun. The Mandasor inscription speaks of the erection of a temple of the sun by a guild of weavers in 437 AD, and its repair by the same in 473 AD. According to Budhagupta's Eran inscription, Matr-Vispu and his brother Dhanya" Visnu erected, as mentioned above, a Dhvajastambha or flagstaff, to the god Janardana in 483 AD. Matr-Visnu is called "a great devotee of Bhagavat," 10, Visnu 1 The inscriptions of minor chiefs and private individuals during this period record grants of villages to Brahmans,2 in the years 474, 481, 492, 495, and 509 AD, to the temples of Pistapuri3 (527 A.D. and 532 AD.), Bhagavat or Visnu⁴ (495 AD), and Aditva or the sun, 5 (511 A.D.), the erection of a dhvaja of Visnu,6 grants of villages for the performance of the five great rites,7 (570 AD), the erection of a yūpa, or sacrificial post on the completion of a Pundarika8 sacrifice, the establishment of Sattras or feeding places for Brahmans and others.9 &c &c

Here we have ample evidence of a powerful upheaval and the sacrificial rites, and the gods and the goddesses adopted into the Brahmanic Pantheon to which, one instance, there was not even an allusion in the epigraphical records of the country for more than centuries. suddenly present themselves to our view about of the fourth century, and appear uninterruptedly for the whole of the subsequent period of about two centuries covered by

¹ यलन्यमगषद्रक

² Fleet, Ic. Nos 21, 22, 26, 27, 28

³ Ibid, Nos 25 and 31

⁵ Ibid No 28

⁴ Ibid, No 27 6 Ibid, No 32

⁷ Pord. No 38

⁸ Idid, No 59 The date of the Inscription is ,28, but the Era is not specified. If it is the Malava Era the date 15 372 A.D. 1' the Saka, it is 505 A D . I incline to the latter supposition.

^{9 16} No 64.

the inscriptions published in a collected form by Dr. Fleet. The worship of Śiva, Visnu, the Sun, and Mahāsena seems to have become popular with all classes from princes and cluefs to ordinary individuals

But a still more significant change is the universal adoption of the Sanskrit language for the documents inscribed on stone and metal instead of the Pali or Prakrit. It indicates the enhancement of Brahmanic influence. The Vernacular dialects had acquired such an importance that not only were they mostly used, as we have seen, in inscriptions, but a number of literary works presupposed by Hāla's Saptasatī, and others like the Brhatkathā, attributed to Gunādhya, were composed in them in the second or third century of the Christian era Buddhism had, of course, used one of them for all its religious and literary purposes. But now we find that Sanskrit, or the language of learned Brahmans, rose in general estimation, and acquired such an overwhelming importance that the Vernaculars were driven out of the field, it was more generally studied and a new and more brilliant period in the history of Sanskrit literature dawned about this time

REVIVAL IN THE SOUTH

The influence of this vigorous Brahmanical revival in the North extended itself to the Deccan. Of the early Cālukyas whose dynasty was established about the end of the fifth century, Pulakešī I solemnised the Asvamedha sacrifice, and several later princes belonging to the family performed the other great sacrifices, and grants of land were made to Brahmans. A cave temple to Visnu was dedicated by Mangalīša in Śaka 500 or 578 A.D., at Bādāmi. And other temples to the same god, and to Śiva or Maheśvara were constructed in several other places. The worship of Śiva in the terrific form of Kāpālikešvara seems also to have come into existence.

DECLINE OF BUDDHISM-RISE OF MAHAYANISM

While Brahmanism thus rose in importance and popular favour the influence of Buddhism declined in a corresponding degree. The number of records of Buddhist gifts during this period is smaller. In the Sanchi inscription of Candragupta (411 AD) is recorded a grant by a royal military officer for feeding ten Buddhistmendicants and lighting two lamps in the jewel-house . The Mankuwar inscription of Kumāragupta (447 A.D.) records the installation of an image of Buddha by a Bhiksu of the name of Budhamitra ² Harısvāmınī, wife of Sanasıddha, records in 449 A.D., in an inscription at Sanchi, the grant of twelve Dinaras as a fixed capital, out of the interest on which a mendicant belonging to the Aryasangha was to be fed daily, and of three Dinaras for the jewel-house, out of the interest on which three lamps were to be daily lighted before the Blessed Buddha, and of one Dīnāra, for the seats of four Buddhas, out of the interest on which a lamp was to be lighted daily at the seats 3 An image of Buddha was set up at Mathurā in 453 A.D., another in 548 A.D., and others at Deonya in the Allahabad District, Kasiā in the Gorakpur District, and in Buddhagayā.4 The last was set up by Mahānāman who also constructed a temple (Prāsāda) of Lokasāstr in 587 A.D.5.

The language of these inscriptions, unlike that of those of the preceding period, is Sanskrit, and it will be seen that images of Buddha were set up and worshipped, like those of the In both these respects it cannot be denied Brahmanic gods that Buddhism became subject to the same influences which were in operation in the case of Brahmanism, or rather, appropriated those points in the rival system, which increased its popularity about this time. The principles of faith in personal beings and devotion to them were incorporated into their creed, and Sanskrit was resorted to, to confer dignity on their religious books and teachers The use of this learned language shows, at the same time, that, like Brahmanism, Buddhism now assumed a more exclusive character, and ceased to appeal to the people at large in their own language, and the sphere of its influence became much narrower Thus it appears that the revival and renovation of Brahmanism went on side by side with corresponding changes in Buddhsm, which impressed on it the form and character known by

¹ Ibid, No 5 2 Ibid, No 11 3 Ibid, No 62 4 Ibid Nos 63, 70, 68, 69 and 72 5 Ibid No 71

the name of Mahāyāna The earlier form of Buddhism appealing only to the moral feelings of man, had split up into a number of schools and exhausted itself, and its place was taken up by Brahmanism and Mahāyānism But the charm of the names Buddha, Dharma, and Sangha, the three jewels, was lost, and Mahāyānism was unable to regain what had been lost by primitive Buddhism Compared with revived Brahmanism it was feeble, and from the first, it had to face the severe attacks of its renovated rival

THE JAINAS

We have two Jama inscriptions also in this period, recording the installation of images in the years 424 AD, and 459 AD, at Udayagiri and Kahāum respectively. Another inscription of the reign of Kumāragupta dated 113 GE or 431 AD, records the setting up of an image at Mathurā. It would thus appear that that religion had not many adherents or patrons about this time

Causes of the Previous Decline of Brahmanism and of its Revival and Renovation at this Period.

The vigorous Brahmanical revival we have been considering must have been due, in a large measure, to the natural decay of early It was this Buddhism that had previously supplanted Buddhism Brahmanism in popular favour, -and for the four or five centuries that it enjoyed the ascendency it had acquired, Brahmanism and the Sanskrit language and literature were neglected mans themselves regarded their decline as due to the triumph of Buddhism Subandhu in one of his puns in the Vāsavadattā tells us that the Buddha doctrine had brought about the destruction of the system based on the words of the Veda.3 If so, the Brahmanic revival must be regarded as synchronous with the decline of early Buddhism and the rise of Mahāyānism According to all accounts it was Nāgārjuna, the contemporary of Kaniska, that gave a distinct form to this Buddhism4, though the movement may have begun a little earlier As, according to our view, Kaniska

¹ Ibid, Nos 61 and 15 2 Ep Ind., Vol II, p 210

³ कक्षिब्दीद्धसिद्धान्त इव क्षपितश्रुतिवचनदर्शनोभवत् । p 297, Hall's Edition

⁴ Wassiljew, Germ Tran p 128

reigned in the last quarter of the third century, the revival of Brahmanism must have already begun before that period

But the ascendency of early Buddhısm was not the only cause that had kept down Brahmanism For about a century before Christ and three centuries and a half after, there was no powerful Brahmanic prince, and this is shown by the Gupta inscriptions already noticed, which state that the horse-sacrifice, indicative of supreme sovereignty, had gone out of use for a long time, and also by the fact, that no inscription or coin reports the existence of such a prince during the period This circumstance must have been the result of the political condition of the country It was overrun again and again by foreign invaders each of whom established his power for a short time and had to yield to another The Sakas of Mālwā and Kathiawad only retained their sovereignty for about three hundred years The argument which has been advanced, that these foreign princes held a comparatively small portion of the country, and could not have influenced its literary and religious condition for the worse, has no weight The unsettled condition of the country consequent on their frequent invasions rendered the rise of a supreme Brahmanic ruler impossible, and the foreigners themselves could not be expected to favour Brahmanism in a manner to enable it to deprive Buddhism of its ascendency. Some of them were no doubt Hinduized, but they were not Brahminized the Brahmans themselves complained of their being neglected by the Yavanas, Śakas and Pahlavas, as will hereafter be shown in connection with a passage from Manu and the Mahābhārata

PATRONS OF THE BRAHMANIC REVIVAL AND RENOVATION—WEMA-KADPHISES

Wema-Kadphises, however, seems to have become a more thorough Hindu than any other foreign prince, and in his time, the Brahmanic revival may be understood to have truly begun, ie, in the middle of the third century of the Christian era. We have seen that his coins bear a figure of Nandin and Siva on the reverse, and he styles himself a worshipper of Mahesvara or a member of the Māhesvara sect. The Sakas had figures of Greek deities on their

coins, and there are no distinct indications on them, or on those of the Parthians, of any Indian deity—But with Wema-Khadphises what might almost be called a revolution in this respect begins. His Kusana successors continue their respect towards Brahmanic deities, but extend it also to those of the Greeks and Zoroastrians as well as to Buddha—Kadphises, however, could not have been a patron of the old Vedic religion, nor of Brahmans in particular as a sacred caste, nor of the Sankrit language and literature. An all-sided revival and renovation could proceed only under the patronage of Hindu princes—And such were the Guptas

THE GUPTAS — SAMUDRAGUPTA AND CANDRAGUPTA II, OR VIKRAMĀDITVA ŠVKĀRI

The fact that the inscriptions recording gifts to Brahmanic deities, and for the daily sacrifices, begin about the end of the fourth century, shows unmistakably that the Brahmanic revival derived its force and vigour from the patronage of the Gupta princes. Samudragupta and Kumāragupta performed, as we have seen, the horse-sacrifice, which had gone out of use. The former is represented on his Allahabad inscription to have acquired the title of "Prince of poets" by writing works which served as models for learned men, or pleased them. He patronized poets, and thus put an end to the hostility between good poetry and worldly prosperity

The tradition about a Vikramāditya, who was Śakāri or enemy of the Śakas, and drove them and other foreigners out of the country and patronized learning, is appropriately applicable only to Candragupta II of all the princes who flourished before him and after and whose names have come down to us. For he conquered Mālwā, as we have seen, before 400 AD,—and probably in 388 or 389 AD—and exterminated the Śakas, **e*, the Satraps of Mālwā, whose latest date is 388 AD, and drove out the Kusanas, since he is the earliest Gupta prince whose inscription is found at Mathurā, a town which belonged to the Kusanas.

[ा] विद्वज्ञनोपजीव्यानेककाव्यिकयाभि प्रतिष्ठितकविराजशद्वस्य । Fleet, l c No 1, hne 27

² सत्काव्यश्रीविरोघान्बुधगुणितगुणाज्ञाहतानेव ऋत्वा ।

³ D R Bhandarkar, JBBRAS, Vol XX pp 31-32

^{7 [}R G Bhandarkar's Works, Vol 1]

Vikramādītya, which we find on his coins ' He made Ujjayīnī his capital For, certain chieftains of the name of Guttas (Guptas) of Guttal in the Dharwar district, give themselves in their inscriptions the title of Ujjayınīpuravarādhīśvara, which, like similar titles, found in other places, signifies that they belonged to a family which once reigned in glory at Ujjayını They trace their descent through Vikramāditya, specified as king of Ujjayinī, and are styled full moons of the ocean of nectar in the shape of the lineage of Candragupta Ujjayını was thus the capital of the Guptas from whom the Dharwar Guptas derived their descent The Candragupta and Vikramādītya mentioned in their inscriptions are, it will be observed, one and the same person, and it is but right that he should be mentioned above all, for it was he who drove away the foreigners, and first established himself at Ullayini In one place, however, instead of Ujjayını we have Pātalı in the title, showing that Pātaliputra, the original capital, had not been forgotten by the Southern Guptas.2 There is no other Vikramaditya whose existence is authenticated by any contemporary document, and who can be construed as the destroyer of Sakas The supposition of the existence of one in the middle of the sixth century has no ground to stand on

Now, though Candragupta II was Vikramāditya Śakāri, and the patron of learning, it is by no means necessary to suppose that all the celebrated nine gems flourished at his court Tradition often jumbles together persons and things belonging to different times and places Varāhamihira, who died in 509 Śaka, or 587 AD, and the epoch year of whose Pañcasiddhāntikā is 427 Śaka, or 505 A.D., cannot have flourished at the court of Candragupta-Vikramāditya, who died between 411 and 414 AD But that Vikramāditya Śakāri was a patron of learning, is stated by the Rājataranginī. He is said to have made a poet of the name of Mātrgupta king of Kāsmir, and Mātrgupta had a poet dependent on him of the name of Mentha or Bhartrmentha, so that

¹ JRAS 1889 DJ 91 82 78 76

² Bombay Gazetteer Vol I, Part II Dr Fleet's Dynasties of the Kanarese District, p 578

these two were contemporaries of Candragupta-Vikramāditya. The date assigned by Cunningham to Mātrgupta is 430 A D, which is not far removed from that of the Gupta prince as determined from his inscriptions. Mentha has been associated with Vikramāditya by the compilers of anthologies who ascribe a certain verse to their joint authorship.

KĀLIDĀSA

And some of the nine gems, perhaps Kālidāsa himself, may have lived during the reign of Candragupta-Vikramāditya. Mallinātha, in his comment on verse 14 of the Meghadūta, states that there is in that verse an implied allusion to an opponent of Kālidāsa, named Dinnāga This person is supposed to be the same as the celebrated Buddhist logician of that name, known also to Brahmanic writers; and the supposition is, I think, very probable He is said to have been a pupil of a Buddhist patriarch of the name of Vasubandhu, and the date of the latter, and consequently that of his pupil and of Kālidāsa, has been determined by Professor Max Muller to be the middle of the sixth cen-But the Professor goes, I think, upon the chronological traditions reported by the Chinese, and does not attach due weight to certain facts, which necessitate our placing Vasubandhu earlier One of Vasubandhu's works was translated into Chinese in the year 404 A.D and another about the year 405 A D 2 This shows that Vasubandhu must have flourished before 404 A D same time, the Chinese authorities make him a contemporary of King Vikramāditya of Śrāvastī,3 or of Sāketa, since the town was situated in that province If he was a contemporary of that King, the King may have lived in the last quarter of the fourth century Sāketa, or Ayodhyā, over which he ruled, was a province belonging to the Guptas, and the attitude of the King towards the Bauddhas was hostile, as he convoked assemblies of learned Buddhists and Brahmans, for religious disputations, in which the

[ा] लिम्पतीव तमोङ्गानि वर्पतीवाजन नम् । which occur ir the Mrc-

² Bunyiu Nanjio, Catalogue, Nos :188 and 1218

³ Wassiljew, Germ Trans, p 84

former were defeated and lost the King's support. For these reasons, the Vikramāditya, whose contemporary Vasubandhu was, must, in all likelihood, have been the Brahmanic Gupta prince, Candragupta-Vikramāditya. And if he held his court at Śrāvastī, and is represented to have ruled over Sāketa, the time referred to must be that previous to the conquest of Mālwā, which took place about 389 AD, and after which the King in all probability resided at Ujjayinī. Vasubandhu therefore lived in the last quarter of the fourth century, and his pupil Dinnāga, about the end of that century, and if Kālidāsa was his contemporary, he too must have lived about that time, and thus, have been one of the gems at Vikramāditya's court

LITERARY REVIVAL AND RENOVATION

If then after several centuries of neglect on the part of princes and people, Brahmanism began to rise in influence and importance under Wema-Kadphises, about the middle of the third century after Christ, and made rapid strides in the time of the Gupta Emperors, we might expect the Brahmans to make every effort to widen their influence and render it permanent. And this is what, I think, we do find With that object, they gave a new and more popular shape to the literature of their creed, and re-arranged it in a manner to meet the wants and be in harmony with the changed feelings, of an increased number of followers, and strengthen their hold over them They made a great endeavour to place it on a philosophical basis, and to show that the creed of their opponents had no such basis This, therefore, was the age when metrical Smrtis, Puranas, and Bhāsyas or commentaries containing explanatory, apologetic, and controversial matter, began to be written, and the general literary impulse was communicated to other branches of learning including poetry We shall now proceed to the elucidation of this point.

Works on Religious Law

In the olden times, the works on religious law existed in the form of Sūtras or prose aphorisms, and they were identified with parti-

I Hiuen Tsang's Travels, Beal's Trans Vol I, pp 106 ff, Wassiljew, Germ Trans, p 240.

cular schools or Śākhās of Brahmans We have thus the Dharmaand the Grhya-Sūtras of Apastamba, of Baudhāyana, But afterwards books written in Anustubh Asvalavana, &c slokas came to be used They prescribed the same rules as those given in the (Dharma --) and Grhya Sūtras, and in some cases, a close resemblance has been found to exist between the words and expressions used in the Sūtras and the metrical Law-books or Thus the Sūtras on the Vināyakašānti in the Katha Smrtis Sūtra are reproduced almost word for word in the corresponding portion of the Yājāavalkya Smrti * But in the new books the exposition is plainer than in the Sūtras, which were primarily meant to be supplemented by oral explanation. Here, therefore, is an attempt to disentangle the Brahmanic religious law from the narrow schools to which it was before attached, and put it in a form intelligible and applicable to all Brahmanic Hindus Hence is the choice of the Anustubh slokas instead of the old Sūtras, as it was used ordinarily for all literary purposes. But in the revised Hindu Law, certain customs such as the killing of cows even for sacrificial purposes, and levirate - the feeling against which had grown strong—were prohibited, while a compromise was effected in the case of others, which had not become unpopular to that The old precept, for instance, about eating the flesh of five species of animals, was hedged round by a number of restrictions; but in order to satisfy the claims of the old Vedic religion, the slaughter of some of them was freely allowed in religious rites These metrical Smrtis, therefore, it would not be wrong to refer to about the Kusana-Gupta period

There is a passage in the Smrti of Manu, in which it is stated that certain native Indian tribes, such as the Pundrakas and Dravidas, and the Yavanas, Sakas and Pahlavas, were originally Ksatriyas, but they became Sūdras by their setting the Brahmans at defiance and gradually ceasing to perform the religious rites ² In a chapter in the Anusa-

I Von Bradke on Manava Grhya Sütra, Jour Germlan Or, S, Vo XXXVI, pp 42-ff

² X 43-44 ·

samka Book of the Mahābhārata, Bhīsma says to Yudhisthira: "The highest duty of a crowned king is to worship learned Brahmans, they should be protected as one protects oneself or one's children, and be respected, bowed to, and revered as if they were one's parents If Brahmans are contented, the whole country prospers, if they are discontented and angry, everything goes to They can make a god not a god, and a not-god, a destruction god One whom they praise prospers, one whom they reproach, becomes miserable The different Ksatriya tribes, Sakas, Yavanas, and Kāmbojas became Śūdras through not seeing or following Brahmans "1 In these passages, a Ksatrıya orıgın ıs supposed ın order that the Śūdrahood of these tribes, which was consequent on their being beyond the Aryan pale, and which, as stated before, is, plainly asserted by Patanjali in the case of two of them, may appear as the result of their not paying deference to Brahmans shows that the neglect of the sacerdotal caste by the Yavanas, Sakas, Pahlavas and other tribes, was uppermost in the minds of those who invented a Ksatriya origin for them, and the passages and especially the chapter in the Mahāhhārata, look as if they were written when the foreign domination had come to a close, and the Brahmans had fully triumphed, and were anxious to preserve their newly gained influence The chapter, therefore, must have been interpolated into the epic in the Gupta period, and the Smrti of Manu —based on a previous Sūtra work and traditional or floating texts2 composed at about the same time The Mahābhārata, however, already existed in its full form at the period, for it is mentioned by name in copperplate inscriptions of the years 174 G.E (492-3 A.D.,) and 177 GE (495-6 AD) and two more, and as a Satasāhasrī, or a work of a hundred thousand verses, in one of the year 214 G E. (532-3 A.D)³ But it can hardly admit of a reasonable doubt that it was retouched about this period

Works on the Sacrificial RITUAL

The works on the sacrificial ritual, and specially the Bhāsyas or great commentaries on the Sūtras of the several Vedas or Śākhās,

² See below 3 Fleet, Early Gupta Inscr. Nos 26, 27, 28, 30 and 31

must have begun to be written about this time Since the sacrificial religion was being revived, the necessity of a definite and authoritative ritual was felt, and as the sacrifices had been out of use for a long time, a knowledge of the ritual was rare and vague. The names of the writers of the Bhasyas and other works on the ritual. end in the honorific title Svāmin, such as a Devasvāmin, the commentator on the Sūtra of Āśvalāvana, Bhavasvāmin, on that of Baudbāyana, Dhūrtasvāmin, on that of Apastamba, Agnisvāmin, on that of Latyayana, &c This title we find used in Central India in the last quarter of the fifth century, and the first of the sixth In the copperplate charters dated 474-5 AD, 481-2 AD. and 500-ro AD, and issued by the Parivrajaka Maharajas, occur such names as Gopasvāmin, Bhavasvāmin, Devasvāmin the son of Agnisvāmin, Govindasvāmin, 3 &c, among the grantees In the Deccan, we find the title affixed to the names of some of the grantees in the copperplates, issued by the princes of the early Calukya dynasty, in the second half of the seventh century and the early part of the eighth, and we have such names as Keśavasvāmin, Karkasvāmin, and Devasvāmin, which are the names of writers of commentaries on the sacrificial Sutras, and other works on the The title Svāmin is indicative of the period between the fourth and the tenth centuries, for we do not find it used later

PURĀNAS

The idea of recasting the Purānas into their present form must have originated about this time. They existed long before, since they are alluded to in the Upanisads and Srautasūtras, but their contents must have been strictly in accordance with the rule given by Amarasimha in his lexicon,—they embraced an account of the creation and dissolution of the world, of the different families of Rsis and princes, and of the deeds of the most heroic among them, and of the Manvantaras, or different ages of the world. But now the necessity of glorifying the different gods and goddesses, whose worship was rising in favour, and of firmly inculcating other religious duties, had been felt, and new

¹ T Fleet, 1 c., No 21. 1 2 Ibid, No 22 3

Puranas were composed, having the frame-work of the old but with new matter introduced on every occasion Thus, If we compare the chapters on Creation in the Vāyu, the Linga, and the Markandeya Puranas, we shall find not only a similarity of contents but of language also. The Visnu contains an abridged account of the matter, but often-times, the words are the same Most of the existing Puranas,—perhaps all—were written to promote the worship of particular deities, Visnu, Śiva, and Durgā in their several forms, or to strengthen the authority of the religious practices that had begun to prevail The Vayu appears to be one of the oldest of these works, as it is quoted in Sankarācārya's Bhāsya It mentions the Guptas, as I have already observed, as ruling alongside of the Ganga, over Prayaga, Saketa and Magadha If this verse has undergone no corruption and was advisedly put in, the Purana, in which we find it, must have been written before Candragupta-Vikramāditya conquered Mālwā and Mathura and drove out the foreigners, that is, before the last quarter of the fourth century The Visnu has the text in a corrupt form As the Purana editors did not care very much for the matter, which did not immediately concern their purpose, they were not careful to give the original before them correctly, and even misunderstood it. The Visnu is evidently later than the Vāyu. The Purānas began to be recast, when the worship of Hindu deities rose in popular estimation—about the time of Wema-Kadphises, circa 250 AD, and the process continued through the Gupta period to a much later date, and new Purānas appeared from time to time, and it has hardly ceased even to this day, since we find Māhātmyas springing up now and then, though not Purānas in a complete shape

FLOATING LITERATURE

In considering the question of the recasting of the works on the religious creed of the Brahmans, and those on mythology, it should be borne in mind, that the art of writing was introduced into India at a comparatively late period, and even afterwards was resorted to, very rarely. Hence, literary works and detached verses, con-

taming religious and moral precepts and beautiful poetic sentiments, were, in the olden times, composed and transmitted orally. In the case of the latter, the name of the author was forgotten, and there was thus a floating mass of anonymous verses in the months of the learned. When, therefore, systematic writing had to be reserted to, to give fixity and permanence to the creed, and when writing came to be generally used even for purposes of profane literature, these floating verses were appropriated or used by several writers. Hence it is, that we often find the same verses in such works as the Smrti of Manu, the Mahābhārata, and even in Pāh Buddhistic works, and sometimes, though very rarely, in dramatic plays and poems also. This source, therefore, was also drawn upon by the writers of Smrtis and Purānas in the Gupta period, in addition to those already indicated.

ASTRONOMY

Like the Dharma and legendary lore, the astronomy of the Hindus was also recast on the same principle as that which guided the re-edition of those two branches, namely to put it in a form suited to the condition of the new times Hence, the old astronomical elements were combined with such ones of a Greek origin, as had found acceptance among the Hindus, and some new elements, discovered or thought out by the writers themselves being added, the works known as the original five Siddhantas arose . As in the case of the new works on the first two subjects, the name of a profane author was not connected with these works, but it was expressly stated or left to be understood that they were composed by Dr Thibaut thinks that two of them - the old Munis or gods Romaka and the Paulisa—must have been composed not later Probably all the Siddhantas were written about than 400 AD the middle of the fourth century or even earlier, especially as they were held in reverence by Varāhamihira who wrote about them in the middle of the sixth century A direct borrowing from any particular Greek work is not contended for by any body. As in

I Thibaut, Introduction to his Edition of the Pañcasiddhantila, pp clixff

^{8 [}R. G Bhandarkar's Works, Vol 1]

the case of the art of comage, the knowledge of some elements of Greek astronomy, must have reached the Hindus through the Bactrians, the Śakas, and the other foreign races with whom they came in contact, and this was made use of, in their works, when the Brahmans gained or regained influence in the fourth century. All the celebrated Indian astronomers flourished after this period Aryabhatta was born in 476 A.D., and Varāhamihira died, as already stated, in 587 A.D.

Mīmāmsā

Subandhu in his Vāsavadattā tells us that the doctrine of Tathāgata or Buddha was destroyed or attacked by those who followed the system of Jaimini The earliest of these followers whose works are extant, is Sabarasvamin, the author of the Mimamsabhāsya Śabarasvāmın establishes the existence of the soul as an independent entity, and not identical with the feelings, which are phenomenal only, against the Bauddhas generally, and the reality of the external world against the followers of the Yogacăra School, and refutes the nihilism taught by the Mādhyamika School 2 The Yogācāra School was founded by Āryāsanga, or Asanga, who was the elder brother of Vasubandhu, the preceptor of Dınnagacarya Aryasanga was thus a contemporary of Vasubandhu,3 and hved in the last quarter of the fourth century Sabarasvāmın, therefore, probably composed his Bhāsya on Jaimini, in the fifth century, and we have seen that the honorific title, Svāmin which he bore, was in use in that century Kumārila was the writer of a Vārtika on the Bhāsya, and he was a strong combatant He flourished about the end of the seventh century There was another school of the Mīmāmsā, thoroughly atherstic, founded by Prabhākara But it appears to have been soon neglect-All these writers laboured also to establish the authoritativeed

In the pun contained in the expression केचिजीमिनिमतानुसारिण इव

² Bibl Ind Ed pp 19 ff, 8 9 Kumārila, in his Ślokavārtika, indicates that Śabara refutes in the last two cases the doctrines of the Yogācāra and

³ Wassiljew, Germ Trans, pp 146, 226 and 237

ness of the Vedas and their eternity, against the objections urged by the Buddhists and Jamas

LOGIC, DIALECTICS, AND SAMKHYA

Buddhists and Brahmans carried on controversies in the field of logic also The well-known passage in the beginning of Vacaspati's work, entitled Vārtikatātparyatīkā, gives us valuable in-"The revered Aksapāda, having formation about the matter composed the Śāstia, calculated to lead to eternal bliss, and an exposition of it having been given by Paksilasvāmin, what is it that remains and requires that a Vartika should be composed? Though the author of the Bhāsya has given an exposition of the Sāstra, still modern (scholars) Dinnāga and others, having enveloped it in the darkness of fallacious arguments, that exposition is not sufficient for determining the truth, hence the author of the Uddyota dispels the darkness by his work—the Uddyota, ie, light (torch) "1 Vācaspatī here calls Dinnāga modern, in comparison with Paksilasvāmin or Vātsyāyana, the author of the Bhāsya. If he had correct information, Vātsyāyana must be supposed to have lived about two or more centuries before Dinnaga can hardly be expected that he should have a correct, historical knowledge of the matter It is, therefore, not unlikely, especially in view of the fact that the title Svämin is given to the author, that he flourished about half a century before Dinnaga, ie, about the middle of the fourth century Bhāradvāja or the author of the Uddyota, 15, as 15 well known, mentioned by Subandhu, who again is praised by Bana in the middle of the seventh century may therefore have flourished in the middle of the sixth century, or even earlier -In later times, the Buddhist doctrines in logic and metaphysics were criticised by the Vedāntins Śamkarācārya and his pupil Suresvara

The Sāmkhya philosophy also was revived by Īśvarakrsna, who wrote the Sāmkhya-Kārikās The oldest commentary on the work is that by Gaudapādācārya The Kārikās and the commentary were translated into Chinese between the years 557 A D,

I Vizianagaram Series, p. 1

and 569 AD The Kārikās are in the Āryā metre, and this metre is used by Āryabhatta and others, and appears to have been a favourite one with the writers of the period. An author quoted by Dr. Hall says that Kālidāsa composed the Kārikās in the name of Īśvarakrsna, or using the name Īśvarakrsna. Whether this is true or not, all that we know about Īśvarakrsna, is not inconsistent with the supposition that he flourished in the beginning of the fifth century

ORNATE POETRY

Sanskrit poetry was cultivated and appreciated more generally in this period, than it could have been in the preceding ages, when the language itself was not generally studied, and the Prakrits were in favour. I have already spoken about Kālidāsa and Bhartrmentha. Though the dates of all the poets, from whose works we have excerpts in our anthologies are not known, my general feeling is that none of the writers of ornate poetry quoted therein is older than the fourth or the end of the third century. Asvaghosa, the author of the Buddhacarita, which has often been compared to Kālidāsa's Raghuvamsa, was a contemporary of Kaniska, as is admitted by all, and lived, according to our interpretation of the Kusana dates, at the end of the third, and the beginning of the fourth century.

Professor Max Müller started several years ago the theory of the "Renaissance of Sanskrit Literature" It was powerfully contested by several able scholars, and now it seems almost to have been given up But there is no question that the inscriptions place clearly before us the facts of the decline of Brahmanism, the ascendancy of early Buddhism, and the neglect of the Sanskrit language and of the cultivation of the Prakrits, from about the first century before Christ to about the middle of the fourth, and a powerful Brahmanic revival about the end of the century This phenomenon may be called "The Revival and Renovation of Brahmanism and of the Sanskrit Language and Literature" Professor Max Müller placed the Sakāri Vikramāditya in the middle of the sixth century, and

t Hall Sainkhyasara Preface, p 29 I understand the passage इश्वरकृष्णनाम्ना वालिदासेन कृताः कारिकाः as in the text

assigned that period to the nine gems, and consequently later dates to the whole of the modern Sanskrit literature. I have identified him with Candragupta-Vikramāditya of the Gupta dynasty, who reigned about the end of the fourth century and have referred Kähdäsa to that period Under this supposimost of the arguments, used by the late Bühler lose their weight, and the only Sanskrit inscription left for him to go upon, is that of Rudradaman at Girnar of the year 150 AD But, according to my way of understanding the matter, ornate poetry was not undeveloped or unknown in the centuries of Brahmanic depression, but the language chiefly used for its cultivation, was one or other of the Prakrits or Vernaculars. and Sanskrit was resorted to rarely I attach full weight to the argument based upon the specimens of Sanskrit poetry occurring in the Mahabhasya But I maintain that, like Brahmanism itself. it had not many votaries, and was not extensively cultivated. With the restoration of Brahmanic influence in the Gupta period, Sansknit poetry received a fresh start along with the other branches of literature we have passed under review; and just as there were earlier works in those branches, so were there earlier poetic works The decline in the previous period was due, not to any positive hostility of the foreign rulers, but to the popularity of early Buddhism and of the Prakrit languages, and the only way in which the foreigners exercised a baneful influence was, as has been already indicated, by not patronizing the Brahmanic learning in the manner, in which a Brahmanic universal sovereign would have done, and by rendering, by their frequent incursions and their power, the rise of such a one impossible.

THE SĀMKHYA PHILOSOPHY.

[FROM THE INDIAN PHILOSOPHICAL RRVIEW, JAN. 1919 VOL 11, NO. 3, PP. 193-199]

This essay was originally published by Sir R. G. Bhandarkar himself about the year 1870, it was subsequently reprinted in the (now defunct) Indian Philosophical Review, Vol. II, edited by Professors A. G. Widgery and R. D. Ranade, and published by the Oxford University Press, Bombay — N. B. U.

Of the six systems of philosophy regarded as orthodox that of the Sāmkhyas is one. The Sāmkhya doctrines claim a very high antiquity. Perhaps the earliest philosophical speculations of the Indian Aryans ran in this direction, and in that of a kindred system known in later times as the Vedānta. Some of the terms characteristic of the Sāmkhya School are to be met with in the Upanisads, and though Śamkarācārya in his. Vedānta Bhāsya has brought forward very elaborate arguments 2 to prove that the terms have no reference to that system but to his own, an unsectarian reader can have very little difficulty in arriving at the conclusion that the Sāmkhya doctrines are set forth in those places. There is scarcely a Purāna 3 that does not give an account of the creation of the universe in accordance with this system, though in a greatly modified form, and in a manner which indicates that the original significance of the Sāmkhya doctrines, as I conceive it, was not clearly

ı Katha Up, Bib Ind page, 114-15, Svetāsvatara Up, Bib Ind page 336-37 and Maitrāyaniya Upanisad 6-10

² Vedānta Stitra Bhāsya, chap I, pāda 4, adhikarana I-II.

³ See Bhagavata, sk. III chap V, Matsya, Poona edn Chap III, Agni, Bib Ind chap 17, page 41, Markandeya, Bib Ind chap 45, page 258

understood In the Bhagavadgītā i allusions are now and then made to the philosophy of this school, and several charpters are devoted to it in the Śānti-parva of the Mahābhārata. So that, it appears that the system along with or supplemented by the Vedānta, with which however it is often confounded, has worked itself into the ordinary belief of the Hindus. But in spite of this, the Sāmkhya philosophy has long been driven away from the curriculum of Sanskrit students on this side of India, and I have not heard of any Śāstri in the Maratha or Gujrath country. But in the North and in the Gauda country a better treatment is accorded to it. It is more generally studied, and a chair for it exists in the Benares Sanskrit College.

But from the mere fact that the doctrines of the Sāmkhyas are to be met with in very ancient works, it does not necessarily follow that these speculations were systematized at the time when those works were written. No fact is plainer in the history, of Sanskrit literature than this—that the body of notions embodied under each Śāstra, was not conceived at once by the chief writer on the subject, but that before they were put into that shape, they had gone through a process of growth and development. But the Sāmkhya philosophy seems to have been very early reduced to a system. The name Sāmkhya, about the etymology³ of which there is much dispute, could not have been invented before the floating mass of the Sāmkhya doctrines obtained fixity and stability. And this name

¹ Chap III, 3, 27, 42,

² Chap 308, 9, 312, 17

³ Mahābhārata साल्यदर्शनमेतते परिसंख्यातमुत्तमम् । एवं हि परिसंख्याय साल्या केवलता गता ॥ तत्त्वानि च चतुर्विशत् परिसंख्याय etc Matsya साल्य संख्यात्मकत्वाच किपलादिभिरुच्यते ॥ In these साल्यम् is derived from संख्या 'number', and the Sastra is so called on account of its enumeration of the 25 principles This etymology appears to be correct The scholiasts explain the term by सम्यक् ल्यानं ज्ञानम् true knowledge See Samkara and Śridhara on Gītā II, 39, III, 3

occurs in one at least " of the earlier Upanisads, and in the Bhagavadgītā2 and other parts of the Mahābhārata The person, to whom an almost unanimous tradition ascribes the authorship of the system, is Kapila In the Bhagavata, he is represented as an avatara of Visnu, 3 and his name occurs in the Svetāsvatara Upanisad, 4 where the creator of the Universe is spoken of ' as having nourished Kapıla the Rsı with knowledge and secn him born " Samkarācārya, whose great object is to show that the philosophy of the Samkhyas has no basis in the hallowed Sruti, considers the Kapıla here alluded to, to be that Rsı who burnt the sons of Sagara to ashes by a curse and not the author of the system he is combating 5 But the Bhagavata represents the enemy of Sagara's sons to be the founder of the school of the Sāmkhyas,6 and there can be little occasion for the mention of such a person as the former, if he is to be considered as a different individual from the latter, in such a work as the Śvetāśvatara Upanisad, devoted as it is, to the investigation of the soul of the Universe

But which of the existing works on the system is to be ascribed to Kapila, or whether any work written by him has come down to us at all, is a question which it is not very easy to answer. A collection of Sūtras entitled Sāmkhya Pravacana is ascribed to him, but the very name of this work is unknown on this side of India. Mādhava in his Sarvadaršana Samgraha does not mention it, though in setting forth the doctrines of each school, he in most cases first gives the title of the work of the founder, and in several cases, an analysis of its contents. The only Sāmkhya Pravacana known to him is the leading treatise of the Yoga School, of which he gives a short abstract, while his observa-

ı Śvetaśvatara Up, Bib Ind. page 366

² III 3

³ I 3, II 7

⁴ Bib Ind p 351-2

⁵ अन्यस्य च कपिटस्य सगरपुत्राणा प्रतप्तुर्वासुदेवनाम्न स्मरणात् । Vedinta Bhisya Chap II, pada I sūtra I

^{6.} IX. 8.

tions on the Samkhya philosophy are based on Isvarakrsna's Kārikās The Sāmkhva Pravacana, too, attributed to Kapila bears altogether a modern air about it. Next in importance to the leading treatise on a particular Sastra is the bhasva on it bhasyas in the case of the other Sastras were written by persons. who, in consequence of their work, enjoy great reputation, and are to be referred to a pretty high antiquity All subsequent writers never style their clucidatory works bhasvas, but give them the name of vrtti or vārtika. Such for instance is the case with the Mīmāmsā, Vedānta, Nyāva, and Vyākarana bhāsyas the authors of which, Sabara, Samkara, Vätsyäyana, and Patañjali are widely known and justly held in reverence, and flourished, all of them before the tenth century of the Christan Era While the only bhāsya on the Sāmkhya Pravacana, is that by Vijnāna Bhiksu, who, Dr Hall thinks, flourished in the sixteenth or seventeenth century. and not earlier than Mādhava, since he does not appear to have known him It is hard to believe, on the supposition of the high antiquity of the Sāmkhya Pravacana, that it could have remained without a bhasya for so many centuries, considering the importance of the Sastra itself. For these and other reasons, I think that the Sāmkhya Pravacana is not a work of high antiquity, and could not have been written by Kapila But there are several works of authority on the subject, and among these, that of Isvarakrsna, the Kārikās, enjoys great reputation Dr Hall greatly praises Vijnāna Bhiksu, but to me he appears to be a very unsafe guide since his great object seems to be to bring the Vedanta and the Samkhva as close as possible

It is time now that I should enter into the philosophy of Kapila The world is full of misery. Man's sufferings in it are of three kinds, those originating with his body and his mind (Ādhyātmika). He is hable to disease, such as fever, dysentery or cholera, and to mental anguish caused by such an occurrence as the death of a

¹ The Vrtti on the Vedānta Sütra alluded to by Śamkara, of course, existed before Śamkara

o [R G Bhandarkar's Works, Vol 1]

child, wife or friend 2 Then again the creatures around him are often the source of great trouble to him Mosquitoes, bugs, snakes, crocodiles, sharks, vultures, tigers, wolves, and even his fellow men render his existence intolerable to him (Adhibhautika) 3 And even the gods will not let him alone. They will send down lightning on his head, or blow away his house by a hurricane (Adhidaivika) Nothing that we see, will free us from these evils Even after death we have another existence in which our fate pursues us, and we are again subjected to these very ills. The Sruti tells us to perform sacrifices or practise some other virtues, and for these deeds, promises us a place in Heaven where we shall be happy But even here, the happiness allowed us, is just in proportion to our deserts, and after a time, must come to an end It is not pure, complete, absolute How then to secure this absolute bliss or this absolute cessation from pain? This is the problem Kapila has undertaken to solve "Reflect," says he, "what your misenes arise from they arise from the nature of your soul, there is no help for you But they do not arise from the nature of your soul, their cause is totally different, separate yourself from that cause, and you will be happy "

Then the nature of the soul and of the world, which is the source of affliction, are set forth in the shape of twenty-five tattvas or principles, a knowledge of which is necessary for the attainment of eternal beatitude. The first is prakrii or pradhāna, the original principle or cause of all, which consists in the equipoise of the three qualities viz, sattva, light, brightness or goodness, rajas, passion or feeling, and tamas darkness, pain, ignorance. The first is the source of happiness and its function is to render anything manifest and clear, from the second, action originates, and from the third, pain, stolidity or stupour

From this pradhāna, is produced by a process of development, the principle of mahat or buddhi which is translated intelligence, but which I consider to be equivalent to finite or conditioned intelligence. This buddhi assumes four forms when the quality of goodness prevails in it over the other two, viz, dharma or virtue, jūāna or knowledge, vairāgya or freedom from earthly

affection, and aisvarya or magical power, such as is ascribed to a yogin. When the quality of darkness prevails, this same buddhi appears in the form of adharma or vice, ajñāna or ignoratice, avairāgia or earthly affection, and anaisvarya or absence of extraordinary power.

This mahat-tattva or buddhi gives birth to ahamkāra, consciousness of individuality or egoism, which in its turn, where sattva or light is predominant, produces the five senses and the five organs of action. The five senses are seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting, and feeling, and the five organs of action are the speech, hand, foot, and the organs of excretion and regeneration. Manas which sets in motion both these groups, is an organ of sense as well as action. It is to be translated by the words will and attention. When in the principle of consciousness, the quality of tamas or darkness prevails, it produces the five subtle elements, the rudiments of the objects of the five senses.

And from these subtle elements are produced in a developed or grosser form, ākāša or sky, tejas or light, prthivī or earth, vāyu or wind, and āpas or water, which are the object of the senses of hearing, seeing, smelling, touch and taste, respectively

We thus get twenty-four principles, and the last is purusa or soul which is pure, eternal, and absolute. Purusa is incapable of action, but has light and life, while pradhāna or prakrti whose products we have noticed, is acetana, ie, has no life or light, but possesses the power of action. Purusa is like a pangu or man without legs who has got eyes, and prakrti has got legs but no eyes (andha). Hence left to themselves, the andha and pangu would not be able to move, but if they agree that the pangu with no legs should sit on the shoulders of the andha, then they both can go over any distance they choose. In the same manner, if purusa and prakrti were disjoined, the world would not exist, and it is their union that sets prakrti in motion, and all things up to the five gross elements are produced. It is in consequence of this union that purusa appears to be active, though not so in reality, and prakrti has the appearance of something living or cetana.

THE SANKHYA PHILOSOPHY In every sensation or present act of sense, buddhi or intelligence ahamkāra or consciousness, manas or will and attention, together with the particular organ of sense, are concerned, while in a past or future act of sense, 1e, in recalling a past or conceiving a possible sensation, the first three only are employed therefore, are internal faculties of cognition, and the organs of sense and action are called external faculties together with the five subtle elements form what is called the sūksma šarīra or subtle body. At death, the gross elements of These three, the human body only are destroyed, while this subtle body assumes These thurteen faculties several gross bodies and appears in the world again If this subtle body is instinct with virtue, it goes up, if with vice, it goes below; if with jnana or real knowledge ie, (of course) of the twenty-five principles, it is cast off, and the soul attains Moksa or its original absoluteness

This, in brief, is the system of the Sāmkhyas To one who really attempts to understand it and to reduce it to a modern shape, not a few difficulties present themselves What is the nature of this prakrtı or pradhāna which, united with the purusa, is said to be the origin of all things, and in what sense can it be said to produce intelligence, and through it, ahamkāra or consciousness, and through this again, the subtle elements and thence the world? The books themselves on the Sastra, do not explain this satisfactonly, and Vijnāna Bhiksu says that the order of production of these principles rests on the authority of the Sastra alone, and is a thing that cannot be proved i But a Sastra which requires things to be taken on trust, is no Sastra The Puranas too afford us no

अत्र प्रकृतेमेहान्महतोहंकार इत्यादिसृष्टिक्रमे शाख्मेन प्रमाणम् । स्रवुमानेन
 अत्र प्रमाणम् । स्रविक्रमानेन
 अ 1 सत्र प्रकृतमहान्महताहकार इत्यादिष्ट्राध्यम शास्त्रमन प्रमाणम् । सन्तुमानन सामान्यतः कार्याणा सकारणकत्मात्रसिद्धे । न ग्र सृष्टी भूतादिक्तमो नान्त करणा-प्रमानमान सम्भातः । Samkhva Sara "The only evidence दिक्रमी वेत्यकतरावधारक्मसमान समवति । ऽद्याप्तिभू द्वार स्वादिक्रमी वान्त करणाfor the production of Mahat from Prairti and of Ahamkara from Mahat
from inference one can arrive only at the Mahat for the production of Mahat from Prakrit and of Anamkara from Mahat from Inference, one can arrive only at the general con-Is the State itself from interence, one can arrive only at the general confidence of the character whather the ornce elements, there can be no clusion that an effect has a cause but as to production, there can be no and the internal ordans or these latter first produced the control of the control o interence calculated to snow whether the gross elements are hist produced elements."

the internal organs, or these latter first and from them, the elements "

help. The Matsya' tells us that from mahat-tattva is produced the Purame Trimty With an excess of sattva, mahat is Visnu, of rajas it is Brahmā, and of tamas, it is Rudra. And as a general rule, the Samkhya principles are represented by them as having been first produced or set in motion by the creator, at the time of the creation, and are personified and put into the ranks of gods 2 brooke thinks prakrti to be "eternal matter, indiscrete, undistinguishable, as destitute of parts" If so, it is like the eternal paramānus or atoms of the Vaisesikas, and in this case, the Sāmkhvas, ought to be consistent and represent, like the Vaisesikas, the production of such things as dvyanuka, trasarenu (small pieces of matter), and ghata (pot) from it and not that of buddhi, inana, dharma, dayā, ahamkāra and such other purely mental states We must also bear in mind, that the union of this praketi with the soul is considered necessary for the production of the result though the soul is regarded as incapable of action. What is the necessity of this union, if prakrti were matter in the ordinary sense? Professor Wilson also considers prakrti as the material cause of things

To me, however, the system of Kapila appears to disclose an idealistic view of nature. The position of Idealism is this. I know directly what passes in my consciousness. I am conscious of certain sensations of which I believe myself not to be the cause. To account for them, I suppose the existence of an external nature. But this is simply an inference necessitated by the constitution of my mind, i.e., the external world for me exists in consequence of a law of my intellect. Beyond my consciousness and my intellect, there is no warranty for the existence of the external world. Whence arises the necessity of the supposition of its existence? In consciousness, there

म्हिकारात्प्रधानात्तु महत्त्त्वं प्रजायते । महानिति यत ख्यातिर्छोकानां नायते सदा ॥ गुणेभ्यः क्षोभ्यमाणेभ्यस्त्रयो देवा विजिक्षरे । एकमूर्तिस्त्रयो मागा ब्रह्मविष्णुमहेश्वराः ॥

² Bhagavata, Sk. III Chap V and Mahabharata, Santip, passum

are feelings and representations ie, I feel myself tied down to a particular state, my freedom is restrained, my free activity is limited. Who has limited me? Certainly not the external world, for it is my own creation. It is to account for that limitation of my free activity that I posit it. The limitation is prior, the supposition of the external world is subsequent. I feel my activity impaired, and so much, as I feel is taken away from nie, I attribute to something else. I simply objectivise my subjective feeling. We must then look for this limitation of the me in the state of the intellect, previous to consciousness, for when the me awoke, it awoke with its limitations, with the not me. These limitations, therefore, point to the nature of the intellect, just as the little red, green, and blue clouds visible to the eye when it is dazzled, indicate the structure of the organ itself, and do not exist in themselves.

This is the Idealism of Fichte, the German philosopher compare Kapıla's system with it We must here distinguish between four things I In the state of consciousness when the me feels itself limited, the intellect first of all posits or affirms the me, and then opposes to itself the not me . The not me is apprehended as limiting the me, putting it into a definite state The limitation of the me implies its previous freedom or unlimiteness been absolutely free or unlimited it would not have felt itself limit-If finiteness were its nature these limitations would not awaken it, se, consciousness would be impossible things then are -the ego ie, the finite ego, the non-ego, the limitation or limiting, and the free, unlimited or absolute ego, i e, the go previous to consciousness Now, Kapila's ahamkāra corresponds to the finite ego, and the five subtle and gross elements, together with their counterparts, the senses, which without this ego would not exist in consciousness, and which consequently, in the language of our philosopher, are produced by the ego, correspond to the non-The free, unlimited, absolute ego is purusa, and its limitation by the non-ego is the bandha or sanga which ties us down to this world. But Fichte has told us that the limitation of the ego is the result of something that had passed in the intellect previous to consciousness, previous to the positing of the ego This according to Kapila,

is the principle of buddhi or mahat, which produces ahamkāra or the ego j

But here there is a difference between the two philosophers, a difference due to the object with which each started in this inquiry [Kapila had in view the liberation of the soul from its fetters Fichte wanted to explain the world That limitation of the free ego, then, which Fichte derives from the nature of that ego is ascribed by Kapila to a cause which is distinct from it It is pradhana, a combination of the three qualities and its product, the principle of mahat ' But this is not an external object in the ordinary sense, for, buddhi and ahamkara which are its immediate products and the manas are, as we observed before, called by the Sāmkhyas, ābhyantara karanas (internal organs), and virtue. compassion, the faculties of acquiring knowledge &c. which are comprehended under buddhi are, of course, not external things these latter, as we have seen, are produced only after ahamkara has been affirmed Again, this remarkable peculiarity shows the logical consistency of Kapila To state that the me believes itself to have been absolutely free and unlimited, and at the same time to deduce its limitations from its essence, involves a contradiction It is tantamount to saying that the absolutely free and unlimited is not free and unlimited. This is one of the charges brought against Fitche by his critics, from which Kapila escapes by affirming that since the absolute and the infinite cannot be conditioned and finite, the limitations are due to a distinct cause, which in its nature is finite and whose finiteness, from its intimate connection with the infinite ego, the ego attributes to itself from ignorance On this account, the system of Kapıla 1s not a perfectly subjective Idealism as Fichte's is

Now, since all our cognitions and feelings are either good or bad, quiescent or active, true or false, right or wrong, the finite cause of all these must be composed of the three qualities, sattva, rajas, and tamas. The finite ego is either a cognizing or a moral subject, it is, therefore prepared for these functions by buddhi which instills those principles into it. If it did not possess those principles or instincts, it would not be fit for an intellectual

or moral life, and perception or action would not follow ie, the finite ego would not be born at all. Hence it is, that it is said to be produced by buddhi. Born with the intellectual and moral instincts, it realizes them and creates objects suited to them, and so the external world and the organs of sense and action come into existence. We thus see that the system of the Sāmkhyas distinguishes between the finite and the infinite soul, the limited and the free. The unlimited or infinite soul is not capable of our present life, the finite is, but this finiteness is, according to the system, not its own attribute, but of another principle, which in reality it is, that, in conjunction with the infinite soul, leads this moral and intellectual life which we call ours. If we separate ourselves from this principle, our bonds are broken, and we are again free and absolute. This is Moksa.

This idea of the absolute or limitless soul and this kind of idealism more or less modified, runs through all the religio-philosophical literature of the country ² Indeed, if this were an isolated instance, grave doubts might reasonably be entertained about the explanation, I have attempted, of the Samkhya system. There are striking resemblances between the metaphysics of Kapila and of the Buddhistic Schools. The Vedanta, also, bears some affinity

His spirit drank
The spectacle sensation, soul and form,
All melted into him, they swallowed up
His animal being in them did he live,
And by them did he live they were his life
In such access of mind, in such high hour
Of visitation from the living God
Thought was not, in enjoyment it expired

This resembles closely the description of the freed soul given by the Vedantins, in particular

¹ As this is the central idea of many of the most important. Hindu systems, and as it is often, by modern writers on Indian Philosophy, confounded with non-existence or nihil, I quote the following lines from Wordsworth which would give an idea of what is considered to be the state of the soul after it is freed from the tranmels of the world. What is meant is a condition in which "thought is not," in which the soul is free from any definite representation.

² Bhagavadgita III 27 and Santiparvan, fol 210, Bom. edn

to it The Māyā of that system is often regarded as equivalent to pradhāna or prakrti, and the two expressions are frequently, in unsystematic works, confounded

The doctrines of the Yogācāra school of the Buddhists are, that the only existing thing is the ego, and the external and finite world is a pliantom \ As, to one whose eyes are affected with the disease called timira, two moons appear instead of one, so does the world appear to exist to the ego affected with the disease called vāsanā (habit) This vāsanā assumes various forms, and this it is, that leads the ego to picture to itself the external world, and is the cause of all our feelings and passions 1 The great object of a human being, therefore, ought to be, by practising the virtues and duties imposed by Buddha on his followers, to free the soul from this vasana, the root of all evil 2. This, then, The external world is reduced resembles the idealism of Kapila to states of consciousness, and along with our finite thoughts and feelings, is derived from a certain power called vāsanā which is not of the essence of the me, and though it resides in it, is separable from it

Then by a transition not unusual in the history of Philosophy, the Mādhyamikas, another sect, deny the existence of both the internal and the external world, and end in nihilism. With them, vāsanā is the cause of both, and when, by the discipline enjoined by their master, this is rooted out, nothing remains and this is their nirvana ³. Then, again, another school, that of the Sautrāntikas, starting from a subjective basis, similarly with these two, admits the existence of external objects, but only as the result of an inference that cannot be avoided. They say that if the vāsanā producing such a representation, as that of a blue object for instance, exists in the soul, it must always produce that representation, that is, we must always see a blue object. But we see it

¹ Sarvadarsanasamgraha, Bib Ind. pages, 16—17, Samkaracārya's Bhāsva, II 2 28

³ Ibid p 15

^{10 [}R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. 1.]

only occasionally, therefore, the cause of that representation must exist out of the ego ¹ But the forms of external objects are supplied to them by the intellect, they are the creations of our mind ² This external world, and the internal one of finite thoughts and feelings, are capable of destruction or separation from the soul, (mirodha), and the way to this, is the discipline spoken of before (Mārga). When they are thus destroyed, pure intelligence alone remains and the soul attains. Mokṣa ³ We thus see how closely these schools are connected. The philosophy of the Sautrāntikas may be compared to that of Kant, that of the Yogācāras to Fichte's, while that of the Mādhyamikas is nihilism. But all the schools start from a subjective basis and are idealistic, in which respect they resemble the Sāmkhya system.

Professor Max Müller remarks in the Chips that all authors who write on Buddhism always go on the supposition that the metaphysics of Buddhism were derived from Kapila, but he does not see any grounds for this belief. Such ideas as the transmigration of souls, are common to all Hindu philosophers, wherefore their occurrence in the Samkhya and Buddhistic systems would not afford an indication of the one having borrowed them from the other is quite true, but the special coincidences which he denies, I humbly think, do exist Deriving the external world from states of consciousness, referring these along with the feelings and passions to a finite cause, and distinguishing this cause from the infinite soul-these are the essential doctrines of both the systems difference is that the finite cause of the Samkhyas is indestructible, while that of the Buddhists is not. But this difference is more apparent than real The pradhana of the Samkhyas is capable of separation from the soul, and the word mrodha, used by the Sautrantikas to denote the disappearance of their finite cause, means

¹ Ibid p 19

² Jagaddhara in his commentary on Malati-Madhava, incidentally gives the Sautrantilea doctrine thus —लिखिते वेति सामान्तिकमतोपदर्शनम्। तत्र हि मानेन स्त्रीयाकारार्पण विषये क्रियत अति तत्तदाकारस्याभिन्यक्ति ।

³ Sarvadarsanasamgraha, p 22

much the same thing. However, even if we recognise a real difference on this point, it may well be considered as due to a further development of one and the same system.

.' Again, the demal of God, as the controller of the universe, which is common to both the systems, is not a mere accidental or general coincidence. It is a necessary consequence of the systems and indicates their essential identity. The problem which both undertake to solve is, freeing the soul from misery. Misery arises from the external world and from our feelings. The external world is subjectivised, and referred along with the feelings to a finite cause, the destruction of which sets the soul, infinite and absolute in its nature, at liberty. The problem is solved. And in this way of solving it, there is no room for a controller of the universe systems, however, do not deny the existence of gods or superhuman beings. They exist but their constitution is similar to that of man There are prakrti and purusa, even there, but some of the effects of prakrti do not exist in their case They have only subtle bodies or only the internal organs, and not the gross substances with which we find ourselves united

There is another striking resemblance \(\) Some Buddhistic schools give the order in which the world is produced, thus —First of all there is ignorance from which arise feelings such as those of love and hatred. Then follows consciousness which gives birth to names and forms (the external world), and in this manner, the senses, the contact of the senses with the world, pleasure or pain, desire, virtue and vice, old age, death, lementation, sorrow and distress, are successively produced, one from the other \(^{\text{T}} \) This order closely resembles that of the S\(\text{S\(\text{m}\) khyas Ignorance corresponds to pradh\(\text{T}\) and hatred to buddhi, consciousness to ahamk\(\text{T}\) and

[।] अविद्यादीनामितरेतरकारणत्वादुपपद्यते लोकयात्रा । ते चाविद्यादयोऽविद्या संस्कारो विज्ञानं नामरूपं षडायतन स्पर्झो वेदना तृष्णोपादान भवो जातिर्जरा मरणं शोक परिवेदना दु ख दुर्भनस्तेत्येवंजातीयका इतरेतरहेतुका ।

Samkaracarya's Vedanta Bhasya,, Bib Ind. p 549, Vol. I See also Govindananda on the same

so on. The principle of both is the same, though there may be differences in the particulars. For these reasons, there is, I think, sufficient ground to believe, that though the Buddhistic systems may not have sprung directly from the Sāmkhya, they derived their origin from the same current of thought, and are to be referred to the same, or immediately successive ages. And having arrived thus far, the balance of probability is, in my opinion, in favour of the supposition that the Sāmkhyas preceded the Buddhists

Again, Professor Max Müller thinks the Buddhistic mirvāna to be total annihilation, and refers to the sacred book Abhidharma, said to have been written by Kāśyapa, the friend and pupil of Buddha. I have had no access to this book, but with due deference, I must say, that if Mādhava has given the doctrines of the several sects rightly, the nirvāna of the Mādhyamikas only is annihilation, while the summum bonum of the other schools is the separation of the free and unlimited soul from the finite force we observe in it, as the reader may have seen.

The coincidences between the Sāmkhya and the Budhistic systems will derive greater significance if we compare and contrast the Vedānta with them. The absolute soul is the central idea of the Vedānta as well as of the Sāmkhya But the problem with the Vedāntins was not simply to teach the way to eternal bliss, but also to restore the Indian race to the Śruti, which the Sāmkhyas had affected to reverence, and the Buddhists openly defied, and to God Revelation is, therefore, the basis of the system. The second sūtra of Bādarāyana, the first being simply introductory, brings in at once, the idea of God as the Creator of the world. According to the Vedānta, then, there is first, God and the individual soul God is the sum total of the individual souls. He first creates the five elements, and from these five, the senses, and thence the two internal

I Sarvadarsanasamgraha Mādhyamikas परिनिर्वाणं शून्यरूपं सेत्स्यति। Yogācāras निखिलवासनोच्छेदविगलितविविधविपयाकारोपहवविशुद्धविज्ञानोदयो महोदय | Sautrāntikas तदुभयनिरोधः | तदनन्तरं विमलजानोदयो वा सुक्ति | Sec also ibid. p. 116.

faculties (manas and buddhı) From the elements are also produced the organs of action, and the five winds in the body last seventeen constitute the subtle body, which follows us after death; and the gross one is created by a certain elaborate process of mixture, called pañcīkarana The sum total of the subtle bodies with the soul in it, is another body of God called Hiranyagarbha, and all the gross bodies with the souls, constitute a third, distinguished by the name of Vaisvanara. Here, then, is an attempt to seek the Absolute objectively, which the Samkhyas arrive at, subjectively | But equally with these, the Vedantins hold the subject also to be Absolute To establish identity between these two, and secure moksa, finite thinking and the finite manifestations of the real or external absolute, must be dissolved, buddhi and matter —the creations of God, must be destroyed If by creation is to be understood a development of the Divine essence, this object cannot be gained For the development must be as real as the essence Samkarācārya, therefore, rejects this theory,2 and attributes creation to a certain power in the Divine essence, which cannot be considered as existing, because its effects would no less exist. nor as non-existing, for the effects are perceptible 3 It is Māyā or illusion which generates appearances, such as those of a mirage And the individual soul which in its essence is the same as the Universal soul, is absolute, is enclosed by a similar veil of illusion. and confounds hunself with the creations of God's māyā and attributes their finiteness to himself. That the gross and subtle bodies are such creations, is shown by the conditions in which we find ourselves in sleep. In dreamy sleep, the gross body of flesh and blood does not exist for the individual soul, while the subtle

^{1.} Vedānta Sāra, Pañcadašī of Bhāratītīrtha, Viveka I.

^{2.} Samkarācārya's Vedānta Bhāsya III 14, Bib. Ind, p. 447 and 453, vol I This theory called parmāmavāda was afterwards taken up fully and strictly by Vallabha and qualified by Rāmānuja, in the hands of both of whom, it became the basis of a religious system more practical than S'amkara's.

^{3.} सत्वासत्त्वाभ्यामनिर्वचनीयम् । Vedānta-Sāra, न शून्यं नापि सञ्चादृक्ता त्विमिहेष्यताम् । Bhāratītīrtha, Pañca-Viveka II

does, and thus latter also ceases to be, in sound sleep But even in sound sleep, the soul is still enveloped in avidyā or ignorance which is māyā itself as referring to the individual soul. These avidyā and māyā are got rid of by the concentration of the mind in the manner prescribed by the Yoga philosophers, joined with moral discipline, and as the result of the process, the soul issues forth into its transcendent condition, and is pure intelligence and joy.)

CONSIDERATION OF THE DATE OF THE MAHĀBHĀRATA

IN CONNECTION WITH THE CORRESPONDENCE FROM COL ELLIS

[From the Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1872, Vol X, pp 81 ff]

This paper was read before the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society on 12th September 1872

In the Ninth volume of the Asiatic Researches, there is a notice by Colebrooke of an inscription on copper-plates purporting to be a grant of land by Janamejaya, the son of Pariksit, of the race of the Pandavas The characters engraved being very modern, and the language very incorrect, Colebrooke came to the conclusion that it was a forgery But this decision rests on the supposition that the Mahābhārata, which describes the great serpent-sacrifice held by Janamejaya, alluded to also in the grant, is a very ancient work. The antiquity of this work, however, may be denied, in which case there is nothing in the grant itself to show that it is spurious Colonel Ellis, therefore, in the correspondence placed before us, considers the grant as genuine, and refers the composition of the Mahābhārata to a period subsequent to its execution On the day on which the grant was made, there was a partial eclipse of the sun, which, from calculations made by the Rev GB Gibbons and Prof Airy, took place at about II AM. on Sunday, the 9th of April 1521 The date of the grant being thus determined beyond any possibility of doubt, Colonel Ellis wishes us to prosecute further inquiries, with a view to find out whether any traces of the events and circumstances mentioned in the grant, or connected with the story of Janamejaya, exist at For instance, we are asked to ascertain whether the present day the ruins of the palace in which Sarvadamana or Bharata, the

١

son of Dusyanta and Sakuntala, was crowned, and of the court in which Janamejaya held the Assamedha 'Jagg,' or Horse-sacrifice, are still visible at Anagundi, with which the Colonel identifies Hastmapura, or whether any burnt remains of the sacrifice But the supposition are found by digging for them on the spot on which the Colonel goes leads him into a difficulty Krsna Rāya, one of the ablest kings, of the local dynasty, and not Janamejaya of the Pandava race was on the throne of Vijayanagara, or Anagundi, about 1521 Since, then, both could not have been kings at the same time, Colonel Ellis suspects that Krsna Rāya's mınıster, Appājı, was the Janamejaya of the grant, \imath e it is considered not at all unlikely that a king who is represented in the plates as the king of kings and the refuge of the whole universe, and whom Col Ellis himself speaks of as a renowned conqueror, should have been but an insignificant minister of the king of a minor state Again, if the grant is genuine, and the Mahabharata was written after 1521 Ap, we should certainly expect to find in it a picture of the state of society in the sixteenth century, when the Mahomedans had been ruling over a large portion of the country for about four hundred years, and when the few remaining Hindu states were struggling for existence, and not such an archaic condition as that which the poem unfolds to our view. The current tradition which ascribes a high antiquity to the Mahābharata, ought also to be explained and not lost sight of is it that it has come to be an almost intuitive belief with every intelligent Hindu that the epic is very old, while at the same time, he considers works written long before 1521 AD, to be but very recent? At the same time it is very difficult to conceive how an epic only three hundred and fifty years old, could have worked itself into the thoughts and feelings of all the Hindu nationalities, from the Himālaya to Cape Comorin, so thoroughly as the Mahā-Reasons such as these ought, I think, to be bharata has done sufficient to enable one to pronounce the grant to be spurious. But Col Ellis does not seem to consider them to be weighty, and in the view he has put forth, he but represents, in a somewhat exaggerated form, the tendency of most European scholars and antiquacontent myself with this general reasoning, but will give more specific proofs of the antiquity of the Mahabharata

If Col Lilis's view is correct, the greater part of our existing Classical Literature must be supposed to have been written after 1521 V D; for there are but few works which, directly or indirectly, do not allude to the Mahābhārata or the principal characters in the poem. But such a conclusion is inadmissible, for there are some dates in the history of India and the history of Sanskrit literature which cannot be called in question. I will therefore set forth the principal testimonies to the existence of the Mahābhārata, in chronological order.

The earliest literary date is that of Patanjali, the author of the Mahabhasya, or the great commentary on Pāmm's grammar Prof Goldstücker places him in the second century before Christ, and I have recently succeeded in making out that he lived in the reign of Puspamitra, the founder of the Sunga dynasty, who reigned from B C 178 to B C 142 Panini must have preceded him, and the interval between them was probably about three centuries, or even much greater. The Srauta and Grhya Sūtras of the three Vedas preceded the work of Pānini, or, according to some scholars, some of them were written at about the same time. The Brahmanas or these Vedas must have preceded the Sutras Now Janamejaya, the son of Pariksit, and Bharata, the son of Dusyanta, are mentioned in the Attareva Brahmana, as very powerful kings who conquered the whole earth. I do not mean to assert that the Mahabharata existed before the Astareya Brāhmaņa, but I bring forward this instance to show how very far into antiquity some of the elements of the story of the Mahābhārata run. In Āśvalāyana Grhya, there is a Sūtra containing the names of the gods and Rsis to whom water is to be given, i.e., technically, whose tarpana is to be made every day by

Aitareya Brühmana, VIII. 21 and 23
 I [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

a Rgvedī Brāhmana In this list the name of the Mahābhārata' occurs But it may perhaps be questioned whether the reference here is to the Mahābhārata as we have it, or to some other work that existed in the time of Aśvalāyana For the Rsi mention² several classes of literary works, such as Gathas, Narasamsis &c, which are not now known This objection amounts to this Mahābhārata may have existed before Āśvalāyana, but there is nothing to show that it contained the story of the Kurus I do not see why this question should be raised, since a few centuries later we are told by another author that the story of these heroes was popular and current in his time and when, only a short time after, or about the same time, as Aśvalāyana, a third mentions the names of the Mahabharata and some of the principal characters Panini (VI 2 38) teaches us the accent of Mahā in the word Mahābhārata Another Sūtra, of his teaches that the termination Aka should be applied to the nouns Vāsudeva and Arjuna to form derivatives from them signifying one who is devoted to Vāsudeva and Arjuna. In the Mahābhārata these two persons are represented as great friends, and their being mentioned together by Panini is very significant Patañjalı, commenung on the Sūtra, sees no reason why Vāsudeva should have been put in here, since there is another and a more general rule under which the noun would come explains that the Vāsudeva here meant was the god Vāsudeva, and not the one that comes under the general rule4 subtlety which, however, shows that the characters in the Mahābhārata had come to be regarded as demi-gods But it is

^{1.} Asvalayana Grhya III 4 4-भाष्यभारतमहाभारतधर्माचार्याः...।

² Aśvisyana Grhya III 31

³ Panini IV 398

⁴ वासुदेवाजुंनाभ्या वृन् । किमथं वासुदेवशब्दाद्विचिधियते । न गोत्रक्षात्रियाख्येभ्यो यहुल वृङ्कित्येव सिद्धम् । न ह्यस्ति विशेषो वासुदेवशब्दाद्वश्रो वा । तदेव रूप स एव स्वरः ।...अथवा नेपा क्षत्रियाख्या । संहिषा तन्नभगवतः ।

not unlikely that Pānini was let to put them together because they were always associated together in the minds of the people, as they are in the Mahābhārata. In a third Sūtra we have Yudhisthirat. The words I have brought forward are not taken from the Ganas or groups which form an appendix of Pānini's work nor are they the examples of his rules given by the grammarians, for there is no evidence to determine what portion of these is to be attributed to Pānini. But they occur in the Sūtras themselves Pānini's authorship of which is unquestionable.

In Patañjali's work we find in one place the names of Bhīmasena, Sahadeva and Nakula, who are mentioned as descendants of Kuru, and of Duryodhana and Duhśāsana. The compound Yudhisthirārjunau occurs in several places In one of these, Patañjali, in explaining a Vārtika of Kātyāyana, tells us that the word Dvi becomes Dvandvam as applied to a copulative compound of the names of persons or things always mentioned together and well known to all. In the case of the compound Yudhisthirārjunau, though the persons are well known, says he, they are not always or invariably mentioned together, hence Dvi does not become dvandvam, i.e. we have to say Dvau Yudhisthirārjunau, and not dvandvam Yudhisthirārjanau. From this I infer that the story of Yudhisthira and Arjuna was current and popular in Patañjali's time

¹ VIII 3 95.

² Patanjah, IV 1. 4 Ahn. कुर्वणीवकाश. । नाकुल. साहदेवः । ण्यस्य स एव । भीमसेनो नाम करुस्तरमादभय प्राप्नोति । ण्यो भवति विप्रतिषेधेन । भैमसेन्य : ।

³ III 3 1 ann

⁴ Under II 234 भ्रातुश्य ज्यायसः पूर्वीनपातो भवतीति वक्तव्यम् । युधिष्ठिरार्जुनो In this, Yudhisthira is spoken of as Arjuna's elder brother

⁵ Under Pāṇini, VIII 115 —अत्यन्तसहचरिते लोकविद्याते द्वन्द्वमित्युपसख्यान कर्तन्यम् । द्वन्द्व स्कन्द्विशास्रो द्वन्द्व नारद्पवंतौ । अत्यन्तसहचारित इति किमर्थम् । द्वो युविष्ठिगर्जुनो । लोकविद्यात इति किमर्थम् । द्वो देवद्त्तयज्ञद्त्तौ ॥ Kaiyaṭa on ṭhis last:—द्वो युधिष्ठिरार्जुनाविति । लोकविद्यानाद्मिन्यकावेतो न त्वस्यन्त सहचारितो

In another place, Patanjali, in his comments on Panini III. 2 122, gives, as an example of a counter-rule, III. 2 118, Dharmena sma Kuravo yudhyante, 1 e, the Kurus fought with Now the war between the sons of Pandu Dhṛtarāstra, both of whom belonged to the Kuru race, is known as a Dharma-yuddha, 1 e, a war in which it was not allowable for the hostile parties to carry their enmity beyond the field of battle, and in which, even in battle, no unfair advantage could be taken by either side Besides, this is an instance in which the present Yudhyante, we are told, has the sense of the perfect, 1 e, the action of fighting took place at a remote time and was not witnessed by the speaker This shows that, when Patanjali wrote, the war was considered as having taken place at a remote time From these quotations it follows that there was in Patanjali's time a work describing the war of the Kurus, that it was popularly read, that it contained a story concerning the five Pandavas also, and that it was regarded as ancient. This could be no other than the Mahabharata. Perhaps the story in the epic was made the subject of new poems in Patanjah's time, for under II 24 he quotes, as if from such a work, 'Asidvitīyonusasāra Pāndavam, ' 'he followed the Pandava, sword in hand ' This forms a regular line in the Vamasastha metre Of course I do not assert that the poem existed in Patañjali's time in exactly the same form as we have it now. There can be no question that several additions have been subsequently made, and it has undergone a good deal of transformation The very populiarity of our epics has made it almost impossible now to secure a correct or reliable text But the main story as we now have it, leaving the episodes out of consideration, was current long before Patanjali's time

My next testimony is from Inscriptions The Inscriptions in the Nasik caves—at least the earlier ones—appear, from the forms of the characters and the names of kings and other noted persons occurring therein, to date from the first to the third century of the Christian era. In one of these Gotamīputra's

prowess and exploits are compared to those of Keśava, Bhīmasena, Arjuna, and Janamejaya, all of whom are Mahābhārata characters. The Cālukya copper-plate grant translated by Professor Dowson, and one of the Gurjjara ones translated by me last year, contain verses, quoted from the work of Vyāsa, one of which is addressed to Yudhisthira. This clearly is a reference to the Mahābhārata. The date of the former is 394, and of the latter 417 which correspond to 472 A D and 495 A D on the supposition that the era referred to is the Śaka. An Inscription in a temple at Iwullee, in the Dharwar and Mysore Districts, bears the date 3730 in the era of the war of the Bhārata. The Śaka date corresponding to it is given as 506, 1 e 584 A D. It thus appears that in the latter part of the sixth century the war which forms the theme of the Mahābhārata was considered to have taken place about four thousand years before

The date of Kālidāsa is not yet thoroughly settled, but he must certainly have flourished long before Bāna, for he is mentioned by him in the Harsacarita ⁴ Bāna, whose patron was Śrī-Harsa, as he himself tell us, lived in the first half of the seventh century, as is determined by a comparison of Hwan Thsang's itinerary with what is known from Sanskrit sources and from the Cālukya copperplates ⁵ Kālidāsa is also mentioned in the Iwullee Inscrip-

¹ Jour Bom As Soc, No XXII.p 52, Inser No 26, 1 8, and Jour No XVIII, p 41

² Jour R A S, vol I, New Series, pp 269, 270, and Jour Bom A S, No XXVIII

³ Jour Bom A S, No XXVII, p exercise Dr Bhau Dan here reads the Mahabharata date as three thousand eight hundred and fifty-five years, but 3730 as above at p 315 of the same No. The mistake seems to have arisen from his having taken needs for AFR in the former place

⁴ Dr Hall's edn of Vasavadatta, p 14, notes

⁵ Ibid p 17, notes Journal Bom A S, Vol III, p 203 The Cüluk a prince who conquered Harsavardhana, the patron of Bīna, was Satvīśraya Srī Prthivī Vallabha, whose great grandson Vijayāditva was on the throne in Śaka 627, 1 e 705 A D From the Iwullee Inscription quoted above, it also appears that Satyūśraya was on the throne in 506 Saka, o-584 A D This agrees pretty well with Hwan Thsang's chronology.

tion, noticed above, as a famous poet Now, the Yaksa in Kālıdāsa's Meghadúta directs his messenger, the cloud, to go by Kuruksetra, in which the Ksatriyas fought a battle, "in the course of which he with the Gandiva bow (1 e Arjuna) discharged showers of arrows at the faces of the assembled warriors," Bāna himself in his Kādambarī makes Vilāsavatī, the queen of Tārāpīda, one of the characters in the tale, to go to the temple of Mahākāla in Ujjayinī, where she hears the Mahābhārata read 2 By the way, this shows that the custom of reading the Mahabhārata or Purānas in temples for the edification of the visitors is as old as Bānabhaṭta. In another place we are told that the inhabitants of Ujjavini were fond of the Mahābhārata, Purānas, and the Rāmāyana 3 Elsewhere the Acchoda lake 18 compared to the Bharata 4 For there were observable there disturbances caused by the Paksa of the race of Pandu Dhrtarastras There is a double entendre here, in the case of the Bharata the expression means the adherents of the races of Pandu and of Dhrtarāstra, and in the other the wings of white swans is also another equivoque on the name of the Bharata 5 also alludes in the same work to the death of Pandu caused by the curse of Kımdama Munı, to his wife Prtha having remained a widow all her life, to the death of Abhimanyu, and to the widowhood of his wife Uttarā, to Arjuna's having killed Jayadratha, to Ariuna's having been restored to life by Ulupi after he had been killed by his own son Babhruvāhana, as related in the Aśvamedhika Parva, and to Krsna's having restored to life Pariksit, the

ग्रावन्त जनपदमयश्टायया गाहमानः क्षेत्र क्षत्रप्रधनिषग्रुन कौरव तद्भजेथाः । गजन्याना मितशर्शार्वेश्वत्र गाण्डीवयन्त्रा धागपातेस्त्विमव कमलान्यभ्यपिव्यनमुखानि ॥

² Kādambarī, Calc edn of 1919 Sam अय तु चतुर्दशीति भगवन्त महाकाल-मर्चितृमितो गतया महाभारते वाचयमाने श्रुतमपुत्राणा किल गतिनीस्ति ।

³ Kadambari Calc edn of 1919 Sam, p 57 महाभारतपुराणरामायणानुरा-गिणा बहन्कथाक्शालन ।

^{4 [}bid,p 138 भारनिमव पाण्डुधानगृष्ट्रकुरुपक्षरुतक्षीभम् ।

⁵ Ibid , p 103 भारतसमरमिव रुतवर्मशिलीमुसमभारभीवणम् ।

son of Abhimanyu. still-born in consequence of Aśvathāmā's charmed arrow. There can, therefore, be no question that the Mahābhīrata existed in a form complete, so far as the story concerning the principal characters goes, in Bāna's time, i e in the first half of the seventh century

In the Harsacaritra, Bāna mentions a work called Vāsavadattā It is very probable that the Vasavadatta here meant is a work of that name by Subandhu But even if it were thought otherwise, Subandhu is mentioned in a Śloka² attributed to Rājaśekhara, from whose works again there are quotations? in the Sarasvatī-kanṭhābharana This last work is attributed to king Bhoja It contains a Śloka in the first chapter addressed to Muñja⁴ the uncle of the celebrated Bhoja of Dhārā, from which it appears that the work was written soon after the tenth century. Now Subandhu's Vāsavadattā contains many allusions to the Mahābhārata and to some of the characters in the story. Bhīma's having killed the giant Baka, the skill of the Pāndavas in gambling, the Kīcakas as officers of king Vīrāṭa, Duhśāsana, Arjuna, and such other persons and events are mentioned. The name of the epic also occurs several times 5

The Mrcchakațika is one of the oldest dramas, though its exact date is not yet determined. It is mentioned in the commentary on the Daśarūpa⁶ of which more hereafter. In this play, the poet, in order to bring out the meanness, foolishness, and presumption of one of the characters makes him misquote

^{1.} Ibid, pp 196 and 197, from पृथा च वार्णीयी ^{to} जयद्रथेऽर्जुनेन लोकान्तर-मुपनीतेप्यरुतप्राणपरित्यागाम् ^{and from} अर्जुन च ^{to} दुर्लभानस्न्प्रापितवान् ।

^{2.} Sarngdhara, chap on Visistha Kaviprasamsa — माघो भारविकालि-दासतरलाः स्कन्धः सुबन्धुश्च यः। Dr Hall's reading of the first name in this is मेघो, which is evidently a mistake (See his edn. of Vas. p 20, notes

³ Prof Aufrecht's Oxford Cat, p 209a

⁴ Thid

⁵ Dr Hall's edn, pp 15, 21, 27, 33, 70, 106, & 147

⁶ Dr. Hall's edn of Dasarupa, p 127

the Mahābhārata. Draupadī is, according to him, dragged by the hair by Rāma instead of Duhśāsana, Subhadrā becomes the sister of Viśvāvasu and not Krsna, and she is carried away by Hanūman instead of Arjuna In other parts of the play also there are several allusions i

There is a commentary by Samkarācārya on the Bhagavadgītā, which forms an episode of the Mahābhārata In his principal work-the Bhāsya on the Vedānta Sūtras-there are many quotations from the Gita and other parts of the Mahabharata? A verse from the Sāvitryupākhyāna, an episode in the Vanaparva, occurs in his comments on the Sûtra I 3 24. The age of Samkaracarya has not yet been fixed with certainty, but some scholars are of opinion that he flourished in the ninth century In the Konga-Desa-Carita, a Tamil chronicle in the Mackenzie Collection, Samkarācārya is mentioned as having converted a king of the Chera country named Tiru Vikrama from Jainism to the Saiva faith The date of the king given in the MS is 100 Saka But Prof Dowson thinks the MS is not trustworthy here, and is of opinion that he reigned in the sixth century. He also thinks that, perhaps out of a desire to assign a remote antiquity to the reformer, the compiler of the MS may have transferred him from the reign of Tiru Vikrama II, another king of the same country, to that of Tiru Vikrama I, and assigns the eighth century to the former + Mr Burgess possesses a Copperplate Grants by Kongani Mahadhiraja, another king of the dynasty, who appears, from a comparison of the plates and the chronicle, to have been the tenth after Tiru Vikrama I., and the third

¹ Calc edn of the play. Saka 1792, pp 28, 31, 109, 199.

² Sutra Bhasya Bibl. Ind vol. I p 275, 456, &c.

³ Ibid p 276 —अध सत्यवतः कायात्पाशबद्ध वशगतम् । अङ्ग्रह्मात्रं पुरुष निश्वकर्षे यमो चलात् ॥ In the Bombayedn of the Mahabharata this Sloka occurs as it is here, the only difference being the substitution of तत for अध

⁴ See the Professor's Essay on the Chera Kingdom, Jour R A S.

⁵ Since published in the Ind Ant, vol I, pp 363-366

before Tiru Vikrama II The date of the grant is 388, which supposing the era to be the Śaka, as is very likely, since the dates in the MS are all referred to that era, corresponds to 466 A D Now, taking twenty years as the average duration of each reign, Tiru Vikrama I must have been reigning in 346 A D., and Tiru Vikrama II in 526 A D And this latter date, curiously enough, agrees with that given in the chronicle, while the former does not It thus follows that if the king converted to the Saiva faith was Tiru Vikrama I, Samkarācārya must have flourished in the fourth century, and if he was Tiru Vikrama II, in the sixth century The Mahābhārata then must have existed at the latter date.

The drama of the Venīsamhāra by Bhatta Nārāyana is based on the latter part of the story in the Mahabharata. It dramatizes the incidents in the war between the sons of Pandu and Dhṛtarāsṭra In the prologue, Bhaṭṭa Nārāyana thus speaks of the reputed author of the Mahābhārata -"I adore Krsna Dvaipāyana, who was no Krsna, 1 e whose deeds were pure, who had conquered his passions and who composed the nectar of the Mahābharata, drinkable by the ears " The Kirātārjunīya of Bhāravi and the Sisupalavadha of Magha are also based on parts of the story in the Mahabharata The dates of these authors have not been determined, but it appears they must all have flourished before the tenth century. There are quotations from their works in Dhanika's commentary on the Dasarupa by Dhanamjaya, who was patronised by Muñja, the uncle of Bhoja, as appears from the last verse in the work. Dhanika seems to have been Dhanamjaya's brother, and from a Copperplate grant mentioned by Dr Hall,2 he appears to have lived in the middle of the tenth century There are also extracts from these three

^{1.} Dr Hall's edn. of Daśarupa, pp 118, 142, 143, 146, 148, 150, 151, 152 153. &c. &c

² Dr. Hall's Dasarupa, p. 3, notes.

^{12 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol I.]

books in the Sarasvatīkanthābharana, a work which I have already noticed

Hemādri, as he tells us in his works, was a minister of Mahādeva, a Yādava king of Devagiri, who, according to Sir Walter Elliot ascended the throne in 1182 Śaka, corresponding to 1260 A D In the Dānakhanda of this author, recently printed by the Asiatic Society of Bengal, there are many quotations from the Mahābhārata;

We will next turn our attention to a Marathi work. The Jnaneśvari a Marathi commentary on the Bhagavadgītā, was finished as the author tells us, in the year of Saka 1212 1 e, 1290 A D + In the first chapter the Mahābhārata is spoken of in terms of praise and reverence, and we are told that the Bhagavadgītā was addressed by Kṛṣṇa to Aṛjuna and occurs in the Bhīsmaparva of the work, as it does in our existing copies Janamejaya also is mentioned by Jnaneśvara?

Sāyana was minister to Bukka, king of Vijayanagara, whom he mentions in all his works Bukka was on the throne in 1334 A D 6

Sayana quotes from the Mahabharata in his commentary on the Taittiriya Upanisad In the Sarvadarsana-Samgraha an

^{1.} Dr Aufrecht's Oxford Cat, p 208b, p 209a In the Iwullee Inscription noticed above, Dr Bhau reads the name of Bhāravi, and in the copy given in the Bombay Society's Journal the name does seem to occur in the last line, but in the photographic copy the Rais wanting, and the word looks like Bhāvi I cannot arrive at any definite conclusion on the point in the absence of a better copy of the Inscription

² Jour R A S, Vol IV., p 28

³ Pp 6, 10, 25, 31, 33, &o

⁴ शके बाराशर्ते बारोत्तरें तें । टीका केली झाने वरें । सश्चिदानद बाबा आदरें । लेसक। जाहाला । Last verso of chap 18

^{5 ..} म्हण किन जनमेजयाचे अवर्ते छा । दोप हरते ॥ म्हणकिन महाभारतीं नाही तें नोहे लोकी तिहीं । येणें कारणें ह्मणिवे पाही । ध्यासोव्छिष्ट जगत्त्रय ॥ मुनि सीण नृपनाथा जनमेजया ॥...

⁶ Princep's Chronological Tables

argument is brought forward by a follower of Jaimini to prove that the Vedas are eternal An objector is then introduced who says that it would apply equally well to the Mahābhārata In another place also the epic is mentioned in the Sarvadarśana-Samgraha. In the same book quotations from the Kāvyapra-kāśa occur ² The Kāvyaprakaśa itself quotes from the Venīsarh-hāra³ which I have already mentioned. Sāyana quotes from the Mahābhārata in his comments on Parāśara also ⁴

Śārṅgadhara, in his Paddhati or anthology, tells us that he was the grandson of Rāghava, who was in the service of Hammīra, a Cohan prince ⁵ Hammīra came to the throne, according to Col Tod, in 1300 A D ⁶ Śārṅgadhara must have therefore flourished in the latter part of the fourteenth century. In his

*

द्विजायणी राघवदेवनामा ।। गोपालदामोद्ररदेवदाससज्ञा चभूवुस्तनयास्तदीयाः । तेषा मध्ये यस्तु दामोद्ररोभूदुत्पाद्य त्रीनात्मजान्वीतराग. ।

ज्येष्टः शार्द्गधरस्तेपाम्।

¹ Sarva-Daréana-Samgraha, Bibl. Ind., p. 64 and p 128 वेदस्याध्ययन सर्वे गुर्वध्ययनपूर्वकम् । वेदाध्ययनसामान्याद्धुनाध्ययन यथा ॥ इत्यनुमानं प्रतिसाधन प्रगल्भत इतिचेत्तद्ि न प्रमाणकोटिं प्रवेष्ट्रमीष्टे । भारताध्ययन सर्वे गुर्वध्ययनपूर्वकम् । भारताध्ययनत्वेन साप्रताध्ययन यथा ॥ इति ।

^{2.} Ibid, p 172.

³ In the Chapters on Rasa and Doşa.

⁴ Prof Aufrecht's Oxford Cat, p 265a, 266b, Anucasana-parva and Asvamedha-parva are mentioned here

पुरा शाकम्भगिदेशे श्रीमान्हम्मीरभूपितः । चहुवाणान्वये जातः ख्यात शोर्थे इवार्जनः ॥ तस्यात्भवत्सम्यजनेषु मुख्यः

^{6.} Dr. Hall's Väsavadattä, p 48, notes.

Paddhati he quotes from the Venīsamhāra, Kirātārjunīya, Śiśu-pālavadha, Bhagavadgītā and other parts of the Mahābhārata.

I have thus briefly sketched the principal testimonies to the existence of the Mahābhārata from the time of Pānini and Āśvalāyana, i. e, from about the fifth century before Christ to the time of Śārngadhara, i e. the 14th century after Christ

The antiquity of the Mahābhārata being thus established, the grant, which according to Prof Airy's calculations was made in 1521 A. D., must be pronounced to be a forgery. The fact that the villages conveyed by it are still in the possession of the descendents of the pretended donees agrees with the conclusions arrived at from the other data Most of the queries of Col Ellis contained in the letter before us, based as they are on the genuineness of the grant, require, I think, no answer He refers to a passage in Anandagırı's Samkara-Vıjaya ın which the Matha of Mandana Miśra is said to have been situated a few miles from Hastināpura, which, as I have before mentioned, the Colonel identifies with Anagundi There must have been some mistake here, for Mādhava in his Śamkara-vijaya tells us that Mandana Miśra lived in Māhişmatī, on the Narmadā 2 Anagundi may have been called Hastinapura in some corner of the country, and there is some ground for it, for the name Anagundi is derived from one, which in Canerese means, I am told, an elephant, and Gundi a lane But the classical Hastinapura was certainly far to the north Patañjali, in his remarks on the Sütra yasya câyāmah (Pānini II 1.16) gives Anugangam Hāstinapuram example from which it is clear that Hastinapura was situated on the Ganges Besides, in the grant, Janamejaya is represented as having gone from his capital to the south to subjugate the different parts of the country, and performed the Sarpasatra or

¹ Chapters on Visistakavīprašamsā, Raudrarasa, Bhayānakarasa,

² See the Bombay Edition of MEdhava's Samkara-vijaya, chap viii

serpent-sacrifice at Harihara, at the junction of the Tungabhadrā with the Haridā. There is a place of that name on the map and it is situated at the junction of the Tungābhadrā with another river, but its bearing from Anagundi is South-east by east, so that it appears that even in the grant the Hastināpura that was meant was that one in the north. Some of the Colonel's questions to the identification of the places the names of which occur in the grant can only be answered by one acquainted with the locality.

THE ARYANS IN THE LAND OF THE ASSURS (Skr. ASURA)

[From the Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XXV, pp. 76 ff]

(Communicated)

Since the discovery in Asia Minor of a treaty between the King of the Hittites and the King of Mitani in which the latter invokes Indra, Mitrāvaruna and the Nāsatyas in those forms of the names which are found in the Rk-Samhita, the question before scholars has been where in the course of Vedic culture to place what this Inscription exhibits No satisfactory conclusion seems to have been arrived at, but one direction may be pointed out for inquiry which will enable us to arrive at a plausible solution of the problem. The enquiry should take its start from the word Asura In the Brahmanas it signifies a race of beings inimical to the Devas, or gods In the Rk-Samhitā it is mostly used in the sense of "living", "vigorous", "powerful" and applied as an epithet to various gods such as Dyaus, Indra, Varuna, &c But in three or four cases it denotes beings hostile or inimical to the gods There are, however, a few passages in which what is said about the Asuras resembles that which is said in connection with the Dasyus, and there the word may he taken to denote enemies of men In RV. VIII 96 9, Indra 18 called upon to destroy by his wheel the Asuras who are not gods or are godless. In other places the gods are said to have destroyed the hosts belonging to the Asuras Varcin and Pipru Some gods (Indra, Agni and Sun) are called Asura-han or Asura-slayers

In the following passages the term Asura denotes the enemies of men more distinctly—In AV XIX 66 1, the Asuras are spoken of as rivals (Sapatnān) by the worshipper and Agni 15

¹ See JRAS, for 1909, page 721 ff.

implored to slaughter them
If they were rivals of the worshipper, they must have been men like the Dasyus AV IX 2 17 and 18, Kāma is invoked to dispel the worshipper's rivals as the gods did the Asuras and as Indra hurled the Dasyus into utter darkness Here Asuras are compared with the Dasyus and may be like the latter the aborigines of a specific country In AV X 3 11, we have स मे अज्ञान्त्र बांधतामिन्त्रो दस्यूनिवासुरान् । Here the amulet of the Varuna-tree is desired to "destroy the wearer's enemies as Indra did the Dasvus, the Asuras" Here Dasyus and Asuras are put together, the former characterising the latter so as to make the whole signify "the Dasyu Asuras or aboriginal Asuras," or the expression may be taken to mean "Dasyus and Asuras", in which case it is possible to understand that if the aborigines of India were called by the first name, the Asuras also must signify the aborigines of some other country! These quotations point to the Asuras being the enemies of the Aryan wanderers like the Dasyus and to their having been destroyed by the gods of the emigrants

There is a passage in Patañjali's Mahābhāsya which shows that the name Asura denotes a certain class of Mlecchas or foreigners.—''तेऽश्वरा हेलयो हेलय इति कुर्वन्त परावभूष्ट । तस्माद्त्राह्मणेन न म्लेच्छितवे नापभापितवे । म्लेच्छो ह वा एप यदपशब्द । म्लेच्छा मा भूमेत्यध्येय व्याकरणम् ॥² Those Asuras uttering the words हेलय हेलय were

¹ The word Dasyu is by some taken to mean demons or enemies of gods. But the preponderant sense being the dark-coloured aborigines of India who did not acknowledge the Aryan gods and did not obey the Aryan ordinances and whom the gods enabled the Aryan to vanquish, it must surely have led to the words having come to signify the enemies of gods. The Aitareya Brāhmana, which is to be referred to a period not far removed from the later hymns of the Atharva-Veda, plainly and distinctly understands by the word the aboriginal races amongst which it includes the Andhras, Pundras, Sabaras, Pulindas and Mütibas (VII 18) In the last two quotations in the text it is perfectly allowable to take the word Dasyu to mean human enemies or Indian aborigines, and the Asuras are contrasted with them in so far as they resembled the Dasyus in being an aboriginal people but differing from them as belonging to a country other than India

² Kielhorn's Edition, Vol. I, page 2

baffled (were defeated), for say the commentators, instead of हे ३ अरच हे ३ अरच which is good Sanskrit, they omitted the long (Pluta) vowel, elided the अ, and changed र to छ, and thus showed themselves not to be Aryans but Mlecchas.—Therefore, a Brāhmana should not act like a Mleccha and speak incorrectly An incorrect word, it is said, is a Mleccha (Mleccha-making) We should learn Vyākarana in order that we may not become Mlecchas" Here it is clear that by the word Asura is meant a foreign, un-Brahmanic race

This passage occurring in Patañjali's work must have been taken from some Brahmana which has not been traced yet But there is a similar passage in the Satapatha Brāhmana (III 2 1, 18-24) The gods and the Asuras, it is said, sprang, both of them, from Prajāpati and entered upon their father's inheritance, The gods came in for the mind and the Asuras for speech Thereby the gods came to have the sacrifice and the Asuras speech only, the gods came to have the yonder world (the heaven) and the Asuras this (earth) Thereafter the gods contrived to deprive the Asuras of the speech which they had inherited from Prajapati and when this was effected the Asuras uttering हेलच हेलच. were baffled "Such was the untelligible speech which they then uttered,-and he (who speaks thus), is a Mleccha (barbarian) Hence let no Brahmana speak barbarous language (न म्लेक्डेन), since such is the speech of the Asuras" (असुर्या हेपा बारू) Here I lay stress on two statements heaven was assigned to the gods and the earth to the Asuras is It means that the Asuras were the denizens of one of them this earth The second statement is that the Brahmanas are enjoined not to act like Mlecchas, 1. e, not to speak Mleccha language For it is Asurya speech or the speech of the Asuras. These show that the Asuras were regarded as dwellers of the earth, and as speaking Mleccha language, and consequently were Mlecchas or foreign barbarians Who then were these Asuras,

¹ Eggeling's Translation, Part II, Sacred Books of the East, p. 32.

who dwelt on the earth, were Mlecchas, were rivals or opponents of the Aryans and are compared with the Dasyus or aborigines of India, who contested the passage of the Aryans throughout India? The answer is supplied by the Inscription under notice

Now the King of Mitani and the people over whom he ruled inhabited the northern portion of the plain between the Euphrates and the Tigris Their neighbours were thus the Assyrians or Assuryans, if the Greek vowel which is generally represented by Y, may be translated by U as 15 often done Amongst the Assyrians we find such names as Assur-banı-pal, Assur-Nazır-pal Tiglath-pileser, &c The name Assyria itself is said to have been derived from Assur, the name of the ancient capital of the country and its gods. Assyria corresponds to Asuryah as applied to Lokāh in the Īśāvāsyopanisad (verse 3) and may be regarded as meaning the country of the Asuras Thus then it is not unlikely that just as in India the progress of the Aryans was contested by the Dasyus, so was it contested by the Asuras of Assyria and they were thus compared with the Dasyus in some of the passages quoted above That the Assyrians were Mlecchas according to the ideas of Hindus is plain enough. later times especially when Aryans settled in the region of the five rivers, and afterwards when their religious system was developed in Brahmāvarta, the reminiscences of the human Asuras and the fights of the Aryans with them and their civilisation led to the whole subject having transformed itself into a myth of the determined enmity between the Devas and the It is said that the enmity resulted from a scism between the Avestic people and the Vedic people as regards the gods worshipped by them The Devas worshipped by the Brāhmanas were stigmatised as demons by the Iranians, and the Indians repaid the compliment by representing the Ahuras or Asuras as fiends. But the objection to this is that Ahura is in Avesta the name of the Supreme Lord Ahuramazda and the name does not denote a whole race of beings though it may be applied to two

^{13 [} R. G. Bhandarker's Works, Vol I].

or three angels The explanation, therefore, that our mythical Asuras represent the Asuras of Assyria seems more plausible. Thus the word Asura first signifies (1) a "living spirit", "of wonderful power," and was used as an epithet of the gods, even the most ancient of them Dyaus Then it came to denote (2) a human being hostile to the wandering Aryans, and the reason why it acquired this sense is that they came in contact with the Asuras of Asyria as is shown by the Inscription under discus-This led in later times to the sense (3) of a race of mythical beings hostile to gods. Without the implication of hostileness the word came to denote (4) a Mleccha or a foreigner of that name This is shown by the quotation from Paranjali and the Satapatha Brahmana and also by the name of Mayasura to be mentioned below. The word Asura in the first sense appears entirely distinct from that which has the three last senses

In AV. VI 108 3, we are told of the wisdom which the Asuras possessed. They are credited with the possession of Maya or magical power in AV VI 72 1 The Srauta Sutra of Asvalayana (X. 7 7) speaks of an Asuri Vidya as the Veda of the Asuras who constitute the suljects ruled over by a king of the name of Asita Dhānva The Āsurī Vidyā is unquestionably magical skill and knowledge Maya-Asura who had located himself in Khandava forest knew architecture and constructed an Audience Hall or a palace for the Pāndavas in return for Arjuna's having saved his life while the forest was burning Dr Spooner, Archæological Superintendent, Bengal Circle, ridiculously enough traced the name Asura-Maya to a reminiscence of the great god Ahura-Mazda, thereby converting him into a craven creature that had taken refuge in the Khandava forest Assyrians, we are told, cultivated the art of architecture and were known for their skill in building, and it is more reasonable to trace the name of the architect of the Pandavas to an Assyrian or Asura proficient in architecture

But the question arises, if the Vedic Aryans were, as the Inscription shows, the neighbours of the Assyrians of the 15th century B C, about what time did they migrate to India and settle in the land of the five rivers. If they took about 500 years to reach the latter country and began their Vedic culture, i e, the composition of the hymns and the systematising of the sacrificial worship, after that period, the time that elapsed between this event and the rise of the Buddhism in the 6th century B C istoo short for the innumerable incidents that marked the progress of the Indians from the Vedic stage to the Buddhistic stage. This objection is certainly of great weight and to meet it we must resort to the theory of Brunnhofer that all hymns were composed not in the Punjab, but Vedic poetry began when the Indian Aryans lived in a more northerly region. It is "the work of poets of North Iran from Caspian Sea to the Punjab " I should, however, correct this North Iran into North Mesapotamia or the the name of the inhabitants of Assyria is correct, the Aryans must have lived in their neighbourhood for a very long period, since the whole literature from the latest portion of the Samhitas and the subsequent literature is full of Asuras and incidents connected with them, showing that they had made a strong, indelible impression on the mind of the Aryans The Vedic Rsis cannot be considered to have been in a condition of literary mactivity during their wanderings from their original home, whereever it was, and suddenly thrown into a literary mood after they reached the Punjab The object of those who collected those hymns into the ten Mandalas of the Rg-Veda and the twenty Kandas of the Atharva-veda was to look for any piece of composition existing in any corner of the Aryan country or in any family, and comparative antiquity of composition was not taken into consideration in arranging them, but other principles such as identity of the deity and the number of verses were resorted to in forming the persent collection The ten Mandalas and the twenty Kandas, therefore, may very well be taken as comprising

1 75 p

all the hymns and stanzas composed since the time the Āryans lived in their original home, whether in the North of Mesopotamia or elsewhere and went on wandering until they settled in the land of the five rivers. By the middle of the 15th century B C, the Indian Āryans had progressed so far in the development of their religion as to cenceive of five deities, one single and four arranged in groups of two each. These groups along with the grammatical forms of the names are exactly as they exist in Rg-Veda. A great deal of hymnal literature must have gathered round those names by that time, and its origin may with Brunnhofer be pushed back to about 2500 B. C.

The question remains whether the Indian Āryans settled in the Punjab before the date of the Inscription or afterwards Āryans appear in India as divided into a number of tribes Some tribes may have migrated before the 15th century B C, but those who lived in the neighbourhood of Assyria must have gone afterwards But that they did go is unquestionable For they preserved the memory of their struggles with the Asuras who are compared to the Dasyus of India and of such other points about them as have already been detailed Their memory, however, of the Asurya-Loka was certainly not agreeable to them. For they speak of it as enveloped in stark darkness to which those who commit suicides are sent. The Mādhyandina Recension² of the Brhadāranyakopanisad condemns the ignorant to those regions and that of the Kānvas3 forgets that it was the Asurya country and gives its general character only by calling it Anandah or the joyless country

I have thus simply indicated a new line of research. To work it out fully by comparing all the necessary passages in the

¹ See the verse referred to above from the Isavasyopanisad

² IV 4 14, page 45 of Bohtlingk's Edition

³ IV 4 11.

Vedic literature and also comparing what we find therein with what the Assyriologists have to tell us, is a task that at my age with my eyesight considerably impaired, is beyond my power. It will, of course, be taken up, if found to be a sound and promising line of research, by intelligent and accurate scholars, who, I see, are coming forward and who will maintain our reputation for critical scholarship and will carry on the work of research amongst us in a manner to win the appreciation of the great scholars of the West.

^{1.} The above constitutes in a somewhat expanded form the observations I made at a meeting of the Poona Literary and Philosophical Club held on the 15th August 1917, in connection with the paper read by Dr. § K Belyalkar on "Devas and Asuras"

PANINI AND THE GEOGRAPHY OF AFGHANISTAN AND THE PANJAB

[From the Indian Antiquary, Volume I, 1872, pp 21 ff]

The chief native authorities for ancient Indian geography, hitherto made use of by Antiquarians, are the Purānas and the Itihāsas. But there is another, and a very important one, which is not frequently referred to. The great Grammarian Pānini and his commentators, often give very useful information in cases where the Purānas and the Itihāsas afford no hint. We propose in the following remarks to show by examples, what use may be made of in this branch of Sanskrit literature, in illustrating the ancient geography of India.

In teaching the formation of the names of places and of the inhabitants thereof, Pānini, as is usual with him, gives general rules where possible, and where not, he groups together certain names, in which the grammatical peculiarity is the same groups are distinguished from each other by the name of the first in the list, with an expression which is equivalent to 'and others' In the body of the work, the names of the groups so formed, and the grammatical or etymological changes characteristic of them, are only given, while the words constituting each group are set forth, in what may be considered as an appendix to the work, called Gana-Pātha Instances of the general rules are given by the commentators, but they are not, on that account, to be considered as recent There is internal evidence to show that most of these must have been handed down from the time of Pānini himself A good many are given by Patanjali, the author of the great commentary on Pānini's work hand, all the words comprised in each group ought not, because the Gana-patha is attributed to Panini, to be regarded as having been laid down by him

Several of the Gams, or groups, are what are called Akrtiganas, 1 e, such as each subsequent writer has the liberty of adding to,

and we have no doubt, that even such as are not now considered to be of this nature, must have fared similarly at the hands of the early successors of Panini For instance, the name of the mediæval Kāţhiāwād town Valabhī, occurs at the end of the group called Varanadı (Panını IV 2 82) and of Unayını, ın the same group, and also at the end of Dhūmādi (Pānini IV 2 127) No one would, we believe, push his specticism, as to the age of Panini so far as to urge that this proves him to have flourished after Valabhī came into importance under the dynasty of Bha-And if any one were to do so, it would not be difficult to satisfy him For, independently of the mass of evidence hitherto brought forward to prove that Pānini flourished long before the Christian Era, we may state that in the Copperplate grant of Dharasena IV we find puns on a good many of the technical terms of Pānini, and the great grammarian himself is alluded to under the name of Śālāturīya, (native of Śālātura) This shews that Pānini was at that time a person of established reputation, and consequently, was even then an ancient author The groups or Ganas, therefore, seem to have been tampared with by his successors, but we think we are safe in ascribing the first three names at least, in each, to him

The number of names of towns, villages; rivers, mountains, and warlike tribes, occurring in the works of Pānini and his commentators, is very large. It would be difficult, or even impossible, to identify them all, but the positions and modern names of a good many can be determined with ease. It is not our purpose in this article to notice all such places, but to confine ourselves to such as may seen to throw new light on some doubtful points connected with the Ancient Geography of Afghanistan and the Panjab

The northernmost kingdom of Afghanistan, in ancient times, was known to some of the Greek and Roman Geographers by the name of Kapisene, and the Chinese traveller Hwan Thiang

^{1,} Translated from the Indian Antiquary, I, p 14

calls it Kia-pi-she Pānini mentions Kāpiśī (IV 2 99), from which he derives Kāpiśāyanī, the name of a wine, manufactured from grapes, produced in the district. The country about Kabul is still remarkable for its fine grapes. The name of another kingdom was Archosia, which was called Arkhoj or Rokhaj by the Arab geographers, and Tsaukuta, supposed to be equivalent to Raukuta by Hwan Thsang European antiquarians trace the name, or that of the river Archotis, in the province, to the Zend Haraqaiti, corresponding to the Sanskrit Sarasvatī. But we are not aware upon what evidence a river of the name of Sarasvatī is fixed in this district Sarasvatī is one of the Sapta Sindhavah, or seven rivers of the Vedas, and if assigned a position here, would certainly be far away from the other six The river Sarasvati was situated to the east of the Sutlej Perhaps the name Archosia, Arkhoj, or Rokhoj, is to be derived from that of the mountain Riksoda, mentioned by Pānini's commentators, the Brahmans living about which, were called Arksodas This name is given as one to which Pānini's rule (IV 3 91) does not apply

Another province of Afghanistan is called Fa-la-nu by Hwan Thsang, and identified with the modern Vaneh or Wanneh by some, and with Bannu by General Cunningham. The Sanskrit name corresponding to this is not known. Pānini, however, mentions a country named Varnu in several places (IV 2 103, and IV 3 93), which is very likely the same as Hwan Thsang's Fa-la-nu. The country of Gandhāra is mentioned in the group Kacchhādi IV 2 133 and in IV 1 169, and the river Suvāstu, the modern Swat, a branch of the Kabul river, in IV 2 77

The position of the hill-fort of Aornos, in the capture of which, Alexander the Great displayed very great valour, is still a matter of uncertainty. The Sanskrit name corresponding to it is also equally unknown. Professor Wilson's traces it to the word Avarana, 'enclosure,' which, he thinks, forms the latter part of

¹ See Wilson's Ariana Antiqua,

many names of cities. Whether it was actually so used, is more than doubtful, and it would be necessary to suppose that the Greeks in their Aornos dropped the first part of the name, retaining only the latter. General Cunningham derives it from the name of a king, whom he calls Rajā-Vara. May it not be the Varanā mentioned by Pānini in IV 2 82? It was the name of a city as well as its people. There is a place on the right bank of the Indus, opposite to Vitak, still called, we are told, Baranas or Varanas.

The Ortospan of the classical geographers has been identified with the modern Kabul. The Sinskrit name corresponding to it is not known. Professor Wilson derives it from such an original as Urddhasthana. But we do not meet with such a name, and the etymology is purely aimless and conjectural. To derive it from the name of a tribe would be more reasonable. Hwan-Thsang calls the country about the place Fo-li-shi-sa-tang-na. May not this name be derived from such a compound as Parsusthana, the country of the Parsus, a warlike tribe mentioned by Pānini in V. 3. 117?

Pānini and Patañjali call the Panjab, Bāhlika (IV. 2 117 and V 3 111) The historians of Alexander tell us, that after having crossed the Hydraotes or Ravi, in the course of his march through the Panjab, he captured and destroyed a town of the the Sanskrit Sākala But Sākala, from the evidence to be gathered from the Mahabharata, and according to Hwan Thsang, who visited the place, was situated to the west of the Rāvī. Professor Wilson, therefore thinks, that after Alexander had destroyed the Śakala to the east of the Rāvi, another was founded to the west of the river This is merely a gratuitous supposition. General Cunningham thinks that Alexander re-crossed the Rāvī to conquer the town Would it not be better to suppose that the two places were distinct? Alexander destroyed Sangala, while Sakala existed in the time of Hwan Thsang Sangala belonged to a tribe that had no King, while Sākala was the capital 14 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol I.]

of the Madras, who were governed by a king Sangala is very probably to be traced to Sankala, a place mentioned by Pānini in IV 2 75 Sankala was the name of the person who is said to have founded the city. It stands at the head of the group Sankalādi, the second name in which is Puskala, from whom the city of Pauṣkala, the ancient capital of Gāndhāra, land the Peukalas of the ancient European geographers, derived its name. Sankala agrees more closely with Sangala than Sākala. If this identification is to be trusted, the occurrence of the name of Sangala in Pānini may be taken as a proof of his having flourished before Alexander, for the Macedonian conqueror is said to have destroyed the city, on which account it must have ceased to exist after him.

The central province of the Panjab is called Pa-la-fa-to by Hwan Thsang, transcribed Parvata by M Julien General Cunningham proposes Sorvata for Parvata But Parvata is given as the name of a country by Pānini, IV. 2 143 and in the group Takṣaśilādi, under IV. 3 93

In the central and lower Panjab, Alexander met with two tribes of warriors, named the Malii and the Oxydrakæ. The Sanskrit original of the former is unknown, and Professor. Wilson identifies the latter with the Sūdrakas of the Purānas. But there is a rule in Pānini V 3 114 which teaches us to form the singular and dual of the names of warlike tribes in the Panjab, by addding the termination. Ya and changing the vowel of the first syllable to its Vrddhi. Of this rule, his commentators give Mālavyas (pl. Mālavas.) and Ksaudrakyas (pl. Kṣudrakas.) as instances. We thus learn that the Mālavas and Kṣaudrakas were two tribes of warriors in the Panjab. The name Mālavas corresponds with Malii, and Kṣudrakas with Oxydrakæ. Ksudrakas is nearer to the latter than Professor Wilson's Śūdrakas.

At the confluence of the Panjab rivers, Alexander came in contact with a tribe which is called Sambracæ or Sabracæ. General Cunningham traces this name to Samvägri, which he

considers a Sanskrit word. But we are not aware of the existence of such a word, and it has an unsanskrit look about it, meaning as it does, according to the General, 'united warriors' The Sabracæ were probably the Saubhreyas, grouped along with the Yaudheyas, in V 3 117.

ON THE DATE OF PATANJALI AND THE KING IN WHOSE REIGN HE LIVED

[From the Indian Antiquary, Volume I, 1872, pp 299ff]

In Patañjali's Mahābhāsya or great commentary on Pānini, a rule (Vārtika) laid down by Kātyāyana, is given, teaching that the Imperfect should be used to signify an action not witnessed by the speaker but capable of being witnessed by him and known to people in general Of this rule Patañjali gives two "The Yavana besieged [Arunat] Saketa ", and " The Yavana besieged [Arunat] the Madhyamikas" The siege of Saketa, therefore, must be considered to have been an event capable of being witnessed by the speaker, 1 e, by Patañjali himself in other words, some Yavana king must have besieged Sāketa in Patañjali's time Sāketa is the usual name for Ayodhya Reasoning in this way, the late Prof Goldstücker arrived at the conclusion that the Yavana here spoken of must have been Menandros, King of Bactria, who is said to have pushed his conquests in India to the river Yamunā according to Prof Lassen, became king about 144 B C Patañjali therefore must have lived about that time

But there is another passage in Patinjali not noticed by Prof Goldstücker, in which the name of the king of Pātaliputra, during whose reign he flourished, is given, and which enables us to arrive at the date of the author of the Mahābhāsya in another way and from other data. In his remarks on Pānini, III 2 123, Patanjali quotes a Vārtika of Kātyāyana, the meaning of which is · "A rule should be made teaching the use of the present tense [Lat] to denote an action or undertaking which has been begun but not finished". The examples given by Patanjali are — "Here we study," "Here we dwell," "Here we perform (as priests) the sacrifices (instituted) by Puspamitra". Then Patanjali asks — "How is it that Pānini's rule III. 2 123,

¹ Vartika 2 on Papini III 2 111 (Keilhorn, Vol II, p 119) [N B U]

(Vartamane lat), which teaches that the present tense should be used to denote present time, does not extend to these cases?" The answer is "The time here involved is not present time" How not? This question is answered by Kaiyata, whose gloss upon this runs as follows -"The phrase 'here we study' means that study has begun but not ended When the students. being engaged in dining and doing such other things, do not study, they cannot then properly say 'we study' [according to Pānini III 2 123, 1 e, they cannot use the present tense, for it is not study that is then going on, and consequently the time is not present, I hence the rule by Kātyāyana" The sense of the whole is, that when an action, such as that of studying or performing the great sacrifices, spreads over many days, the present tense should be used to denote it, if the action has begun but not ended, even though at the time of speaking the speaker may not be actually performing the action "Here we sacrifice Now this cannot be an for Puspamitra," is Patanjali's example imaginary instance, for such a one would not bring out the distinctive sense that Patanjali wishes to convey, namely, that the action has begun but not ended This example, then, expresses a fact, namely that, at the time Patanjali wrote, there lived a person named Puspamitra, and a great sacrifice was being performed for him and under his orders If he employed priests to perform the great sacrifices for him, he must have been a king. for in the olden days, it was Indian kings that propitiated the gods and pationized the Brahmans in this way. The sacrifices were always expensive, and were treated rather as extraordinary festivals than ordinary religious performances But in another

¹ Panini वतमाने लट्ट् III 2123, Katyayana, प्रवृत्तस्याविरामे शिष्या भवन्त्य-वर्तमानत्वात्।, Patanjali प्रवृत्तस्याविरामे शासितच्या भवन्ति। इहाधीमहे। इह वसाम । इह पुष्पमित्रं याजयामः। किं पुन कारण न सिष्यति । अवर्तमानत्वात्। Kaiyata: प्रवृत्तस्यति । इहाधीमह इत्यध्ययन प्रवृत्तं प्रारच्ध न च तिद्वर्गतम्। यदा च भोजनादिका किया क्वन्तो नाथीयते तदाधीमह इति प्रयोगो न प्राप्नोतीति वचनम्। L'atanjali then proceeds to say that the sonse is conveyed by Panini III 2123 and no new rule is required, but this has no bearing on the present question

part of the Mahābhāsya, we are actually told who this Puspa-Pānini in I r 68 tells us that any grammatical mitra was change or operation that he may have in his work prescribed in the case of a certain word ought to be made applicable to that word alone and not to what it signifies, or to its synonyms. This, however, does not hold in the case of his own technical terms. Thus, for instance, to form derivatives in a certain sense from the word Agni (fire) the termination Eya should, he says, be applied to Agni The meaning of this rule should not be stretched so as to make it applicable not only to Agni, but to other words also having the sense of Agni Vahni for instance also means fire, but does not take that termination But in the case of the technical terms of grammar, the change or operation should be effected in the case of the things (which of course are words) signified by that term Thus, for instance, when he tells us to apply a certain termination to Ghu, it is to be applied, not to Ghu itself, but to the roots to which the name Ghu is given by him Now Patañjali, after a long discussion of this rule, in the course of which he shows that it is not wanted, though out of respect for the great Ācārva he does not distinctly say so, tells us that there are some Sūtras in which the rules given are applicable -1, sometimes to the synonyms of the words -2, sometimes to the individuals comprised under the species denoted by the word -3, sometimes to the words alone, and, sometimes to any two of these three. In these cases some indicatory letters ought, he says, to be attached to the words to show to which, or to which two, of the three categories the rule is to be applied Then in such rules as II 4 23, which teaches that a Tatpurusa compound ending in the word Sabhā (court or assembly) preceded by Rājan (king) becomes neuter, he tells us that J should be attached to Rajan and others, to show that the rule is applicable only to the synonyms of Rajan and others, and not to Rajan or others themselves, or to the individuals comprised under the species denoted by Rājan and others And the instance he gives to show that it is not applicable to individual Rājas or kings are Puspamitrasabhā (the assembly or court of Puspamitra) and Candragupta-sabhā¹ (the assembly or court of Candragupta) in which we see that the compound is not neuter but feminine We thus come to the conclusion that Puspamitra was the name of a king.

Now, we know that the most powerful kingdom during a few centuries before Christ, the sovereigns of which extended their sway over a large portion of India, was that of Magadha, the capital of which was Pātaliputra—And Patañjali so often speaks of this city in his work² that we must infer that he had a great deal to do with Pāṭaliputra, and perhaps lived there for sometime, and that on that account the city and things concerning it were uppermost in his thoughts—The Puspamitra then that he speaks of, in the two cases here pointed out, must have been king of Pāṭaliputra in his time. And the fact of his being mentioned along with Candragupta in one of the two cases strengthens this inference. For Candragupta the Maurya was king of Magadha, and there was no Candragupta till several centuries afterwards when the Gupta dynasty came into power

Now looking into the Purānas we find that there was only one king of Magadha of the name of Puspamitra, the founder of the Śuñga dynasty, which succeeded the Mauryas? He was the

^{1.} Patamalı , जिल्पर्यायवचनस्यैव राजादार्थन् । जिलिटेशः कर्तव्यः । ततो वक्तव्यं पर्यायवचनस्यैव ग्रहण भवति । किं प्रयोजनम् । राजादार्थम् । सभा राजामनुष्यपूर्वः । इनसभम् । ईश्वरसभम् । तस्यैव न भवति । राजसभा । तद्विशेषाणां च न भवति । पु'प- मित्रसभा । चन्द्रगुप्तसभा ।

^{2.} See amongst others his comments on I 3-2, II 1 16, II 3 28, III. 3 134, and 136 and V 3.57 In the second of these, one of the examples given is अनुशोण पाटलिपुत्रम् I ' Pāṭaliputra was situated on the banks of the Sona '

³ And I may say that the Puranas do not mention another king of the same name of any country whatever. The name Puspamitra does occur elsewhere, but in that case there is no agreement among the Puranas. The Vişqu and the Vayu Puranas make it the name of a dynasty, and according to the former it was a Bahlika or foreign dynasty. The Bhagayata only mentions it as the name of an individual, but this

Commander-in-Chief of Brhadratha, the last Maurya king, and

Purāna, from the manner in which it has corrupted several names and some facts, is not much to be depended on See Wilson, Visnu-Purāna, 1st edition, p 478

This Puspamitra is spoken of in the Malavikagnimitra of Kalidasa Prof Wilson calls him a general, and Prof Lassen the general of his son, who is represented in the drama as the king of Vidiśā. Prof Lassen, Ind Alterthumsk (vol. II. p 271 and 346) is constrained however by other evidence to admit that he was king, but he thinks he reigned at Vidiśa, and that his son was co-regent with him Prof Wilson supposes that he usurped the throne for his son rather than for himself But the first portion of the passage on which they seem to base their conclusions is lekhah thus, - Devasya senāpateh Puspamitrasya sakāšāt Professor Lassen understands this to mean " a letter has been received from Puspamitra, the general of the lord (1 e Agnimitra)'. But who ever heard of a father being Commander-in-Chief to his son? And immediatedly after, Puspamitra is represented as about to perform an Asvamedha sacrifice, which none but kings who pretended to paramount supremacy could institute In other authorities also it is Puspamitra that appears as the conqueror or usurper and not his son therefore could not have been his son's general, nor does Kalidasa say that Agnimitra's commander-in-chief was Vīrasena, to whom he is more than once represented in the play as issuing orders. The words above quoted are to be thus interpreted -" A letter has been received from the Lord Senapati (general), Puspamitra" i e, the genitive Devasya ought to be taken as an epithet of Puspamitra, and not as connected with or governed by Senapati Indeed the title Deva shows that Puspamitra was king, for it is applied in the dramatic works to kings only, and there is even a rule to this effect (See Dr Hall's Dasarupa, p 109 Devah And Senapati (general) must have become a distinsvāmīti nṛpatih) guishing epithet of Puspamitra, for he was the general of Brhdratha, the And even in the Visqu-Purana, the epithet Senapati last Maurya king seems to have been applied to him somewhat in this way -- "Tatah Puspamitrah Senāpatih Svāminam hatvā rājysm karisyati " The first two kings of the Valabhi dynasty in Surastra were called Senapatis, ner does it follow from this passage that Vidisa was the capital of Puspamitra, but rather the opposite For, in the letter which he sends to Agnimitra, he invites the latter to come with his wife to be present at the Asvamedha sacrifice If Vidisa had been his capital, the sacrifice would have been performed at that city, and no such invitation would have been necessary It follows, therefore, that some other city was Puspamitra's capital, and what other could it have been but Pataliputra, the capital of the Mauryas whom he had supplanted, and which in the Buddhistic account given by M Burnouf is mentioned as his place of residence? usurped the throne after having killed his master. The ten Mauryas are said to have ruled the kingdom for 137 years ² The accession of Candragupta, the first of these ten, has been fixed about 315 B. C. Puspamitra, therefore, must have raised himself to the throne about 178 B. C. The Matsya Purāna assigns him a reign of 36 years, ³ 1 c., from 178 B. C. to 142 B. C. It follows then that Patañjali wrote his comments on Pānini III. ² 123 some time between these limits. The limits assigned by Dr. Goldstücker, reasoning from the one example he considers, are 140 and 120 B. C. But there is apparently no reason why he should not take into account the earlier years of Menandros's reign. For, according to Prof. Lassen, Menandros must have become king about 144 B. C.⁴ The passage in the Mahābhāsya,

Agnimitra his son may probably have been appointed by him Governor or King of Vidisa, while he himself reigned as supreme monarca at Pataliputra, for the practice of appointing sons to govern remote provinces existed in the time of the Mauryas May not Patanjali be alluding to this Aévamedha sacrifice in the instance quoted in the text?

- I The Buddhist Asoka-Avadana erroneously makes him the successor of Pusyadharman, and the last of the Mauryas See Burnouf, Introd à la Hist du Bud I. p 432, Lasson, Ind Alt. II pp 271, 272, 345, 346—This last is a Note by the Editor of the Indian Antiquary 1872—[N.B.U.]
 - 2 Vis Pur. VI 24, or Wilson's translation.
- 3 Wilson's Vis P. 1st Edn. p. 471 The Brahmanda Purana agrees with the Matsya See Dr Hall's note in his edition
- 4 Various dates have been assigned to the accession of Menandros from BO 200 to BO 126 But the facts here brought forward may be used as a corrective. The manner in which Patafijali (in the passage alluded to in the next para of the text) contrasts the times in which the Mauryas lived with his own shews that when he wrote, the new polity had completely superseded the old This may have taken twenty years or He could not have said "the Mauryas did such and such a thing but in these days it is not so," if he wrote only five or six years after they were displaced. Patanjali therefore may have written the passage Now in order that about this time Puspamitra and as early as B.C. 158 Menandros should be contemporaries, it is necessary that the date of the accession of the later should not be pushed higher than about 175 BC nor lower than 142 BC, for Menandros reigned for about 20 years according to all the writers, and only the two dates that fall within these limits are those assigned by Gen Cunningham (B C 160) and Prof. Lassen. If we take that of the former, the limits between which the third chapter of the Mahabhasya was written will be about 158 and 142 B.C But I have adopted Frof. Lassen's date as it agrees sufficiently with all the facts.

on which I base my conclusion, is not far from the one noticed by Dr Goldstücker The latter occurs in the comments on III 2 111, while the former in those on III 2 123 We thus see that when this portion of the Bhasya was written, a Yavana king (who must have been Menandros) had laid seige to Saketa of Ayodhyā, and Puspamitra was reigning at Pāṭaliputra, and if we adhere to Lassen's chronology these two things could have happened only between 144 B C. and 142 B C, for there 15 is, I think, no reason to distrust the chronology of the Puranas here, since the date arrived at from the statements contained in them coincide in a remarkable degree with that determined from the evidence of coins And even supposing that Prof. Lassen's date is not quite accurate, it must be admitted that it cannot be very far wrong

We thus see that Patañjali lived in the reign of Puspamitra, and that he probably wrote the third chapter of his Bhāṣya between 144 B C and 142 B C And this agrees with the conclusion drawn by Prof Goldstücker from a statement in another part of the work that the author of the Mahābhāṣya flourished after the Maurya dynasty was extinct. Since all the passages, then, and the different historical events they point to, lead us to about the same period, the date of Patañjali so derived must be regarded as trustworthy, and in the History of Sanskrit Literature, it is of great importance.

A NOTE ON PROFESSOR WEBER'S LETTER

[From the Indian Antiquary, volume 11, 1873, pp 59-61].

Sir R. G. Bhandarkar read in manuscript Professor Weber's remarks on certain points regarding Puspamitra, Patañjali &c the following is Sir R. G Bhandarkar's reply to the same. [N. B. U.]

Through the courtesy of the editor of the Indian Antiquary, I have been permitted to see Professor Weber's letter, which contains notices of my article on the Date of Patañjali, and of my paper on the Age of the Mahābhārata. This is not the first time the Professor has been so kind to me One of my humble productions he has deemed worthy of a place in his Indische Studien. While, therefore, I am thankful to him for these favours, I feel bound to consider his remarks on my articles, and to reply to them

Professor Weber thinks it a pity that I should not have been acquainted with his Critique on Dr Goldstücker's Pānini. I hardly share in his regret, because the facts which I have brought forward are new, and my conclusions are not affected by anything he has said in the Review. He certainly brought to notice, in that Critique (as I now learn), the occurrence in Patañjali of the expression "Puspamitra-Sabhā" But Professor Weber will see that my argument is not at all based on that passage. I simply quoted it to show that even Patañjali tells us that the Puspamitra he speaks of in another place was a king, and not an ordinary individual or an imaginary person My reasoning in the article in question is based on the words "Iha Puspamitram yājayamah" This is given by Patañjāli as an instance of the Vārttika, which teaches that the present tense (Lat) should be

¹ The paper is printed in this volume later [N B U.]

² By the way, I prefere the form 'Puspamitra' to "Pusyamitra" as the latter appears to me to be a mislection for the former, which might easily occur, X-'p'- being often by careless scribes written as \(\frac{1}{2} - 'y' \).

used to denote an action which has begun but not ended. Now this passage was noticed neither by Professor Weber nor by Dr. Goldstücker; and hence the trouble I gave to the Editor of the Antiquary. The passage enables us, I think, to arrive at a satisfactory conclusion as to the date of Patañjali, since it shows that the author of the Mahābhāsya flourished in the reign of Puspamitra And the conclusion based on this and on one of the two instances pointed out by Dr Goldstücker, viz, Arunad Yavanah Sāketam, agree so throughly with each other, that they can leave but little doubt on the mind of the reader as to the true date of Patañjali

But I must consider Professor Weber's argument for bringing Patanjalı down to about 25 after Christ The two instances brought forward by Dr Goldstücker contain the name Yavana, and a king of that generic name is spoken of as having besieged Śāketa, commonly understood to be Ayodhyā This name was applied most unquestionably, though not exclusively, to the Greek Kings of Bactria The Yavanas are spoken of, in 2 Sanskrit astronomical work noticed by Dr. Kern, as having pushed their conquests up to Saketa; and Bactrian Kings are also mentioned by some classical writers as having done the same Looked at independently, this passage leads us to the conclusion arrived at Dr Goldstücker, that is it fixes the date of Patañjali at about 150 B C But the other instance contains in addition the name Madhyamikas The Buddhist school of that name is said to have been founded by Nagarjuna, who, according to the ' Rājataranginī, flourished in the reigns of Kaniska and Abhimanyu, that is, a few years after Christ This instance then brings the author of the Mahābhāsya to some period after Christ Here then is a case resembling those which are frequently discussed by our Panditas, in which a Sruti and a Snirti (or a Śruti and an inference) conflict with each other The Brahmanical tule is that the Sruti must be understood in its natural sense, and the Smrti so interpreted as to agree with it, that is, any sort of violence may be done to the Smrti to bring it into conformity

with the Śruti, and the inference must be somehow explained away. Now, in the present case, Professor Weber's Śruti is the instance containing the name of the Mādhyamikas. But the word Yavana, occurring in it and in the other instance, cannot be taken to apply to the Greek kings of Bactria, for the dynasty had become extinct a pretty long time before Christ. Professor Weber therefore thinks that by it is to be understood the Indo-Scythic king Kaniska, who reigned before Abhimanyu. But Kaniska cannot be regarded as having oppressed or persecuted the Mādhyamikas, for he was himself a Buddhist. This objection is obviated by the Professor by the supposition that he must have persecuted them before he became one of them.

I must confess this argument appears to me to be very weak. It has many inherent improbabilities. In the first place, I do not see why the passage containing the name Madhyamika and the name itself should be regarded as so much more important than the other passage and the name Yavana. Why may we not rather take our stand on this latter name, and the mention of the conquests of the King so designated upto Sāketa, and interpret the word Mādhyamika by the light thus thrown upon it? And the passage I have brought forward is, I think, so decisive, and agrees so well with this statement, that some other explanation must be sought for of the name Madhyamika, but of this more hereafter In the next place, we have to suppose that the most important period of Nagarjuna's life was passed in the reign of Kaniska, that he lived so long in that reign as to have founded a school, and that in that reign the sect assumed the name Mādhyamika, and grew into such importance that its fame spread so far and wide, and that even Pataniali in the far east knew of it From the words of Rajatarangini, however, it would appear that Năgărjuna and his disciples or school rose into importance in the reign of Abhimanyu, the successor of Kaniska, for the words are-"About that time (1 e, in the reign of Abhimanyu) the Bauddhas, protected by the wise Nāgārjuna, the Bodhisattva, became predominant" And in the same reign, we are told in the history of Kashmir, that the Bhasya of Patañjali was introduced by Candrācārya and others in that country In the Vakyapadiya also it is stated that in the course of time it came to pass that Patañjali's work was possessed only by the inhabitants of the Deccan, and that too only in books, 1. e, it was not studied Afterwards Candracarya brought it into vogue Now even supposing for a time that the Bhasya was written in the reign of Kaniska, i e, about 25 AD, fifteen or twenty years are too small a period for it to have come to be regarded as a work of authority, to have ceased to be studied, to have existed only in books in the South, and to have obtained such wide reputation as to be introduced into Kashmir, a place far distant from Patanjali's native country and from the Deccan Professor Weber is staggered by the shortness of the interval, but instead of being thus led to call in question his theory or the soundness of his argument, he is inclined to doubt the authenticity of the texts brought forward by Dr Goldstücker he gives no evidence to show that the name Yavana was applied to Indo-Scythic kings I am aware that at different periods of Indian history it was applied to different races, but this vague knowledge ought not to be sufficient to lead us to believe as a matter of fact that it was applied to these kings. And the generic name by which they were known to the author of the Rājataranginī was Turuska This name is not unknown to Sanskrit Literature, for it occurs even in such recent work as the Viśvagunādarsa I cannot, therefore, believe that Patanjali could not have known it, if he really lived so late as in the time of those kings And that Kaniska persecuted the Buddhists before he himself became convert, is a mere supposition, not supported by any reliable authority. Kaniska is also not mentioned anywhere as having carried his conquests Saketa, while, as before observed, the Yavanas are mentioned by Hindu writers, and the Bactrian King by Greek authors, as having done so

The truth is that the name "Madhyamika" has been misunderstood both by Dr. Goldstucker and Prof. Weber; and hence, in giving Dr. Goldstacker's argument in my article, I omitted the portion based on that name. The expression Arunad Yavano Madhyamilani males no sense, if we understand by the last word, the Buddhist school of that name. The root Arudh means "to be serie or "blockade", and the besieging or the blockading of a sect is something. I cannot understand are lesiened or blockided, but not seets. I am aware that Professor Weber translates this verb by a word which in English means "to oppress", but I am not aware that the root is ever used in that cense. By the word "Madhyamika" is to be understood the people of a certain place, as Dr. Kern has pointed out in his preface to his edition of the Brhat-Samhita, on the authority of the Samhita itself. We are thus saved the necessity of making a string of very improbable suppositions; and in this way Professor Weber's argument, based as it is on the hypothesis that the Madhyamikas alluded to by Patanjah were the Buddhist sect of that name, falls to the ground The first of Dr. Goldstücker's passages (the word "Yavana" occuring in both of them), and the passage I have for the first time pointed out, taken together, determine the date of Patanjali to be about 144 B C And this agrees better with the other passages pointed out by Dr. Goldstücker For, if Patañjali lived in the reign of the founder of the Sunga dynasty, one can understand why the Mauryas and their founder should have been uppermost in his thoughts, but if he hved in 25 A D., when the Andhrabhrtya dynasty was in power, one may well ask why he should have gone back for illustrating his rules to the Mauryas and Candragupta, and passed over the intermediate dynasties of the Sungas and the Kanvas.

As to my paper on the Age of the Mahābhārata, I have to observe that it was written with a certain purpose Colonel Ellis, going upon the authority of the Gowja Agrahāra grant,

translated by Colebrook in 1806, and again by Mr Narasimmiyengar in Part II of the Indian Antiquary, had referred the composition of the Mahabharata to a period subsequent to 1521 A D, and had asked the Asiatic Society of Bombay to make inquiries as to whether the ashes of the Sarpa-Sattra instituted by Janamejaya could be found by digging for them at Anagundi, with which the Colonel identified Hastinapura, and whether the remains of the palace, in which Bharata, the son of Dusyanta and Śakuntalā, was crowned, were observable at the place object, therefore, was to show that the Mahabharata was far more ancient, and that it existed at and before all the wellascertained dates in Sanskrit Literature. It was not meant to collect all possible evidence, whether certain or doubtful, for the existence of the poem Had I thought of doing so, it would have taken me much longer time than I could spare; and some of the books to which it would have been necessary to refer were also wanting. I have not even brought together all the passages bearing on the point to be found in Patanjali's work. content for the present to leave the task to the well-known industry and acuteness of Prof Weber

MAHÁBHÁSYA OF PATAÑJALI

[FROM THE INDIAN ANTIQUERY, VOL II, 1873, P 69 ff].

(1) Puspamitra.

Since I wrote last on the subject, I have discovered a third passage in the Mahābhāsi i in which Puspamitra is spoken of. Panini in III 1 26, teaches that the termination Aya, technically called Ni, should be applied to a root when the action of causing something to be done is implied. Upon this, the author of the Vartikas observes that a rule should be made to provide for the use of the causal and primitive forms in the uninverted or the usual order in the case of the roots Yai and others This Patañjali explains thus -" Puspamitra sacrifices (Yajate), and the sacrificing priests cause him to sacrifice (1 e, to be the sacrificer by performing the ceremonies for him) This is the usual or uninverted order of using the forms But by Panini's rule, the order ought to be 'Puspamitra causes (the priests) to sacrifice, and the priests sacrifice ' This objection is removed by the author of the Vārtikas himself, by saying that the root Yai signifying several actions, the usual or uninverted order is provided for, and no new rule is necessary How it is so, Patanjali tells us as follows -" Yaj denotes several actions It does not necessarily signify the throwing of the oblations into the fire, but also giving money, or providing the means of the sacrifice For instance, they say 'O I how well he sacrifices,' in the case of one who provides the means properly That providing of the means, or giving money, is done by Puspamitra, and the sacrificing priests cause him so to provide or so to become the sacrificer In this sense, then, Puspamitra sacrifices (Yajate), and the priests cause him to perform it (Yajayanti) " This is the univerted or the usual order In the sense of throwing the oblations into the fire, the other is the correct order.

¹ Panini III.1.26 .—Katyayana . यज्यादिषु चाविपर्यासः । Patanjalı यज्या-दिषु चाविपर्यासो वक्तव्यः पुष्पमित्रो यजते याजका याजयन्तीति । तत्र भवितव्यं पुष्प-16 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

In this instance we see Patanjali speaks of the sacrifices of Puspamitra as if he were familiar with them, and by itself this passage shows that he could not have lived long after him, certainly not so long as 175 years after, as Prof Weber makes out But the other instance pointed out on page 300 Volume I of the Antiquary, in which his sacrifices are spoken of as if going on, shows that he lived in Puspamitra's time. The three passages, then, in which his name occurs are perfectly consistent with, and confirm, each other.

(II) PATANIALI'S NATIVE PLACE

Indian tradition makes the author of the Mahābhāsya, a native of a country called Gonarda, which is spoken of by the grammarians as an eastern country The Matsya-Purana also enumerates it amongst the countries in that direction The position of Patanjali's native place, whether it was Gonarda or some other, can, I think, be pretty definitely fixed by means of certain passages in his work In his comments on Panini III 3 136, the two following passages occur (1) Yoyam adhva gata à Pataliputrat tasya yadavaram Sāketāt-' Of the distance or path from Pataliputra which has been traversed [such a thing was done in] that part of it which is on this side of Saketa, and (2) Yoyam edhia ā Pāṭaliputrād gantavyas tasya yat param Sāketāt—' Of the distance or path up to Pataliputra which is to be traversed [comething will be done in I that portion which lies on that side of Saketa 1 In these two instances we see that the limit of the distance is Pațaliputra, and that it is divided into two parts, one of which is on this side of Saketa, and the other on that then, must be in the middle, i e, on the way from the place represented by 'this' in the expression 'this side, 'to Patali-मिम्रो याजयते याजका यजन्तीति । Katyayana युज्यादिषु चाविपर्यासी नानाकियाणां यज्यर्थत्वात् । Patafijalı यज्यादिपु चादिपयीतः सिद्धः। कुतः । नानाकियाणा यज्यर्थन त्वात् । नानाकिया यज्ञस्थाः । नावस्य यजिहीबिः प्रक्षेपण एव वर्तते । कि तिहैं त्यागी, प

धर्मते । &c

¹ I omit the grammatical details of this as not necessary.

The place must be that where Patañjali speaks or writes 5 and it must, we see, be in the line connecting Sāketa and Pāṭali-puta on the side of it remote from Patahputra. The bearing of Oudh from Putra is north-west by west, Patañjah's native place, sheretore must have been somewhere to the north-west by west of Oudh—Prof. Weber thinks he lived to the east of Pāṭahputra; but of this I have spoken elsewhere

Let us now see whether the information thus gathered can be brought into harmony with the tradition mentioned above. The exact position of Gonarda is not known; but if it really was Patrijali's country, it must have been situated somewhere to the north or north-west of Oudh Now, there is a district thereabouts which is known by the name of Gonda, and there is also a town of that name about 20 miles to the north-west of Oudh. According to the usual rules of corruption, Sanskrit rda (&) is in the Prakrits corrupted to dda (g), but sometimes also it is changed to dda (57)! Gonarda, therefore, must in the Prakrit assume the form Gonadda. Hasty pronunciation clides the A, and, in the later stages of the development of the Prakrits, one of the two similar consonants is rejected 2. The form is thus reduced to Gonda, which is the way in which it is now pronounced General Cunningham derives Gonda from Gauda 3 But, so far as I am aware, there are no instances of the insertion of a nasal in a Prakrit word, when it does not exist in the corresponding Sanskrit one. It appears, therefore, very probable that the district of Gonda in Oudh was the ancient Gonarda, and had the honour of giving birth to the great author of the Mahabhasya

(III) THE NATIVE COUNTRY OF KATYAYANA

Prof Weber is of opinion that Katyayana was one of the eastern grammatians, and Dr Goldstücker agrees with him But it is a question whether the distinction between Northern

¹ Vararuci's Prākrtaprakāśa, III 26

² Compare Weber's letter, Indian Antiquary, Vol II, 1873, p 57 [N.B.U.

³ Ancient Geography, p 408, Arch. Surv. Vol. I, p. 327,

or eastern grammarians, which Panini mentions, really existed in the time of Katyayana But to whatever school of grammarians he may have belonged, supposing such schools existed in his time, it appears, from a passage in the Mahabhasya, that the author of the Vartikas was a Daksinatya, i e, a native of the In the introduction to the Mahabhasyat South or the Deccan occurs a passage, the sense of which is this -"If a man, who wishes to express his thoughts, does so by using some words or other simply from his acquaintance with the usage of the world, what is the use of grammar? The object of grammar is to restrict the liberty of speech in such a manner that religious good may arise from it, just as is done in the affairs of the world and in In the world we find people matters concerning the Vedas saying 'A domesticated cock should not be eaten ' Things are eaten for the satisfaction of hunger Hunger, however, can be satisfied even by eating dog's flesh, and such other things then though it is so, a restraint is put on us, and we are told such a thing is eatable and such a thing is uneatable the same manner, while one is able to express his thoughts equally by correct or incorrect words, what grammar does is to restrict him to the use of correct words, in order that religious good may arise from it."

Now, this is Patañjali's explanation of two Vārtikas, the latter of which is Yathā laukikavaidikeshu, i e, 'as in the world and in the Veda' On this Patañjali's remark is Priya-taddhitā Dāksinātyāh i Yathā loke vede ceti pravoktavye yathā laukika-vaidikesviti prayuñjate, i e, the Dāksinātyas, i e people of the South or the Deccan, are fond of using (words with) Taddhita affixes, that is, instead of saying Yathā loke vede ca they say Yathā laukika-vaidikesu" (i e, instead of using the words Loka and Veda, they use derivatives from them, formed by affixing the termination Ika) This clearly means that Kātyāyana, the author of the Vārtika in which the words Laukika and Vaidika occur, was a Dāksinātya

¹ Ballantyne's Edition, pp 54, 56

ON THE INTERPRETATION OF PATANJALI

[FROM THE INDIAN ANTIQUARY, VOL. II, 1873, p 94 ff.]

In the extract from Prof. Weber's critique on Dr. Goldstücker, given in the Indian Antiquary vol II p. 61, there are several points, besides the main one I took up which require notice. From the passage about the Mauryas quoted by Dr. Goldstüker, Prof Weber infers that Panini, in making his rule V 3. 99, had in his eye such images as those that had come down from the Mauryas. How the passage supports such an inference, I am at a loss to see Panni in that Sutra tells us that the termination Ka applied to the names of objects, in the sense of images of those objects, is dropped in cases when the images anable one to earn his hyelihood, but are not saleable Upon this Patanjah observes that, because the word-- unsaleable' is used, such forms as Śwah, Skandah, and Viśākhah (in which the termination Ka is dropped) are not valid. Why not? Because the Mauryas, desirous of obtaining gold, used, or applied, to their purpose, 1 e, sold, objects' of worship then, these (viz , images of Siva, &c) were sold by them, they were Panya, or 'salcable,' and hance the termination Ka should not be dropped. It may not be droopped in those cases (1 e, the proper forms must be Sivaka, &c), says Patanjali, but it is dropped in the case of those images which are now used for worship -This interpretation of the passage is consistent and Prof Weber understands it to mean, that the only cases in which the rule about the dropping of the termination does not apply, are those of images with which the Mauryas were concerned. But that it is inapplicable to all images that are salcable, is clear from the passage itself, and the two com-Kaiyata distinctly says that the rule does not mentaries on it

^{1.} The reading in the Benares edition is Aroyah, and not Arosh

apply to those that are sold, and gives Sivakān vikrinīte as an instance. What Patañjali means to say is that the termination Ka should be applied to the names of the images sold by the Mauryas, according to Pānini's rule, but the rule is set aside in this case, and the wrong forms Siva, Skanda, and Viśākha are used. Nagojibhaṭṭa expressly states—Tatra pratyaya-śravanam isṭameveti vadan sūtrasyodāharanaṁ darśayati. (1 e., Saying that the use of the termination there is necessary, he points out an instance of the rule). Now, in all this there is not only nothing to show that Pānini had the images sold by the Mauryas in view, but that the names of those images violate his rule. Dr. Goldstücker's interpretation of this passage is also not correct.

In the next place, Prof. Weber thinks that the word Acarya in such expressions as Pasyati tvācāryah, occurring in the Mahābhasya, applies to Patanjali It appears to me that Prof. Weber has overlooked the context of these passages
In all these cases the Ācārya meant is clearly Pānini, and not Patanjali. here briefly examine two or three of the passages referred to by the Professor, for I have no space for more In the first of these, the question Patanjali discusses is this -Which N is it that is used in the term An occurring in the Sutra Ur an raparah," 1 e, does An here mean only A, I, and U, or all the vowels, semi-vowels, and H? He answers by saying that the n in this case is clearly the first, and not the second, that is, that which is at the end of the sutra a- 1- un, and hence An signifies only the vowels A, I, and U And why is it to be so understood? The Sútra Uranraparah means, when An is substituted for R, it is always followed by R, that is, if, for instance, you are told in a Sutra to substitute A for R, you should substitute not A alone, Now, the reason why, in this Sutra, An signifies the first three vowels only, is that there is no other significate of the more comprehensive term An, that is, no other vowel or any semi-towel or H which is ever substituted for r "Why not? there is", says the objector One instance brought forward by

^{1,} Papini.I 1 51. [N B U]

him is explained away, and an other that he adduces is Mātrnam. In this case, by the Sūtra Nāmi, a long vowel, i e, r is substituted for the short R R is a significate of the more comprehensive an and not of the less comprehensive. Hence, then, the objector would say the an, in the Sūtra ur an, &c, is the more comprehensive one. But, says the Siddhanti, this is not a case in which the substitute has an r added on to it. Does it follow from Pānini's work itself that no ris to be added? For aught we know, Pānini may have meant that r should be added in this case also Now, the evidence from Panini for this is in the Sutra Rīta iddhātoh² 'This is the reason,' says, the siddhāntī, 'why the word dhatu is put in the sútra,—that in such cases as Mātīnām and Pitīnām, which are not dhātus, ir may not be substituted for the long r If the long vowel substitute in Māṭṛnām had an r following it, it would not be necessary to put the word dhātu in this Sūtra, for Mātr would not then be an anga or base; ending in r and such bases only are intended in the sútra rita iddhatoh. The use of the word Dhatu then shows that "the Acarva sees that in Matrnam, &c, the long substitute has not an r following it, and hence he uses the word dhatu in the sutra."4 -Now, it is evident from this that the Acarya is Panini, for the Acarya is spoken of as having put the word dhatu in the Sutra for a certain purpose The author of the Sutras being Panini, the Acarva meant must be he himself In the same manner, in the passage at 196 (Ballantyne's edition), Pānini is intended, for the Acarya is there spoken of as having put t after r in the sūtra urrt. Similarly, in page 197,5 the Ācārya is represented as having used n twice in the Pratyahara Sutras The author of

^{1.} Pāņini, VI. 4 3 [N B U]

^{2.} Ibid, VII, 1. 100. [N. B U]

³ Antyatvad, the reading in Ballantyne's Mahabhasya, is wrong or not good. It ought to be Anantyatvad as in the new Benares edition

⁴ Paśyati tvacaryo natra raparatvam bhavati tato dhatu-agrabanam karoti

⁵ Pāṇini, VII 4 7 [N. B U]

these Sūtras, then, is meant there And I may say that, so far as I have seen the Bhāsya, the word Ācārya used in this way, applies either to Pānini or Kātyāyana, and Patañjali never speaks of himself as Ācārya.

Thirdly—Prof. Weber's interpretation of the Vārtika Parokse ca loka, &c., is different from Dr Goldstücker's and mine But he will see that our interpretation is confirmed by Kaiyata and Nāgojibhatta He seems to take Paroksam in the sense of the 'past.'

But Patañjali's own explanation is —Paramaksnoh paroksam (that which is turned away from the eyes, i.e., not seen), and one of his quotations from other writers about the sense of the word is kudya-kaṭāntaritam paroksam² (that which is hidden from one even by a fence), both of which show that the only essential sense of the word is 'a thing not seen by the speaker' Darśanaviṣaya, the Professor interprets by a thing once seen, or that which once fell within the range of the speaker's vision,' but if it has been once seen, it can never be called paroksa in the sense which is always attributed to the word

Fourthly.—Prof Weber quotes from Patañjalı the passage Mathurāyāh Pātaliputram pūrvam, and infers that the author of the Mahābhāsya lived to the east of Pāṭaliputra His interpretation of the passage seems to be 'Pāṭaliputra is first and Mathurā afterwards' But the natural sense is—'Pāṭaliputra is to the east of Mathurā,' as it is, or rather was, as a matter of fact That Patañjalı lived, not to the east of Pāṭaliputra, but to the northwest of Sāketa, I have shown in a separate article?

Lastly, Dr Goldstücker and Prof Weber understand the word Ācāryadeśīya used by Kaiyata in some places in the sense of "Countryman of the Ācārya" It is not unnatural that an antiquarian, looking for historical facts in what he reads, should

¹ Värtika 2 on III 2 111

² See Mahabhasya, under Parokse lit, III 2 115

^{3.} In the article, on 'Patafijali's Mahabhasya," under "Patafijali's Native Place" printed earlier in this volume, p. 124 [N. B. U.]

interpret his author thus; but it is not natural that a Hindu commentator, caring only for his subject, and not at all for history, should use such an expression to contrast one of the authors he comments on with another He will look to the scale of estimation in which he holds them To the Hindu grammarian the greatest Ācārya is Pānini, next to him is Kātyāvana, and next to this latter is Patanjali. If it is necessary in one place to contrast one of them with another, he would naturally use some such expression as Acarya and Acarya the And this appears to me to be the sense of the word, and a Hindu would naturally understand it thus It is derived according to Panini V 3 67, but the sense ought not to be taken as 'an unaccomplished teacher,' as Dr Goldstücker does, but a teacher who is lower in the scale, or the younger teacher. And that Patanjali was so, is plain. That there is very great reason to believe that Patanjali and Katyayana did not belong to the same country, I have shown elsewhere.1

¹ Namely, at p 124, above [N B. U]
17 [R G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol I]

REPLY TO PROFESSOR WEBER.

[FROM THE INDIAN ANTIQUARY, VOLUME, II. 1873, pp 238-40]
(Prof Weber replied to Sir R. G. Bhandarkar's observations, and the following is the latter's final reply.—N. B. U.)

Professor Weber does not, so far as I can see, refute my argument for inferring from the passage about Puspamitra I have brought forward, that Patanjali was a contemporary of tha monarch, nor does he assign his own reasons for differing from In the passage containing the words "Iha Puspamitram vājavāmah," Patanjali does not merely speak of Puspamitra's sacrifices as one living after him might do, but he speaks of them in a definite manner. If those words illustrate the rule that the present tense (Lat) denotes actions that have begun but not ended, and if, again, Puspamitra was a historical personage, and not a mere Casus, it certainly does, in my opinion, follow that the action of sacrificing had not ended when the passage was written. If we were in these days required to give an instance of such a rule, an instance containing the name of a historical personage, should we give such a one as "Johnson edits the Rambler," or "Gibbon is writing the History of the Decline and Fall?" Would not on the contrary, our instances be such as "Drs Bohtlingk and Roth are compiling a Dictionary of Sanskrit?" I think we should use such as this latter, for in the former the actions of editing and writing have long been over, and consequently they would be of no use to illustrate the rule, which specially requires that they should not be over I perfectly agree with what Professor Weber says in the quotation he gives from his essay, an'l I myself always thought that Dr Goldstucker's inference from the instance about Kashmir was extremely weak. But I contend that my instance in not one containing merely the "first person," but it is one in the present tense, and given purposely to illustrate, the use of that tense in a certain sense, and that sense, therefore, the present tense in the instance given must have. The passage is of which is admitted by Professor Weber. The translation Professor Weber gives of the passage under discussion does not seem to remove the obscurity in which he says mine was shrouded.

With regard to the second point, I must complain of Professor Weber's not believing what I say with regard to myself exigencies of the controversy do not, I think, require this lagain distinctly state that the reason why I was silent as regards Dr Goldstücker's second instance, was that I did not agree with him in his interpretation of it, and niv object in the article was not to criticize him, but to throw additional light on the date of Paraniali I considered his rendering very questionable when I first read the book, about ten years ago, and some time before I wrote an article in the Native Opinion reviewing his theory of Pānini's technical terms! My principal reason was the impropriety of speaking of a sect or school as besieged. And I had, and have, a feeling that the names of the Buddhistic Schools generally known to Sanskrit authors could not have originated so early. Dr Kern's book I saw and glanced over the preface of it, several years ago, but I did not rembember his explanation of the word Madhyamika when I wrote my article in the Indian Antiquary, Vol I p 299, though I always thought the word meant some such thing But soon after the article appeared, and before Professor Weber's criticism on it was received, I read Dr. Kern's Preface again, so that it was not Professor Weber that first directed my attention to it.

Now to come to Professor Weber's remarks on my article? [Indian Antiquary Vol II p 69]. The Professor still adheres to his interpretation of the passage Mathurāyāḥ Pāṭaliputram pūrvam. And his reason is Paṭaĥjali's use of the word Vyavahita in that connection, which he thinks means distance. Now the word Vyavahita, so far as I know,

^{1.} This essay is printed here later. [N. B. U.]

^{2.} Printed in this Volume, pp. 108ff [N. B. U.]

never means 'distance' but 'covered,' 'concealed,' or 'separated' by something intervening, as, for instance, England is Vyavahita from us, by several countries and seas intervening, or in the word Rāmena, r is Vyavahita from n by ā, m, and e The context of the passage in Patanjali is shortly this - In the Sūtra Acah parasmin pūrvavidhau the question is with reference to what standard is the word Purva or 'preceding' to be understood? For a time he takes the Nimitta, or condition of a grammatical change, to be the standard, and says that the principal example of this Sutra, viz patvya or mridvya is also explained or shown to fit with the rule on this supposition. How does it fit? The state of the case in Patvya is this -First we have Patu then i the feminine termination changed to y and after that, a, the termination of the instrumental singular This last is the Nimitta of the chage of the previous î to y Then what is to be done by applying the Sutra is to regard y as a vowel and change the u of patu to v But says the objector, the rule in the Sutra does not apply here on the supposition you have made, for the u of Patu is not purva from ā, which is the Nimitta, as it is separated from it by y substituted for 1 Then, says the original speaker, the word Purva is used not only to signify a thing that immediately precedes another, but also to signify one that precedes but is separated from it by something intervening, as in such expressions as " Pāṭaliputra is pūrvam from Mathurā," in which Pūrvam is used though several places intervene between the two towns -Now, it is plain that this is given as a phrase in use and current among the people to serve as an authority for taking Pūrva in a certain sense, and therefore, if Professor Weber's inference is correct, all people using the expression, 1 e, the Sanskrit-speaking population of India, must have lived to the east of Pāṭaliputra -The only proper meaning therefore is "Pāṭaliputra is to the east of Mathura" And even if we take Professor Weber's explanation, "Pătaliputra is before Mathură," it does not follow that the speaker, supposing he was Patanjali-which however is not the case-was to the cast of Pataliputra, any more than it does when I



and says that the words contained in it are Deccani words cannot understand the connection between this passage and the one quoted by Professor Weber about the use of Sarasi in the South What has that to do with the circumstance of this being a Vārtika? If Professor Weber means to show that Patañjali was acquainted with the lingual usages prevailing in the South, I do not deny that he was, and it is just the lingual usages in But this that part of the country that are noticed even here does not destroy the character of the passage as a Vārtika must be a Vārtika for the above reasons hence my inference that Katyayana was a southerner. The Professor is inclined to account for allusions to southern usage contained in the Mahabhāşya from the fact that it was preserved in books in the South, Are we similarly 1 e., probably, he thinks them interpolations to think that the Mahābhāsya was preserved in books and unfairly treated by the people of Surastra, by the Kambojas, and by the Pracyas and Madhyamas, because it contains allusions to their usage also?

Inferiority in rank there is in Patañjali in comparison with Kātyāyana. It does not matter if Patañjali's views are adopted by Kaiyata and others. They are so adopted because he was the last of the three Munis. When the three Munis differ, the rule for one's guidance is. Yathottaram munīnām prāmānyam the later the Muni, the greater the authority. But still Pānini is always regarded as first in rank, Kātyāyana second, and Patañjali third.

I need not say anything on the few remaining points. Professor Weber has made one or two admissions, and as to the rest, I leave it to my readers to judge of the merits of the controversy. I reserve one point for discussion on some future occasion, especially as Professor Weber has not given prominence to it now. I do not believe that the Vākyapadīya and the Rajataranginī afford evidence of the Mahābhāṣya having been tampered with by Candrācārya and others. They appear to me

¹ See page 62, ed by Ballantine.

ĀCĀRYA, THE FRIEND OF THE STUDENT, AND THE RELATIONS BETWEEN THE THREE ĀCĀRYAS.

[From the Indian Antiquary, 1876, Volume V, pp 345 ff.]

When I closed my controversy with Prof Weber on some points connected with the Mahābhāsya, I said I reserved one question for discussion on a future occasion, and stated my belief that the Vākyapadīya and the Rājataranginī did not afiord evidence of the Mahābhāsya having been tampered with by Candrācārya and others I am very glad so see that Prof Kielhorn has taken up this question, and discussed it in a very able and thorough manner, in the last number of this Journal I agree with all that he has said, though I should translate the passage in the Vākyapadīya somewhat differently, but as the differences are unimportant, and have no bearing on the main point, it is not necessary to state them.

There are, however, some points alluded to by Prof Kielhorn on which I have been thinking for some time. He has shown that the word Ācārya occurring in the first 240 pages of the Benares edition of the Mahābhāsya, when it is used to denote a specific individual, refers to Pānini or Kātyāyana, but never to Patañjali, except in one instance pointed out by Nāgojibhatta. Prof Kielhorn expresses his doubts as regards the correctness of Nāgoji's remark, and I also think Nāgojibhatta is wrong. In a case of this kind, the great grammarian, who flourished only about a hundred and tifty years ago, can be no great authority if we can adduce cogent reasons for differing from him. And I believe there are such reasons in the present case. The passage in which the word occurs is this—

ा Chap. I p 10a, Benares lith ed —तेभ्य एव विप्रतिपन्नबुद्धिभ्यो-ध्येतृभ्य सहस्तृत्वाचार्य इद शास्त्रमन्वाचष्टे । इमानि प्रयोजनान्यध्येयं न्याकरणमिति ।

¹ Indian Antiquary, Vol. II p 240 [equal to this Volume, p 134-N B U.]

The expression आचार्य सहस्त्रान्याच्छे occurs in several places in the Mahabhasva, in all of which we have to understand Kātyāyana by the term आचार्य For instance —

- 2. 1. 4, p. 271, Benares lith ed सहिष्यं विजानीयात्सर्यमेतिष्ठिकत्पत हति । तदान्यार्य सहस्रदृत्यान्यान्यहे हस्वी चेयुव्स्थानी च प्रवृत्ती च प्राक्ष्य प्रवृत्ते. स्वीवचनायेवित ।
- 3 II 1. p 316a —तदस्यं &c. as above . तदाचार्यः सहस्रूत्वान्याचन्धे चादिभियोंगे यथान्यासमेव भवतीति ।
- 4. II 1. p 101 —तेष्ट्रप्यं विजानीयादिदमा कथितमिदमैवात्तकथ्यते इति । तदासार्यः सहस्तृत्वान्याच्छन्यादेशश्च कथितात्तकथितमात्रामिति ।
- 5. III. 3 p 93 —तहंप्यं विजानीयादाभिषययोगिति । तदाचार्यः सहस्त्त्वा-म्बाचरे फर्तृकर्मग्रहण चोषपदसंज्ञार्थमिति ।
- 6 III 3 p 97a.—वीताप्योरिति ह्युच्यमाने सेदेह स्यात्प्राग्वीतापिभ्यां सह-रेति । तदाचार्पः सहन्द्र्त्वान्याच्छे विभाषा गर्हाप्रभृती प्रष्टतापिभ्यामिति ।
- 7. IV 3 p. 76 —तद्देप्य (as in 2 and 3) ..तदाचार्यः महस्दूत्यान्याचष्टे दिक्पूर्वपदादर्थायथान्यासमेव भवतीति ।
- 8. IV. 3. p. 86. —तद्देष्पं विजानीयायोगयोर्वा प्रत्यययोर्वेति । तदाचार्यः प्रस्कृत्वान्याचप्ट एतपोरित्पर्थनिर्देश इति ।
- 9 V 1 p 12 —तद्देष्यं विजानीयाद्विशेषेणेत उत्तरं दिविभ्यामिति तदा-चर्य सुहद्भृत्यान्याचप्टे दिविभ्यां देयोग्यमिति ।
- 10. V. 1. p 19 —द्वेष्य विजानीयाद्वयमप्यत्वर्वात हाति तदाचार्यः सुद्धःद्वृत्वा-न्वाचटे वयसि दंश्वेत्यनन्तरस्यातुकर्षे हति ।
- 11. V 3. p. 55a ब्रेप्यं विज्ञानीयात्तयोर्थोगयोर्धा प्रत्यययोर्वेति । तदान्त्रार्थः सुद्धन्द्वत्वान्त्रान्त्रष्टे तयोरिति प्रातिपदिकनिर्देश इति ।

Now we see that in all these instances, the sentence indicated by इति, which stands in the place of an object to the verb अन्वाचि, is a Vartika, for it is explained just before by Patanjali, as all

18 [R. G. Bhandarkar's works, Vol. I]

Vartikas are ' Hence the expression आचार्य मुहद्भलान्वाचरे refers unqestionably to Kātyāyana. Are we then to understand that in the first only out of these eleven passages it refers to Patañjali r Surely the evidence afforded by the other ten, occurring as they do in different parts of the Mahabhasya, is sufficient to warrant us in declaring that in No 1 also the expression refers to Kātyāyana The reason why Nagojibhatta understands Patanjali by the term Acarya here is this -The author of the Mahabhasya tells us in his comments on the Vārtika 'Siddhe Śabdārtha' &c. that the word Siddha has been used at the beginning for the sake of Mangala, 1 e, because it is an auspicious term, and such a term used at the beginning of a Sastra conduces to the success of that Śāstra The beginning, then, of the Śāstra composed by Kātyāyana, 1 e the first of his Vārtikas, 1s Siddhe Sabdartha &c If so, all that precedes this Vartika, including the aphorisms in which the uses of grammar are given, is not the work of Kātyāyana These aphorisms, therefore, are to be ascribed to Patanjali himself, and hence the Acarya who sets forth the uses of grammar is the author of the Mahābhāsya To this it may be replied that these

^{1.} There is a mistake in the Benares Edition in the last passage Vartika is not given separately from the Bhasya on it. It is, however, so given in an old MS in my possession In passage No 3 Patafijali gives the substance of the Vartika and does not quote it. It is not necessary to discuss at length the question how a Värtika is to be distinguished is sufficient to state that one unfailing criterion is its being paraphrased or explained by Patafijali Because (1) the very fact that it is so paraphrased shows that it must be the work of another person than the one who paraphrases it, (2) Patafijali himself incidentally mentions Katyayana as the author of some of these aphorisms, and calls him the Vartikakara (See I 101s, III. 64a, III 76a, &c), while he speaks of the author generally as Acarya, in connection with a great many others, without naming him, (3) Panini's Sutras are never so paraphrased, though they may form the subject of a long discussion, and (4) most of the aphorisms so paraphrased by Patanjah are expressly called Vartikas by Kaiyata and other grammarians. Very rarely the dicta of other Acaryas are also paraphrased, but they are introduced by such an expression as 3197 आह indicative of the authorship, while no such expression is used in introducing a Vartika. Prof Goldstücker does not seem to have called this criterion in question

aphorisms are simply introductory, while the regular Sistra begins with Siddhe Sabdurtha &c. The provision for Mangala is therefore made in this, and not in the preceding ones, just as Pānini secures Mangala in the first of his regular Sūtras, viz, Vrddhirādaica and not in the Pratyahāra-Sutras. There is, therefore, no impropriety in ascribing these introductory aphorisms to Kātyāyuia. And the whole manner in which they are stated and explained by Patangah, and the evidence of the ten passages containing the expression angula मृहसूत्या, &c., require that we should so a ascribe them to him

If, then, Kitvayana is the Acarya alluded to in passage No. 1, this passage and the few lines that precede it enable us to determine the character, nature, and object of Katyayana's work. Patanjah tells us that in the times preceding his own, after a Brahmana boy's Upanayana ceremony was performed, grammar was the first thing taught to him, and the study of the Veda followed In his (or rather Katvavana's) time, however, Veda was first taught, and after that was gone over, they said Vedic words we have learnt from the Vedas, and the words current in popular usage we know from that usage Grammar, therefore, is useless." For these students," we are told," whose feeling is thus opposed, the Acarya (Katyayana) expounds the Sastra, t (saving) 'These are the uses, grammar should be studied" In the comment on Siddhe śabdartha, &c we are also told, as remarked above, that Kātyāyana uses the word Siddha at the beginning, that it may augur well for the "great stream of the Sastra" We thus see that what Katyayana proposes to himself is the composition or edition of a Sastra, and to attract students to it he explains its uses. And it appears to me that the opening words

¹ Nögonbhatia understands by the term Sastra here "the explanation of the uses of grammar" But there is no reason to restrict the term thus Besides, "the explanation of the uses of grammar" can with no propriety be called a Sastra Before and after, Patablah uses the term in the sense of the whole science of grammar Sastra also properly signifies "a rule" It is, however, immaterial to the argument in the text in what sense we take it

of the Mahābhāsya अथ शब्दानुशासनम। are Kātyāyana's words, and form a Vārtīka, notwithstanding what Kaiyaṭa says about them For they are explained by Patañjali, just as all Vārtīkas are; and to suppose that this alone of similar aphorisms was composed by him, and commented on with all the formality of a scholiast, is, I think, unreasonable There appears no reason why in this particular case Patañjali should have resorted to this plan If he wanted to say that he now began the Śabdanuśāsanaśāstra, he might have done so more directly than by composing an aphorism and commenting on it

From the passages quoted above, it seems that the verb Anvacaste is used by Patanjali as characteristic of the work of Katyayana, as describing specifically what he did His own work Patañjalı calls Vyākhyāna, and frequently uses the verb Vyākhyāsyāmāh 2 There is another word that is used in controversial writing, and occurs in the Mahābhāsya, also, which is derived from the same root, viz Pratyākhyāna The differences in the senses of these words must be due to the prepositions or Upasargas that are used in each case Pratyakhyana is speaking against or refuting a thing, Vyākhyāna is speaking about a thing, or away, in varied ways, in detail, of a thing, 1 e, writing a commentary on it, and Anvākyāna must mean 'speaking in accordance with, agreeably to, or to the same purpose as a thing' The word is used with reference to Kātyāyana in other forms in two other places, where it is contrasted with teaching something new? If, then, it properly denotes what Katyayana did mostly, if not altogether, with reference to Panini's Sūtras, his work must be in accordance,

¹ It is only modern authors that say that the Vartikas begin with Siddhe sabdartha, &c.,

² MahEbhasya, I p 13a, I p 42, I p 49, III 67a, and many other places

³ I p 22a & b -प्रयोजनमन्दास्यायते । आहोस्वित्सवृउपदेशश्रीयते । III p 58a, एव तहान्दाचष्टेनुपसर्गं इत्येव वर्तत इति । नेतद्न्वार्ययमधिकारा अनुवर्तन्त इति । एप एव न्यायोयदुताधिकारा अनुवर्तेरित्तति ।

in keeping, in larmony with, Panini's, i. e. explain, develope, or support the latter. That the word 'Anvakhyana' is peculiarly applicable to Katvavana's work is also cofirmed by the fact that this is called Anutantra in the Vakyapadiya! For these reasons it is clear that Kātvāvana's object in composing his work was to teach grammar, first, by developing and explaining Pānini, and then supplementing him, and not "to find fault with him," as the late Prof. Goldstücker thought. The Vyākhvāna of the work of this author directly, and that of Panini indirectly, was what Patañjali proposed to himself. He himself explains what the duties of one who undertakes this task are. "Not only," says he, "does the division of a Sutra into the individual words which compose it constitute Vvakhvana, but example, counter-example. and the words to be understood or supplied, all these taken together make up Vyākhyāna "2 To explain the Vārtikas thus in detail, to discuss the Sutras, and occasionly to give supplementary rules (Istis) where necessary, was Patanjali's main object, and not to refute Kātvāyana.

Now, if we look into the Mahabhasva, we shall find this view of the relations of the three Munis amply confirmed. In fact, the instances in which there is no refutation of one by another, but simply an explanation of the words, or the bearing of the words, of the earlier sage by the later one, are so many that it is difficult to see how any other view can be maintained. Not to go very far for the present, none of the cleven passages quoted above contains or is followed by a refutation, while they all give some expla-

¹ Prof Kielhorn's article, Ind Ant., Vol V. p 247, notes

² न देनलानि चर्चापदानि व्याख्यान वृद्धिः आत् ऐजिति। किं तिहै। उदाहरण पत्युदाहरण वाक्याध्याहार इत्येतत्समृद्दित ब्याख्यान भवति। I p 18a. By the way, this passage justifies those who ascribe the examples contained in the Mähäbhäsya to Pataüjali, and draw historical inferences from them with regard to his age and other matters. For we are here told that it is the business of the author of Vyükhyäna to give examples. There is little reason, then, to suppose that the examples were handed down from the time of Pāṇini to Kātyāyana.

nation No. 1 explains why Kātyāyana gives the uses of grammar, in No 2 Katyayana is spoken of as making a rule calculated to restrict the operation of another hid down by himself. In the Vārtika in No 3, Kātyāvana tells us that another Vārtika of his which is likely to supersede Panini VIII 1 24, ought not to do so, in the one in No. 4 he explains the word Anvadesa used in Pānini II 4 32, in that in No 5 lie tells us in what relation the words Kartr and Karman occuring in Panini III 3. 127 are to be taken, in the one in No 6 he explains Pānini III 3 141, and clears a doubt that naturally arises, in that in No 7 he says that a Vārtika of his should not supersede Pānini IV. 3 6, in the one in No 8 he explains the word Etavoh occuring in Pānini 3 143, in that in No 9 he tells us that the words Dv1 and Tri occuring in Panini V i 30 are to be taken on to the next Sūtra only, 1 e, they apply to these two Sūtras alone, in that in No 10, that the termination given in the last Sutra is to be brought on to this, and not the one in the previous Sutra; and in No 11 the Vartika explains to what the pronoun Etayoh occurring in V 3. 20 refers

But let us examine the Bhasya more closely. In the Vartikas on I 2 1, Katyayana explains that what Panini means by saying that certain terminations are and and in is, that before those terminations, those rules are to be applied to the preceding roots that are laid down with reference to such terminations as have actually got an indicatory of one in them, if e Panini attributes the properties are to those terminations, though they have not got of the in them. Before coming to this conclusion, however, the author of the Vartikas refutes three other ways of taking this and the following Sutras that may be suggested Katyayana then gives reasons why are is attributed to some terminations, and are to others, and why one same property are or are is not mentioned with regard to all. In all this Patanjah confines himself to a detailed explanation of the Vartikas, and there is no refutation of any one of them

On 1 4 14, there is only one Vārtika in which Kātyāyana explains why the word Anta is used in the Sūtra, and infers that in

other Sŭtras in which technical terms are defined, those terms signify only the terminations that may have been mentioned, and not the words ending with those terminations,—1 e for instance Gha signifies only the terminations Tara and Tama, and not Gauritara or Gaurītama Patanjali does not make any adverse remark. but explains the Vārtika On III 11. 134, Kātyāyana remarks that the last termination Ac must be stated generally as applicable to all roots, because there are such forms as Bhava and Sava Why, then, does Panini lay down the Gana, Pac and others, and teach the addition of the termination to those roots? Kātyāyana himself tells us, it is because in this way he may be able to add some indicatory letter or Anubandhas to some of the roots. and to prevent the application of special rules to others next Sutra the author of the Vartikas remarks that the termination Ka should be taught as applicable to the roots indicated in the Sūtra, only when they have a preposition prefixed, for when without a preposition, the roots have forms made up by the addition of another, which necessitates the change of the vowel to its Guna Then he himself answers this by saying, "No, it should not be so taught, because we have such forms as Budha and others," 1.e., forms made up by the addition of Ka On III 2, 123, the first two Vārtikas require that the use of the present tense (Bhavantī) should be taught in cases which the Sutra is supposed not to include, in the last three, we are told that the Sütra does extend to these cases also, and reasons are given to show how it does. Pataniali has no adverse remark.

In all these instances, Kātyāyana simply gives an Anvākhyāna or explanation of the Sūtras, and Patañjali agrees with him, and such instances may be multiplied to any extent. Of course, it is not to be denied that often there are adverse criticisms on Pānini, and that Patañjali defends him and refutes Kātyāyana, i.e., makes Pratyākhyāna as well as Vyākhyāna of the Vārtikas. But Patañjali not seldom refutes Pānini also, i.e. makes Pratyākhyāna of the Sūtras, the expression अय योग शक्योऽवक्तम। occuring pretty often. On the other hand, he often says with regard to the Vārtikas, तस्मान्स्ट्र अपते, &c. To show the nature of the Great

Commentary generally as regards this subject, I will here give a short analysis of a portion of the Bhāsya on the Angādhikāra in the order in which the Sūtras occur there, not here selecting my instances

- । अइस्य VI 4 1 Kātyāyana settles the meaning of the gen. अइस्य, Patañjalı does not refute Kātyāyana gives the objects of the Aṅgādhikāra, and says that that they may be attained otherwise than by having such an Adhikāra Patañjalı agrees while Kaiyata re-establishes that Adhikāra
- 2 In इस VI 4 2, Kātyāyana raises an objection and answers it Patañjali accepts this explanation, but proposes also another
- 3 In नामि VI 4 3, Kātyāyana justifies the use of नामि for आमि, Patanjalı does not refute
- 4 इत्हन्त् &c VI 4. 12 Kātyāyana in the Vārtikas explains this Sūtra in a manner to avoid the lengthening of the penultimate vowel of वृत्रहन् necessitated by the Sūtra अनुनारिक &c. VI 4. 15.
- 5 अत्वसन्त &c VI 4 14 Kātyāyana finds fault, Patañjali agrees
- 6. अञ्चन &c. VI. 4 16 Kātyāyana finds fault, not explicitly but tacitly. Patañjali avoids the objection by re-arranging the Sūtra. A Vārtika on another point is refuted by Patañjali
- 7 ভট্টা হাই &c VI 4 19 Kātyāyana shows that if চ্ছিব comes down to this Sūtra, ল্ল must be inserted in the Sūtra ক্লাইন্ড &c., VIII 2 36, and it must have লুহু, 1 e. হু, prefixed to it This is done in that Sūtra The Vārtikas therefore explain that কিব্ৰ does come down Patañjali does not refute
- 8 সমিত্রবহ &c VI 4 22 Kātyāyana refers to his explanation of the objects of considering a grammatical operation to be সমিত্র given under VI 1 86, explains the sense of অম, and gives the objects of the সামার্থিকা These last, Patañjali shows, may be attained in other ways, and thus makes মন্যাহ্যান of them Then objections to this Adhikāra are raised by Kātyāyana, and answered by Patañjali

explaining अब as equivalent to समानाश्रयत्व Then follows a Vārtika stating cases in which, when we take this Adhikāra to extend to the beginning of Bhādhikāra, we arrive at incorrect forms, and another giving other cases when the same result ensues, if we take it to extend to the end of that Adhikāra Patañjali refutes these Vārtikas by showing that the correct forms are arrived at, whether we take the one of the other as the limit of the Adhikāra.

- 9. शान &c. VI. 4 23 No Vārtikas.
- 10. अनिदितां &c. VI 4. 24. There are five supplementary or corrective Vartikas, two of which are refuted by Patanjali.
- 11. शास इद &c. VI. 4. 34. The Vārtika is refuted by re-casting the Sūtra.
- 12. अनुदात्तों &c. VI. 4. 37 VI. 4. 38, as it is, would lead to wrong forms Kātyāyana therefore proposes to re-cast these two, Patañjalı does not object.
- 13. गम. की, &c. VI. 4. 40. Two supplementary Vārtikas; neither refuted by Patanjah
- 14. जनसन &c. VI 4. 42. Patañjalı discusses the connection of झल, and in the end divides the Sūtra into two, so as to render the use of झल unnecessary Then follows an explanatory Vārtika, which is discussed and defended by Patañjalı at great length.
- 15 सनाक्तिचि, VI. 4. 45. Patanjalı says the word अन्यवरस्थां might well have been omitted in this Sūtra, as unnecessary. "Another" says that even the word लोप might be omitted. There is no Vārtika
- 16. आर्थपात्रके VI. 4. 46 A Kārīkā, very likely by Patañjali himself, gives the purposes of this Adhikāra, and they are discussed in detail afterwards No Vārtikas.
- 17. भ्रस्तोरोप VI 4 47 Some explanation by Patañjali. Then follow three Vartikas on a certain point, which are refuted by Patañjali.
- 18. अतो लोप VI. 4. 48. Vārtika Erefuted by taking पर as equivalent to इन्छ.

19 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

- 19 यस्य हल VI 4 49 Kātyāyana gives undesirable effects of taking य as two letters Y and A, and of taking it as Y only Patañjali says one may take it either way, and the faults pointed out by Kātyāyana are explained a way
- 20 णेरनिटि VI 4 51 Patañjalı decides that the word आनिटि in this Sūtra is unnecessary, and explains the next Sūtra in a manner to avoid the objections that may arise He also recasts VI. 4 55 There is no Vārtika
- 21. निष्टायां सिटि VI 4 52 Kātyāyana discusses, and explains the reason of using the word सिटि here Patañjali says this word, and even the whole Sūtra, might be omitted, and recasts VII 2. 26, in a manner to include the sense of this A Vārtika follows, which is refuted
- 22 अयामन्ता &c VI 4 55 There are three Vārtikas showing what rules should be laid down if we should have क्क as an Unaditermination, and what if इत्त These last are actually laid down by Pāṇini, says Patanjali
- 23. ल्यपि लघु &c. VI 4 56 Kātyāyana brings objections to the reading लघुपूर्वस्य, and settles that it should be लघुपूर्वाद, Patañjali does not object
- 24. विमापाप VI. 4. 57 आप् should have its indicatory sign ल here, observes Kātyāyana, to prevent the application of this rule to अध्यापि Patañjali applies the Paribhāsā लक्षणमतिपदीक्त &c. and refutes the Vārtika
- 25. स्पत्तिच् &c VI 4 62 Patanjalı discusses at great length the relations of the words भावकर्मणों, इट्, and चिण्वत् Then, in a Kārikā which must be attributed to him, are set forth the purposes of attributing चिण्वन्द्राव to these terminations after these roots. This is followed by Vārtikas, in the first of which the reason for the use of the word उपदेश is given, and in the second we are told that चिण्वन्नाव prevails over the Sūtra which lays down वर्ष as a substitute for इन, in the precative The next two provide that the substitutes for इन, इ to go, and इ to study, which are used before चिल्हात the aroist, should not be used here. This, we are

told, follows from the context of this Sūtra. No adverse remarks from Patañjali.

We thus see (1) that Katyayana explains and supports the Sutras, sometimes by raising questions about them and answering them, sometimes without resorting to this procedure, (2) that he amends them, and thus must be understood to criticize them, or find fault with them; and (3) that he supplements them. Patanjali (1) comments on the Vartikas in accordance with his own definition of Vyākhyāna, (2) agrees with Kātyāyana; (3) refutes him, (4) recasts Pānīni's Sūtras, (5) affirms that they, or a word or words in them, are not wanted, even in cases when Kātyāyana justifies them or defends Panini: (6) discusses and explains Sūtras or words in them, notwithstanding that there is no Vartika, and (7) gives supplementary rules called Isps, which, however, occur very rarely, very little being left for him to do in this respect, by predecessors. It will thus appear that in writing the Vārtikas. Kātvāyana did "mean to justify and to defend the rules of Panini" also, and that a Vartika is often "a commentary which explains," and that the Mahābliāsya contains such varied matter, arguments of such length. so consistent, so well connected and so subtle, that it by no means deserves the title of "a skilful compilation of the views of Panini's critics and of their refutation by Patanjali," or of a "mere refutation of Kātyāyana," or of "a synopsis of arguments for and against the details of Panini's system, or a controversial manual" The only tenable theory is that Katyayana's work is an edition of Panini with notes, explanatory, critical, and supplementary, and that Patanjali's is a commentary on this edition, explaining in detail the notes of Kātyāyana, but discussing at length all points connected with the system of Panini and with grammar generally, whether Kātyāyana notices them or not, in a manner favourable or otherwise to his author The object of both was the same, viz. to teach grammar by following and explaining the system of Pānini, endeavouring to perfect it, even though this sometimes required a remodelling of his Sūtras or their entire refutation, and to complete it by supplying the omissions and bringing up the knowledge of Sanskrit grammar conveyed therein to their own times

THE MAURYA-PASSAGE IN THE MAHABHASYA

[From the Indian Antiquary, 1887, p. 156 ff]

In the first number of the new Vienna Oriental Journal, just received, there is an article by my honoured friend, Dr Kielhorn in which he brings forward some objections against the interpretation of the Maurya-passage in the Mahābhāsya given by other scholars. As I am one of these last, and as Dr. Kielhorn invites criticism on his observations by saying he would "be glad to be corrected by others," and that his reason for writing on the subject is "to give others an opportunity of removing his difficulties," I will here endeavour, to the best of my ability, to answer the difficulties raised by him.

The first expression, to the translation of which my friend objects, is Yas tv Etah His objections in this, as well as in the other cases, are based on the supposition that the translations already given are opposed to the sense that the several expressions have in other parts of the Mahabhasya, and it will be my duty to show that my translation, at least, is not so opposed. Dr Kielhorn says that (excepting, so for as his observation goes, a single passage) the pronoun Etad in such expressions as Ya esah, Yad etad, Ya ete, &c, does not refer to something stated before, but expresses a thing well-known, a thing to be met with generally in ordinary life. That it has the sense mentioned by him in the instances he quotes, is unquestionable But this sense it derives from the fact that primarily, it means 'this, 'i e it denotes 'proximity' Now, the world in which a man moves and with which he is intimately acquainted, is from that point of view regarded by him as being 'near,' i e having proximity. Hence, everybody in that world is spoken of as 'this', (1) but this is not the only way in which things come to have nearness or proximity. They may become near because hey are actually before one, or, in the case of a writer, (2) because he has just mentioned them, or (3) is going to mention them. Dr. Kielhorn has given instances from the Mahābhāsya, in which Etad with Yad has the first sense. I will give others in which it has the two other senses. Two of my instances have Idam for Tad; which, however, makes no difference whatever.

- a. Vol. I p. 10, l. 1: Ye cāpy ete bhavato prayuktā abhimatāḥ śabdā &c The words alluded to here have been given by Patañjalı before, at the beginning of the argument.
- b. Vol. I. p. 31, last line. Ya esa bhavatā varnānām arthavattāyām hetur upadisţô'rthavanto varnā, &c. This Hetu has been given before and is here repeated.
- c. Vol II. p. 86, 1 3 Ya ete samjñāyām vidhīyante tesu &c These have been mentioned just before
- d. Vol II p. 19, l. 19. Yad etat trntracor grahanam &c The Sutra in which the affixes here spoken of occur, has been quoted just before
- e. Vol. II. p 326, l. 19. Na vaisa yukto vipratisedho yo'yam año mayatas ca. The Vipratisedha has been given in the last but one Varttika.
- f Vol III p 238, l. 9 Yat tv idam Vārttikakārah pathati &c. What is referred to by Idam has been mentioned before, and is here repeated

Vol II. p 139, l 19. Vepi hy ete'ta uttaram pratyayāh sisyanta &c Ete refers to the terminations that follow

Here there are five instances in which Etad with Yad, and two in which Idam with Yad, denote 'proximity' to the passage in which they occur. In six of these cases, the pronoun refers to things mentioned before, and in one, to things mentioned afterwards. And I dare say a good many more instances will be found, if a diligent search is made for them. I do not see why Patañjali should not, in the nature of things, use Etad to denote this sort of proximity, and restrict himself to that conceived to exist in things well-known to us. And the expression, or its

several senses, are by no means peculiar to Patanjali, but are to be found in the language itself. The following instances occur to me at the present moment, and doubtless a long list can be made out if necessary.—

Yeyam prete vicikitsā manusye,—Katha-Upanisad (3 or 1)

Ya esa suptesu jāgarti &c.—Ibid (1)

Yoyam yogas tvayā proktah,—Bhagavadgītā, Ch 6, v 33. (2)

Yad etad anumaranam nāma tad atınısphalam,—Kādambarī (1)

Yoyam baddho yudhi parikaras tena vo &c —Uttara-Rama-carita—Act V. (2)

Yenanena jagatsu Khandaparaśur, &c -Vīracarita Act II. (2).

Yah punar ayam ante'paro vikalpa &c. Śamkara-Bhāsya, Vol. I. (Bibl Ind) p 434, l 2 (2)

So that it does not appear to me that there is anything peculiar to Patanjali in this respect. He has used the expression under notice in those senses in which it is used elsewhere. Thus Yas to etah. In the Maurya passage, may, if the context require it, be taken to refer to some of the images already mentioned.

Now as to the word Samprati Purākalpa means 'ancient time,' a time so remote that nobody has a definite conception of it, and Adyatve, as opposed to it, signifies 'modern times' But this is not the sense we require in the present passage, according to my translation For the Maurya family became extinct only about thirty-five years before Patanjali according to Prof Goldstücker's view and consequently the time when it reigned cannot be spoken of as Purākalpa The word Samprati denotes 'now', as opposed to a past time which is definite and not very remote, and this is exactly the sense required in the passage under discussion Kielhorn, however, is led to think, from some of the instances quoted by him, that the pist time, implied by the present which the word Samprati denotes, must refer to the same thing as that the present condition of which is expressed by that word. But this is by no means necessary. For Nivasa (Vol II p 314, 1.7) is spoken of by Patanjah as the place where one lives now, while Abhijana is the place where his ancestors lived. Here the past time implied by the word Saniprati or 'now,' is not the past of the man whose present Nivasa is spoken of, but refers to his ancestors. And even the sense attached to the word by Dr. Kielhorn does not go entirely against my interpretation of the passage. We shall only have to suppose that the images now under worship were the same as those sold by the Mauryas, and not like them, or belonging to the same class with them. But this view I have rejected, after considerable deliberation.

The third expression the sense of which Dr. Kielhorn discusses, is Prakalpitah. He thinks that Prakalpayati means 'to fashion or make one thing out of another,' 'to produce a thing which did not exist before out of something else,' and that it is equivalent to Nirvartayati I feel no hesitation in saying that this sense does not appear to me to be at all appropriate, whether in the Mahabhasya or elsewhere In the expressions in which the genitive is spoken of as Prakalpita, is the genitive really produced? In grammar we speak of the Utpatti or production of a termination, when it is applied to a base which did not possess it before, as the accusative is Utpanna after the noun Kata and the termination kta after the root kr (Vol I p 441, ll 3, 4) 'Is the genitive so produced here and, if it is, why should Patanjali never use in the innumerable places where the phrase occurs, the word Utpādayati, as he does in these cases, or Nirvartayati, instead of Prakalpayati? And how are we to translate the phrase Anusvārah sthānī yaṇam anunāsikam prakalpayati (Vol I p 16)? that an original Anusvāra produces a Yan to be Anunāsika? How can we produce a thing such as a pot to be red? The word produce or Utpatti is out of place here, we can only say that an additional quality Anunasikatva is given to an existing thing Yan., Again, when Patanjali says that the general rule operates after having Prakalpita the scope of the special rule (Vol.

I. p. 463, l. 2, Vol. II p 127, l 5), does he mean "after having produced the scope of the special rule?" Is not "after having devised, arranged, or assigned scope to the special rule," the proper translation? And, using the root in its primitive or non-causal from, when Patañjali says · Vyapadeśo na prakalpate Vol. I p 61, 1 21), 'does he mean "the appellation or description is not produced"? Is not "the description does not fit," the proper sense here?" When he says Sāmānyaviśesau na prakalpete if what is general may become particular, and what is particular, general, he does not mean that Sāmānya and Viśesa are not 'produced,' but that they do not "fit each other" the relation 'does not hold,' 'is not intelligible,' 'not proper', (Vol. I. p. 172, 1 3). Avakāśah praklptah (Vol II. p. 297, 1 14) means the scope of the rules alluded to has been 'devised,' 'arranged' or 'determined,' not 'produced ' So also when Nigrahanam is said to be Seşapraklptyartham (Vol III p 159, l 1), the sense cannot be that Nī is used in VI 3 43 for producing Sesa, for Sesa is not to be produced or transformed The word occurs in the very next Sutra; and being a relative term equivalent to, remainder' or 'residue,' it can have no sense if Nī, with reference to which it is the residue, is not admitted in VI 3 43 So then Sesapraklptyartham means for making Sesa fit in with, answer to, or correspond with something else,' for giving an intelligible sense to the word Again, if Patanjali meant by Prakalpayati the same thing as Nirvartayati, why does he, fond as he is of the former word, not use it when he has occasion to speak of the production of a Ghața or a Kața, or of Odana, but use Nirvartayati or Karoti only? Thus he speaks of the Nirvitti of things which are made, not Prakipti, makes a man say to a potter Kuru ghatam, not Pra kalpaya ghatam (Vol. I p. 7, ll 2 and 3 from bottom), uses the expressions Odanam nirvartayati, not Odanam prakalpayati (Vol. I p 332, l 18), and Katam karoti, Katam kuru, Ghatam kuru several times (Vol 1 pp 440 and 441, Vol. III p 56, 18) and not Katam prakalpayati, &c. &c.

The central idea expressed by the root \sqrt{Klp} is that of a plan, eystem, arrangement, device, inputial fitness or consistency. The genitive is Prahalpita by the ablative in the place of the nominative in virtue of Panim's rule. Tasmad ity uttarasya in e, it is devised, arranged for, led to by a logical necessity or conditions of propriety, and has thus to be understood. The original Anusvaro y mam anunasikam prakalpayati, i e , 'devises or arranges that the Yan which takes its place should be nasal.' The Anusvara is a reason why the substituted Yan should be nasahzed. The general rule devises, arranges or plans out the scope of the special rule from the whole available region, and then operates (in the part that remains) Similarly in all the other instances given above, it will be seen that fitness, propriety, devising or planning is the sense involved. It will also be observed that that which is spoken of as the Prakalpaka is, or involves, a reason or a principle which justifies, explains, or determines something else, and makes the Prakalpaka fit in with or answer to the Prakalpita, while that which is Nirvartaka produces a thing that did not exist before, and possesses voluntary agency Thus then, in the passage under discussion, the images were devised, fitted, or made to answer by the Mauryas who wanted gold, 1 c., to answer or fit in with their desire for gold, just as Sega answers to or fits in with Ni in VI 3 43, or Visesa with Sāmānya, or the Vyapadeśa (description or appellation) with the nature of the thing alluded to, the genitive with the previous ablative or the following locative, the Anunasikatva with the Anusvāra and the province of the general rule with that of the special rule In other words, they were used as means fit for the end, the attainment of gold.

A SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE ON THE MAURYA-PASSAGE IN THE MAHĀBHĀSYA

[From the Indian Antiquary, Volume XVI, 1887, p 172 f]

In connection with my previous note on the Maurya-Passage in the Mahābhāsya, at page 156ff. above, I find that I have three more passages to quote, of the use of Etad or Idam with Yad, and it also appears to me desirable to put on record the full original passage, which is the subject of discussion, in order that the readers of this Journal may have it for easy reference in understanding the point that is at issue

The original passage, which is Patanjah's comment on Panini V 3.99, runs —

Apanya ity ucyate tatredam na sidhyati Šivah Skando Viśākha iti I Kim kāranam i Mauryair hiranyārthibhir archāh prakalpitāh i Bhavet tāsu na syād i Yās tv etāh samprati pūjārthās tāsu bhavisyati i

And the following are my additional instances in which Etad or Idam, with Yad, refers to things occurring before or to be mentioned afterwards —

Vol II p 111, l 18 Ye'py eta ita uttaram pratyayāh śisanta &c

Vol III p. 277, i 7 Ayukto'yam vipratisedho yo'yam gunasyettvottvayoś ca.

Vol III p 385, l. 2 Yeyam sapādasaptādhyāyyanukrāntaitasyām ayam &c.

I have stated in my previous note that I have rejected, after considerable deliberation, the view that the images spoken of in

¹ That is the Indian Antiquery Volume XVI, 1887. N B U

² Equal to this Volume, p 148ff above] .- N B U.

the passage as under worship now, were the same as those sold by the Mauryas. As, however, the revered Dr Böhtlingk seems. if I understand him right, to favour that view in his Ein Versuch zur Beilegung,' &c., I must give my reasons. They are these :-If the images, Siva, Skanda and Višākha, were the same as those sold or "introduced" by the Mauryas, Patañjalı would have indicated the same by some expression in the sentence Mauryair hiranyārthibhir, &c The pronoun Tāsu in the next sentence. and Etah in the one that follows it, will both have to be taken as referring to the same images. The same images cannot be spoken of as 'those' and 'these', and to remove this inconsistency. we shall have to understand Tasu as equivalent to Tadanim so as to bring out the sense, that the rule about the dropping of Ka was not applicable to these images at the time when they were sold or introduced by the Mauryas. But the demonstrative Tad cannot by itself be so understood. According to Dr. Bohtlingk's way of looking at the matter, the passage has no grammatical point at all, the object of Patanjali being simply to cast a reflection on the Mauryas. This is not proper. Patanjali always makes out a grammatical point, and the point made out according to the view under discussion, as it appeared to me when I rejected it, is, that, in framing the names of idols under worship, we have not to look to the fact that they were sold before they were used for worship. But this point is almost evident, and is more appropriately made out, if necessary, by speaking of ordinary manufacturers as selling images which are afterwards used for worship. The point according to the view which I have accepted, is this, -Panya has two senses, "something that has the possibility of being sold" and "something that is exposed for sale " The idols, Siva, &c, which are under worship now possess the possibility of being sold, because idols under worship were sold by the Mauryas. But, though they possess the possibility of being sold, they are not actually exposed for sale. Pānini's rule applies to idols of the latter description, and not of the former The distinction between the two senses of

the word Panya and its grammatical effect, cannot be illustrated. except by taking instances of the sale of idols under actual worship, and since ordinary manufacturers do not do that, Patañjali, knowing that the Mauryas had done it, makes use of the fact for the purposes of his grammatical exposition. word Etāh is not superfluous in my translation as Dr. Bohtlingk thinks, for, it is used for pointing out the idols under discussion, Siva, Skanda, Viśākha, as contrasted with the idols sold by the Mauryas All this I have explained at length in my Second Reply to Dr Peterson on the Date of Pataniali, and especially in my Sanskrit comment on the passage. It will be seen that, even according to my view, the passage shows that Patanjali flourished but a short time after the Mauryas For he remembers rather an unimportant incident with regard to the princes of that dynasty; and the word Samprati, as I have already observed, indicates 'present time' as contrasted, not with a remote past time-Advatve is the word which has that sense-but with a past time fairly near to the person who uses the word

I It is printed later in this Volume -[N. B U.

THE DATE OF PATANJALI, No. 1 BEING THE FIRST REPLY TO PROFESSOR PETERSON.

[I FOM THE JOURNAL OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASSAURC SOCIETY, VOLUME XVI, 1885, P. 199 11]

The Paper was read before the Society on May 1st, 1885.

Tin late Professor Goldstücker, from the examination of a passage occurring in Patanjali's Mahabhasya, or the great commentary on Katyayana's Vartikas, i. e., short critical dicta on Panini's Grammatical Sutray, arrived at the conclusion that the author of the great commentary lived in the middle of the second century before Christ From another passage, the evidence afforded by which is totally of a different kind, I arrived, more than twelve years ago, at precisely the same conclusion. Two other passages in the work and everything else of a historical nature occurring in it harmonize with our conclusion, and it has now been accepted by a good many scholars. Professor Peterson¹ of Elphinstone College has recently called it in question, and is inclined to refer Patañjali to the time of Skandagupta of the Gupta . Dynasty who was reigning in 146 of the Gupta Era² This date corresponds, according to what I consider to be unimpeachable evidence as regards the initial date of the Gupta Era, to 465 A D, but according to others it corresponds to 336 A D and 313 A D Professor Peterson asserts that "recent speculation" has been "slowly but surely" referring Patanjali to this date. One of the references he gives in support of this assertion is to the following statement of I-tsing, the Chinese pilgrim, given by Professor Max Muller in his Note on the Renaissance, "There is

^{1.} In the article on "The Aucityülamkāra of Ksemendra, with a note on the Date of Pataūjali" read by Prof Peterson before the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society on the 6th of March 1885, and published in the Journal of that Society, Volume XVI, 1885, pp 167ff. The note on the Date of Pataūjali appears at pp 181 ff —[N B U]

² General Cunningham's Arch Report, Vol XII p 38

³ The Note on the Renaissance of Sanskrit Literature was omitted from the subsequent editions of that work, as also from the Collected Edition of Max Muller's Works. [N B U]

a commentary on it (the Vrtti Sûtra, 1 e the Kāśikā Vrtu), entitled Curni, containing 24,000 Slokas; it is a work of the learned Patanjalı" To speak of Patanjalı's Mahābhāsya as a commentary on the Kāsikā is to speak something that is absurd. The author of the Kāśikā himself tells us that his work is based, among other works, on the Bhasya, which can be no other than the Mahābhāsya of Patanjah, and there is internal evidence at every step to show that it is based on that work. And there can be no question whatever that the Mahabhasya is not a commetary on the Kāśikā The absurdity of the statement is also patent from what I-tsing himself states with regard to the dates of the several grammarians. Jayadıtya, the author of the Vrtti Sutra, i. e , the Kāsikā, according to Professor Max Müller, died thirty years before I-tsing wrote, or about 660 A D, while Bhartrhari, the author of a commentary on the Mahābhāṣya, died forty years before, or about 650 A D, so that the author of the Kāśikā died ten years after the author of a commentary on a commentary on · his own work, that is, he was so fortunate as to have these two large and very learned works, written in elucidation of his own during his own lifetime by men who died before him. And yet this is the evidence that, according to Professor Peterson is "slowly but surely" referring Paranjali to a date much later than that assigned to him by Professor Goldstücker and myself When I-tsing speaks of Patanjah's work as a commentary on the Vrtu Sutra and of Javaditya as the author of the Vrtti Sūtra, he is confounding the Vārtika Sūtras of Kātyāyana with the Kāśikā or some work of Jayāditya, or has been mis-understood and mis-translated

Professor Peterson's other reference is to the verses quoted as from Panini in the several anthologies noticed by him in his Reports on the Search for Manuscripts during the last two years. These verses are precisely similar in character to those to which dates between 600 and 1,000 A D have been assigned, and herefore he says, "it is impossible to admit a gap of a thousand years between them" He therefore brings Panini down to about

that period. He does not deny the possibility of there being two Paninis But he thinle "there is no evidence for such a supposition." If the similarity between the verses attributed to Panini and others is a sufficient reason for referring both to the same period, ought not the utter dissimilarity between them and the language of the Sutras, as well as the great difference between the Sanskrit the rules of which the Sutras give and the Sanskrit of the verses, to be considered a reason sufficiently urgent for assigning to the Sutras a period separated by a long interval from that in which the verses were written? If the argument based on the similarity is valid, that based on the dissimilarity is equally zo; and as I proceed, I shall show that the latter is so powerful, and there are so many circumstances which harmonize only with the conclusion deducible from it, that the only option left to us is to suppose that the Panini of the verses was altogether a different man from the Great Grammarian It does not advance the cause of research to forget the points clearly made out by Goldstücker more than twenty years ago A substance of his arguments and my expansion of them I have given in my Early History of the Deccan, and since the matter is of importance in the present discussion I quote it here -

"Professor Goldstücker has shown from an examination of the Vārtikas, that certain grammatical forms are not noticed by Pānini but are taught by Kātyāyana, and concludes that they did not exist in the language in Pānini's time. I have followed up the argument in my lectures? "On the Sanskrit and Prakrit languages," and given from the Vārtikas several ordinary instances of such forms. I'rom these one of two conclusions only is possible, viz., either that Pāṇini was a very careless and ignorant grammarian, or that the forms did not exist in the language in his time. The first is of course inadmissible, where-

¹ Si rR. G. Bhandarkar's Collected Works, Valume III, p. 14f. [N.B.U.]

^{2.} Wilson Philological Lectures on Sanskrit &c., Edition of 1914, p. 281, [N. B. U.]

fore the second must be accepted. I have also shown from 2 passage in the introduction to Patanjali's Mahabhasya, that verbal forms such as those of the Perfect which are taught by Pammi as found in the Bhasa or current language, not the Chandasa or obsolete language, had gone out of use in the time of Kātyāyana and Patanjali, and participles had come to be used instead Professor Goldstücker has also given a list of words used by Pānini in his Sūtras in a sense which became obsolete in the time of Kātyāyana and has shown what portion of Sanskrit Literature did not probably exist in Pānini's time but was known to Kātyāyana, and in one case comes to the not unjustifible conclusion that the time that had elapsed between Panini and Kātyāyana was so great that certain literary works which either did not exist in Panini's time or were not old to him came to be considered by Kātyāyana to be as old as those which were old to Pānini"

To this I may now add, what I showed in the Preface to my Second Book of Sanskritt seventeen years ago, that according to Pānini's rules the Aorist expresses (1) past time generally, or the simple completion of an action, (2) the past time of this day and not previous to this day and (3) recent past time, and thus resembles in every respect the English Present Perfect. But in the later language the distinction between that tense and the other two past tenses is set aside and the Aorist is used exactly like these Now, the language of the verses ascribed to Pānını and generally the language of what Professor Max Muller calls the Renaissance period is grammatically the same as that of Kātyāyana and Patañjalı, and is the language of participles instead of verbs, and even from theirs it differs in making extensive use of compounds and neglecting the distinction between the Aorist and the other past tenses The Sanskrit of Panini's time is more archaic than that of Kātyāyana's time, and Panini's rules are nowhere more scrupulously observed than in

^{1.} Sir R. G Bhandarkar's Collected Works, Volume II, page 416f.

[[] N. B. U.]

such an ancient work as the Aitareya Brahmana.* The many forms and expressions which he teaches, and which must have existed in the language, are nowhere found in the later literature, while specimens of them are to be seen in that Brahmana and like works Between therefore the archaic language of the Sūtras and the language which Pānini calls Bhāsā and of which he teaches the grammar, on the one hand, and the language of the Renaissance period on the other, there is such a wide difference that no one will ever think of attributing a work written in the style and language of this period to the Great Grammarian I have in my first lecture2 assigned Yaska and Panini to the same period of Sanskrit Literature, and therefore, in my opinion, the style and manner of a work written by Panini, the grammarian must resemble those of the Nirukta. but in the few verses attributed to Panini there is no such resemblance whatever Should the entire work be discovered and found as a whole to be written in an archaic style, there will be time enough to consider its claim to be the work of Pānini, but at present we must reject that advanced on behalf of these artificial verses

I will here briefly state the other arguments I have elsewhere used to prove Pāṇini's great antiquity. In the Early History of the Deccan's I have mentioned that while in the Sütras of Pāṇini there are a great many names of places in Afghanistan, Panjab, and Northern India. there is none of any situated in Southern India. But Kātyāyana inserts such names in his

^{1.} I have shewn this, so far as the Acrist is concerned, in the preface to my Second Book of Sanskrit, and I learn from Dr. Kielhorn that one of his German pupils has recently done the same as regards the cases, in his dissertation for his Ph. D.

^{2.} See the Wilson Philological Lectures for 1877, printed separately, Bombay 1914 p 30 These Wilson Philological Series 1877, are also now printed in Sir R G Bhandarkar's Collected Works in Volume IV. [N.B U]

^{3.} That is Sir R G Bhandarkar's Collected Works, Volume III, page 14f. [N. B. U.]

^{21 [}R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

emendations of the Sutras, and from this circumstance I have concluded, as Goldstücker has done in other cases, that Southern India was unknown to the Aryas of the North in the time of Pānini, while it was known in the time of Kātyāyana. The Asoka Inscriptions contain a good many names of places in the South, which shows that the Aryas were familiar with that part of the country in his time, i e in the middle of the third century before Christ. Pānini therefore must have flourished before the third century at least In a paper published in the first number of the Indian Antiquary I have given reasons for identifying a town of the name of Sangala, destroyed by Alexander the Great, with Sankala mentioned by Panini under Sānkala therefore existed in Pānini's time, which it could not have done if he lived after Alexander the Great. Pānini must therefore have flourished before him In a review of this paper2 Professor Weber states that certain Greek geographers speak of the existence of a town of that name even after the time of Alexander. But I believe it is a town of the name of Śākala that they mention, and Śākala, we know, was a flourishing town in Panjab up to a very late period, and was different from Sangala which was situated to the east of the Rāvi, while Śākala was situated to the west Ptolemy mentions Sagala which must be Śākala and not Sānkala, as it wants the nasal Sākala is mentioned in the Mahābhārata as the capital of the Madras, by Patanjalı under Panını IV. 2. 104, and in the form of Sagala in Pali Buddhistic books such as the Milindapañho It appears to have been the capital of the Indo-Bactrian princes, since Milinda or Menander is spoken of as reigning at But Sankala is not mentioned in any Indian work, or Sangala by any Greek writer, in a manner to show that it existed after the time of Alexander Sakala was an old city, and appears to have been re-built by an Indo-Bactrian king and called Ptolemy gives this as another name of Sagala.

^{1.} Printed in this volume pages 102-107 [N B. U]

² See above [N B. U]

Sangala was destroyed by Alexander, and there is nothing to show that it was re-built. In a Copper-plate Grant of the Valabhi Dynasty, dated 326, a translation of which was published by me in that same number, and a Nagari Transcript in the tenth Volume of the Journal of this Society, puns on the technical terms of Pānini are used in describing a king, who is represented as thoroughly versed in the Tantra or art of the Salaturiya, as well as in that of government By the Salaturiya is, of course, meant Pānini, he being a native of the town of Salātura. a use of the name of Pānini and of his technical terms argues a great deal of everyday familiarity with his work on the part of the writer, and of those for whom he wrote, which would not have been possible unless he had for a very long time been in undisputed possession of the place he has occupied in Sanskrit Literature Now the date 326 refers to the Gupta-Valabhī Era and corresponds according to my view to 645 A. D, and according to the views of others to 493 and 516 A D. Thus then in the first half of the seventh century or about the end of the fifth, Pāṇini was an author of established repute, with whom everybody was familiar, and consequently, even then, of great anti-Hiuen Tsiang mentions Panini, the author of the grammar, as having been born at Śalātura. Five hundred years after the Nirvāna of Buddha, he tells us, an Arhat converted a boy at Śalātura whom he saw undergoing chastisement at the hands of his teacher for not learning his lessons in Pānini's In connection with the Arhat's observation that the Rsi Pānini had compiled the Śabdavidyā, the teacher said that the children of the town revered his eminent qualities, and that a statue erected to his memory still existed at Salatura. The Arhat thereupon told the teacher that the boy whom he had been chastising was Pānini himself, who had come into the world again to study the holy doctrine of the Tathagata. As Panini he had wasted a vigorous intellect in studying worldly literature

^{1,} Sir R. G. Bhandarkar's Collected Works, Volume III, p.214ff. [N.B.U]

and composing heretical treatises, and therefore had, since that This boy theretime, run through cycles of continued births fore had no capacity for the study of grammar. From this it is clear that, according to the Buddhistic tradition prevalent in Hiuen Tsiang's time, i.e., in the first half of the seventh century, the length of time that elapsed between Panini and the end of the fifth century after the Nirvana, was computable by cycles of continued births. And the "recent speculation" also that Professor Peterson speaks of, instead of modernizing Pānini, tends in the same direction For, we now know that Bhartrhari lived before 650 A D., and from the account that he gives of the fate of the Mahabhasya, it appears, as I shall mention further on more particularly, that that work was written several centurnes before him. And Panini, of course, must have lived a long time before Patañjali, the author of the Mahabhasya. A very large variety of arguments such as these clearly prove Pānini's high antiquity. The modern verses, therefore, attributed to him must be regarded as written by another author of that time

In his Second Report, Professor Peterson quotes a verse ascribed to Rājašekhara in which Pānini, the author of the grammar, is represented to be the author of a poem entitled Jambuvatijaya He also speaks of Professor Aufrecht's having seen it stated in an anonymous verse that the poet Pānini was the son of Dākṣī In themselves both these statements prove nothing as to the age The great Grammarian may have been a poet, and may have written a work called Jambuvatijava But if the verses brought to light are from that work and consequently the work is of the nature of those belonging to the period of the Renaissance then at once the tradition which represents the author of that work to be the same as the author of the Astadhyavi must be rejected as conflicting with the clearest evidence, internal as well as external. It is a tradition of the same nature as that which represents the author of the Nalodaya to be the same as the author of Sakuntala, or which refers Kalidasa to the first century before the Christian era, or which makes Kālidāsa and Bhavabhūti contemporaries, or which identifies Hāla with Kuntala, and both with Śālivāhana

This, then, is what comes of the "recent speculation" which is "slowly but surely" referring Patañjali to the fifth century of the Christian era. And if I can show that the evidence on which the author of the Mahābhasya is referred to the middle of the second century before Christ not only remains totally un-shaken by anything that Professor Peterson has directly urged against it, but is corroborated by facts, recently brought to light, the Professor's "recent speculation" will be utterly powerless by itself to modernize Pānini and his commentators. Professor Peterson himself sees this, and hence he makes only a passing allusion to it, and does not bring it forward prominently.

The first thing against which Professor Peterson directs his attack is the statement of Kalhana, the chronicler of Kasmīr, that Candrācārya and others introduced the study of the Mahābhasya into that country in the reign of Abhimanyu ment is considered as deserving of no credit, on the general ground that the part of the Rajatarangini where it occurs is, according to the Professor, full of improbabilities such a professedly historical work as the Rajtarangini the correct principle to go upon is, in my opinion, to accept such statements as are not improbable in themselves, and do not go against stronger and more reliable evidence. If we adopt the principle laid down by Professor Peterson, we shall have to reject everything that is said in this part of the work, even his statement that Kasmīr was ruled over a little before this time by three princes of Turaska extraction, Huska, Juska, and Kaniska Inscriptions and coins prove this statement of Kalhana to be true. and confirmation of this nature shows that he is entitled to our credit, except, I repeat, in those cases where stronger evidence proves him to be wrong. And in the present case not only is here nothing that goes against his statement, but the passage in

¹ Rajatarangini, I 176, Bombay Sanskrit Series [N B U]

Bhartrhari's Vākyapadīya confirms it, since, there also, we are told that Candrācārya revived the study of the Mahābhāṣya Of course, Bhartrhari does not say that Candracarya did that in the reign of Abhimanyu, but since the main portion of the statement is confirmed, it is in every way reasonable that we should believe in the remaining part. Professor Peterson says that Kalhana's authority is the statement in the Vakyapadiya, but this is a mere assumption, and the fact that Bhartrhari does not mention the name of Abhimanyu would rather show that it was not the Väkyapadiya that Kalhana followed In another place the Rajatarangini states' that a subsequent king, Jayapida, who is said to have reigned from 755 A D to 786 A D, reintroduced the study of the Mahābhāsya which had ceased to be studied in his realm. The word विच्छित्र which occurs in the verse and signifies "cut off," "interrupted," and which, consequently, I have translated by "ceased to be studied," shows that the Mahabhasya continued to be studied in Kasmir for some time after Candracarya had revived its study, but had fallen into disuse in that country Hence it was that Jayapida brought Panditas from other parts of India, and re-introduced the study of the book For this statement, also, Professor Peterson thinks the passage in the Vakyapadiya to be Kalhana's authority, and believes that the author of the Kasmir chronicle divided the passage into two parts, and assigned Candracarya to the reign of Abhimanyu for "greater glory" of that monarch But why he should be so partial to that monarch, removed as he was from his time by centuries, it is difficult to conceive The Professor thinks this latter statement of Kalhana about Jayapida's revival of the study of the Mahābhāsya " to be far more deserving of credit," and understands by विच्छिन that cessation of the study of the work in the whole of India and not in Kasmīr alone, from which, according to the Vākyapadīya, Candrācārya "had (recently?) rescued "it For these several assumptions, however, the Professor gives no grounds, and to me this looks like

^{1,} Rajatarangini IV 488, Bombay Sanskrit Series [N B. U]

a process of manufacturing history to order, and not interpreting history But in this way Professor Peterson falls unawares into a trap which he has prepared for himself. He evidently seems to think that the revival of the study of the Mahābhāṣya by Candrācārya, mentioned by Bhartrhari, took place in about 755 A D., in the reign of Jayapida, but Bhartrhari, who mentions the fact, died, according to I-tsing, the Chinese traveller, about the year 650 A. D, so that according to the Professor's reading of history Bhartrhari makes mention of a fact that took place about 105 years after his death! Thus then Kalhana did not assign one part of what took place in the time of Jayapida to Abhimanyu's reign " for the greater glory " of that monarch, and not only is there nothing that conflicts with that author's placing Candrācarya in the reign of Abhimanyu, but all that we know is in perfect harmony with it. Hence the statement of Kalhana must be accepted Now, when Abhimanyu reigned it is somewhat difficult to determine, since the Kasmir chronology of this early period is not clear Abhimanyu, according to Kalhana, got possession of the Kasmir throne after the three Turuska or Indo-Scythian princes Kaniska, the first of these, is referred to the first century, and is by some considered to be the founder of the Śaka era which begins in 78 A D On this supposition the last of these princess reigned up to about 178 A.D. But I am inclined, for reasons elsewhere given, to place Kaniska about a century later, so as to bring the last Indo-Scythian prince about the end of the third century 2 Thus the study of the Mahābhāsya was revived by Candrācārya about the end of the third century at the latest

Professor Peterson next discusses the sense of the passage in the Mahābhāsya in which the name Maurya occurs This has been understood to be the name of the dynasty that ruled over Pāṭaliputra and the whole of Northern India at the end of the

^{1.} Early History of the Deccan, p 20 =SirR G Bhandarkar's Collected Works, Volume III, p 38n [N.B.U]

^{2. &}quot;A Peep into the Early History of India", this Volume, p. 35 [N B U,]

fourth and in the third century before Christ Professor Peterson criticises Professor Goldstücker's translation, says that no contrast between the Mauryas and common people, which he thinks led Goldstücker to understand the dynasty by that name, is here meant, and takes the word Maurya to mean a guild or caste of idol-makers, which is the interpretation put upon the word by Nagonbhatta

That Goldstücker misunderstood the grammatical import of the passage and that Professor Peterson gives it correctly is true. I myself published a translation of it in 1873, in accordance with the native commentators, and stated that Goldstücker's translation was wrong. But in other respects Professor Peterson's translation is incorrect, and the grounds for taking "Maurya" as the name of a dynasty that was extinct in Patañjali's time still remain. The contrast between a royal dynasty and common people is not that ground, but there is another contrast which Professor Peterson has lost sight of and which consequently has been neglected in his translation. That translation is a

"In that case [if अवर्णे is to be part of the rule] the following expression is not obtained [i e , must be declared to be bad grammar, while as a matter of fact, it is in common use, and so it is the correctness of the Sūtra that is in peril] स्कन्दो विशास "A Skanda in act to shoot" "Why?" "It is for gain that the Mauryas make images" ताम न स्याद "Let it be admitted that so far to them the rule कनो छुप should not apply, but that the affix Ka shouldbe used" पास्त्रेता समित पुतार्थो "But whatever images among these even, are from the beginning intended for worship and not for sale, ताम मिन्यति to them that rule will apply, and the affix Ka will be barred" The Italics are mine with the exception of those in the first expression

¹ This Volume, p 154 [N B. U]

² Mahabhaaya on Papini, V 3 99 अपण्य इत्युच्यते तन्नेद न सिध्यति । शिषः स्कन्दो विशास इति । किं कारणम् । मैंबिंहिरण्याधिभरचीः प्रकल्पिता । भवेत्तासु न स्वास् । यास्त्रेनाः सप्ति प्जार्थोस्ताम् भविष्यति ।

Professor Peterson rejects the reading द्विच before स्कन्द, why, I do not understand, unless the reason be that it goes against the translation which he was worked himself into believing to be correct विशास he translates by "in act to shoot" and his authority is a certain explanation of the word with a second-hand quotation in support from a commentary on the Amarakośa, contained in the St. Petersburg Lexicon, and copied from that as a matter of course by Monier Williams. But Bohtlingk and Roth have not found a single instance of the use of the word in that sense in the whole extent of the literature which they have examined. Still Professor Peterson thinks Patanjali has used it in that sense. But after all what Bohtlingk and Roth and Momer Williams say is that fame expresses "an attitude in shooting"; and not "one in that attitude", so that if the sense is to be admitted here at all, स्कन्दी विज्ञास would mean "Skanda who is an attitude in shooting," which of course will not do. Patanjah, however, uses the word as expressive of a certain god who is always mentioned together with Skanda. Under Pāņini VIII. I. 15, he gives द्वन्द्वं स्कन्दियकाखी along with द्वन्द्वं पर्वतनारदी as an instance of a copulative compound of the names of things or persons always mentioned together, which admits of the use of the word Dvandva or "pair" instead of Dvau, or "two" It is clear from this that Patanjali himself means to speak of them as two individuals always associated together, and forming a pair, and the dual also expresses that they were two.

Now Professor Peterson's translation of मौर्वेहिरण्याधिमरचीः प्रकfeath, is "It is for gain that the Mauryas make images." "Make" is present tense while the original nateural: is past tense, that being the past passive participle of the causal of are. Again saferan: means "devised," "planned," "used as means," and not simply "made." A closer translation of fervariation, than that we have in the expression "for gain" ought to be given, for an important point is involved in that. Patanjali applies several times the expression अर्थिनश्व दिरण्येन भग्नन्त "seek for gold" to Lings; and 22 (R. G. Bhandarkar's works, Vol. I.]

the presumption it gives rise to is that here too those to whom he applies it must be kings. In the last sentence Professor Peterson's translation of the nominative vai: by "among these" is wrong. It is only the genitive vailed or the locative vailed that can be so translated. Similarly infa cannot mean "from the beginning" as the Professor takes it to mean; it can only signify "now," "in these days," &c.

The sense of the passage is this -Pānini lays down a rule that the termination Ka which is appended to the name of an object to signify something resembling that object (इने), provided that something is an image (प्रतिस्तों), is dropped (इनो हुए), when the image is used for deriving a livelihood (जीविकार्थ) and is not vendible (च अपण्ये). Now, Patañjali raises this question addition of the condition that the image should not be vendible renders such forms as Śivah, Skandah, Viśākhah, grammatically not justifiable (तनेड - विज्ञास इति) He must here be taken to mean that these forms are current, and that the description "not vendible " is not applicable to them " Why not " (कि कारणम), he asks "Because the Mauryas, seeking for gold or money, used images of gods as means" (मोर्च-प्रकल्पिता.). Here the author must be understood to say that the description "not vendible" is not applicable to the images now called Sivah, Skandah, and Visākhah because such images were sold by the Mauryas. They are therefore vendible objects, though as a matter of fact they are not for sale, and though the selling of such images of gods is discreditable. It is the act of the Mauryas that has rendered them vendible objects Hence the termination cannot be dropped in accordance with the rule, and they should be called Sivakah, Skandakah and Visākhakah, but they are called Sivah, Skandah, and Viśākhah "It may be (भनेत्) that the rule about the dropping of Ka is not applicable (न न्यात) to them, i e to those (ताइ) images of gods which were sold by the Mauryas. to these (एता) [viz those called by the names Sivah, Skandah, and Visathah, the correctness of which is in question] which (का) are at the present day used for worship (संप्रति पूजाओं -) the

rule is applicable to them (ताम भविष्यति)." That is, the termination Ka should be dropped in their case and the forms whose correctness was questioned are correct.

The forms are correct, because they signify images of gods which are now worshipped and are not vendible. They were thought to come under the class of vendible objects because such images were used by the Mauryas for raising money, but the yendibility of some does not make those that are worshipped vendible, and consequently, the names of those images do come under Pānini's rule and drop Ka In understanding the passage thus I have set aside Nagoubhatta's comment which I think can be shown to be wrong. He appears to me to say that the words. Sivah, Skandah and Visakhah, express images sold by the Mauryas, and as such they are vendible objects and consequently should have the termination Ka, 1 e the forms should be Sivakah. &c, and not Sivah, &c, as given in the Mahabhasya which are incorrect, while those, which, in conformity with Panin's Sutra drop Ka, are such as express images, intended for that sort of worship which immediately after their manufacture brings in gains and enables a man to earn his livelihood. Now this makes no difference as to the province or operation of Panini's rule; but that the passage itself has been misunderstood by Nagonbhatta appears to me clear He interprets सप्रति प्रजार्थी as "bringing in gains immediately after manufacture," which interpretation is farfetched, as are those of all commentators when they do not undeistand the point and still wish to explain a passage somehow. He also neglects the word var. But the great mistake he makes is his forgetting that when Patañjali supposes an opponent and makes him raise an objection by the expression a farenta, "this is not justifiable by that rule," he very generally makes him object to the rule by bringing forward correct forms which that rule does not explain. Eventually, he interprets the rule in such a manner that those forms also are explained by it accordance with my interpretation this is exactly what is done

here by Patanjali If the passage were put in the form of a dialogue between the Doctor (Siddhantin) and his opponent (Purvapaksin), it would stand thus:—

Op. Pānini inserts the condition that the image should not be vendible. Then, the forms Sivah, Skandah Viśākhah, are not correct according to his rul. [These forms express images of those gods, and should have the suffix Ka].

Doc. Why?

Op. Because the Mauryas, desirous of raising money, used as means the images of gods, [1 e they barrered them, and these are such images, and consequently belong to the class of vendible objects].

Doc. Those images may not come under the rule, [because they bartered them, and consequently they may not drop Ka]. But these, [viz, those in question] which at the present day are used for worship, come under the operation of the rule [and consequently the Ka is dropped]

Does this passage contain history? The past tense in the third speech itself shows that whoever the Mauryas were, they existed at a time which preceded the present time expressed in the last sentence of the fourth speech. And the present time must clearly be the time when Patanjal: wrote The Mauryas could not have been idol-makers, for, if they were there was no necessity for referring them to past time. Nagoubharte no doubt, says they were idol-makers But Nago inhatta was a Sastri or Pandita, who lived about a hundred and fifty years ago and though a man of very great learning and acuteness did not care at all for history or had no conception of 1- and as I have already observed, like other commentators, he often cuts the gordian knot of a difficulty instead of untying it. And what authority is there in the whole range of Sanskrit literature for taking the word in that sense? It is used in the Markandeya Purana to express a certain class of demons But these demons can have nothing to do here. The word therefore must be understood in the only other known sense, and that is, that it was the name of a royal dynasty founded by Candragupta about 320 B. C. And Patañjali's expression Hiranyārthibhih does certainly not discountenance the hypothesis, as I have already observed, for he has used the same expression in an uncompounded condition in speaking of kings generally. What is this fact that the author of the Mahābhāsya mentions regarding the Mauryas? It may be, as Professor Weber has stated, that the Mauryas coined money by stamping the images of gods on the pieces, or it may be anything else.

Professor Peterson next proceeds to consider the historical value of the passages pointed out by Professor Goldstücker and myself, the events mentioned in which we regard as contemporaneous with Patañjali In Goldstücker's passage, Patañjali gives अरुणयुवन साकेतम, "The Yavana besieged Saketa," as an instance of Kātyāyana's rule that the Imperfect should be used to express an event, (r) known generally to people, and (2) not witnessed by the speaker, but (3) capable of being witnessed by him. The event mentioned in the instance must be understood as having these three attributes. The Yavana's siege of Saketa was known to all, and could be actually witnessed by the speaker if he wished, but was not, as a matter of fact, that is to say, the event took place during the life-time of the speaker But who is the speaker? Is he necessarily to be supposed to be contemporaneous with Patanjali or Patanjali himself, or is his time an irrelevant matter? Professor Peterson thinks it is irrelevant, and the speaker may be supposed to have lived any number of years before Patañjali Then how is it to be made out by Patañjali's pupil, for whose edification he gives the example, that the verb Arunad here expresses an action that could be witnessed by the speaker? What Professor Peterson says amounts to this, that the pupil should know, as we now know it, that the verb expresses such an action, from the fact that this is an example of the rule that the Imperfect is used to denote an action that could be witnessed by the speaker. Then what was the necessity of a historical example? Patañjali might have

given such an instance as this '-देवदत्तो मधुगमगच्छत, "Devadatta went to Mathura " That this was a fact well known, not witnessed by the speaker, but capable of being witnessed by him, his pupils should have gathered from the fact that it was an example of the rule And what is the point of the counterexamples that he gives? He puts the question, "Why does the Vārtikakāra say, 'the event must not be witnessed by the speaker' [परोक्ष इति किमर्थम]?" The answer is, "In such instances as 'The sun has risen' [उदगादादित्य], the Imperfect is not to be used but the Aorist", for this fact is known to people generally and is capable of being witnessed by the speaker; but it is not such as is not witnessed by the speaker Again, "Why does he say the event must be known to people generally [लोकविज्ञात इति क्मिर्थम] " " Because, in such instances as ' Devadatta made a mat ' (चकार कट देवडना), the Perfect must be used and not the Imperfect", for this event is not witnessed by the speaker and is capable of being witnessed by him, but it is not a thing that is known to people generally And lastly, "Why does he say it must be capable of being witnessed by the speaker [प्रयोक्तर्द्शनिवपय इति किमर्थम्]?" in such instances as 'Vāsudeva killed Kamsa' (ज्ञान कंसं किल वासदेव), the Perfect should be used, and not the Imperfect", for the event is generally known to people, and is not witnessed by the speaker, but it is not capable of being witnessed by the speaker, as it took place a long time ago. It will thus be seen that these counter-examples are such that their possessing two of the three conditions, and not possessing one, is a fact that 15 known to the persons whom Patanjali is addressing, and is not to be made out by them simply because he says so the fact that the example, "the Yavana besieged Sāketa", possesses the three necessary conditions, must be known to the pupils independently of the rule, and then only can they see that the Imperfect is properly used If the existence of the three conditions in the example were a thing to be made out from the wording of the rule only, अहन्कस किल वासदेव would also serve 25

an example of the rule instead of अरुणदावन साकेतम् for we might suppose the speaker to be contemporaneous with Vasudeva, since the rule requires it to be so The counter-examples would be pointless if there were no way of knowing, independently of the rule, that one of the conditions was absent. And we shall see, if we compare these examples, that the means of judging whether the conditions of a rule are realized in an example are supplied by the pupil's acquaintance with the world, and with history and mythology When the conditions are verbal, it is the eye and the ear that discover whether they are fulfilled. This is what Patañjali supposes, and not a previous acquaintance with the language, as Professor Peterson thinks Even in the English example he has given, "In six days God made lieaven and earth", we know that this use of the past tense is proper, because we know from the Book of Genesis and not from the rule about that tense, that God did create heaven and earth in six days, i e it is a past action

I will here endeayour to state clearly the relation between the rules and examples given by a grammarian Every rule lays down a certain condition, and prescribes what should be done when the condition is satisfied An example intended to illustrate such a rule can serve its purpose only then when the pupil or reader sees, independently of the rule, from his knowledge of the world, including that of history, that the condition mentioned in the rule is satisfied and what is prescribed is done. those examples in which the names of possible individuals such as John, and Caïus, and Devadatta are used, the condition can only be satisfied by the possible and not actual existence of its requirements "John is writing a book" is an appropriate example of the use of the Present Progressive, because the condition that the action of writing should be of a nature to be progressive and present is satisfied in so far as it is possible for the action to have both characteristics in this case. But this possibility is independent of the rule, and is to be made out by the

reader or pupil through his knowledge of the world instead of this example, we have "John is loving Jane and having Tom", we see it is not appropriate, though the rule is the same The reason is that the condition is not satisfied, as the actions of lowing and hating are not of a nature to admit of progressiveness, and this we know independently of the rule. In the same way the example, "Gibbon is writing the history of the Decline and Fall "would be inappropriate as given by grammarians of the present day, because the condition that the action should be present cannot be satisfied, for Gibbon is not living now. But as given by a grammarian living while Gibbon was writing his work, it would be appropriate, for that condition would then be satisfied A grammarian of the present day can use the fact only for illustrating the use of the Past tense and say, "Gibbon wrote the History of the Decline and Fall " It will, therefore, be seen, that when examples containing the names of actual or historical and not possible individuals are used, the condition of the rule can only be satisfied by its requirements having an actual or historical, and not possible, existence.

Thus, then, in the case before us, in which we have a historical example, the requirements of the condition must have an actual or historical existence, and Patanjali's pupils must see from their acquaintance with the world, and not from the rule of Kātyāyana, that the siege by the Yavana was known to people generally, that it was not witnessed by the speaker, but that it was capable of being witnessed by him. Now if the speaker was an indefinite person who lived nobody knew when, it was not possible that the pupils should be able to find out whether the condition that the siege should be capable of being witnessed by him was satisfied in the example, and therefore he must be supposed to be contemporaneous with them and with Patanjali, or Patañjalı himself Professor Peterson thinks Nagojibhatta supports his view But Nagojibhatta puts himself in the position of a modern reader, and not in the position of Patañjali's pupils; and infers from the Vartika and the example that the speaker

belongs to the same time as that when the siege took place know nothing of this siege and when it was undertaken have consequently to infer from the passage the chronological relation between it and the speaker. But Nagojibhatta does not say that the contemporaneity of the siege and the speaker is the only inference that is valid, and that the "user" is not necessarily Patanjali or contemporaneous with him On the other hand, he remarks - "The killing of Kamsa is not even capable of being witnessed by a speaker living in these days (इदानीतनप्रयोक्तः), while in the example Arunad ctc, the speaker is contemporaous with the action " The word इदानींतन ' living in these days ' which he has used in connection with the speaker in the counter-example, "Vāsudeva killed Kamsa," is to be understood as applicable to the प्रवस्त or speaker in the example also, so that Nagoubhatta must be taken to mean that the speaker of these "These days" are days is contemporaneous with the action of course the days when Patañjali wrote

Again, even if the contemporancity of the siege of Sāketa by the Yavana and of Patañjali be admitted, it proves nothing, according to Professor Peterson, as regards the age of Patañjali "There is nothing to show that the Yavanas besieged Sāketa in the time of Menander, or that they did not besiege that ciry more than once in the centuries that followed "But the question has certainly advanced a stage and it were very much to be wished that the Professor had taken it up there. Of the Indo-Bactrian princes Demetrius and Menander have been represented by the Greek historians to have made the largest conquests. The former is said to have reigned between 205 and 165 B. C. 2 According to Strabo, as Goldstücker has stated, Menander pushed his conquests up to the Jumna (Yamunā) river. The Indo-Bactrian dynasty became extinct in B. C. 85, according to Lassen

¹ भाष्ये जघानिति । कसवधो हि नेदानीतनप्रयोक्तर्दर्शनयाग्योऽपीत्यथः । अरुणिद-त्यदाहरणे तु तुल्पकालः प्रवक्ति बोध्यम् । From a MS in my possession

² Kern's Edition of Varāhamihira, Preface.23 [R G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol I]

In the Gargi Samhita, the Yavanas are mentioned as having conquered Saketa, Pañcala, and Mathura, and penetrated even to Kusumapura or Pāṭaliputra Of the Indo-Bactrian kings, Menander was the one who seems to have come in close contact There is a work in Pali entitled Milindapañho with the Indians which gives an account of a religious conversation between a Yona king of the name of Milinda and a Buddhist sage of the Milinda has been identified with Menander. name of Nāgasena There is, therefore, every probability that it was Menander that laid the siege to Sāketa alluded to by Patanjali. But if Professor Peterson is not sausfied, no Greek invasion of India could have taken place after 85 B. C, so that the "centuries that followed' during which the Yavanas could, according to him, have besieged the city are reduced to about 60 years. That the Indians called the Greeks only Yavanas during the three centuries preceding the Christian era and about as many after, is a fact Aśoka calls Antiochus, king of Syria, a Yona-rājā Milinda or Menander is so styled in the Milindapañho, and in the Gargi Samhita the Yavanas are spoken of as good astronomers, , wherefore the Greeks must have been meant Kaniska and his successors are called Turuskas in the Rajatarangini, and the Indo-Scythians, who overran a large part of the country, were called Sakas Persians or Parthians are spoken of as Palhavas, and the Huns, who poured into the country later, are styled Hūnas So that during this early period, each of these foreign races was called by a distinctive name and there was no confusion By the name Yavana, Patanjali therefore could not have meant a prince of any other than the Greek race Hence the siege of Saketa by a Yavana could by no possibility have taken place after 85 B C, and for the reasons above stated and also because the Indo-Bactrian kings could not have invaded the country during the years of their decline, it was Menander in all likelihood that is spoken of as the Yavana by our great Grammarian

As with the example pointed out by Goldstücker, so with mine Kātyāyana's Virtika is "the Bhavantī or forms of the Present

Tense should be prescribed for use to express an action which has begun but not ceased, "for, though at a particular time during the interval that action may not be going on, still if it has not ceased, it should be expressed even at that time, by means of the Present tense "Here we sacrifices for Puspamitta " (इह प्रव्यामिन याजयाम) is one of the three instances by which Patanjali illustrates the rule. It is a historical instance, and consequently on the principles laid down before, the re quirement of the condition mentioned in the rule must have an actual or historical existence. If Puspamitra had flourished long before Patanjali, it would not have done for him to illustrate an action that had begun but not ended by speaking of his sacrifice, in the same manner as it would not do for us in these days, to illustrate such an action by "God is making heaven and earth" or "Gibbon is writing the History of the Decline and Fall." And as we must have recourse in these days to an event that is going on at present, if we wish to give a historical illustration, and say such a thing as "Kielhorn is editing the Mahābhāṣya," so when Patanjali wanted to give a historical illustration of the rule, and said, "Here we sacrifice for Puspamitra," it must have been an occurrence actually then going on that he had recourse to. In other words, Pataniali's pupils must be able to see that in this example, the condition laid down in the rule that "an action must have begun but not ended" is fulfilled would, of course, be impossible for them to find out if Puspamitra flourished long before them If instead of this historical fact, Patañjali had instanced a possible fact and said, " Here we sacrifice for Devadatta " (इह देवदत्त याजयाम), all that would have been necessary is that the action of sacrificing (याजनकिया) should be of a nature to have a possible present existence and to extend over many days and admit of intervals during which it is not actually going on, and then his example would have resembled such a modern example as "John is writing a book " But Puşpamitra, being a historical personage, the action affirmed with reference to him must have an actual present existence at the time. When, therefore Patanjali wrote this, the sacrifice of Puspamitra had begun, but not ended.

Professor Peterson, however, considers it "more probable than not" from the whole context of the passage, and not from the illustration, that "Patanjali lived at the time, and perhaps at the court, of Puspamitra " The historical import of the illustration I have explained, and nothing more remains to be said on this point, except that instead of saying the "whole context of the passage," if the Professor had said "from this passage, and another in which Puspamitra's sacrifice is spoken of and he is represented as giving the money required, and from a third in which he is meant to be spoken of as a particular king (राजविशेष) and as holding his courts", it would have answered his purpose better But though Professor Peterson admits that Patanjali very probably lived in the time of Puspamitra, still he thinks that there is no ground for believing that he was the Puspamura who reigned in the second century before Christ, and has got Dr Bhagvanlal Indrau to unearth for him another Puspamitra, who lived about the time of Skandagupta process has certainly not succeeded For, in the first place, General Cunningham, writing in 1861-62, says with regard to the Bhitari Lat and the Inscription on it "Unfortunately, this face is much weather-worn, and the stone has also peeled off in several places, so that the Inscription is now in even a worse condition than when I first saw it in January 1836"1 Then, the line read ममदितदलकोपम्पुष्यमित्र गृहीत्वा by Dr Bhau Dan, and सप्ट-दितवलकोपात्पुष्यमित्र च जित्वा bv Dr Bhagvanlal (both reading from the same transcript), reads in General Cunningham's copy² दरपचयमक्रीश वाक्यमित्र गदित्वा, where we see Pusyamitra is transformed into Vākyamitra This shows unniistakably in what condition the Inscription is In the impression or facsimile given by Dr Bhau Dan, I can read महदितबलकोप मित्र गृहीत्वा of Bhagvanlal's जित्वा does not at all appear there, and the word looks certainly far more like गृहीत्वा than च जिल्वा, and General Cunningham's गाँदन्त्रा agrees better with the former than with the

¹ Arch Report, Volume I p 98

² Ibid plate XXX

latter The two letters between and and are illegible, and the second certainly looks much more like General Cunningham's are than or and may be an, and it was on this account that Bhau Daji himself must have enclosed "Pusya" within brackets in his translation. It cannot be a printer's error, as Professor Peterson supposes, and the reason why god is not bracketed in the Nāgarī Transcript must have been that Bhau Daji himself did not read a proof of it, but left it to his Sāstris. There is then no authority for reading the word as "Pusyamitra" in the published copies of the Inscription. So that until Professor Peterson gets Dr. Bhagvanlal to publish a more legible facsimile, I must refuse to believe in his Pusyamitra's having been a man of flesh and bones and in his having been conquered by Skandgupta.

But supposing that there was a prince of that name in Skanda-gupta's time, is it possible he could have been Patañjali's Puspamitra? Decidedly not Let us determine the environments of Patanjali's Puspamitra as they are to be gathered from the Mahā-

¹ After the above was written I saw Mr Fleet in Poona phical Surveyor to the Government of India he has taken fresh impressions of the Gupta Inscriptions He was good enough to show that of the Inscription on the Bhitari Eat to me He agrees with General Cunningham in stating that the inscription is much worn and illegible, and says it is the worst of the Gupta inscriptions He reads प्रयामित्र but instead of च जित्रा there is in his copy मृहीत्वा distinctly But he says the whole line ıs quite capable of being read समुद्तिबलकोषान्पुण्यमित्रानगृहीत्वा ; and this, I believe, is a much better reading than Bhagvanlal s समृद्तवलकोपास्प्यमित्र For, the ablative कीषात् as taken to express the means by which Skandagupta is represented to have conquered Pusyamitra is unquestionably ungrammatical The accusative, therefore, is correct, and thus प्रवासित्रान for प्रयमित्र is also correct. If then this is the true reading, प्रयमित्र becomes the name, not of an individual, but of a tribe, and a tribe of that name is mentioned in the Puranas as having held power over some part of the country during the period of confusion that followed the overthrow of the Andhrabhrtyas

bhāsya, and then examine whether they are to be found in the first prince of the Sunga dynasty who reigned in the second century before Christ, or in Skandagupta's supposed Pusyamitra 1 Patanjali's Puspamitra performed, as we have seen, a sacrifice which must have been the Asvamedha sacrifice performed by paramount sovereigns 2. He must have lived at a time when For, though the country was exposed to the inroads of Yavanas Professor Peterson has denied the contemporaneity of Patañjali and the sieges of Saketa and Madhyamika he cannot deny that such events must have taken place at a time sufficiently near to that of Patanjalı in order that he might know of them, think of them, and speak of them 3. Patanjah's Puspamitra lived at a time when the memory of another great king of the name of Candragupta had not died away. For under Panini I. 1 Patañjalı gives as instance of compounds of the names of particular kings with the word sabhā, Candragupta-sabhār and Puṣpamitra-sabhā Now these environments are found in the case of the Puspamitra who reigned in the second century before Christ. For Kālidāsa tells us in his Mālavikāgnimitra that Senāpati Puspamitra, the father of Agnimitra and the grandfather of Vasumitra, and consequently the founder of the Sunga dynasty, performed an Asvamedha sacrifice. He also tells us that the horse which had been let loose previous to the sacrifice and was under the protection of Vasumitra was captured by the cavalry of the Yavanas on the southern bank of the Sindhu Greek writers also inform us, as we have seen, that the Indo-Bactrian kings several times invaded India in the second century Now, these two circumstances cannot be true in the case of the supposed Puspamitra of the fourth or fifth century For he was a minor

^{1.} Dr Kielhorn omits this in his Edition, but it occurs in four of his MSS and also in the Kāsikā Besides it will be seen that two instances of compounds of the synonyms of (阿克 are given, wherefore one might expect two of Rājavišesas or particular kings The reading ਚੁਤ੍ਰਾਸ਼ਜ਼ਜ਼, therefore, must be correct [Kielhorn's Second edition of the Mahābhāsya (1892) restores the reading ਚੁਤ੍ਰਾਸ਼ਜ਼ਜ਼, p 177, lines 10-11 ~ NB U]

prince, and not lord paramount, since he was conquered by Skandagupta and since paramount sovereignty was enjoyed, as we know, by the Gupta princes He could not, therefore, have performed an Asvamedha sacrifice And in the Inscription on the Bhitari Lat we are told that the Asvamedha sacrifice had long fallen into disuse, no doubt, because for the first three centuries of the Christian era the country was in the hands of foreigners of the Śaka, Palhava, and other tribes, and Buddhism rather than Brahmanism was in favour with these foreigners. It was Samudragupta, the greatest of the Gupta princes and great-grandfather of Skandagupta that revived the rite Again, it was not the Yavanas that harassed the country in the time of Skandagupta. but the Hūnas or Huns, as we know from the last part of this same Inscription and from foreign writers Their inroads continued till the sixth century, as we learn from the Harsacarita of which Professor Peterson has given such an excellent abstract in the Preface to his edition of Kādambarī As to the third circumstance, it is applicable to Puspamitra the Sunga, for Candragupta, the founder of the Maurya dynasty, flourished only a little more than a hundred years before, and being one of the greatest princess of the family, perhaps the greatest, was of course not forgotten In the case of the supposed Puspamitra, his being associated with Candragupta is no doubt explicable, for there were two Candraguptas in the Gupta family But neither of these two was the greatest prince of his family, and there is no reason why either should be mentioned in preference to Samu-Since, however, this is the only circumstance out of those found alluded to in the Mahābhāsya, which might be considered applicable to the latter Puspamitra, little weight can be attached to it as against the earlier Puspamitra, while the existing evidence in favour of the latter being Patanjali's Puspamitra is greatly strengthened and corroborated by that circumstance being applicable in his case

And if there was such a Puspamitra in the time of Skandagupta and Patañjali lived in his reign, between Patañjali and Bhartrhari a period of only about 150 years intervened according to the true date of Skandagupta, but of about 275 or 300 years, according to those assumed by certain irch cologists. Is this period enough to account for the manner in which Bhartrhuri speaks of the Mahābhāsva in that celebrated passage which has been so often quoted and translated, and for the eventful history of the work which he there gives? Bhartrhari calls Patanjali a Tirthadarsin, i e, "the seer of the saving truth," and the Mahabhasva an Ārsagrantha, or a work composed by one who had such a keen perceptive faculty as the Rsis of old possessed, and consequently as authoritative as those composed by the Rsis Can such a thing be said by one of a work written only 150 years before him or even 300 years? A book can become Arsa, as a custom can become law, or in the language of Indian writers, Vedamūlaka, 1 c based on the Vedas, only when its origin is forgotten Then, Bhartrhari tells us "Baiji, Saubhava, and Haryaksa set at nought the work of Patanjali, following their own conjectures and guesses "And the tradition of gramma" which had fallen away from the pupils of Patañjali was in the course of time preserved only in books among the people of the South Then Candrācārya and others obtained the tradition from Parvata, and following the principles laid down in the Bhāsya made it branch off into many schools" And it was after all this had taken place that Bhartrhari's master flourished think a period of 150 or 300 years can account for all this, and consequently the Puspamitra conquered by Skandagupta, even it he really existed, cannot be Patanjali's Puspamitra, while, if we take the passages about the Mauryas and the Yavanas in the manner in which they must be understood, and place reliance on Kalhana's statement about Abhimanyu, he has no chance whatever I will now pass under review the whole evidence as regards the date of Patañjali -

a The passage about Yavana shows that Patañjalı lived about the time when a Yavana besieged Sāketa and Madliyamikā

¹ Mr Fleet has recently found a date of one of the Guptas which confirms my interpretation of the dates of the dynasty

This leads us to about the middle of the second century before Christ.

b The passage in which the name of Puspamitra occurs shows that Patañjali lived during the reign of Puspamitra Two other passages in which the name of that monarch is mentioned corroborate this view and leave no reasonable doubt about the matter. This also leads us to about the middle of the second century before Christ.

The date so arrived at is consistent—

- c With the mention of the name of Candragupta in the Mahābhāsya.
- d With the mention of the Mauryas as having flourished before Patanjali's time

And c. and d together show that he lived at a time sufficiently close to the Mauryas in order that they might become the subject of his thought.

- e. With Kalhana's statement about the revival of the study of the Mahābhāsya in the reign of Abhimanyu.
- f With the eventful account given by Bhartrhari who lived in the first half of the seventh century, and with the reverent manner in which he speaks of Patañjali's work

No later date can be assumed without doing voilence to one or more of these passages and statements, that is, without saying that a passage does not mean what it naturally means, or that the statement is unfounded, incredible, or false. And all of them harmonize so thoroughly with my hypothesis and, taken collectively, form such a conclusive body of evidence, that I feel myself fully justified in concluding this rather long reply to Professor Peterson with those words of mine with which he began his attack, "Patañjali's date, B. C. 150, may now be relied on."

DATE OF PATANJALI No II BEING A SECOND REPLY TO PROFESSOR PETERSON

[ORIGINALLY PUBLISHED BY SIR R G BHANDARKAR HIMSELF AND PRINTED AT EDUCATION SOCIETY'S PRESS, BY CULLA, BOMBAY, 1885]

Dr. Peterson drew me into a controversy by publishing a Note on the Date of Patanjali, in which he discussed Professor Goldstücker's views and mine, and asking me how, in my opinion, the matter stood in the light of what he had written option but to take up the challenge. I also thought this was a good opportunity to discuss fully the historical significance of the important passages pointed out by Goldstücker and myself, and to bring together all the available evidence for the date of Patanjah and also of Pānini I therefore wrote a long and, as Dr Peterson himself styles one part of it -whatever may he the sense intended by him-an "elaborate" reply. After waiting for about three months, Dr Peterson has now published a reply to me t In this, however, he discusses the sense of the least important of the passages, in his translation of which I pointed our some faults, and unceremoniously dismisses the main question and disposes of all I have said on it with the remark, "I think the whole argument a most unsafe one," without giving himself the trouble of entering at all into the ments of that argument is indeed a very easy way of disposing of great literary questions; but it is not one to which the scholar, born to a hard and a plodding lot, can afford to resort The controversy has thus 25sumed a shape in which no good can be expected to come out

¹ This reply is contained in the 'Prefatory' Remarks to the separate reprint of Prof Peterson's Papers on the Audityalamkara of Ksemendra, the Date of Patanjah, and An Inscription from Kotah, printed at Bombay, 1885 Prof Peterson's 'Preface in Reply to Professor Bhandarkar in this booklet is dated 25th August 1885, and extends to eighteen pages [N B U]

of it; and I should have said nothing with reference to Peterson's reply, had he not in his desire to take 'sweet revenge' yielded to the temptation of discovering "a capital error" in one of my former publications on the subject, and of accusing me of having mis-apprehended Nāgojibhatta's meaning. As it is unquestionable that this discovery and this accusation are the result of Peterson's own palpable mis-apprehension of Nāgojibhatta's meaning and his failure, as I now see it, to catch the main point of the passage in Patañjali, the sense of which he has been discussing with me, I must once more argue the matter with him. I will begin by putting before the reader for reference the passage from Patañjali and the comments on it.

Panini-जीविकार्थे चापण्ये।

Patañjalı—अपण्य ट्रत्युच्यते तत्रेदं न सिध्यति शिव स्कन्दो विशाख इति । किं कारणम् । मीर्येहिरण्यार्थिभिरचां प्रकल्पिता । भवेत्तास न स्यात् । यास्त्वेता सप्रति प्रजार्थास्तास भविष्यति ।

Kaiyata—यान्त्वेता इति । या परिग्रह्म ग्रहाद्गृहमटिन्त तास्वित्यर्थ । यास्तु विक्रीयन्ते तासु न भवति । शिवकान्विक्रीणीत इति ।

Nago Ibhatta मौर्या विक्रेत प्रतिमाशिल्पवन्त । तेरची प्रकल्पिता । विक्रेति होप । अतस्तासां पण्यत्वात्तत्र प्रत्यश्वणप्रसङ्ग इति भाव । तत्र प्रत्ययश्वणप्रसङ्ग इति भाव । तत्र प्रत्ययश्वणप्रिष्टमेवेति वदन्स् अस्योदाहरण दर्शयित भवेदित्यादि यास्त्रेता इति च । सप्रति पूजार्था संप्रति स्वनिर्माणसमकालमेव फलजनिका या पूजा जीविकापदत्वेन तद-र्था इत्यर्थ । तदाह या परिगृह्योति ।

The first thing to be discussed is Dr Peterson's translation of स्कन्दो विशास Dr Peterson's words in his Note or first paper are — "But a reference to any dictionary will show that such a meaning is one of the best authenticated senses of the word विशास स्कदो विशास means 'A Skanda in act to shoot '" I looked into the St Petersburg Lexicon and Monier Williams' Dictionary for the word विशास as directed, and found what I have stated It certain ly is no fault of mine, if I find in the Dictionaries "a certain explanation of the word with a second-hand quotation," &c

Bohtlingk and Roth, as well as Monier Williams, give "a certain attitude in shooting" as the sense of the word विशास , and I told Peterson that विशास with this sense could not be taken as an adjective to स्कन्द, as certainly it cannot He however now directs me to look out the word वैशाख, and under that word I do find the two passages mentioned by him, as well as the explanation that वैज्ञास also means "a certain attitude in shooting," But does this and the passages show nothing more than that serve as a warrant to take विशास as an adjective meaning "one in that particular attitude?" बैशाख is a derivative from विशास and means "belonging to or relating to Viśākha," that is, the word means that particular attitude in shooting which was attributed to the god Viśākha The word वैशाख occurs in Hemacandra's Abhidhāna-Cintāmani, and this is the derivation given by him in his explanation of that word in his commentary on the work-विशा-खस्य स्कन्दस्येद वैज्ञाखम. Hemacandra, of course, identifies the god स्कन्द with विशास, the two having in later times come to be considered as the names of the same god -Hemacandra's Thesaurus is referred to by Böhtlingk and Roth, though not the Commentary Thus, though बेशाख means "an attitude in shooting," there is no possibility of fastig meaning "one in that attitude." i e of being used as an adjective in view of the explanation of fasing contained in the Commentary on Amara referred to in the St Petersburg Lexicon, and of the derivation of dante given by Hemacandra

Then Dr Peterson goes on —"On another small point too here Bhandarkar does me some wrong. He does not understand why I reject the reading the before the reason be that it goes against the translation which' I have worked myself into believing to be correct'. It would be a legitimate retort to say that Bhandarkar reads Candragupta-sabha against Kielhorn, in the note on Panini I i 68, because the omission of that word might be fatal to the edifice Bhandarkar has raised on it." The retort is by no means legitimate, though of course I do not grudge Dr. Peterson the pleasure he evidently

feels in making it. There is very little or no resemblance be-Dr Peterson's evidence for the omission tween the two cases of fare when he first wrote, was, according to his statement, one Manuscript out of the eight collated by Dr Kielhorn and the India Office Photozincograph of Kaiyata But Peterson made a mistake when he considered these as two different Manuscripts, for they are really one He did not see that that manuscript collated by Dr Kielhorn, which does not contain शिव, is called by him 'g.' And from the Preface to the First Volume of his edition we see that 'g' is the India Office Photozincograph of Kaivata. Thus then Peterson had only one Manuscript against seven to support his reading, while my reading चन्द्रशतसभा was supported by four MSS out of six collated by Dr Kielhorn; and the evidence of the Kāśikī and the fact of Patañjah's giving two instances of a correlative case were decidedly in its favour Peterson also conjectured Kaiyata did not read शिवः, but he gave no reason whatever On the other hand, it would appear that his example शिवकान्विक्रीणीते was framed with the particular object of distinguishing that form from the शिव occurring in Patanjali. As to the two new Manuscripts Peterson speaks of, I have got against them two which read शिव, viz one from Benares belonging to Rao Saheb Narayan Vishnu Bapat and generously presented by him to me It contains the Bhasya, Pradipa, and Pradipoddyota The other, which also contains the three works, is Numbers 330-332 of Collection A of 1881-82, deposited in the Deccan College

In all this defence of the "shooting Skanda," Dr Peterson quietly leaves out of sight the phrase कर्विशासों pointed out by me as occurring in the Bhāsya on VIII i 15, from which it is unquestionable that Patañjali himself understood by the word fastite 'a god of that name ' If another passage is wanted in which also Patañjali renders it plain that the word is the name of a god, it will be found under Pānini, VI 3 26 Here too we have कर्विशासों which is a Drandva compound meaning the two gods Skanda and Viśākha ' To persist in interpreting a word in Patañjali as meaning something totally different from

what Patañjali himself says it means is a feat requiring courage and boldness, which Peterson has shown himself to possess

Before proceeding further, I must state that I did not fully understand Dr Peterson's translation of the passage under dis-Though, of course, there were cussion on the last occasion some mistales, still I thought he had understood the argument But I now see generally according to Nagoubhatta's view of it that this is not the case Though I do not agree with Nagojibhatta on one point, still, a great grammarian as he was and familiar with his subject, it is impossible that he should not see the main point of Patanjali's argument But Peterson has totally mis-apprehended it. His view is so much out of the way that without more explanation than was contained in his first paper, And even on the it was not possible for me to understand it present occasion it has cost me a good deal of trouble to ascertain it clearly Dr Peterson does understand 'Skandah' to be an image brought forward to show that Pānini's rule is violated in that instance But it is violated according to him because the image "may or may not be for sale " From what follows and precedes, Peterson seems to mean by this expression that images have in them the possibility of being sold. The phrase sign स्यन्द्रो विशास contains, according to him, not according to me, as he supposes, "instances or an instance, of a form which denoting an image, is prima facie incorrect under the rule ' is notorious that images are vendible things." Thus Peterson means, what he afterwards says in express words, that "all idols are in their nature vendible", and, therefore, we at once see that Śıvah, Skandah and Vısākhah violate Pānini's rule the germ of the whole mistake Then, says he, "such names of idols then as Siva, Skanda, Visākha are for the matter in hand colourless They do not of themselves tell us whether the objects of which they are the names—that is idols in general—are Panya or Apanya, vendible or not vendible. What then is it that raises the presumption, which it is necessary to notice, that all idols are in their nature vendible? The answer to this query lies in the

phrase Additionible &c." So that, according to Peterson, 'Patanjah's query is "To what is it due, why is it that 'idols in general, 'i.e., 'all idols are in their nature vendible'?" And the answer is, "because it is for gain that the Mauryas make images." And herein lies Peterson's tadical error. In the next sentence Patanjah means to six, according to Peterson, that "vendible images made by the Mauryas are as a class," excluded from the operation of the "general rule which enjoins the omission of affix Ka", that is, their names must all have the termination Ka. But such of the vendible images as "are from the beginning intended for worship and not for sale," come under the rule, and have not the affix. This is the sense of the last sentence.

(1) Now, it images are notoriously vendible things, if "they may or may not be for sale," if Sivah, Skandah and Visakhah are prima facie incorrect under Panini's rule, why is it that Pataniali asks विकारण sc. न सिध्यति, । ए. "why are the forms Sivab, Shandah, Visakhah, incorrect under the rule?" But Peterson thinks that the meaning of this query is, " why is it that all idols are in their nature vendible?" What have you got to do with all idols? Whence do you get them? How does any question arise about them? Do Patanjali's words, which are perfectly plain, mean that? The question is only about three idols and not all And what is the necessity of accounting for the fact that all idols are in their nature vendible? The question does not hang at all on the reason of the fact, but on the fact itself thing whatever to do with the reason. The whole point is, the use of the word अपण्य in the Sutra makes the forms जिल स्टन्टो विशास incorrect How they became incorrect under the rule in consequence of the use of that word is what is required to be shown, 1 e it should be shown that while the operation prescribed in the sutra, viz the dropping of Ka, is done here, one of the conditions of the operation, viz अपण्यत्व or invendibility, is absent, 1 e that the images expressed by those forms are Panya or vendible. And it is also necessary to decide whether the forms are really incorrect. This is the meaning of the word and no other meaning is possible. Now Peterson would object, saying, 'What is the necessity of showing the images to be vendible and the forms to be incorrect? It is notorious that images are vendible things and the forms are therefore, of course, incorrect ' My first emphatic reply is, I am under no obligation to tell you why it is necessary to show this, but there can be no doubt that Patanial, himself does admit the necessity and asks why they are incorrect. His words mean that, and can mean nothing else My next reply is, you mis-understand the word Panya when you say that the vendibility of images is such a notorious and plain matter that it does not require to be shown When you say, "idols have been sold from the beginning and are sold now and the supply will doubtless continue so long as the demand shall last," all you mean is that images possess the possibility of being sold Panya does not mean a thing which possesses the possibility of being sold that is what you mean by Panya and the English word 's endible,' the very clothes that you or I have now on our bodies are Panya, for clothes have been sold from the beginning and are sold now, But I should consider it an insult if anybody so characte rized my clothes The sense of the word Panya, therefore, is a thing that is intended to be sold, that is for sale The definition in the Kāsikā is विक्रीयते यत्तल्ययम The clothes I wear were Panya before I got them from a shop, are not panya now, and would be Panya again if I were reduced to destitution, which God forbid, and should be obliged to sell them Just then, as you cannot say, when a shirt is spoken of, that it is panya or vendible in this sense, i e 'exposed for sale,' so when certain images are named, it does not necessarily follow that they are panya Hence the necessity of showing that they are so, and hence the question कि कारणम् The answer is and must be contained in the next clause, मोर्थिहरण्याधिभरची प्रकल्पिता That answer, according to Nagojibhatta, is that they are Panya because these are images exposed by the Mauryas for sale, and according to my independent translation, they are Panya because images like them were sold or exposed for sale by the Mauryas

who wanted to raise money "It is for gain that the Mauryas mile images," which is Peterson's translation, cannot possibly be the answer to the query

The difference between Nagoibhatta and myself here is that according to himself the Siddhantin who asks the question for our जम and the Pureapilem who answers it by saying मौर्वेहिंर % &c, both understand the word Panya in its correct or proper sense, viz, 'something exposed for sale' (विक्रीयते यत्तत्पण्यम्), while according to my view, the Siddhantin takes it in its correct sense, and the Purvapaksin resorts to the incorrect sense which Peterson seeks to attach to it, viz, 'the possibility of being sold,' which a thing comes to have on account of other things of the class being 'exposed for sale,' and thus wrongly brings Panyatva or vendibility on the three images. The Purvapksin is in the remainder of the passage told by the Siddhantin that because certain things are 'sold' or 'exposed for sale,' that is, are Panya, it does not follow that those of the class that are under actual worship are Panya, 1 c panya does not mean 'the possibility of being sold' You (the Purvapaksin) attach that sense to the word and say that Śwah, Skandah, Visakhah are Panya because the Mauryas sold such images, and consequently the forms should have the The images sold by the Mauryas being Panya in the correct sense, their names may have the affix (भवेतास न स्यात), but that does not make these Siva, Skanda, and Viśākha, which are under actual worship, Panya, i e again, Panya does not mean 'the possibility of being sold,' and consequently Pānini's rule must apply, and no affix is wanted for these forms, and they are correct (यास्त्रेता सप्रति प्रजार्थास्तास भविष्यति) It will be seen from this why it is that the Pürvapakşin resorts to the Maurya kings to bring Panyata in the sense of 'possibility of being sold,' on Śiva, Skanda, and Viśākha, and to any ordinary image-seller Śiva, Skanda, and Viśākha were images under actual worship, and no ordinary image-seller sells them The Maurya kings must have sold images under actual worship, and hence they are spoken of. This independent interpretation of mine I have put into 25 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

Sanskrit in the shape of a commentary on the passage in Patañjali under discussion, and I give it at the end [as an Appendix].

Nagolibhatta, on the other hand, regarding the Pūrvapaksin's and Siddhāntin's sense of the word Panya to be the same, viz, the correct sense 'exposed for sale,' makes the Pūrvapaksin say that those particular images are Panya because they are images exposed for sale by the Mauryas, who were certain images-makers (मोर्या शेप) This the Siddhāntin admits, and therefore agrees with the Pūrvapaksin that Śiva, Skanda, and Viśākha should have the affix Ka (अतस्वासां मिटमेच) And the Siddhāntin goes on to say that the images dedicated for such worship immediately after manufacture as brings in grains are the examples of the rule, 1 e their names drop the termination Ka

- (2) The true sense of the word Panya being that given above the query, 'Why is it "that all idols are in their nature vendible"?' which Peterson puts into the mouth of Patanjali is absurd All idols are not vendible, i e 'exposed for sale'
- (3) If according to Peterson's translation of भवेतास न स्यावः Panini's rule does not apply to vendible images as a class, 1 e to all images, and consequently their names should take the termination Ka, upon what authority is it that such of these images as are intended for worship from the beginning are brought under the rule, as Peterson does by his translation of quedant &c? Peterson does admit that these images form a part of the class of vendible images The possibility of being sold attaches to them Peterson's argument, "Idols have been sold from the also beginning, and are sold now," &c , applies to them equally with the images शिव स्कन्दो विशास And if it does not, why should it apply to शिव स्कन्दो विशास ? If these are Panya or vendible prima facie, they also must be in accordance with Peterson's sense of the word Pānini's Sūtra about dropping of Ka cannot therefore apply to them The word aqua in the Sutra must prevent its application to them We have no Vārtika or Isti here, adding to or amending Pānini's rule and directing us to drop the

^{1.} N B, U,

affix, though the images intended for worship are vendible. We have simply a Vyākhyāna of Pānini's Sūtra. And thus the result according to Peterson's interpretation will be that Pānini's Sūtra has no instance and is vain. According to Nāgojibhaṭta's and my way of taking it, the images being under worship are not Panya or 'for sale,' and hence Pānini's Sūtra applies and the Ka is dropped.

- (4) Again, if images are notoriously vendible and consequently it is not necessary to show that they are vendible, is it not equally notorious that the manufacturers of idols make the idols with a view to gain, and not from disinterested motives? What then is the necessity of telling us, according to Peterson's way of taking it, that "all images are vendible, because it is for gain that the Mauryas make images"?
- (5) The whole point of the passage in Patanjali, according to Dr. Peterson, must be this Images being in their nature vendible, such forms as Śivah, Skandah, &c, are incorrect, i e, they should have the suffix ka But though all images are vendible, such of them as are from the beginning intended for worship, should be brought under Pānini's rule, and Ka should not be added to their Now, the sense of the first of these two sentences is according to Peterson brought out by the clause अपग्य इन्युच्यते तजेड न सिध्यति । ज्ञिव स्कन्दो विज्ञाख इति and of the second by यास्त्वेता सप्रति प्रजार्थास्तास भविष्यति What then is the necessity for the matter in hand of the intervening clauses? The first of these means, according to him, "It is for gain that the Mauryas make images" What has this got to do with the matter? The second (भवेतास न स्यात्) means, Panini's rule does not apply to images which are, all of them, in their nature vendible, and thus they should tale Ka Do we not know this already, according to Peterson, and has he not assumed this in interpreting the first clause? Why then are we told this again?
- (6) Peterson admits that we have first a doubt thrown on the correctness of the forms जिवः स्टब्दो विज्ञाव This doubt must be

cleared and it should be decided whether the forms are correct or incorrect. If that is not done the result will be that the question is about one thing and the decision about quite another, i.e. the अपन्नम and अपरंहार will be inconsistent. In Peterson's translation this decision of the original question nowhere appears, one thing is said first, and quite another afterwards. It will thus be seen that Peterson's interpretation of the query, the answer, and what follows, i.e. of the whole passage, is entirely wrong. He does not understand the argument.

I have already given the general sense of Nāgojibhaṭṭa's comment, but since, according to Peterson, in giving its substance in 1873 I committed "a capital error," and since, from a "misapprehension" of its sense I have not, according to the same authority, "yet shaken myself free," it is necessary that I should discuss it more particularly The reader will kindly look at the passage given before Dr Peterson's explanation is -"We must, says Nagolibhatta, understand the word विक्रतम after प्रकाल्पता " Good But you should understand that here ends Nagoubhatta's explanation of मौर्चेहिं ल्पिता and that his explanation of the passage is that these images, Śiva, Skanda, and Viśākha, were exposed for sale by the Mauryas and not that "images are made by the Mauryas for gain," as you take it "The images referred to are therefore vendible, and the occasion for the suffix Ka presents itself " This is a translation of अतस्तासां पण्यत्वात्तत्र प्रत्या-श्रवणप्रसद्भ इति भाव The translation is correct so far as the words go, except that 'vendible' means 'for sale,' of which, however, Peterson has no conception But what are "the images referred to " which is your translation of तासाम ? Are they "the images in genetal" made by the Mauryas for gain which you have supposed or शिव स्कन्दो विशास ? They cannot be images in general, for there is no question here, as I have already explained about "images in general" or "all images" And images in general or all images cannot be vendible or 'exposed for sale,' while those referred to by Nagojibhatta are spoken of by him as Panya The question is about the correctness of the forms शिवः रान्दी विज्ञास. and it is their प्रयत्य that is required to be shown in order to make good the position that they are incorrect. By स्तम्तासां प्रयत्यात, therefore, Nagojibhatta means to say that (अत) for this reason, viz that given in Patanjali's statement सौयैदिरण्याधिभित्यां: प्रयत्यात. explained by Nagoji, (तासां) their, i. e. of शिव, रान्द, विद्यास, (प्रयत्यात्) Panyatva is established. Now अत: referring to the whole statement सौयै.....ता. and that statement containing the Hetu or reason, the ते of तासां whose Panyatva is established by that reason cannot be referred to anything contained in that statement which is the hetu or reason. Hence also तासाम must refer to शिव: स्यन्दो विद्यास. It will be seen that Nagojibhatta speaks here of vendibility being established or proved, while according to Peterson it does not require to be proved. Peterson therefore sets Nagoji at defiance.

Peterson then goes on with the explanation of Nagonbhatta. In the two clauses that follow, beginning respectively with भवेत् and पास्चेता Patanjah first (भवेत्। तास न स्पात्) accepts the preposition that the occasion for the suffix has presented itself, and, secondly, (याम्बेता संत्रति पूजार्था तास भविष्यति) shows how nevertheless his rule is not of none effect." This is a translation or explanation of da प्रत्ययश्रवणमिष्टमेवेति वदन्स्त्रस्योदाहरण दर्शयति भवेदित्यादि यास्त्वेता इति च. Now this translation is open to the same objection as Peterson's translation of Patanjali's original, viz if there is an occasion for the suffix, there is nothing that can prevent it, and the rule is of There is also a special objection. In the last sentance Peterson has translated प्रत्ययत्रवणप्रसङ्घ by "the occasion for the suffix Ka has presented itself", and in this sentence acquired मिर्मेन also he translates in just the same words Is there no difference between ung and seria? There is very great difference. प्रसद्ध shows 'the occasion for a thing,' and इस्मेन the 'things becoming an actual fact ' The proper translation is, "Patañiali says (in भवेतास न स्पात) that the termination is of course wanted or should be used there and (in यास्वेता:) he points out the examples of Panini's rule." The termination is, of course, wanted

Where? There, where in the last or should be used there sentence he speaks of an occasion for it, consequent on Panyatva or vendibility Whose panyatva? तासाम, that is of the images What images? Sivah, Skandah, Viśākah, which are the subject of the discussion and the Panyatva of which is established in the last sentence The actual use of the termination cannot be spoken of as ERHA or "of course wanted" in the case of the merely hypothetical images or images in general understood by Peterson, and that we have nothing to do with images in general I have said several times The termination is therefore of course wanted, or should be used in these cases, that is, the correct forms are शिवक, स्कन्दक, विशासक, and therefore शिव स्कन्दो विशास which were brought forward as in use, and which were the names of images exposed for sale by the Mauryas, as stated before, are incorrect, i e Pānini's rule is set aside in their case is the original question decided, and this is plainly what Nagojibhatta makes Patanjali say, there can be no question whatever about it, and this is exactly what I stated in 1873 Yet Dr Peterson says -" In 1873 Bhandarkar took Patanjalı to mean that Pānini's rule is arbitrarily set aside in the case of images sold by the Mauryas, so that forms not valid are nevertheless in use 'What Patanjalı means to say is that the termination Ka should be applied to the names of the images sold by the Mauryas, according to Panini's rule, but the rule is set aside in this case, and the wrong forms Śiva, Skanda and Viśākha are used' 1 This is a capital error, as Bhandarkar now sees That it is in accordance with the native commentators is a view of it due, I believe, to a misapprehension of Nagojibhatta's meaning, from which Bhandarkar has not yet shaker himself free " Is it not perfectly clear that Peterson himself, when he says this, has no conception whatever of the matter? He has totally misunderstood the point in Patanjali's passage, and interprets Kaiyata and Nagojibhatta in accordance with that misconception, and thus

¹ This is a quotation from Sir R G. Bhandarkar's paper of 1873.

confidently pronounces my former translation of Nagoubhatta, which is unquestionably correct, to be "a capital error." And yet with a charming simplicity and an unsuspecting belief in his own powers, Peterson takes credit to himself for having given me hints in my indepenpent translation of the passage. For says he -" In other respects, however, Bhandarkar's version of 1873 is very defective, a fact which in fairness should not be lost sight of when comparing my version with that with which Bhandarkar has now followed it " Dr. Peterson evidently means that his version has suggested improvements to me in my former I repudiate the insinuation entirely It was impossible to derive any hints from a version in which I pointed out several mistakes and which, on the last occasion, I took as agreeing as regards the main point with Nagoubhatta, because the connection between the different sentences was not distinctly given, and which, now that Dr. Peterson has given more explanation, I see to be entirely away from the point and wrong from top to bottom My readers will have seen and will see if they have the patience to go to the end of this paper, how little ground there is for Peterson's taking credit to himself for having corrected Goldstücker, and given me hints. I must not omit to add that Dr Peterson need not have searched the volumes of the Indian Antiquary for my explanation of Nagojibhatta's view That explanation is given in my last Reply to him and it occurs even in the passage which he has quoted in his Paper under review

I will now notice Peterson's defence of the remaining grammatical and other errors pointed out by me in his translation on the last occasion. When I called attention in my last article to Peterson's having translated the past passive participle unformal by the present tence "make," I, of course, did not mean he committed a schoolboy error, but that the past tense of unformal was an important point in the argument and consequently should be prominently noticed. But let us hear the defence. "There is no restriction to time present, past or future in my

English sentence, any more than there is in the Sanskrit socalled ' past passive participle, ' प्रकल्पिता ." I admit there is no restriction to time present, past or future in the English sentence "The Mauryas make images," but that is because it contains a general truth, and general truths are always represented by the present tense, and never by the past You must always say "Elephants have trunks," but never "had trunks" The Sanskrit प्रकल्पिता is a past passive participle, and as indicating past time it can never be used to express a general truth, and can apply only to a particular time and that particular time a past time. Therefore what is only true at a particular time cannot be a general truth. This is the difference between the present and past time in his English "Mauryas make images" and the Sanskrit प्रकल्पिता . Peterson tries to get rid of the distinction by the contention that "for gain 'is the predicate in his sentence "It is for gain that the Mauryas make images" and "make images" forms part of the clause which is used as the subject But the subject clause "the Mauryas make images" 15 nevertheless a general proposition, and the distinction cannot be got rid of But, says he, there is no restriction to a particular time in the Sanskrit "so-called past passive participle प्रकल्पिता " Why? Is it not a past participle and does it not express past time? If it does not, I must say Peterson follows a Sanskrit grammar and literature unknown to me and, I have no hesitation in saying, to all Sanskrit Scholars But, says Dr. Peterson, he would " prefer now to translate 'images are made by the Mauryas for gain, "and is "confident that the so-called past passive participle in Sanskrit is the proper translation of 'made' here" Again he says his first translation "" Mauryas make images for gain' is only a more idiomatic rendering of the same thing as 'images are made by the Mauryas for gain '" What is all this? 'Pots are made of earth 'The Sanskrit past passive participle is the proper translation of 'made' here Therefore मृतिकाया पटा रुता is the proper translation of that sentence sentence is the same as 'They make pots of earth', therefore

that is the Sanskrit translation of this sentence Similarly the proper translation of 'Horned animals ruminate' is ज्ञृद्धिभी रोम्न्थायितम् or रोमन्थ इत , of 'man sees colour by the eye', मनुष्येण चक्कपा रूपं दृष्टम् and of 'water removes thirst, ' उद्केन तृहपनीता. That is to say, Peterson is confident that we should teach our pupils that general truths might be translated into Sanskrit by the past passive participle which implies "no restriction to time past, present or future"!

And notwithstanding all this Peterson immediately afterwards states that "प्रकृत्पता denotes no more than that the action of making is to be conceived as completed " Is the action of making to be conceived as completed in the English phrase "the Mauryas make images?" Certainly not If so, your translation of अर्ची प्रकल्पिता by "make images" must be wrong Now if the action is represented as completed in the phrase मीर्ये was it not completed before Patanjali wrote or spoke those words? It must be Then was it not past with reference to the time when he wrote, and may it not have been past with reference to that time by any number of years, say two or three centuries? The answer must be "yes." If so, this is all that I contended for, and your "traversing directly my contention" means nothing Contrasted with the word समति as it is, मकल्पिता must show a past action that took place a long time before Patañjali And having been represented as completed with reference to the time when Patanjali wrote, has the action not been referred to a particular time? If so, what is the meaning of your first statement that the Sanskrit so-called "past passive participle प्रकल्पिता " involves "no restriction to time present, past, or future"? One who endeavours to defend the indefensible must fall into such contradictions.

To my contention that एता. in यास्वेता cannot mean "whichever of these," for it is either the genitive or locative that has that sense and not the nominative, Peterson's reply is, he will "substitute for एता its antecedent अची" and then the meaning

will be "whichever images." But this is simply grammatical jugglery, and will deceive nobody who is not a simpleton. Why do you substitute it ? Patanjali's word is एता. and you must interpret that and nothing else. And do you really think you have given us all we had before, when you give us only the antecedent अर्ची ? Does not एता mean 'these, '1. e., such as are 'nearer to the speaker than others' Do you give us that sense when you substitute अर्ची? You surely cannot say you do. And what is it that you get after all this operation? 'The Mauryas make images for gain. To those the rule does not apply and Ka must be appended But whichever images are intended for worship come under the rule, '&c If this is the construction, one would naturally take 'whichever images' in the last sentence to be different images from those made by the Mauryas, as Nāgojibhaṭṭa and I take them; especially because the assertion with reference to the images in the last sentence is directly the opposite of that in this But this would cut off the ground from below Peterson's feet For his idea is that these last images are to be included among those made by the Mauryas. This sense you have no chance of securing unless you allow the demonstrative to stand and at the same time mistranslate it as "of these" Thus this grammatical jugglery, like other species of it, recoils on the person practising it

I will ask my reader to be good enough to consider this point. Patañjali uses the word तास and in the same breath the word एता (भवतास न स्याद। यास्त्रेता &c). तद is the remote demonstrative, and means 'that,' while एतद is the near demonstrative and means 'this' Is it possible that by these two inconsistent pronouns he should demonstrate or point out the same preceding thing, especially when the assertions, as I have stated, are contradictory of each other? "Mauryas make images To those the rule does not apply. But of these whichever are intended &c, to them the rule does apply" Can those and these here both refer to "images" in the first sentence? For this reason, and also because without the genitive or locative you cannot have the sense

Peterson requires, the var. cannot refer to the images made by the Mauryas Dr. Peterson says -- "He is fully supported by Kaiyata and Nagojibhatta" in his construction of var., but in the note immediately below, this expression is softened into "I behere that Kaiyata construes पास्चेता as I do." Connecting एता with the images sold by the Mauryas would have the effect, as I have already explained, of making the images used for worship 'vendible images' at the same time, and of rendering Pānini's Sutra inapplicable to them, and consequently vain and useless This is a mistake that no native grammatian will make, much less men like Kaiyata and Nāgojibhatta. And the reason for Peterson's belief is his own utterly groundless conjecture that मीर्पा is meant as the nominative to अटन्ति by Kaiyata "I can only note here that in the Peterson does give evidence India Office MS Nagojibhatta seems actually to read at (1. e the Mauryas) after of officer in Kaiyata " Excellent! What we have got in the India Office MS, the photozincograph of which I have referred to, is तदाह या परिग्रह्मते Commentators put at the beginning of their comment on a passage of the original the opening words of that passage with sta after them called a Pratika Now the Pratika from Kaiyata given by Nagoubhatta in the present case is या परिश्रह्मति This the copyist of the Manuscript through stupidity or mistake has written as या परिग्रहाते, and this evident mistake of the man Peterson relies on and uses as evidence to prove that Kaiyata meant मोर्ग to be the nominative of अटन्ति If या. परिगृह्म ते had been meant by Nagoubhatta as Kaiyata's reading, sta would have been put after a, and we should have had याः परिगृह्म त इति But we have not got The Pratika does occur in the form of या: परिवृद्धोति in the manuscript consulted by Goldstücker and in the two mentioned This speaks for itself. by me before

Kaiyata's note on the passage beginning with attean, on the contrary, is that "एका signifies the images which are taken from door to door"; and they are thus distinguished from those with which the Mauryas are connected in the preceding sentence.

Nagojibhatta too disposes of the merchandise of the Mauryas by saving तत्र प्रत्यस्त्रवणिमद्देनेवीत वदन and speaks of other distinct ımages by सूत्रम्योदाहरणं दर्शयति Peterson's misapprehension of the main point in Patañjali's passage vitiates his interpretation of Nagojibhatta throughout Then again, I am told that neither of the two takes var as I do No one can be sure of this as regards Kaiyata, for he does not say that the images taken from door to door for worship were not the Sivah, Skandah, and Visākhah with which the argument began. Kaiyata not only may have meant them to be the same, but his counter-example ज्ञिवकान्वि-क्रीणीत affords strong evidence that the instance of an image under worship meant by him was the sta occurring above. And as to Nagojibhatta, Peterson tells me nothing new, for I have said in giving my independent translation that I disagree with Nagojibhatta, and that he has assigned no definite sense to the word vai 1 e refers it to nothing, neither to the images of the Mauryas, nor to Sivah, Skandah and Visākhah Nāgojibhatta and I agree as to the main point, viz that Sivah, Skandah and Visākhah are in the beginning spoken of as incorrect according to Pānini's rule, in consequence of a certain action of the Mauryas which made the images Panva We also agree that the question about the correctness of these forms has to be decided Nāgojibhatta thinks the Panyatā attaches to the images and cannot be removed, therefore the forms ought to have Ka. I say that the Panyatā is conferred on them only in an incorrect sense, and is removed by the Siddhantin. The other points of difference I have given before This difference between Nagojibhatta and me arises from my assigning to तास and एता the sense that they have, viz 'those' or remote from the speaker, and 'these' or near to the speaker Nagojibhatta has not attached to एता this its definite sense I also take सप्रति in its usual sense of 'now' 'in these days, 'and contrast it with the past प्रकन्पिता , while Nagojibhatta explains it as 'immediately after their manufacture 'which sense the word cannot bear and does not see the contrast The other reason that I have

given in my last Paper is that when Patañjali raises any question about a rule, he does so by bringing forward correct forms which apparently go against the rule. When in rare cases incorrect forms are brought forward, he tells us at the end of the discussion that they are incorrect by using such an expression as sanithumand

Dr Peterson again says "I feel sure that it (एता) would have been made to stand before, and not after the adversative particle त if it had the meaning Bhandarkar now ascribes to it." Why he feels sure he does not tell us. But या एतास्त, which I suppose he would have, is unidiomatic. The Sanskrit idiom requires त and such particles to be put immediately after the forms of uz Innumerable instances might be given, but I will here quote a few from the Mahābhāsya itself uस्त प्रयुद्धे हुइशलो चिडोपे Mahābhāsya, Edition of Kielhorn, Vol I p. 2, यस्त सल्वेबमसी Ibid. p 20, यस्य त विधिनीमित्तमेव Ibid Vol II. p 332

In criticizing my translation of 1873 Peterson say —"Ishould be more than human if I refrained from adding that in 1873 Bhandarkar gave to the phrase and a total precisely that reference which he now seeks to give to the admittedly converse phrase antital". Now that I have explained the correct sense of Nagonbhatta, Peterson will see that this is in accordance with his comment. Again, "the naturally then took in the sense he refuses to admit for it now." I did not attach to the interest of these, following Nagonbhatta, but certainly I did not give to it Peterson's meaning of these and refer it to the images made by the Mauryas, if that is what he means by the word "naturally"

As to the main question of Patañjali's date, I have already stated that Peterson attaches no value to what I have set forth in my last papers and re-asserts most emphatically his own view, and makes other vague and general statements. I am therefore not called upon to say anything about them. I must however not omit to notice one point. Peterson says that as for Pusya

mitra and Candragupta, " he has pointed out that the existence of two princes of these names, reigning at about the same time, is better guaranteed for the fourth century after Christ than for the third before Christ " So then, according to this authority, the Bhitari Lat Inscription, which is in such a dilapidated condition that no perfectly satisfactory copy has yet been or can be published, possesses a far higher historical value than the works of the Greek historians of Alexander the great and his successors, who speak of Sandracottus or Candragupta as having established a powerful monarchy at Pataliputra about 320 B. C, and the Inscription in which his name occurs, as well as all the Buddhistic, Jaina, and Brahmanic books which mention both him and Puspamitra the founder of the Sunga dynasty that succeeded the Perhaps Dr Peterson considers all this literature and the Inscription to be fabricated, or assigns them to the period between 600 and 1000 A. D, or it is quite possible he never heard about them Peterson's last paper did not show appreciation of the value of the name of Candragupta for the determination of the question under discussion. It was Puspamitra alone he spoke of and the name Candragupta simply occurred in the translation of a passage from that priceless Inscription, that on the Bhitari Lat along with Kumaragupta, Samudragupta, and others His coupling the two names together in the present paper is therefore an afterthought, and the statement that it has been done in the first paper is not accurate

How many of this long list of errors of all sorts are "capital errors" or very serious errors I leave it to my readers to judge

Lastly, I am much obliged to my friend Peterson for his promise to make over the Pātālavijaya to me if it falls into his hands "in view of the effect the Praśasti," which he is sure it will contain, "may have upon me" But I would advise my friend to give up the "[con-] quest of the Dark Regions" that he has been prosecuting so vigorously of late, and assure him that any number of such books dealing with "the Dark

Regions "are but trash in comparison with that "Ārṣa-grantha" or "Book of Light," the Mahābhāsya. I shall, therefore, have undoubtedly a stronger claim to Peterson's gratitude than he will have to mine, if I ask my friend Kielhorn to send him a copy of his edition of the Mahābhāsya with the following note on the title-page in capital letters—

" THIS IS NOT AN ANTHOLOGY."

APPENDIX

जीविकार्थित । पणितु विकेतु शक्यं पण्यमिति मत्वा शह्वते तत्रेद्दमिति । तादशपण्यत्व साघियतुमाह मीर्थेरिति । मोर्थाः पुरातनराजविशेषाः पुराणेषु शिलिलेखेषु
बोद्वादिग्रन्थेषु च प्रसिद्धाः । तैश्च हिरण्येनार्थिभिरचाः प्रतिमाः प्रकल्पिताः स्वसमीहितसंपादनाय नियोजिताः । विकीता इत्यर्थः । तत्रश्च तत्सजातीयस्वादासामिषि
विकेतु शक्यत्वमिति मावः । न केवल विकेतु शक्यं पण्यमिष तु विकीयते यत्तर्यण्यमिति तात्त्विक पस्नमाश्चित्य समाधत्ते भवेदित्यादि । मोर्थेविकीताना पण्यत्वात्तासु प्रत्ययश्वणप्रसङ्गो भवतु नाम । आसा विदानीतनीना शिवस्कन्द्विशासप्रतिमानां
जीविक।प्रदत्वेन फलजिनका या पूजा तद्धीनामविकीयमाणाना तात्त्विकपण्यत्वाभावासुड्डमवति ॥ ननु सत्स्विष प्रतिमाविकेतृषु प्रारुतेषु शिल्पिषु किमर्थ पण्यतां साधियतु
पुरातनराजविशेषपयंन्तमनुधावन पूर्वपक्षिणः । उच्यते । अत्र तावद्पूजार्धप्रतिमाना विकेतुं
शक्यत्वस्य सिद्धतया शङ्कानहित्वात्सिद्धान्तिना च कण्ठरवेणोक्तवाचिछवस्कन्दविशासप्रतिमानां पूजार्थत्वमवगम्यते । ताटशप्रतिमानां विकेतस्य प्रारुतेषु शिल्पिषु न सभवतीति
पूजार्थप्रतिमापकल्पकत्वेन युद्धायनेकद्वेहराजकार्यसिद्ध्वर्थं कोशवृद्धिं चिकीर्पूणां मोर्थाणामाश्रयणमित्यवयेयम् ।

ALLUSIONS TO KRSNA IN PATANJALI'S MAHABHASYA.

[From the Indian Antiquary, vol. III, 1874, pp. 14 ff.]

A Vārtika on Pāņini, III. 1. 26 teaches that the termination Aya, technically called Nic, should be appended to a verbal noun expressive of an event, in the sense of narrating the event The derivative suffix is to be dropped, and the noun reduced to the form of the original root from which it was derived, and it is to this root that the termination Aya is to be applied. If there is any other noun depending on the verbal noun, it should be put in the accusative or other appropriate case, and governed by the verb in Aya The example given by Patanjali to illustrate this is Kamsavadhamācaste-Kamsam ghātayati, i.e., the expression Kamsam ghātayati means "He narrates the story of Kamsa's being killed "-Now Ghātayatı in this instance is, according to the theory of the author of the Vartika, got by dropping the suffix of Vadha, reducing it to its original form of Han (to kill), appending the termination Aya and making the changes necessary in the case of Han Another instance given in the Bhasya is Balibandhamācaṣṭe-Balim bandhayati.-Now Patañjali asks if this rule is applicable only to the settled names of stories, or to all nouns expressive of an event His answer is to the latter effect. and, thus, one may use the expression Rājānam āgamayati in the sense of "he announces the coming of the king"- This shows that Kamsayadha and Balibandha were the settled names of certain Now in this Vārtika, Kātyāyana notices a usage current stories in Sanskrit common to it with several other languages, in virtue of which the causal form of a root may be used to denote the narration or announcement of an event expressed by the root The expressions "He causes Kamsa to be killed, Bali to be put under restraint, and the king to come," mean "He narrates Kamsa's being killed" and "Bali's being put under restraint," and "announces the coming of the king" But though the form,

Ghātayatı, Bandhayatı, and Āgamayatı, are causals of the roots Han, Bandh and Gam with A, the author of the Vartika does not here call them so, and hence the necessity of the new rule he has made But Patañjali, and perhaps Kātyāyana also eventually, looks upon them as causal forms, and decides that the rule is not required, and that the forms can be arrived at by Pānini's general sutra about the causals Heruman ca III 1.26. But there is some difficulty as to the Present Tense In such instances as " Having started from Ujjayini, he makes the sun rise (Suryam udgamayati) at Māhismatī (1 e, reaches Māhismatī at sunrise)," the Present Tense is appropriate, since at the time he is in Māhişmatī, the sun actually does rise But its propriety is not so clear in such expressions as "He causes Kamsa to be killed," and "He causes Bali to be put under restraint," for it is a long time since Kamsa was killed, or Bali restrained Even here, says Patanjali, the Present Tense is appropriate. For the narration or annountemement of a story or an event may be made in one of three ways:-15t, by representing the story on the stage; 2ndly, by representing it by means of pictures; and 3rdly, by narrating it by word of month: -In the first case, the leader or manager of a dramatic corps does actually cause a person who calls himself Kamsa to be killed, and a person who calls himself Bali to be put under re-Hence the Present Tense is appropriate In the second case, the blows of Kamsa and Krspa are actually seen at the time in the pictures as aimed or received by the two combatants. the third case the narrators give expression to what they know about them (Kamsa and Kṛṣṇa) from their birth to their death, and thus externally manifest what at the time exists internally. And that the things do exist internally or in the mind is shown in this way They (the narrators) are of various kinds, some are adherents or devotees of Kamsa and some of Vasudeva. Their countenances assume different colours, the faces of some (whose favourite hero is defeated) become dark, the faces of others, red. And in such cases all the three tenses are used by people. example, they say "Go, Kamsa is being killed," "Go, Kamsa is to be killed," "What is the use of going? Kamsa is killed."

This remarkable passage then shows:-

1st—That the stories of the death of Kamsa and the subjugation of Bali were popular and current in Patanjali's time.

2nd—That Kṛṣna or Vasudeva was mentioned in the story as having killed Kamsa.

3rd—That such stories formed the subjects of dramatic representations, as Purāņic stories are still popularly represented on the Hindu stage.

4th—That the event of Kamsa's death at the hands of Kṛsna was in Patanjali's time believed to have occurred at a very remote time.

तत्त ईदि वक्तव्यम् । न वा सामान्यकृतत्वाद्वेतुनो स्विशिष्टम् । न वा वक्तव्यम् । किं कारणम् । सामान्यकृतत्वात् । सामान्येनैदात्र णिज्भविष्यति हेनुमनीति । किं कारणम् । हेनुनो स्विशिष्टम् । हेनुनो स्विशिष्टमेनद्भवति ।

भवेदिह वर्तमानकालता युक्ता स्यादुज्जियन्याः प्रिस्थितो माहिष्मत्यां स्योंद्रमन सभाव-यते स्योंमुद्रमयतीति । त्रत्रस्थस्य हि तस्यादित्य उदोति । इह तु कथ वर्तमानकालता कस धातयित बिलं बन्धयतीति चिरहते च कंसे चिरबद्धे च बलो । अत्रापि युक्ता । कथम् । ये तावद्त्र शौभिका नामेते प्रत्यक्ष कंस धातयन्ति प्रत्यक्ष च बिलं बन्धवन्तीति । चित्रेषु कथम् । चित्रेष्वप्युद्भूणां निपतिताश्य प्रहारा दृश्यन्ते कसस्य च रुष्णस्य च । धन्धिकेषु कथं यत्र शब्द्यथनमात्र लक्ष्यते । तेपि हि तेषामुत्पित्तप्रमृत्या विनाशाहुद्धी-ध्याचिक्षाणाः सतो बुद्धिविषयान्प्रकाशयन्ति । आतश्य सतः । ध्यामिश्रा दृश्यन्ते । केचित्कंसभक्ता भवन्ति केचिद्वासुद्वेनभकाः । धर्णान्यत्वं सत्यि पुष्यन्ति । केचित्कालमुद्धाः भवन्ति केचिद्रकमुद्धाः । त्रैकाल्य सन्यपि लोके लक्ष्यते । गच्छ हन्यते कसः गच्छ धानिष्यते कसः । किं गतेन हतः कंस इति ।

^{1.} Katy. आरुयानात्कतस्तदाचष्टे क्रळुक्मक् तिमत्यापात्तः मक्तिविष्य कार्कम् । Pat. आरूयानात्कदन्ताण्णिज्वकव्यस्तदाचष्ट इत्यस्मिन्नर्थे । क्रळुक् मक्तिमत्यापात्तः मक्तिविष्य कार्क भवतीति वक्तव्यम् । क्षतवधमाचष्टे कंस धातयति बिरुयन्धमाचष्टे विर्वं बन्ध्यति...... किं पुनर्यान्येतानि संज्ञाभूतान्याख्यानानि तेभ्य उत्पत्त्या भवितव्यमाहोस्वि- क्तियाख्यानमात्रात् । किं चातः । यदि सज्ञाभूतेभ्य इह न मामोनि राजागमनमाच्छे राजानमागमयतीति । अथ कियाख्यानमात्र न दोषो भवति । यथा न दोषस्तथास्तु ।

212 ALLUSIONS TO KRSNA IN PATANJALI'S MAHABHASYA

I now proceed to other passages One of the Pratyudāharanas or counter-examples of the rule in Dr. Goldstücker's passage (Bhāṣya on Pānini III 2 111) is Jaghāna Kamsam kila Vāsudevah "Vāsudeva verily killed Kamsa" From the context it is clear that this is given as an example, the occurrence mentioned in which is popularly known, but which was not, and could not have been, witnessed by the speaker, 1 e., the story was ancient and popular.

Again, we are told by the author of the Mahābhāsya, under a Vāitika on Panini II 3. 36, that Kṛṣṇa was not well disposed or friendly to his uncle Asadhur matule Kṛṣṇah. In the dissertation on Bahuvrihi compounds, Panini II 2 23, the following occurs in the Mahābhāsya Samkarsanadvitīyasya balam Kṛsnasya vardhatām, "May the power of Kṛṣṇa, assisted by Samkarṣana, increase" From this we gather that Samkarsana was his constant companion and assistant, as might have been expected from their relationship. In the Vārtikas that follow Pānini, IV. 2 104, Patañjalı gives as instances of IV 3 64, Akrūravargyah, Akrūravargīnah, (i e a follower of Akrūra), Vāsudevavargyah, Vāsudevavarginah (a follower of Vāsudeva) Akrūra plays a conspicuous part in the story of Krsna. Under VI 3 6 Patanjali quotes Janārdanas tvātmacaturtha eva ("Janārdana with himself as the fourth," 1 e, with three companions) as an apparent exception to the rule Janardana is another name of Kṛṣṇa and the second passage from the Mahābhāsya form regular lines in the Upendravajrā metre, while the third and fourth form onequarter and one-half respectively, of an Anustubh stanza, from which it would appear that these are lines quoted from an existing poem on Krsna

Not only was the story of Krsna and Kamsa current and popular in Patañjali's time, but it appears clearly that the former was worshiped as a god Pānini, in IV 3. 98, teaches us to append the termination Vun, 1 e, Aka, to Vāsudeva and Arjuna to form nouns expressive of the adorer, adherent, or worshipper of

those persons. There is another more general rule (IV. 3. 99) which teaches us to form such derivatives from names of Ksatriyas. Vāsudeva, being the name of a Ksatriya, comes under that rule, and the form, made up according to it, is the same as that made up in conformity with this rule "Why, then," Patañjali asks, "are we told in this Sūtra to apply Vun or Aka to Vāsudeva?" One reason may be, he says, that the word is put in here in order to indicate that in speaking of Vāsudeva and Arjuna together the name of Vāsudeva should always be used first. Or, he goes on, this word Vāsudeva is the name of the Divine Being and not of a Ksatriya, i e, Vāsudeva is to be taken here, in his capacity as a god, and not in his capacity as a mere Ksatriya, for in this latter capacity, the name comes under the other rule."

I have thus brought together seven passages, from a work written in the middle of the second century before Christ, which show that the stories about Kṛṣṇa and his worship as a god are not so recent as European scholars would make them. And to these I ask the attention of those who find in Christ a prototype of Kṛṣṇa, and in the Bible, the original of the Bhagvadgītā, and who believe our Puranic literature to be merely a later growth. If the stories of Kṛṣṇa and Bali, and others which I shall notice hereafter, were current and popular in the second century before Christ, some such works as the Harivamśa and the Purānas must have existed then.

¹ वासुदेवार्जुनाभ्यां वृन् । किमधं वासुदेवशब्दाद्वुन्विधीयते । न गोत्रक्षात्रियाख्येभ्यो बहुल वृञ्जित्येव सिद्धम् । न हास्ति विशेषो वासुदेवशब्दाद्वुञ्ञो वा वृनो वा ।...... इदं ताई प्रयोजन वासुदेवशब्दस्य पूर्वनिपातं वक्ष्यामीति । अथवा नैषा क्षत्रियाख्या सङ्गेषा त्रञ्भग्वतः ।

VĀSUDEVA OF PANĪNI IV. III. 98

[From the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, London, 1910, pp. 168ff].

In Part IV of the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, London, for 1909 (p 1122) Dr Grierson, referring to a previous note of Professor Kielhorn (Part II of JRAS. for 1908, pp. 502 ff), states the Professor's view to be "that Patanjali therefore implies that here the word 'Vāsudeva' is merely an ordinary proper name, and is not the name of a god". This does not appear to me to be quite what Professor Kielhorn says For his words are "the word indeed conveys an honorific sense, but would be equally applicable to a human being " Professor Kielhorn, here, does not deny its applicability to a divine being, but it must be confessed that the trend of his argument is towards making out Vāsudeva to be an ordinary individual For he says :- (Vasudeva) " is the proper name of an individual called Vasudeva .. In either case the word, 'Tatrabhavatah, 'by which 'Samiñaisa' is followed, does not in the least suggest that the personage denoted by the proper name is a divine being " Neither does it, I assert, suggest that he was not a divine being; and this is plain from Dr. Kielhorn's own statement that the word is equally applicable to a human being. "Equally" with whom? It must be "equally" with divine beings And certainly the word "Tatrabhavat' means "respected," "revered," "worshipful," and may be applied to men as well as gods And in the very passage in Patanjali, with which Professor Kielhorn compares the Vasudeva passage." "Tatrabhavatah" is used of Prajāpati, who is called "Sarva", i e, "all." Prajapati can be "all" only in the sense that he is the material cause (उपादान) of all that exists This sense is assigned to Patañjali's words "सर्वश्र्व प्रजापति " by Kaiyata Prajāpati therefore is the creator, and to him is applied the epithet "Tatrabhavatah" Why not, then, may the same expression be understood to imply that Vasudeva was a god or a divine being?

I do agree with Professor Kielhorn in thinking that the correct reading is "Tatrabhavatah," and not "Tatrabhagavatah", which I accepted on a former occasion on the evidence of the Bennes edition. But "Tatrabhavat" is applicable equally to gods and men, Patañjah himself having used it in the case of the god Prajāpati

In all the passages, containing forms of the word 'tatrabhavat' referred to by Professor Kielhorn, except three, the grammatical connexion gives the substantives which are qualified by the epither "tatrabhavat". In two of these three, the wording of both of which is सहीपा तत्रभवत., the grammatical connexion does not bring out the substantive qualified by the epithet, and the sense is "This is the name of the worshipful" Thus stated, the word "worshipful" indicates one who is pre-eminently worshipful, 1 c., a god In the passage under P IV. II. 25, Prajapati is mentioned as equivalent to Ka, but in connexion with another argument, and not with that which ends with " सज़ेपा तज्ञभवत " And this mention enables us to determine in the manner indicated above who it is that is meant by the epithet "worshipful" not followed by any substantive. If the general epithet "worshipful" thus indicates a god in this passage, there is every reason for understanding that that expression indicates a god in the Vāsudeva passage (IV III 98)

Vāsudeva is here associated with Arjuna, and in the whole literature in which they are so associated, Vāsudeva is the name of a divine being. And the traditional interpretation of Patañjali's passage is that by "Tatrabhavatah" is meant such a being. The instance from the Kāśikā, which I found out for myself when Professor Kielhorn's Note first appeared, has already been given by Dr. Grierson. Kaiyaṭa's explanation is "नित्य परमासदेवता-विशेष इह बाहदेवी यहात हत्यर्थ ।" 1 e, the sense is, Vāsudeva is to be understood as a certain eternal deity which is the supreme soul. The "Tatrabhavatah" occurring in the third passage is taken by Professor Kielhorn as used in an ironical sense. But even here,

since it is used without a substantive, "Tatrabhavatah" is understood by Nāgojibhaṭṭa in his explanation of Kaiyaṭa's comment on Parañjah's text as equivalent to Isvara or god. Isvara is supposed to have taken upon himself the rôle of an opponent of the Vedas to delude the Daityas, and to have uttered the verse quoted by Patañjah. Thus in all the three passages in which "Tatrabhavatah" is not followed by a substantive, i.e., is itself used substantively, the sense is "of God, or a God,", on the evidence of Patañjah himself, Kaiyaṭa and Nāgojibhaṭṭa.

Patanjali, for these reasons, and on his evidence Pānini also, may be safely taken to speak of Vāsudeva as a divine being I understood them in this sense in an article I wrote formerly, and propose so to understand them in writing a work for the Grundriss, which I intend doing if my eyesight is restored

¹ Pat (Kielhorn's Ed, Vol I, p 3), प्रमत्तगीन एप तश्रमवत । Ksiy प्रमत्तगीन इति । प्रमादेन विप्रतिएन्नत्वेन गीत इत्यर्थः । Nag. प्रमादेनेति । मादे क्लान्त इति-भाषः । विप्रतिपन्नत्वेन वेद्विपयविपत्तिपस्याक्षयत्वेन । तत्त्वं स्वमिन्नारोज्य द्त्यनाञ्चा पूज्यस्यापि भगवत ईत्यरस्य त थेकितिरिति स न प्रमाणमिति भाषः ।

BHAVABHUTI'S QUOTATION FROM THE RAMAYANA

[FROM TEE INDIAN ANTIQUARY VOLUME II, 1873, P. 123]

Prof Weber's Essay on "The Ramayana" was translated by Rev. Boyd and published serially in the Indian Antiquary, Volume I, 1872, pp. 120 ff, 172 ff, 239 ff. This translation was also published separately, and the verses under reference are quoted and discussed on pp 88 ff, of this separate translation = p 246 b, f, of the Indian Antiquary.—[N. B. U]

In his Essay on the Ramayana, Prof Weber gives the verses quoted by Bhavabhūti in his Uttara-Rāma-Carita from the last chapter of the Balakanda of the Ramayana, and points out corresponding verses in Schlegel's and the Bombay and Serampore Editions, which resemble Bhavabhūti's only in substance. In Gorresio, he says, there is nothing corresponding to them. But about the end of the chapter, immediately previous to the one, to which Prof Weber refers us, there are these same verses in Gorresio, identical in all respects with those quoted by Bhavabhuti, except apparently in two small words, which are Eva (in the last line of the first verse) and Tu (in the last line of the second verse) in Bhavabhūti and Adhi and Hi in Gorressio. But the difference in the case of the first word at least is rather a difference between Gorresio and the Calcutta Edition of the Uttara-Rāma-Carıta, and not between Gorresio and Bhavabhūti, for in an old MS of the play existing in the Elphinstone College Library I find Adhı ınstead of Eva.

But while Gorresio's Edition agrees almost throughout with Bhavabhūti in this point, there is a material difference in another Bhavabhūti quotes the verses from the last chapter of the Bāla-Kānda, in Gorresio they occur in the last but two, while in Schlegel and the Bombay Edition the corresponding verses, though considerably differing in language, occur in the last. On comparing the several editions, one finds that Bharata's departure to the country of his maternal uncle, which is despat-

218 BHAVABHÜTI'S QUOTATION FROM THE RAMAYANA

ched in five verses in the other Editions, in Gorresio is expanded into almost a chapter of which it forms the first 44 verses. The remaining four verses of this chapter occur in the other Editions after the five verses about Bharata—The last chapter, again, in Gorresio, which describes Bharata's doings in the country of his uncle, and his sending a message to his father, is wanting in Schlegel and the Bombay Editions. And since these additional chapters contain no new incident except the sending of the messenger (which has very little to do with the story), they are propably interpolations.

A NOTE ON MEANING OF GHATA

(I)

[From the Indian Antiquary, Volume I. 1872, p. 128].

The following Note on Ghafa was written in response to the request of an officer of the Dinajapur District, who wanted historical information about that District, and in connection therewith had quoted Babu Rajendralai Mitra's translation of a verse in an Inscription containing the word Ghafa, this word being translated by Rajendralai as "three-fold" or "plural". (Indian Antiquary, Volume I, 1872 p. 127) — [N. B. U.]

Babu Rajendralal gives no authority for taking Ghata as equivalent to three-fold; and supposing that were its meaning,-"threefold eight" would be 24 But the instrumental Varsena is a serious objection, I think, to his interpretation of Kuniara-Ghață-varșena,- for if the last word of the compound meant the "year", and the other two 888, Varsa ought to be in the locative case. When a noun denoting time is in the instrumental case it indicates the period occupied in doing a thing (Panini II. 3. 6), and thus the sense of the above expression, if it referred to time, would be 'the temple was constructed in 888 yearss,' or at least that it took the 888th year to be constructed. But the construction is awkward, and if it represented a date the compound would be difficult to separate grammatically. I think the expression means " he who pours forth an array of elephants", - or, if the Va is to be taken as Dha--, which is not unlikely,- 'the defier of the ranks of elephants ' Varşamano does not agree with the metre and is consequently inadmissible, besides the compound would be ungrammatical. The word has two forms Varsma and Varsman; if the former be taken, the final word of the nominative singular of the compound would be Varsmo, if the latter, Varsma, but in neither case Varsmano, but even were it not so the meaning would be " a temple in which there are bodies or carcases of many elephants." The idiom of the language does not admit of such a word as " carved " being understood, except when a double sense is intended.

To this Note Babu Rejendralal replied at Indian Antiquary, Volume I. 1872, pp 195 f. The following (Second) note is a rejoinder to Rajendralal's observations contained there. Both letters are by Sir R. G. Bhandarkar himself.—[N B U]

(II)

[From the Indian Antiquary, Volume, I, 1872, p. 227]

In reply to Babu Rājendralāl, I must point out that he has given no authority for taking ghata to mean three; or if he did so, for taking the expression three eights to represent 888, and not 8+8+8 or even 8 x 8 x 8 He says he thinks his interpretation is 'not forced', but is the word Ghata, which is very indefinite, ever used to signify figures in this way? If the writer meant to express three, could he not have used one of the many symbolical expressions for it, instead of a word which simply means 'a collection'? And according to the usual way of expressing numbers in this symbolic way, and to the rule Ankānām vāmato gatih' i if Ghatā meant three, would not the expression Kuñjara-Ghata mean 38? And what is the necessity of restricting the 'collection' to three? It may mean any number, even 9, in which case, though a row of nine nines, according to Babu Rājendralāl's way of taking it, may not refer to any era, still the expression may mean 98. Altogether the supposition that the expression represents the date appears to be extremely improbable. The grammatical difficulty the Babu thinks I have myself solved, when I admit the alternative interpretation that "the temple took the 888th year to be constructed" But what one would naturally expect to find in an Inscription is that such and such a building was constructed in such and such a year, and not that it took such and such a year to be constructed. And the phrase that temple took the twentieth or any such year to be constructed is not Sanskrit as it is not English. I admitted the interpretation only so far as the grammar was concerned The writer has not sinned against grammar in using Bhūsana as masculine, for abstract verbal nouns ending in Ana only are necessarily neuter but others, signifying the instrument or place of an action, generally take

the gender of the noun qualified. This is clear from the Lingānušāsana (Siddhānta-Kaumudī, Calcutta Edition, Volume II, last page). This appears to be more especially the case when the verbal noun has what may be called an Upapada or another noun depending on it. In the Siddhānta-Kaumudī, under Pānini III. हे-113 and 117 the instances given are राजमोजना. शालप । इस्मायबन कुटार: । गोदोहनी स्वाली । in which nouns in Ana take the gender of the nouns they qualify. Bhūsana as an abstract noun is neuter, but in the sense of Bhūsyate Anena it may take any gender. Many verbal nouns in Ana are used by Sanskrit authors in this way. In the present case Bhubhūsana qualifies Prāsāda, and hence it is masculine.

Babu Rājendralāl supposes a double entendre on the expression in question, but such a double entendre appears to be purposeless. For the syntactical connection of a word on which such a play is intended is generally the same in both senses, but here in the one sense the compound becomes an epithet of Gaudapatinā, and in the other, it stands independently.

Babu Rājendralāl calls the compound awkward when interpreted in the way I have done, but he takes it to be a Bahuvrīhi, which it is not. It is what may be called an Upapada compound; and is to be dissolved thus - Kuñjarānām Ghaṭā = Kuñjara-Ghaṭā, Kuñjara-Ghaṭām Varsatīti, = Kuñjara-Ghaṭāvarṣah Pāṇini, III 2 1 Neither is it farther from the noun qualified than such epithets are even in such a simple Kāvya as Raghu

WHITE AND BLACK YAJUR-VEDAS.

[From the Indian Antiques, Volume I, 1872, p 163]

It is worth noticing that the followers of the Black Yajurveda are almost confined to Southern India, while the predominant or only Veda among the Gaudas of the North is the White Yajur. The Gujarat people have got a trace of one Śākhā only of the former-the Maitrayaniya Among the Marathas, the Citpavana Brahmans are nearly equally divided between the Rgveda and the Black Yajur-veda; while the Desasthas are Vājasaneyins (followers of the White) and Rgvedins. Whether this is to be accounted for by a revolution or some such event, enabling the followers of the White Veda to drive their rivals to the South, or by the supposition of that part of India being the country of the origin of the Black Yajur-veda is not determined But there is a prophecy in the Agni Purana which represents the White Yajur-veda as a conquering or triumphant Veda, saying that the only Veda that will prevail in the latter part of the Kaliyuga will be the Vajasenayaka, all others being lost, and the Purohita or priest of Kalkı, the king that will overthrow the Mlechchhas, who will have overspread the earth, will be Yajñavalkya2 latter part of the prophecy occurs in other Puranas also valkya is the founder or first teacher of the White Yajur-veda.

Why should not a Census be taken of the several Vedas and Sākhās, and of the most important sects of Theosophy or religious philosophy?

^{1.} Dasyavah éilahinaéoa vedo Vājasaneyakah t

Kalki Visnuyaśah-putro Yajūsvalkya-purohltah i

THE VEDA IN INDIA.

[From the Indian Antiquary, Volume III, 1874, pp. 132 ff.]

Every Brahmanic family is devoted to the study of a particular Veda, or a particular Šākhā of a Veda, and the domestic rites of the family are performed according to the ritual prescribed in the Sūtra connected with that Veda The study consists in getting by heart the books forming the particular Veda. Northern India, where the predominant Veda' is the White Yajur, and the Śākhā Mādhyandina, this study has almost died out, except at Banares, where Brahmanic families from all parts of India are settled. It prevails to some extent in Gujarat, but to a much greater extent in the Maratha Country, and in Tailangana there is a large number of Brahmans who still devote their life to this study. Numbers of these go about to all parts of the country in search of Daksinā, and all well-to-do natives patronize them according to their means, by getting them to repeat portions of their Veda, which is mostly the Black Yajur, with Apastamba for their Sütra Hardly a week passes here in Bombay in which no Tailanga Brahman comes to me to ask for Daksınā. On each occasion I get the men to repeat what they have learnt, and compare it with the printed texts in my possession. With reference to their occupation, Brahmanas of each Veda are generally divided into two classes, Grhasthas and The former devote themselves to a wordly avoca-Bhiksukas tion, while the latter spend their time in the study of their sacred books and the practice of their religious rites. Both these classes have to repeat the Samdhya-Vandana or twilight prayers, the forms of which are somewhat different for the different But the repetition of the Gayatri-mantra Tat-Savitur varenyam, &c, five, ten, twenty-eight, or a hundred and eight times, which forms the principal portion of the ceremony, is

^{1.} Compare the Note on the White and Black Yajurvedas on the last page in this Volume.—[N. B. U.]

common to all The Samdhyā-Vandana is performed early in the morning and at sunset by a few pious Brahmans, but the rest do it a little before the morning and evening meals, i.e., from 10 A M to 12 noon, and at about 8 P. M. Besides this, a great many perform daily what is called Brahmayajña, which is incumbent on all on certain occasions. This for the Rgvedis, consists of the first hymn of the first Mandala, and the opening sentences of the Aitareya Brāhmana, the five parts of the Aitareya Āranyayaka, the Yājus-Samhitā, the Sāma-Samhitā, the Atharva-Samhitā, Āśvalāyana Kalpa-Sūtra, Nirukta, Chandas, Nighantu, Jyotis, Šīksā, Pānini's Grammar, Yājnavalkya Smrti, Mahābhārata, and the Sūtras of Kanāda, Jaimini, and Bādarāyana r.

I Aśvalāyana enjoins the Brahmayajña in the following Sūtra अथ स्वाम्यायमधीयीतर्चो यजूपि सामान्यथर्वाद्विरसो बाह्मणानि कल्पान्गाथा नाराशसीरि-तिहासपुराणानीति । Upon this is based the following, as repeated by the Rgvedi Brahmans in these days —1 आग्नेमीळे पुरोहित & c. up to सचस्वा नः स्वस्तये। (Rgv 1 1) 2, अप्तिवें देवानामवमो विष्णु . परमः। (Att Brah. 1. 1), 3 अथ महावतम् । (Att 1st Aran), 4 एप पन्था एतत्कर्म । (Att. 2nd Aran.), 5 अथात: संहिताया उपनिपत् । (Ait 3rd Aran,), 6 विदामघवन्विदा । (Ait 4th Aran), 7 महावतस्य पश्चिविंशितिं सामिधेन्य.। (Ait 5th Aran), 8 हपे त्वोर्जे त्वा। (Yajurveda Samhita), 9 अम आयाहि वीतये। (Samaveda Samh), 10 श नो देवी॰ र्मिएये। (Athar Samh.), 11 अधितस्य समान्नायस्य । (Asv Kalp S 19), समा-म्नायः समान्नात । (Nirukta) , 13 मयरसतज्जमनलगसंमितम् । (Chandas) , 14 गोः। मा। (Nighanțu), 15, पश्चसंवत्सरमयम्। (Jyotiș) 16 अथ शिक्षां प्रवक्ष्यामि। (Śiksā), 17 वृद्धिरादेच् | (Pāṇini) 18 योगीन्वर याज्ञवल्क्यम् | (Yā)ñ Smrti) 19. नारायणं नमस्रुत्य । (Mahābhārata), 20 अथातो धर्मे न्यास्यास्यामः। (Kaņāda Sūtra), 21 अथाती धर्मजिज्ञासा । (Jaimini Mīmānisā), 22 अथाती ब्रह्मजिङ्गासा । (Badarayapa-Vedanta-Sutra), तच्छंयोरावृणीमहे गातुं यज्ञाय गातु यहपतरे देवी स्वस्तिरस्तु न : स्वस्तिर्मानुपेभ्यः । ऊर्घ्वं जिगात् भेषजं शं नो अस्त् द्विपदे शं चतुष्पदे । अ नमो बहाणे नमो अस्त्वमये नमः पृथिव्ये नम ओपाधिन्यः । नमो वाचे नमी वाचस्पतये नमी विष्णवे महते क्रोमि। It would be hazardous to affirm that our Brahma-yajña, as recited in these days was settled in Aśvalayana's time, but it is evidently based upon his Sutra quoted above No. 1

Such Bhikşukas as have studied the whole Veda, repeat more of the first hymn, and a Khanda or more of the Brāhmana, thus following the precept of Asvalāyana. Sa yāvan manyeta tāvada dhitya, "having recited so much as he wishes." The Brahma-yajña of the followers of the other Vedas consists of the first sections of their Samhitās and Brāhmanas, and the opening sentences or verses of the other Vedas. The Vedāṅgas and the other works are dispensed with.

The Vedic learning of the Grhasthas extends generally thus far only, but that of the Bhiksukas goes further. Some of these latter are what are called Yājnikas They follow a priestly occupation, and are skilled in the performance of the sacred rites. They study the manuals of domestic rites based on the several Grhya Sûtras. The manual used by and for the Rgvedi followers of Asvalayana is one composed by Narayanabhatta and known by the name of Nārāyanabhattī The Hiranyakeśī Yajurvedis use the Maheśvara-bhatti, composed by Maheśvarabhatta, and the manual followed by the Apastambas is the work of one Candracūda, while a book of the name of Prayoga-Darpana is used by the Mādhyandinas There are a few other works of this nature which are occasionally referred to, but the usual practice of the rites is based on these But a more important class of Bhiksukas are Vaidikas, some of whom are Yājnikas as well Learning the Vedas by heart and repeating them in a manner never to to make a single mistake, even in the accents, is the occupation of their life. The best Rgvedi Vaidika knows by heart the Samhita, Pada, Krama, Jață, and Ghana of the hymns or Mantra

corresponds, to his ऋचः, Nos 2-7 to माह्मणानि, No. 8 to his यज्ञापि, No 9 to सामानि, No 10 to अध्याङ्गिरसः, No 11, and perhaps the Vedengas from 12 to 17, to कृत्यान्, and the rest to गाया नाराशसीरितिहासपुर। ॥ ।. Of these latter the quotation from the Mahabharata No. 19 corresponds remarkably to Aśvalayana's इतिहास, and there is no reason to think this did not form part of the Brahma-yana repeated in his time.

^{29 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I].

portion of the Veda, and the Aitareya Brāhmana and Āranyaka, the Kalpa and Grhya Sūtra of Āśvalāyana, the Nighanţu, Nirukta, Chandas, Jyotis and Šiksā, and Pānini's Asṭādhyāyī on Grammar Ā Vaidika is thus a living Vedic Llibrary The Samhitā and Pada our readers will understand, Krama, Jaṭā and Ghana are different arrangements of the words in the Mantras All these I show below by an example —

Samhitā

इदं विष्णुर्विचेकमे चेधा नि दंधे पदर । समूब्हमस्य पासुरे ॥

Padas

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 इदम् । विष्णुः । वि । चक्रमे । त्रेधा । नि । द्धे । पद्म् । समूब्ह्म् । अस्य । पासुरे ॥

Krama

इद विष्णुं । विष्णुवि । वि चंक्रमे । चक्रमे त्रेधा । त्रेधा नि । नि देधे । द्र्षे पद्म । पद
पिति पदम् ॥ समूब्हिमस्य । समूब्हिमिति सम् ऽर्क्षच्हिम् । अस्य पासुरे । पासुर इति पासुरे ॥

Jață

Ghana

हुँ विष्णुविष्णुरिटमिद विष्णुवि विष्णुवि विष्णुवि । विष्णुवि विष्णुवि विष्णुवि । विष्णुवि विष्णुव विष्णुवि विष्णुव विष्णुवि विष्णुवि विष्णुवि विष

GHANA &c. EXPLAINED

Padas are the different words of a Mantra repeated separate-Samhitā consists in putting them together according to the Samdhi rules and using the Samdhi accents In Krama the first word is repeated along with the second, the second with the third, the third with the fourth, and so on, as shown in the above scheme The last word of a Mantra or a half of a Rikverse, is simply repeated with the word Iti placed between. This repetition is called Vestana In the Jata arrangement, the first word and the second, the second and the first, and the first and the second again, are repeated together, joined by the the second and the third, the third and the second, and the second and the third are put together, and thus it goes on, each word in succession beginning a new Jață arrangement, up to the end of a half-Rik or of a mantra, when the last word is simply repeated, as in the Krama In the Ghana there is first a Jatā arrangement of two successive words, and then the third is added on, then the three are put together in the reverse order, and again in the converse A Ghana is thus composed of the first and the second, the second and the first, the first and the second again, then the third, the third, the second, and the first, and the first, the second, and the third. The second word begins the next Ghana, and we have the second, third, third. second, second, third, fourth, fourth, third, second, second, third. and fourth put together In this manner it goes on to the las word, which cannot begin a new Ghana, and is therefore simply

repeated, as in the other cases Whenever there is a compound, there is in addition what is called an Avagraha, i. e, a dissolution of it into its parts, in all these schemes, as in the case of Samulham in the above. It ought by no means to be supposed that to one who has got up the Padas these other arrangements are easy, since the Samdhi changes and accents are different in each scheme; and in reciting, the horizontal and vertical (Anudātta and svarita) accents, as also the one compounded of these two, are distinctly shown by certain modulations of the voice. The Rgvedis do this in a way different from that followed by the Taittirīyas, or followers of the Black Yaju, while the Mādhyandinas indicate the accents by means of certain movements of the right hand. The Kānvas, however, differ from these latter, and follow the Rgvedis, as do the Atharvavedis also

In this manner the Vaidikas learn to recite the Mantra portions of their Veda The Brahmanas and other works are learnt and repeated simply as we find them in Manuscripts, 1 e. in the Samhitā way The quantity that the Rgvedis have to get up is so large that a person who has carried his studies up to Ghana is very rarely to be met with, and generally the Vaidikas of that Veda get up only the Samhita, Pada, and Krama of the Mantra portion, in addition to the Brahmana and the other works enumerated above Amongst the Taittīrīyas, however, a great many Vaidikas go up to the Ghana of the Mantra portion of their Veda, since they have to get up only their Brāhmana and Āranyaka in addition Some learn the Taittirīya Prātisākhya also, but the Vedāngas, including the Kalpa and Grhya Sútras, are not attended to by that class, nor indeed by any except the The Mādhyandinas get up the Samhita, Pada, Krama, Jata and Ghana of their Mantra portion, but their studies generally stop there; and there is hardly one to be found who knows the whole Satapatha Brāhmana by heart, though several get up portions of it There are very few Atharvavedis in the Bombay Presidency, a few families residing at Mahuli, near Satara,

and some more in Revakānṭā (See Indian Antiquary Volume, I p. 129) Last year, two Vaidikas of this Veda, very probably from the latter district, came up to me for Daksinā. I took a copy of the German Edition in my hand and examined them, but they did not seem to know their Samhitā well. The triumph of a Vaidika consists in repeating his Veda fluently, in all the ways above detailed, without a single mistake in the letters or accents. The students of the Sāmaveda have their own innumerable modes of singing the Sāmas. These are now being published in the Bibliotheca Indica. The Sāmavedis get up their Brāhmanas and Upanisads also

The Vaidikas support themselves generally on the gifts or Daksınās of those of their countrymen who are charitably disposed. Often recital-meetings, known by the name of Mantra-Jagaras, are held by rich Grhasthas in their houses, at which the principal Vaidikas in the town or village are invited. The reciters of each Veda are divided into two parties, one of which repeats a portion of a Mantra in one or more of the several schemes, and the other party takes up the next; and is then followed by the first again Each of them is silent while the other is repeating. In this manner, they go on till the time for breaking up arrives The reciters are provided with milk and other refreshments, and at the end a money-Daksinā is is given to them by the host, according to his means. It is always a point of honour, at these meetings, who should recite first general consent, however, the first place is given to the Rgvedis, and after they have repeated their Mantras, the Yajurvedis begin But, since there are two classes of Yajurvedis, the followers of Black Veda and of the White, this second place is the subject of contention between them And sometimes the quarrel waxes so warm that it is often considered the safest course for the convener of the meeting, in order that his house may not be a scene of tumult, to invite members of only one of these third place is assigned to the Samavedis

The Veda-reciters are patronized by native princes also, and the most liberal of these are the Gaikavad and the Raja of

Travancore, whose praises are sung by the wandering Tailanga Vaidika. The former has got a regular board of examiners, by whom every candidate that comes up from any part of India is examined and recommended for Daksinā according to his deserts. But, with all these sources of income, the Vaidika is hardly in easy circumstances. Hence the class is gradually dying out, and the sons of the best Vaidikas in Poona or the Konkan, now attend Government English Schools—a result not to be much deplored

Though the time and energy wasted in transmitting the Vedas in this manner, from the times of Kātyāyana and other ancient editors of the Vedas, has been immense, we should not forget that this class of Vaidikas has rendered one important service to Philology I think the purity of our Vedic texts is to be wholly attributed to this system of getting them up by heart, and to the great importance attached by the reciters to perfect accuracy, even to a syllable or an accent.

There is another class of Vedic students called Śrotriyas, or popularly Śrautīs, which must not be omitted here These are acquainted with the art of performing the great sacrifices They are generally good Vaidikas, and in addition, study the Kalpa-Sutra and the Prayogas or manuals Their number is very limited Here and there one meets with Agnihotris, who maintain the three sacrifical fires and perform the fortnightly Istis (sacrifices) and the Cāturmāsyas (particular kinds of sacrifice) The grander Soma sacrifices are then brought forward, but they are as a course very unfrequent There was one in the Konkan at a matter of village called Golapa, near Ratnagiri, in May 1868, at which I was present, and another at Poona last year The young Chief of Colaba has made preparations to institute at Alibag, at the end of this month (April), a sacrifice which is to be a compound of the species called Aptoryama and of a ceremony known by the name of Cayana, that is, the ceremony of constructing the Kunda of altar in a peculiar shape. This will occupy the first twelve days, and the whole will last for about twenty days

THE NASIK CAVE INSCRIPTIONS

[From the Transactions of the First International Congress of Orientalists, London, 1876, pp 188 ff]

In the following Translations of the Nasik Cave Inscriptions, I have mainly followed Mr West's excellent lithographs, given in Volume VII of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society's Journal. Lieutenant Brett's copies, from which Dr Stevenson translated them, were also consulted But finding that neither Collection was satisfactory in every respect, I visited the caves myself about three weeks ago, and compared the copies with the originals I found a difference in several cases. These have been indicated in their proper places I was accompanied by my friend Mr Abaji Visnu Kathavate, himself a Sanskrit scholar, who was of much assistance to me. I have translated all the Inscriptions with the exception of No 23, which consists of a few small and incomplete lines. The numbers used are those of Mr. West's copies The order in which I have arranged my Translations is as follows .-

- 1. Gautamiputra's Inscriptions, Nos. 26 and 25
- 2. Uşavadāta's Inscriptions, Nos 17, 19, 18, 16, and 14
- Inscriptions of private individuals containing the names of kings.
- 4 The rest

No 26

- भिद्ध रणो वासिठीपुतस सिरिपुढुमायिस समच्छरे एकुणविसे १९ गिम्हणपसे
 चितीये २ दिवसे तेरसे १३ राजरणो गोतमीपुतस हिमयतमेठ-
- २ मद्रारपवतसमसारस असिकअसकमुढकसुरठकुकुरापरतअनुपविद्भआकरावित-राजस विञ्च्छावतपारिचातसद्यकएइगिरिमचसिरिटनमलयमहिंद्-
- ३ सेटिगिरिचकेरपवतपतिस सवराजलोकमङ्ळ पितगृहीतसासनस दिवसकर करिवेबोधितकमलविमलसादिसवद्नस तिसमुद्तोयपीत्वाहनस पृष्ठिपुणचद्मङलससिरीक-

- ४ पियद्सनस वरवारणविकमचारुविकमस मुजगपतिभोगपीनवाटविषुलदीघस्टदर-मुजस अमयोद्कद्गनिकिलिननिभयक्रस अविपनमातुसुमुसकस सुविभतिवगदेसकालस
- ५ पोरजननिविसेससमसुसदुसस स्नातियद्पमानमद्नस सक्वयनपप्टहवनिस्नुदनस धमापजितकरविनियोगकरस कितापराधेषि सतुज्ये अपाणहिंसारुचिस दिजावरकुटुब-विवध—
- ६ नस सगारातवसनिर्वसेसकर्स सातवाहनकुलयसपितहापनकरस सवमडलाभि-षादितचरणस विनिवतितचातुवणसकरस अनेकसमरावाजितसतुसघस अपराजितविजय-पताकसतुजनदुपधसनीय—
- ७ पुरवरस कुलपुरिसपरंपरागतविपुलराजसदस आगमानं निलयस सपुरिसानं अस-यस सिरीस अधिटानस उपचारानं पभवस एककुस[ल]स एकधनुधरस एकस्रस एक-मह्मणस राम~
- < केसवाजुनमीमसेनतुलपराकमस [द]च्छणयनुसवसमाजकारकस णमागन-हुसजनमेजयसकरययातिरामांचरीससमतेजस अपिरिमितमस्यमीचतममुत पवनगरङिसधय [] सरस्रसिंवजाधरमृतगधवचारण-
- < चंद्दिवाकरनस्रतगहविचिण समरसिरसि जिनिरिपुस्चस णगवरस्रधा गगनतल-मभिविगाङस कुलविपुल[ण]िसिरिकरस सिरिसातकानिस मानुय महादेषीय गोतमीय बलिसिरिय सचवचनदानसमाहिंगानिरनाय तपदमनिय—
- १ मोपवासतपराय राजिरिसिवधुसदमिसिलमनुविधीयमानाय कारित देवधम cut away सप cut away सिस्सिटिसिनिरण्डुपवतासिसरे विमानवरणिविसेसमिहिमक लेण ए[त] च ले[ण] महादेवी महाराजमाता महाराजपतामही ददाति निकायस भदावनीयान भिससंघस
- ११ एतस च लेणगा चित[ण] निर्मि[त] महादेवीय अयकाय सेवाकामा पिशकामी ण cut away पथेसरी पितुपतियसधमसेतुस द्दाति गाम तिरण्डपदतस अपरदक्षिण पासे पिसाजिपदर...सावजात...रब.

Though covered over with a black oily paint, this Inscription, with the exception of a few letters at the end, could be easily read. It is intelligible throughout, though not without a few difficulties, and the words can be readily traced to their Sanskrit originals. The letters, not occurring in Mr West's lithograph, and such as are different there from what I found them to be in the original, are underlined here, as in other Inscriptions.

- Lines 2, 3. Some of the names cannot be identified. स्थितन is perhaps अस्तिन in Sanskrit May this be Śrī Śaila on the Kṛṣṇā ?— चकेर is very likely the Cakora mentioned in some of the Purānas. For the rest see Wilson's Viṣnu-Purāṇa and Varāha-Mihira, Chap. XIV.
- L. 6. खगारात or खसारात. The right-hand stroke indicatory of आ is distinct in the original
- L. 8 च्छण्यत् makes no sense द must very likely be read before it, though it does not occur; and then the word would correspond to दक्षिणायत्. सकर must have been intended for सगर. Engravers not seldom make such mistakes. इकर can have nothing to do here; Gautamīputra is compared to ancient kings, and not to gods, in the compound. Dr Stevenson's शकार will not do; for what is wanted here is an old Purānic king.
- L. 9. विचित्र offers some difficulty. If taken as corresponding to वितीर्ण, there is nothing in the following words which it may with propriety be made to qualify. वितीर्ण: समर: would hardly be good sense, for the fight is with mortal enemies, and not with the wind, Garuda, etc. Nor would the compound ending with the word look well as an adverb The letter representing Ca may not unlikely have been engraved for Dha, which it greatly resembles; and with a small stroke to the right, Na would be Na, and the whole word would be fartism. This yields pretty good sense; for what seems to be intended is that he propitiated the wind, Garuda and the rest by some processes, and then obtained an easy victory over his enemies. भावय, महादेवीय, etc., stand for भावय, महादेवीय, etc. instrumental singulars in Prakrit.
- L. 10 Atog or more properly Atta (see No. 25, 18), corresponds to Ata (See No 17, middle of l. 3, and No. 15, l. 7), and was the name of the hill on which these Cave-Temples are excavated. It occurs in No 9, No. 11, l 2, No. 25, about the end of l. 9, and also in l. 11 of this Dr. Stevenson makes "Kanha mountain" of it in one place (p. 43), "the rays of the setting sun" in another (p 50), and "wilderness" in a

80 [R. G. Bhandarkar's works, Vol. I.]

third. भदावनीयानं ought to be भदायनीयानं (See to II. and 13 below) = भदायनीयानम् which was the name of a Buddhistic sect.2

L 11 चितन - लेणपाचितन is the reading of both Lieut. Brett and Mr West, but I could distinctly see स instead of q and लेणस, the gentive, is wanted here चितनं =चेत्यानाम् अयकाय = आर्यकाया gen " of the venerable lady". पिसाजिपदर is unintelligible.

Sanskrit of No 26.

- शिद्धम् । राह्रो वासिष्ठीपृत्रस्य श्रीपुडुमायेः संवत्सर एकोनविंशे १९ ग्रीप्मपक्षे
 द्वितीये २ दिवसे श्रयोदशे १३ राजराजस्य गौतमीपुत्रस्य हिमवन्मेठ—
- मन्दारपर्वतसमसारस्यासिकाश्मकमुढकसुराष्ट्रकुकुरापरान्तानूपविदर्भाकरायन्ती राजस्य विन्ध्यावत्यारियात्रसद्यरुण्णगिरिमचश्रीस्तनमलयमहेन्द्र---
- अष्ठिगिरिचकोरपर्वतपतेः सर्वराजलोकमण्डलपातिगृहितशासनस्य दिवसककरिववो-धितकमलियमलसदशवदनस्य त्रिसमुद्रतोयपीतवाहनस्य परिपूर्णचन्द्रमण्डलसश्रीक—
- प्रियद्शीनस्य वरवारणिक्कमचारुविक्रमस्य मुजगपितभोगपीनवृत्तविपुलदिर्पि-सुन्दर्भुजस्याभयोद्कद्गनिक्कन्नानिर्भयकरस्याविपन्नमातृशुश्चपकस्य सुविभक्तिनिर्वरिश-कालस्य
- ५ पौरजननिर्विशेपसमसुसदुः सस्य क्षत्रियद्र्पमानमर्दनस्य शकयवनपल्हवनिपूद्नस्य धर्मोपार्जितकरविनियोगकरस्य रुतापराधेपि शत्रुजनेऽपाणिहसारुचेर्द्विजवरकुटुम्बविवर्ध—
- ६ नस्य क्षगारातवशनिरवशेषकरस्य शातवाहनकुळयशःप्रतिष्ठापनकरस्य सर्वे-भण्डळाभिवादितचरणस्य विनिवर्तितचानुर्वर्ण्यसकरस्यानेकसमरावजितशत्रुसघस्यापरा-जितविजयपताकशञ्जुजनदुष्प्रधर्पणीय—
- प्रवरस्य कुलपुरुपपरपरागतविपुलराजशब्दस्यागमाना निलयस्य सत्पुरुपाणामा,
 श्रयस्य श्रियोऽधिष्टानस्योपचाराणा प्रभवस्येककुश[ल]स्येकधनुर्धरस्येकशुरस्येकम्भूरस्येकम्भू ण्यस्य राम—
- < केशवार्जुनभीमसेनतुल्यपराक्रमस्य दक्षिणायनोत्सवसमाजकारकस्य नमागनहुप-जनमेजयसगरययातिरामाम्बरीपसमतेजसोऽपरिमितमस्वयमचित्रमद्भुत(तं)पवनगरुडसिद्धय-क्षराक्षसविद्याधरगन्धर्वचारण—

Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Volume V, p 55

^{2.} Wassiljew, p. 230.

- ९ जन्द्रदियारग्नस्तत्रमर्हाभिनासमरशिरति जितिरिपुसघम्य नगवृक्षया गगनतलंमः भिषिगारम्य पुरुषिपुण्यक्षीवरस्य शानकणमीत्रा महादेव्या गोतम्या चलिखया सत्यवच-नदानसमाहिगानिरतया तपोरमनिय—
- १ मोरपासन्त्परया राजार्षे भ्यूराब्द्मिनिस्मनुविधीयमानया कारितं देयधर्म cut away शिन्तरसद्धाविरिध्मपत्रनिनिर्दे विमान्तरानिर्दिशेषमहिमक स्थनम् । एतच्च स्थनं मरोद्यीनहाराजमाना महाराजपिनामही द्दाति निकायस्य(याय) भद्रायनीयानां भिक्षसपस्य(पाय)।
- ११ (तस्य प स्यनस्य चत्यानां निमित्त महादेव्या आर्यकायाः सेवाकामः प्रिय-पामका न cut away पयेभ्यरः वितृपतियशोधमंसेतोईदाति प्राम त्रिरित्मपर्वतस्यापरद्-क्षिणपान्तं...सर्वजात...र्च ।

TRANSLATION.

This Cave-temple, a benefaction, the greatness of which is not excelled by the best (1) of Vimanas (celestial cars), is caused to be constructed on the symmit of Trirasmi, which is like the summit of-, on the 13th thirteenth day, in the and second fortnight of Grisma (2), in the year 19 nineteen of the King Śrī Pudumayi, the son of Vāsisthī, by the Great Queen the presiding genius of power, taking delight in veracity, charity, forbearance, and abstinence from killing, devoted to religious austerities, self-restraint, vows and fasts, and acting (3) in every way as behts the title of " daughter (4) of royal sages," and the mother of Satakarni, Gautamiputra [the son of Gautami], the King of Kings, whose might [firmness lis equal to that of the mountains Himālaya, Meru, and Mandāra, who is king of Asika, Aśmaka, Mudhaka, Surāstra, Kukura (5), Aparanta, Anupa, Vidarbha, Akara, and Avanti, and lord of the mountains Vindhyavat, Pariyatra, Sahya, Krsna-giri, Malaya, Mahendra, Śrestha-giri and Cakora, whose orders are obeyed by the circle of all kings, whose pure face resembles the lotus blown open by the rays of the sun, whose beasts of burden have drunk(6) the waters of the three seas, whose look is as graceful and lovely as the full disk of the moon, whose gait is as

pleasing as that of an excellent elephant, whose arm is as stout, rounded, massive, long, and beautiful as the body of the lord of serpents, whose fearless hand is wetted by the water poured in granting (7) asylums, who serves his living (lit. not dead) mother, who has well arranged the times and places proper for [the pursuit of] the triad (8), whose happiness and misery are the same as, and not different from, those of his citizens, who has quelled the boast and pride of Ksatriyas, who is the destroyerer of the Sakas, Yavanas, and Palhavas, whe spends the [revenue got from] taxes levied only according to the law, who does not like to destroy life even in the case of enemies who have given offence, who has increased (9) the families of the best of Brahmans, who exterminated [lit. left no remnants of] the race of Khagārāta, who has established the glory of the family of Śātavāhana, whose feet are adored by the whole circle of kings, who has stemmed [the progress of] the confusion of the four castes, who has conquered the host of his enemies in innumerable battles, whose great capital is unappoachable to his enemies and has its victorious flag unconquered, to whom the great title of king has descended from a series of ancestors [ht. men of his family], who is the abode of learning, the support of good men, the home of glory, the source of good manners, the only skilful person, the only archer, the only brave man, the only supporter of Brahmans, whose exploits rival those of Rama, Keśava, Arjuna, and Bhīmasena; who holds festive meetings on the occasion of the summer solstice, whose prowess is equal to that of Nabhaga, Nahusa, Janamejaya, Sagara, Yayau, Rama, and Ambarīşa; who conquered the host of his enemies in the brunt of battle in a curious and wonderful manner in virtue of his innumerable worships and obvervances, and by means of rites concerning the wind, Garuda, Siddhas, Yaksas, Rāksasas, Vidyādharas, ghosts, Gandharvas, Cāranas, the moon, the sun, the constellations and planets; who erects his neck high in the sky like (10) mountains and trees [lit. who goes or makes towards the sky], and who has brought prosperity to his race

The Great Queen, the mother of the Great King, and the grand-mother of the Great King, gives this cave to the Congregation, the host of mendicants of the Bhadrāyanīya School. The Lord of — patha, desirous to please and to serve the venerable lady, the Great Queen, grants a village on the south-western side of the Triraśmi mount for the sake of the Caityas (images) in the cave-temple, in order thus to prepare a bridge for the fame and religious desert of her father and husband

Notes.

The syntactical connection of the sentence ending with लगनम् in the tenth line is इत्ततकर्णेमीचा गौतम्या चिरिदेमपर्वतिहास्तर इदं लगनं कारितम्. The words from राजराजस्य in the first line to भी-करस्य in the ninth, are epithets of ज्ञातकर्णि; and from महादेच्या to चिथीयमानया of गौतमी.

- (1) My friend remarked, when we came to this part of the Inscription, that below the plinth of the verandah of the Cave, were carved figures of men with poles on their shoulders, giving to them the appearance of Vimāna-bearers, like the modern Palki—bearers, and to the Cave that of a Vimāna.
- (2) It appears to have been the custom in some parts of the country in those days to mark the Rtu or season instead of the month. Each season is compsed of two months, and consequently of four Paksas or fortnights Grisma comprehends Jyestha and Āṣādha
- (3) अनुविधीयमानया is in form passive, while the active sense is required. It may have been a mistake of the engraver,
 - (4) Daughter or daughter-in-law
- (5) A portion of modern Rajaputana appears to have been known by the name of Kukura, for it is called Kiuchelo by Huien Thsang, which General Cunningham identifies with Gurjjara. But Gurjjara is nowhere mentioned as the name

¹ Ancient Geography of India, p. 312,

of a country, and supposing that there was a country of that name, its position ought to be farther to the South. The Guijara dynasty which the General connects with that country reigned at Broach¹ (See Journal B. B. R. A. S. Vol. X.). Kukura answers to Kiuchelo better than Guijara. Aparānta must be the Western coast below the Sahyādri; for Kālidāsa represents Raghu, in the fourth canto of the Raghuvamsáa (Śloka 52, 53, and 58), to have crossed the Sahya to conquer that country, and to have, by means of his immense army, made the sea to appear "as if it touched the Sahya mountain" Anūpa was a country on the Upper Narmadā, with Māhiṣmatī for its capital.

- (6) According to the usual Sanskrit idiom (Pānini II 2 36) पीत ought to be placed before जिसमृत्रतोय But there are exceptions, as noticed in Pānini II 2 37 Such expressions as माहवासिरिणो for श्रीमाधवस्य (Mālatī-Mādhava, Act VI.) are not uncommon Jagaddhara's remark in this case is माधविश्रय इत्यञ्ज पास्ते पूर्विनिपातानियमाच्छीमाधवस्येत्यर्थ. According to him, therefore, adjectives may be placed after the noun in Prakrit where this cannot be done in Sanskrit
- (7) Properly the phrase ought to be translated thus, "Whose fearless hand is wetted by giving the water of asylum or safety" But there is no object or propriety in comparing safety to water The expression ought to be अभयदानीदक The compound, however, may be dissolved as अभयस्योदकेन दानम, but this is hardly good.
- (8) The triad is धर्म "religious merit or desert", अर्थ "wealth, possessions, or worldly interests," and काम, "desires or pleasures"
- (9) To put a Brahman in a condition in which he may "increase and multiply" his race has always been considered an act of virtue. Usavadāta is praised in Inscription No 17 for

I Journal of the Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Society, Volume X.

² Raghnvamsa, VI 37-43

"having given eight wives to Brahmans," i e. given them the means of marrying

(10) The termination धा showing "manner" or "variety," which in Sanskrit is applied only to numerals, seems to be appended here to common nouns Hence नगहसभा means "in the manner of mountains and trees"

By far the greater part of Dr Stevenson's translation of this Inscription is wrong, and wide away from the true sense. His "Varāja, lord of the circle of Lankā", "Sūrya going to the region of the lotuses at the suggestion of chāyā", "the spotless sister," "Ksatriya flaming like the god of love, "the four institutes, one for the sick and infirm", "Umākhelā, the queen, etc. etc, have all disappeared in my Translation. I need not criticize it further. Any one who will compare both with the original will perceive the truth of my remark.

It will be seen that the cave was caused to be constructed and assigned to the mendicants by Gautami, the mother of Śātakarni Gautamiputra, and not by his wife or widow, as supposed by Dr Stevenson and all subsequent writers

Below this Inscription there is another composed of about three lines in smaller characters, which is difficult to read. The time at my command was so short that I had to come away without comparing Mr. West's transcript with it. But I do not think such a comparison would have been of much use. The engraver was evidently in haste, and wanted to compress much matter within the short space at his disposed, in consequence of which the letters are badly formed. The difficulty is increased by a portion in the middle of each line being destroyed. The context is thus cut off. I have, however, been able, by comparthe two copies, to make out the following—

No 26 A

११ नवनरस्वामी वासिटीपुतो सिरीपुटुमिव आणपयित गोवधने अमच

१२ सवसद्त्रन अमेण सव॰ १९ गि॰ प॰ २ दिव॰ १३ धनकटसामिनहि ध एथ पतेतर cut away न एतस तस लेणस पटसथरणे असयहेतु एथ गीवधनहरें दासिणनगे गामो व तिथण मिसुहिं देवीलेणवासेहिं निकायेन भदागनीयेहिं पतिसाय दती एतस दानगामस सुदसनेहिं परवटक एथ गोह [व] धन हरे प्व

१३ गामो[म]समदेस द्दद्म एतस महाअहरकान द्दानधमसेतुस लणसः पटिपथरणे असयहेतो [तु] गाम मम [वि] लपद तन cut away सण cut away येन मदायनीयेहिं पतिस्वय उपपय [वियापितो] एतस च गामस सम [वि] लपदस मिसु-पालपरिबारहथे समाद्त पालन पवजितपरिवारे देवान कणण कर्ज गोनबनवा-थवनब्सरय वेएहपाले न अमचे णाणतेन मतगणसपणपणासिस जिनवरस बुधस

१४ वितराम अपवेस अनामस अदाणसणक अरठ समनमक [सविनायिक] सवजातपरिवारिक च एताहिन परिहारेहिं एत च गोम सम[वि]स्पद्परिचार च एथ णसध [णिचध] लिपि अद [दि] मानतमसवस्रुद्सनान विनिचधकरेहिं आणतमहा सेनापतिना मेधाविनासद सतर [ने] ण निन्छिता व निकदेवस कजे.

When a reading not noticed below is not found in one of the copies, it should be looked for in the other

L. 12. असेण looks like असेप, but what is required is a Prakrit word having the sense of अस्माकम् -सामिनहिं is the instrumental plural occuring again in No 25, l 5 The # of the singular seems to have the plural termination attached to it in this case, as in the Marathi plural राज्यानीं (=नहीं), the singular being राज्यानें The verb or participle of which सामिनाई is the agent in the instrumental case is wanting, probably lost in the portion of the Inscription destroyed. It should be some word signifying "given". - until The t seems to have been badly cut in the rock or compounded by the copyists with v -प्रसथरणे =प्रतिसस्तरणे whence the z ought to be & The word occurs in the next line in the form of पटिपथरणे = प्रतिप्रस्तरणे :- गोवधनहरे may also be read as गोवधनाबारे=" district of Govardhana "--तियण is somewhat difficult Mr. West has भिलेण I prefer the former, and refer it to the Sanskrit तत्स्थान or जिस्थान - भदायनेहिं. The भ books like न, but there can be little doubt that was the correct reading, since the word occurs near the end of 1. 10 and in the middle of 1 In the latter place it is distinct in Lieut. Brett's copy, -- पतिसन. The first letter is 7 in Lieut. Brett's, and unreadable in Mr. West's.

It must be q, for the word is repeated in the next line, where the q is distinct. In the latter place the letter that looks like q must be corrected to q, as we have got it here— REALIE The vowel of the last letter is not distinct. The vowel occurs in the last line about the end—— gq. The vowel of the first letter is wanting in Mr. West's, but some mark denoting it is to be seen in Lieut. Brett's, though on the left side of the letter. Mr West suspects the existence of master q, but that letter is not required here unless we read the whole word as quan.

L. 13 nthi. This is nominative singular, but the sense requires the accusative. — easest appears to be the first person plural of the perfect. In Sanskrit, however, the root at first class takes the Atmanepada terminations. Or if one z is considered redundant, and consequently to have crept in by mistake, the form is of the root at But the Praktits generally have not preserved the Atmanepada. —अहरकान = आर्यकानाम representing g sometimes stand for a as in 1 5 of No 12, in which case the word is अनरकान. The plural is used as expressive of respect. —समलपट might be taken as corresponding to समलपच or समलपुरम्. पुरा or पह means a "road" or " path ", but मल does not yield an appropriate sense. The letter, however, which looks like # may also be read as fa, in which case the expression is सविलयम 'together with the ditches (such as wells) and roads." The expression occurs further on The isosceles triangle line and in the next. -- उयपयः which represents a has in several cases in this scription lost one of its sides. Taking the first letter. therefore, as a, the word nearest to gaya which makes sense is विद्याधियो or विद्यापिता "abandoned". -देवानकणणकज 1s somewhat unintelligible. The first word is very likely देवानं = देवानाम, the second must be one having the sense of " a mendicant" or " beggar," and third कने =कार्य, so that the whole expression appears to mean " for the sake of gods and beggars and mendicants." Generally these are the objects of charity. (See affine Barr below.) The first letter is not distinct, as it looks like w. 31 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

—गोनबन must be a mistake for गोवधन —नहस्तरय or if the न is taken with the preceding to give it the form of the insrumental singular, हस्तरय is hardly intelligible. But स्तरय may be read as सिरिय or सिरिणा = श्रिया and नह may be traced to नद्ध, in which case the expression is नद्धश्रिया " attended (lit. begint) with prosperity.—वेण्डुपाल is perhaps the same man as विण्डुपालित, mentioned in No. 25, l. 2 —सपण is difficult. It may be the representative of स्तम

L. 14 अपवेसं, etc These expressions are commented on in the notes on 1 4, No. 25. —िण्लिय should be णिवध (See 1 5 and 11 No. 25) — अदिमानतम=अतिमान्यतम looks well as an epithet of चिनिवदकरेहिं, but is made part of the compound ending with सदसनान, which in Sanskrit will not do

Sanskrit of No 26 A.

११ नवनरस्वामी वासिष्ठीपुत्रे। श्रीपुटुमविराज्ञापयति गोवर्धनेऽमासं

-१२ सर्वाक्षदलनमस्माक स० १९ प्री० प० २ दिव० १३ । धनकटस्वामिभि-णोंऽघ cut-away एतस्य तस्य लयनस्य प्रतिसंस्तरणेऽक्षयहेतुरत्र गोवर्षनानारे 'दाक्षणमार्गे प्रामः स तत्स्थानमिक्षुभिर्देवीलयनवासिनिकायेन भद्रायनीयैः 'प्रतिख्याय दत्तः । एतस्य दानप्रामस्य सुदर्शनैः परिवर्तकमत्न गोवर्धनावारे पूर्व--

१३ प्रामसमदेशं दिसैतस्य महार्यकाना ददानधर्मसेतोर्छयनस्य प्रतिप्रस्तरणेऽ• क्षयदेतुप्राम साविलपद्यम् । cut away cut away (निका) येन मद्रायनीयैः प्रति-स्थाय वियापित एतस्य च प्रामस्य सविलपद्यस्य मिक्षुपालपरिवारहस्त समादत्तं पालन प्रवाजितपरिवारे देवानां . कार्ये । गोनवनवास्तव्यनद्वश्रिया विष्णुपोलनामास्यनाश्रीमन 'मर्त्यगणस्वप्रप्रणाशिनः(ने) जिनवरस्य(राय) बुद्धस्य(द्धाय)

१२ वितरामोऽप्रवेदयमनामृश्यमदानखानकमराष्ट्रसविनयिकं सवेजातपरिवादिक च । एत परिहारे परिहारेरेतं च प्राम सविलपद्यपरिवारं च । अत्र निबद्धलिपिरितिमान्यं समिः सर्वसुदर्शनाना विनिबन्धकरेराह्यसमहासेनापितना मेघाविनाक्षतसत्वेन निक्षिप्तामनी-कदेवस्य कार्ये ।

TRANSLATION

The prosperous Pudumayı, the lord of Navanara (1), com mands Sarvākṣadalana, the Royal Officer in Govardhana.—The village in the Govardhana district, in the southern division which (was granted) on the thirteenth day of the second fort

elected Gricons, in the year numeticen of our (roign), by the Is alsof Dhamakata, as a permanent provision for the Leeping up or the will cave tempte, was disapproved by the mendicante of the place, the Bhadrayanivar in congregation, residing in the Queen's cave-temple, aid given (back). In its place we granted, by (seemon) mandater, another village of the same area as the former, aform with the well and roads, as a permanent prost ion for the keep in hip of the expertemple of the great venerathe ledy for grand-mothers which is the bridge of religious desert to the doing and the maragement of the village, which was Captrovell by the Bhadrayanivas in congregation, was placed to the hands of the body of the protectors of the mendicants, (and of a) the body of the rectures (2), for purposes concernrange hand become (renerally) We (now) grant the village to Ruddha, the best of Juny, the destroyer of the ignorance (In. sleep) of the croy d of mortals, by (assuing) commands to the prosperous Vignipala, the Royal Officer residing in Gonabana. It is not to be entered on or interfered with by others, not to include what has been granted (before) or may be dug out, and not to be subject to the rules (in matters of revenue) applicable to (other parts of) the country, and to include all that may grow on it. (We grant) with these restrictions this village, along with the wells, roads, and appurtenances. This charter (composition) is engraved here by the general, the talented Aksatisativa at the command (3) of the very respectful composers of all mandates (or charters).

Notes.

(1) I translate नयनरम्यामी as "the Lord of Navanara," upon the analogy of the expression धनस्टम्यामी Navanara must have been the name of Pudumayi's capital But it may be translated as "the new lord of men" नरम्यामी, however, in the sense of a King, is hardly to be met with, and the epithet नच or "new," as applied to the King, can have so significance, since about the time that it was used in this Inscription he must have been more than nineteen years on the throne,

- (2) A distinction seems to be intended here between a, মিশ্র and a মননিব. The former term signifies a regular Buddhistic mendicant, and the latter, any one who has abandoned the world and devoted himself to the life of a recluse.
- (3) Command, 1. e the respected person composed the charter, and it was engraved as composed. The word आणत may here be translated "at the dictation of"

The Lord of Dhanakata spoken of in this Inscription was Gautamīputra; for the title occurs before his name in No. 25, l.1 He appears to be represented here to have granted a village on the thirteenth day of the second fortnight, etc, which is the date of No 26. This, therefore, must be the same grant as that mentioned in 1 11 of that Inscription From No. 26 A we see that the Bhadrāyanīyas disapproved of the village granted to them by Gautamīputra, whereupon Pudumayi gave them another in its place, and the old one, which for some time had been devoted to charitable purposes generally, was assigned to the Buddhists by this charter.

Govardhana appears from this Inscription, and from No. 25, to have been the capital of the province during the reign of these princes. There is a village of that name at present about three or four miles from the hill where these caves are constructed.

No. 25.

९ सिघं सेनानी विजयति यो विजयतियवारा[रे] गोवधनस व[ध]नंकटक स्त्रामी गोतमीपुतो सिरिसदकणि

२ आनपयति गोत्रघने अमनिवण्हुपाछिनं गामे अपरकसदियं य सेत **अप**-काळकीय उमसदाते[से?]न भुतं निवत[न]—

३ सत्तानि वे २०० एत अझ खेतं [नि]नतनसतानि वे २०० इमेसे पन्नितानं तेकिरासिनं [पुरिसान] वितराम एतम च [त]स खेतस परिवारं

^{*} वितराम अपावेस अनाममं अदाणखान[or द]कं अरठमविनायिकं सर्-जातपरिकारिकं च एताहेन परिहारेहिं परिहोरेहिं

५ एतं च स [तं] स्रेतं परिवारं च एय निवध लिहि[पि] सुविणेन आणत अमचेन सिवगुतेन [नि] च्छतो [ता] महासामिच [न] हिं अपरखेत.

६ दता[तं] पविकसवच्छरे १९ वसापखे ४? दिवसे तापसानं कज ॥ सिदं गोवधने अमन्तस समकस देया राजनिता

- रणो गोतमीपुतस सातकाणिस महदेविय च जि वासुठीय राजमातुम वचनेन
 गावधने [अम] च समको आणित वतवो तवचव[गोवधने] चि-
- ं ८ ठतिह एथ असमिह पवतिराधिक्षि अह्यधमदाने लेगे पतिवसतानं पव-जितान भिख्नं गामे रखिद पुवं खेतं दतं तं च खेतं
- ९ एक सतं स च गामो नवसित एष[क] सित य दानि[नं] एथ नगरसीमे राजकखेतं अद्योपतक ततो एतस पवजितान भिख्न तेरण्हकणदरिह
- १० खेतस निवतनसतं १०० एतस च खेतस परिवारं वितराम अपावेसं अनामसं अदाणसाणकं अरठसविनायकं सवजातपरिवारिकं च.
- 9 ९ एतहिन परिहारेहिं परिहारेहिं एतं च तं खेतं परिहार च एथ निनधा लिपि सुविणेन आणतपिडहरिखय [यो] लाजयमातो लेखि संवच्छेर २४.
- १२ वसान पखे ४ दिवसे पचमे ५ पुजितिनं कज निबंधो निबंधो सवच्छरे । २४ गिद्याणपखे ४ १ दिवसे १०.

This Inscription is faintly cut, and the surface of the rock is so uneven that the natural indentations appear like letters or parts of letters. Hence neither of the published copies is satisfactory. Though Mr West's is superior as a whole to Lieut. Brett's, the latter is in several places better than the former. With the assistance of these two I was able to make out a good deal, but there are several difficulties which cannot be satisfactorily cleared up.

Line 1. सेनानी. What is marked as J = ग in Mr. West's copy, has the mark of the vowel ह above it, and looks so much like the letter which he has taken to be I = ज, that I have put down both as नानी. —विजयति. The sense requires that Mr. West's नो should be taken as वि. —तिथ. o looks distinctly like

कि तिथार तिथार should be तिथार which represents the Sanskrit तीथहारे. Hemacandra gives वारं, दारं and द्वारं as the Prakrit forms of हारम.' (कि चितत्प्रयायेण — हारं। वारं। दारं।). The vowel marks are not distinct here. It is वारा in Mr. West's, but वार in Lieur Brett's It must very likely be वारे. —वनंकटका. The first letter should be read प (See No 26 A l 12). The dot on न and the right hand stroke of क must be mistakes. There appears to be a letter like X=म after this word, but nothing satisfactory can be made out of it — स्वामि. 🔀 when looked at from a certain position looks like & = स्वामि, and it must be so, for if it were simply मा, the nether loop would not be so much below the level of that of the next म The loop, therefore, must be another letter, i e, व — गौतमीयतो. X must have the mark of इ, though I did not see it distinctly. — सदकाण. I is distinctly I.

Line 2. आनपपति The first two letters are + 1 = आन, Amust be त्र. —अपरक्षियं. The sense of this cannot be determined with perfect certainty, but it must refer to the direction in which the field lay. In No. 26, l. 11, the word अपरवृद्धिण meaning "southwest" occurs, and इत्तरापरा in No 19. The expression may therefore have been intended for अपरवृद्धिणीय.—अजकालकीयं probably corresponds to अयकालकीयम् (अयकालिकम्). —नियतन. त्रे and 1 have been put together by Mr. West, but they appear separate in Lieut. Brett's copy.

Line 3. The mark for a hundred has a side stroke, though the copies do not give it !It therefore signifies two hundred—नियतन The first letter is omitted in Mr West's copy, but there is a perpendicular stroke to represent it in Lieut. Brett's The context requires the नि.—तिनिर्श्तनं must very likely be प्रसानं = प्राणाम् —What looks like चस must be तस, for the phrase एतस तस occurs in No 26 A

Line 4. अपवित्तं अनामसं अदाणखादकं अरहसविनिधकं. Mr West's copy is not faithful to the original here. The third word is not distinct even in the original; but it must be as I have put it, for

¹ कचिनपर्यायेणद्वारं । वारं । द्वारं ।

these expressions occur in l. 10 below, and in the last line of No. 26 A. In this Inscription, however, we have अरहसमनमक or अरहसवीनवीक for the fourth expression. These phrases correspond to आचारभटपवेश्यम् or समस्तराजकीयानामप्रवेश्यम्, पूर्वप्रतदेवब्रह्मदायवर्जम् or सर्वदानसग्रह्मम्, etc., occurring in copperplates of a later date. अश्वेसं is to be traced, I think, to अपावेश्यम्, अनामसं to अनामृश्यम्, अदाणस्वादकं or आदाणस्वानकं, as it may be read, to आदानस्वातक or आदानस्वानकम्, and the last probably to अराष्ट्रसविनयिकम्, or more in conformity with Sanskrit usage, to अराष्ट्रसविनयम्, i. e. " not to be controlled or dealt with in point of revenue in the same manner as other parts of the country," i. e. " not to be subjected to taxes."

—एतहिन. The न appears to form part of the termination, the usual portion of the द्वि of the instrumental plural being written separately.

Line 5. एत च संखेत is the reading of Lieut. Brett's copy. It should be एतं च तं खेतं —I found परिवारं instead of परिदारं. —एथ निवध is the reading of the original, as of Lieut. Brett's copy. लिंह ought to be लिंप, the word occurring in the same circumstances in No 26 A l 14. स्विणेण = संविद्येन "a learned man." Compare सर्वस्वर्शनानां विनिवन्धकरे in No 26 A. l. 14. These charters were writen by learned men for those officers. —आणत ought either to be आणतेन =आज्ञतेन or should be taken as forming a compound with the following word.—अमचनः Mr. West's copyi s inaccurate here; the other is better छत्तो represent the Sanskrit सित्तो, or if there is a नि in the blank, निश्चित्तो "placed," i. e. "engraved"—महासामिचिंह must be महासामिनिंह. (See No.26A, l 12, and the note). —अपर. The perpendicular stroke to the right hand is wanting in the case of आ

Line 6. पविक or पवाक is very likely प्रवक = पूर्वक "the preceding" "or former". — तापसानं. The mark of आ in ता is distinct in the original and in Mr. Brett's copy. राजनिता may have been intended for राजाणीत = राजाजीत.

⁻¹ See Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, New Series, Volume 1, Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society Volumes 1 and X and Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society, Vol. VI.

Line 7 चित्र. I do not know what to make of this. If च were to be taken as the copulative particle, for alone would not signify anything, and the context seems to require that the sense should be "the Queen of Satakarni Gautamiputra," and not "Sātakarnı Gautamīputra, and the Queen" because in line 9 we have the word das "got from the father," 1 e. patrimony, which expression would not suit in the case of the King haps it may be भजा=मार्या or बजा=बर्या. It may notwithstanding be चअजा=चार्या; the अ may have existed, though it is not now seen, or it may be चस or बस, equivalent to बास, a term of honour used before the names of women, as it is in Inscription No. 24--बाह्यतीप. I distinctly saw the word, though in the copies it is found in a mutilated form. The H for fit may be a mistake of the engraver or owing to a defect in the rock. - असूच. There must have been sur in the blank before sa, for the following name is the same as in 1. 6, and it is preceded by same there -आणति. I saw this word distinctly. -गोवधने. What seems like तबचिव may be गोवधने, and the first three letters countenance this supposition It is difficult to say what the next four letters stand for. Perhaps the word is चथाबहा = वास्तहों or चित्रताहा = तिहति meaning " situated ".

Line 8. असमिहि. The हि is not unlikely हि, and I saw something like & below it The expression, then, is असमिहि = आश्रमे. --पवति रिहाहि. The copies are defective here, but I could descern these words in the original.—अहायम Lieut. Brett's copy is accurate here and in the case of the next word, where, however, the त ought to have the mark of ह, as it has in the original. गामे रखदिस-Here, again, I found Lieut. Brett's copy to be correct.

Line 9. नवसति. The older copy is better here also. एवसाति य दान The first two letters are very illegible in the original; the second looks like Δ ; but it appears likely that the two stand for एक, for it is in this way that the sentence yields any sense. Had the word गामे in the last line been गामें, and had there been च after देत, I should have taken these two letters to represent नव, and their appearance would support this reading, for the

sense in that case would be:—"Formerly a village and a field were granted to the mendicants. The field is one hundred (nivartanas), and the village nine At the place where there is the grant of nine hundred is crownland on the boundary of the town, etc " दानि must be दानं —नगरसीमे is a locative, for Sanskrit nouns ending in न become masculine nouns ending in न निवह. So I read it. In Mr. West's copy it looks somewhat like समक, but in Lieut. Brett's, decidedly more like रेतक, and this gives the good sense.

Line 10. The words in this line have been remarked on before.

Line 11. लिप स्विणेन See notes on l. 5 above. What looks like लड must be लिप. —परिहारेहिं परिहारेहिं We see from l. 4 that these should be the words here but the original is so bad that Mr. West has got some characters which look like the usual marks for the figure 10. Lieut Brett's copy is better. —पहिहरावियः Perhaps the name of the engraver = प्रतिचारक्षित like धर्मरक्षित occurring in Inscription No. 11. —लाजयमा तो =राजयमात्यो, the य being probably a mistake for अ.

Line 12. पुजितिनं is not unlikely पुजितानाम.

A good many of the anusvāras in the foregoing transcript do not occur in the two copies of the inscription referred to. Some of these I found in the original myself, and others have been put in only when the context undoubtedly required them.

SANSKRIT OF NO. 25.

- शिद्धम् । सेनानीर्विजयति यो विजयतीर्यद्वारे गोवर्धनस्य । धनकटकस्वामी
 गोतमीपुत्रो श्रीशातकर्णि —
- २ राज्ञापयित गोवर्धनेऽमात्यं विष्णुपालितम् । यामेऽपरदक्षिणीयं यासेश्रमधका-लिकमुसमदासेन [वृषभदासेन] मुक्त निवर्तन-
- ३ शतानि द्वे २०० एतद्वयं क्षेत्र निवर्तनशतानि द्वे २०० अस्य प्रमन्तितानी [°तेभ्यः] पुरुषाणा[षेभ्यः] नितराम एतस्य तस्य क्षेत्रस्य परिवार

^{1.} Vararuci, Prakrta-Prakasa, IV. 18

^{\$2 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

- र वितरामोऽप्रावेश्यमनामृश्यमदानखानकमराष्ट्रसविनयक सर्वजातपरिवारक च।
 एतैः परिहारैः परिहारै —
- ५ रेतच तत्क्षेत्रं परिवार च । अत्र निवद्धिक्षिः सुविज्ञेनाज्ञप्तामात्येन । श्वनः गुप्तेन [नि]क्षिप्ता । महास्वामिभिरपरं क्षेत्रं
- ६ दत्त पूर्वकसवत्सरे १९ वर्षापक्षे ४ दिवसे...तापसानां कार्ये ॥ सिद्धम् । गोवर्धनेऽमात्यस्य श्रमकस्य देया राज्ञाङ्गप्ति ।
- ७ राज्ञा गोतमापुत्रस्य शातकर्णर्भहाटेव्याश्वार्यावासिप्तथा राजमातुर्वेचनेन गोव-धनेऽमाल्यश्रमक आज्ञप्तिर्वक्तव्यः । गोवर्धने ति-
- ८ ष्टत्यत्राश्रमे पर्वतित्रर्शमावस्मद्धर्मदाने लयने प्रतिवसतां[ऋ:] प्रवितानां [°तेभ्य] मिस्र्णा[क्षुभ्य.] प्रामे रक्षोदिक्षु पूर्व क्षेत्र दत्तम् । तम्न क्षेत्र—
- ९ मेकशत स च ग्रामो नवशती । एकशति यद्दानमत्र नगरसीस्न राषकसेत्र-मस्मत्पेत्रकं तत एतस्य प्रवाजिताना[तेभ्यः] भिक्षणा[क्षुभ्यः] त्रिरिमकन्दरे
- १० क्षेत्रस्य निवर्तनशत १०० एतस्य च क्षेत्रस्य परिवारं वितरामोऽप्रावेश्यम-नामृश्यमदानखानकमराष्ट्रसविनयकं सर्वजातपरिवारक च ।
- ११ एतैः परिहारेरेतच तत्क्षेत्र परिवारं च । अत्र निवद्धा लिपिः सुविशेनाम्सो प्रतिभारक्षितो राजामात्योऽलेखीत् । संवत्सरे २४
- १२ वर्षाणा पक्षे ४ दिवसे पचमे ५ पूजिताना कार्ये । निबन्धो निश्रद्धः संवत्सरे २४ मीष्मपक्षे ४ १ दिवसे १० ।

TRANSLATION

To the Perfect One Victorious in Senānī (leader of the army of the gods), who is on the gate of the Vijayatīrtha (1) in Govardhana The prosperous Śātakarni Gautmīputra, the Lord of Dhanakaṭaka, commands Visnupālita, the Royal officer in Govardhana We grant to the men in the village (2) who have renounced the world, the field in the Village (measuring) two hundred 200 Nivartanas (3) which is to the south-west, and is at present enjoyed by Usabhadāsa We grant the appurtenances also of this said field. It (4) is not to be entered on or interfer-

ed with by others, not to include what has been granted (before) or may be dug out, and not to be subject to the rules (in matters of revenue) applicable to (other parts of) the country, and to include all (5) that may grow on it [We grant] with these restrictions (various conditions) this said field and these appurtenances. This charter (lit composition) is engraved by the Royal officer Sivagupta, at the dictation of a learned man. The great lord gave another field in the previous year, 19, on the... day of the 4th fortnight of Varsa, for the sake of the ascetics.

To the Perfect one This is a Royal command to be issued to Śramaka, the Royal officer in Govardhana Śramaka. the Royal officer in Govardhana, should be given this command at the orders of King Satakarnı Gautamîputra, and of the Great Queen (6) the honoured Väsisthi, the mother of the King Formerly a field was granted in the south-westerly direction in the village to mendicants who had renounced the world, living in the cave-temple, which is our benefaction, on Mount Trirasmi, the haunt (of ascetics) situated in Govardhana That field measures one hundred, and the village, nine hundred boundary of the town, at the place where the field measuring one hundred lies, there is a field belonging to the Crown which is our patrimony. Out of this field we grant one hundred nivartanas lying in the openings of Trirasmi (7) and the appurtenances of the plot It is not to be entered on or interfered with by others, not to include what has been granted or may be dug out, and not to be subject to the rules applicable to (the other parts of) the country, and to include all that may grow on it [We grant] with these restrictions (various conditions), this said field and its appurtenances Pratibharaksita, the Royal officer, engraved this charter here at the dictation of a In the year 24, 4th fortnight of Varsā, on the 5 learned man fifth day. For the sake of the worshipful (persons) this charter (8) was written (composed) on the 10th of the fourth (?) fortnight of Grisma in the year 24

NOTES.

It will thus be seen that this Inscription consists of two charters containing grants of land to the mendicant priests and recluses. The first was issued by Śātakarni Gautamīputra, and the second by Vāsiṣṭhī his Queen Dr. Stevenson thinks it to be a deed of sale executed by the proprietor of Govardhana, as he calls him, conveying the field over which this cave is constructed to Gautamīputra's ageni, and thinks the second part to be merely a repetition of the first. The cave is excavated out of the rock, and there could be no field there to convey. His translation therefore is wrong in many places

- (1). गोवर्धनस्य cannot be connected with स्वामी, since this latter forms the second part of a compound word. It must, therefore, be taken with the preceding. Vijayatīrtha must have been the name of a shrine or sacred place in Govardhana, and an image of Senānī must have been placed or carved out on its gate, as is not unusual in Hindu houses or temples
- (2). अस्य for इमेस seems to refer to the village spoken of in l. 2. The village must be one near Govardhana and Trirasmi.
- (3). Nivartana is thus defined द्शहस्तेन दण्डेन विंशहण्डा निव-तैनम्, Bihaspati; सप्तहस्तेन दण्डेन विंशहण्डा निवर्तनम्, Matsya Purāna, both quoted by Hemādri (Dānakhanda ed Bib Ind. p 505)
 - (4). The epithets, अप्रावेश्यस् etc , qualify क्षेत्रम् ın l 3
- (5). परिवारम l. 5 is in the accusative, wherefore वितराम is to be understood, or the accusative may be connected with the वितराम occurring in l. 3.
- (6). If the expression चिन्न were taken as equivalent to भार्या, वर्या or some such word, the sense would be "At the orders of the Great Queen of king Sātakarni Gautmīputra, the honoured Vāsiṣṭhī."
- (7). Trirasmi is used in the plural in Inscription No. 17 The name probably derived its origin from the fact that there are

three hills in one line, detached from the adjoining ranges, on one of which the caves exist. Between these hills there are plains or valleys, and the field conveyed by Vāsisṭhī was perhaps in one of these

(8). निवम्य This word originally signifies any piece of composition. It is then applied to the piece of composition issuing from a king. Hence the legal word निवन्ध, which signifies any hereditary office conveyed by a royal charter. The word is used in Inscription No. 18, 1. 4

No 17.

- सिद्धम् । राहाः क्षहरातस्य क्षत्रपस्य नहपानस्य जामात्रा दीनीकपुत्रेण उषवदा तेन त्रिगोशतसहस्रदेन नद्यां वार्णासायां सुवर्णदानतीर्थकरेण देवताभ्यः त्राह्मणेभ्यस्य
 षोडशग्रामदेन अनुवर्षं त्राह्मणशतसाहस्रों भोजापियत्रा
- २. प्रमासे पुण्यतीर्थे बाह्मणेभ्यः अष्टमार्याप्रदेन भरकच्छे दशपुरे गोवर्धने शोर्प-रगे च चतुशालावसथप्रातिश्रयप्रदेन आरामतलाग उदपानकरेण इचापारादा दमणतापी-करवेणादाहनुका[ना] नावा पुण्यतरकरेण एतासां च नदीनां उमतो[य]तीर समा—
- ३. प्रपाकरेण पीडितकावडे गोवधेने सुवर्णमुखे शोर्परो च रामतीथें चरक[ण?]प-र्षद्र्यः मामे नानगोले द्वाविंशत् नाडीगेरमूलसहस्रप्रदेन गोवर्धने विराह्मपु पर्वतेषु धर्मात्मना इद लेण कारितं इमा च पोडियो भट्टारका आणितया च गतोस्मि वर्षार्नु मालये...हिरुषं उत्तममद्र मोचियत
- ४. ते च मालया प्रनादेनेव अपयाता उत्तमभद्रकान च क्षत्रियान सर्वे परिमहा कता ततोस्मि गतो पोक्षराणि तत्र च मया अभिसेकः कृतो तिणि च गोसहस्रानि दतानि ग्रामो च.

No 19

दतं चानेन क्षेत्रं ब्राह्मणस्य वरार्ह्पुत्रस अश्रिभृतिस हथे ऋीणित मूलेन काहापण-सहस्रेहि चतुद्दि ४००० य सपितसतकनगरसीमाय उत्तरापराय दिसाय एवा मम लेने -वसतानं चातुदिसस भिख्यसघस मुखाहारो भविसति.

TRANSLATION.

To the Perfect one. This Cave and these small tanks were caused to be constructed on the Mounts Trirasmi (1) in Govardhana, by the benevolent Usavadāta, the son-in-law of

King Kṣaharāta Satrapa Nahapāna (2), son of Dīnīka, who gave three hundred thousand cows, presented gold, and constructed flights of steps on the river Barnasa, gave sixteen villages to gods and Brahmans, fed a hundred thousand Brahmans every year, provided (the means of marrying) eight wives for Brahmans at Prabhāsa (3), the holy place, constructed quadrangles (4), houses and halting-places at Bharukacchha, Dasapura, Govardhana and Sorparaga, made gradens, tanks and wells, charitably enabled men to cross Ibā, Pārādā, Damanā, Tāpī, Karabenā and Dāhanukā by placing boats (5) on them, constructed Dharmaśālās and endowed places for the distribution of water, and gave capital worth a thousand for thirty-two Nādhigeras (6) for the Caranas (7) and Parisads in Pinditakāvada, Govardhana, Suvārnamukha, Śorparaga, Rāmatīrtha and in the village of Nānagola. By the command of the Lord I went in the rainy (8) season to Mālaya to release Hirudha the Uttambhadra (9) The Mālayas fled away at the sound (of our war music), and were all made subjects of the Ksatriyas, the Uttamabhadras Thence I went to Poksarani and there performed ablutions, and gave three thousand cows and a village

Notes

The first part of this inscription is in Sanskrit. The latter part contains a mixture of Sanskrit and Prakrit

- (1). For Trirasmi see note No 26, 1 10 and No 25, 1 9
- (2) I think upon the whole that this way of interpreting the expression is more in consonance with known facts the umaking Nahapāna satrap of a king named Ksaharāta
- (3) Prabhāsa, as Dr stevenson says, is a place near Paṭṭan Somnāth or Somnath itself Bharukaccha is now known to be Broach Daśapura must be some place in Gujarat or in the Maratha country bordering on Gujarat It occurs in Inscription No 1. Śorparaga is Supara near Bassein The Damanā and Dāhanukā must be rivers flowing into the sea at those places in the Thana District Tapī is well-known The others I am

ot able to identify. Rāmatīrtha is, I am told, a small place ear Sopara. Usavadāta's charities do not seem to have gone in inther to the north than Gujarat, or further to the south than ne northern district of the Poona District. The expedition to he south described in the Inscription was occasional, the object leing to assist a friendly race of Ksatriyas.

- (4) चतु शाला is a house with an open quadrangle in the middle and halls on four sides. It has an entrance in each of the four directions चतु शालं चतुर्द्दारेरिलिन्दें सर्वतोष्ट्रसम् ॥ नाम्ना तत्सर्वतोभद्रं हाभं देवनृपालये (Matsya Purāṇa) प्रतिश्रय is what is in these days called an अन्नसन्न, a place where travellers put up and are fed without charge
- (5) °दाहणकानावाप्रण्यतरकरेण दाहणु or दाहणुका may be taken as one name, and कानावा or नावा another and the words प्रण्यतरकरेण as forming one compound with them and the rest But the word नावा is here in such a position that one cannot but think it was intended to signify a "boat" Then नाना would be instrumental singular, and would stand at the end of the long compound But the several rivers could not have but one boat, and if the word of formed part of the compound, the sense would not be appropriate, for it would appear as if what Usavadata did was to render the "passage across" possible by means of the boats of Ibā, Pārādā, etc But the sense required is not the boats of Ibā, Pārādā etc , but the passage of Ibā, Pārādā etc by means of a boat. Hence I think there ought to have been one at more, with a dot above it, so as to make it दाहणुकानां नावा प्रण्यतस्करेण and probably that letter must have been omitted by the engraver through mistake, as writers often do when they have two or more similar letters to write in succession
- (6) द्वाचिश्वाहोगेरमूलसहस्र. I have translated the expression as in the text, since there is a similar expression in No 16 (चीड रिकक्शणमूल), and in No 18, in the last line, which must be so translated. I do not know what sense to attach to नाहींगेर as it is written in No. 16. Since even in this Same

inscription the word stands thus, it must signify something which was usually called by this name alone, and not by its Sanskrit analogue, supposing it had any Perhaps it may be traced to नान्दीगृह, a place where anything religiously auspicious was performed, since the gift is to Caranas and Parisads Dr Stevenson's supposition that it signifies some currency will not do at all

- (7) I think we we must read here चरण instead of चरक
- (8). वर्णारत is intended in this Sanskrit-Prakrit inscription for वर्षती
- (9). This was the name of the Ksatriya race whom Usava-data went to assist

Dr Stevenson's translation of this is correct except in three or four places

No 19

This forms portion of No 17, and is mostly a mixture of Prakrit and Sanskrit, like the latter part of No 17.

अभिमृतिस हथे=अभिमृतेईस्ते "in the hands of Aśribhūti" This expression occurs in No 12, 15, and No 26A, 113 Since the body of mendicants was itinerant, it was necessary to entrust the benefactions to some persons —यसपितसतक This expression seems to correspond to यत्समज्ञातक, and must be taken to qualify The meaning would then be, "which field measuring seven hundred" (probably Nivartanas). The usual Prakrit representative of सप्त is सत, but सपित is not unlike the character of this inscription, which is rather corrupt Sanskrit than Prakrit. Or, सपितसतकनगर may be taken as one noun forming the name of a place I was told at Nasık that there is a place of the name of सत्तप्र=सप्तपुर in the vicinity वसतानं भिखुसंघस = वसतां भिक्षुसंघस्य ; not good grammar मुखाहारी, I saw a faint perpendicular stroke below, representing the vowel 3 The expression seems to signify "the chief sustenance" It may be taken as corresponding to मुख्याहारों Or if the stroke is not real, the expression is मगाहारो = मार्गाहारो " provision for journey " But beggars can

^{1,} Compare the use of अप्र 11 अप्रहार

hardly be under the necessity of taking provisions with them while on a journey, for they go begging.

The Sanskrit of the inscription is therefore as follows:

दत्तं चानेन क्षेत्र वाद्मणस्याधिभतेईस्ते क्रीतं मूलेन कार्णापणसङ्स्रैश्रनुर्भिः *००० यत्सप्तरातक नगरसीमाया उत्तरपरायां दिशायाम् । एतस्मान्मम लयने वसत-श्रानुर्दिशस्य भिक्षुसंघस्य मुसाहारो भविष्याति.

TRANSLATION.

He, Uṣavadāta, has also given a field in the possession [lit. in the hands] of Aśribhūti, the son of a Brahman (named) Varārha It was bought for the sum of four thousand Kāṛṣā-panas, measures seven hundred, and is in the north-westerly direction from the boundary of the town. This shall be the chief support of mendicant priests from the four quarters residing in my cave.

Notes.

Dr. Stevenson's translation of this is altogether wrong. The grantor, according to him, was a person whose father was from the city of Sataka, and mother of the province of Uttararha. He seems to have divided the words beginning with a thus:—

un fin uncontained and entities, and in doing so, he neglected the grammar of the sentence, the text, and the following word father He was, however, misled to some extent by the copy of the inscription he had before him He also represents the donor to have given "a cave to the dejected", and speaks of "a sin-removing abode for the Buddhistic priesthood".

No. 18.

उषवद् तिन संघस चातु दिसस इमं लेणं नियातितं दता चानेन अक्षय निवि काहापणसहस्रा

२ एते च काह्मपणा प्रयुता गोवधनवाथवासु श्रेणिसु कोलिकनिकाये २००० बुधि [] पडिकशत अपरकोलिकणिका

32 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

3 एतो मम होणे वमुवथान भित्तुन गीतिय एकेक्स चिवरिक वारसक ये सहिंस प्रयुत पायुनपडिके(क)शते अतो कृतण

र स्नावित निगमसभाय नियंध [धो] च फलक्वारे चरित्र तोति भूयोनेन [] वसे ४९ कानिक्शुधे पनरसे पुवाक्वमे ४०

्र पनरत्तनियुते भगवना देवान बाह्मणान च कर्पापणसहस्राणि मतरि ४००० [] पचित्र-शक्सुवर्णकतादिन सुवर्णसहत्ताण(ाणी) म्ह

Line I दता This looks likes दत in the original, but there is little doubt that it must be दता — अध्य निदि, or properly नीिव is "permanent capital"—— कार्यापणनहाना Though there is no mark of the obliteration of any letters after this, still there is no doubt some are wanted the end of the first and second lines and perhaps of the third Probably at some later time somebody must have smoothed off that part of the rock. At the end of this first line what is wanted the number of the sahasras or thousands bestowed and also the termination नि(गि) after सहस्रा-

Line 2 प्रयुक्त = प्रयुक्त " Lind out at interest, invested".
—वाधवास = वास्तव्यास — वृधि = वृद्धि ' interest" — पडिक = प्रतिक "what is worth a Kārsāpana" ' After किया है and vords expressive of the amount deposited or invested together perhaps with that of the interest, are required

Line 3 बसबुधानं This is to be traced to वर्षावास्त्राच् The word बनावधन occurs in Inscription No 12 Buddhistic mendicants generally wandered about during fair weather, and resided in one place during the four rainy norths, and then they held what was called their cassa corresponding to बर्फा, and read what was called lina - बीजिय =िक्वा में Forms clear (see translation) that he left two thousand Kirsipanus for previous civarikas The donor in Inscription No 12 leaves a hundred Kārsāpanas

¹ See Vērtika on Pānini V _ 2"

² See Hardy, Eastern Monacuism chapter XIX

and directs that the one mendicant residing in his cave should be provided with a civilia, so that if one hundred suffices for one, two thousand ought to suffice for twenty. And the cave in which this inscription occurs has accommodation for so miny, for there are sixteen cells in the interior, and two larger ones at the two ends, each of them sufficient for two — चिवरिक, or properly चीपिक, is the garment worn by Buddlistic mendicants वार्स ह = दार्धिक, meaning "belonging to or given in the rains " or " annual " The robing month among the Buddhistic mendicants was the third of the rainy season, when laymen presented garments to them 1. That was a regular ceremony, lience these gifts Endowments of this nature are recorded in Inscriptions No 12 and 21, and in Nos 16, 17, 39, 44, at Kanheri², in which latter words अखयनीवि, पश्चिक, वसवणा, चीवीकि, and बारसक occur. the first of these (No 16) we have distinctly the words and = वसारते वसतस भिखुणो चित्रिक = एतन्माच वर्षाकृतौ वसतो भिक्षकृत्य चीवरिकम् -पायन I take this to be equal to पादीन "less by a quarter". The interest of two thousand was one hundred, of this capital "a quarter less," i c seventy-five -- कसण I have not been able to determine the sense of this word, but probably it means something connected with the Buddhistic rite of Kasina (Spence Hardy's East Mon Chap XXI)

Line 4 निगमसभाय Instr sing. = "by the assembly or corporation of the town", or "by the townspeople generally" It may be taken as Gen or Loc sing also. — For निजयो see note, Inscription No 25 — फलकवारे बार means "a door" (see note, Inscription No 25), and फलक "a slab," "the door of a slab of stone" It should rather be बारफलके = "on the slab of the door" (see note 6, Inscr No 26) — तोति = स्ताति After the figure for to there is a vertical stroke, which does not seem to signify anything, or it it does, it perhaps shows that there is no odd number after 40

¹ See Hardy, l c Chapter XII

² See Mr West's copies Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Volume VI

Line 5 भगवतां is not Prakrit — सत्ति is a mistake for चतिर.
— स्वर्णकतादिनं. It is difficult to say what कतादि or तादि corresponds to.

SANSERIT OF NO 18.

- ९ उपवदातेन संघस्य चातुर्दिशस्येद् लयन निर्योतितम् । दत्ता चानेनाक्षयनीिवः कार्यापणसहस्रा[णि ३००० १]।
- २ एते च कार्पापणाः प्रयुक्ता गोवर्धनवास्तव्यासु श्रेणीपु । कोलिकनिकाये २००० वृद्धिः प्रतिकशतम् । अपरकौलिकनिका [यं १००० १] ।
- उ एतरमान्मम लयने वर्पावास्तूनां मिक्ष्णां विंशत्या एकेक्स्य चीवरिकं वार्षिक्ष ।
 यत्सहस्रं प्रयुक्तं पादोनप्रतिकशतेऽतः कुशनम् ।
- र श्रावितं निगमसभया निबन्धव्य फलकद्वारे चरित्र स्तेति । भूयोऽनेन दत्त वर्षे र श्रार्विकशुद्धे पश्चदशे पूर्वकवपें र ०.
- ५ पश्चद्शनियुते भगवतां[ह्यः] देवानां[वेभ्यः] माह्मणानां[णेभ्यः] च कार्पापणसहस्राणि चत्वारि ४००० पश्चार्त्रिशक सुवर्ण ...दीनां सुवर्णसहस्राणि मूलम् ।

Translation of No. 18.

This cave has been dedicated by Uşavadāta to the (mendicant) priesthood of four quarters He has also given a permanent capital of [3000 three (1)] thousand Kārsāpaņas Kārsāpanas are deposited with the guilds residing in Govardhana, with the body of weavers 2000, interest a hundred Karsapanas, with the other body of weavers [1000 a thousand] From this [interest, should be given] a garment in the rainy season to each of the twenty mendicants residing during the rains in my cave From the 1000 (2) laid out at an interest of three-quarters of a hundred Kārṣāpanas, Kusana [should be provided] deed has been published in the assembly of the town (or amongst the towns-people), and this inscription on the slab-door praises it Moreover, in the year 41, on the fifteenth of the bright half of Kārtika, and in the previous year 40, on the fifteenth, he gave 4000 four thousand Karsapanas and a capital of thousands of Suvarnas for [the acquisition of] thirty-five golden Katadis, to the worshipful gods and Brahmans

Notes

- (1) I gather that the sum he deposited was three-thousand from Inscription No. 16, which also mentions this endowment.
- (2). This must have been the thousand deposited with the other body of weavers.

Dr Stevenson's translation of this is wrong, with the ex ception of that of the first line. He did not understand चीवरिकं, वारसकं, ब्रिप, अणी, and such other words

No. 16

- ९ मिधं रण्णो क्षहरातस क्षत्रपस नहपानम दीहि---
- २ त दीनीकपुत्रस उपवदातस कुटंचिनीय दसीमत्राय देयधम उपरको
- 3 सिंघ वमें ४२ वेसालमासे रण्णो क्षहरातस क्षत्रपस नहपानस जामातर दीनीकपुत्रेण
- ४ नि तिणि ३००० सघस चातुद्सिस य इमर्सि हेणे वसातान भविसति चिव-रिककुशणमुळ च--
- ५ ये १००० विध पायुनपिडकशत एते च काहापणा अपिडदातवा विधिमी-[मा]जा एती चिवरिक सहस्रानि चे २००० ये पिडके[क]सते[त]
- ६ मुल कापुराहारे च गामे चिस्तलपाद्ने दतानि नाडिगेराने मुलसहस्रानि अट

The first two lines of the above form an independent inscription, which is the same as No 20

उवरको from अपवरकम् "an inner apartment " From the same word comes ओवरी f, a provincial Marathi word having the same sense.

Translation of No 16, Lines 1, 2

To the Perfect one This appartment is the benefaction of Dakhamitrā, the daughter of King Kshaharāta Satrap Nahapāna and wife of Usavadāta, son of Dīnīka

Line 4 नि तिणि Two or more letters which are required before the first नि must have dropped There is, however, no indication of the existence of any in the original दता at least is wanted so as to make the first word दतानि --वासालन This may be the genitive of the present participle वमत Sk, वसन्त Pr, or may be equivalent to वर्षास्थान "living during the rains"

Line 5 विधिभोजा or विधिभाजा = वृद्धिभाज "bearing interest"
As to the rest, see notes on No 18

SANSKRIT OF NO 16, Lines 3-6

- असिद्धम् । वर्षे ४२ वैशासमासे राज्ञ क्षहरातस्य क्षत्रपस्य नहपानस्य जामात्रा दीनीकपुत्रेण
- [द्ता] नि जीण ३००० सपस्य[धाद] चातुर्दिशस्य योस्मिळ्यने वसता
 [or पर्पास्थानो] भविष्यति चीवरिककुशनमूळम् । च—

५ ये १००० वृद्धि पादोनगतिकशतम् । एतेच कार्णापणा अप्रतिदातःचा वृद्धिः भाजः । एतस्माचीवरिकसङ्खे हे २००० ये प्रतिकशत—

६ मूलम् । कापुराहारे च श्राम चिस्रलपाद्वे दत्तानि नाडिगेराणां मूलसहस्राण्यष्ट ८००० । एतच सर्वे फलकद्वारे चरित्र स्त्रोति ।

Translation of No 16, Lines 3-6

To the Perfect One In the year 42, in the month of Vaisākha, the son of Dīnīka, and son-in-law of King Kshaharāta Satrap Nahapāna, gave three thousand 3000 to the priesthood from the four quarters residing (1) in this cave during the rains, as capital for [providing] garments and Kusana Out of this sum, on 1000 the interest is three quarters of a hundred [1 c, 75] Kārsāpanas (2) These Kārsīpanas, buring interest, are not to be repaid Out of this [sum] two thousand, which is the capital bearing an interest of one hundred Kārsāpanas, is for garments (3) A capital of 8000 for Nādigeras was given in Kapurāhāra, and the village of Cikhalapādra All this [inscription] on the slab-door praises the good deeds

Notes

- (1) The syntactical connexion, when वसता' is the reading, is 'आरेमहॅंचने चमतां य संप्रो अविष्यति तस्मे समाय दत्तानि With वर्षास्थान there is no difficulty
- (2) Out of this interest Kuśana was to be provided (see No. 18 and below)
- (3) Lit "Two are Civarika-thousands, those that are the capital bearing an interest of 100 Padikas" चीचरिकार्थ सहस्र चीव-रिक्सहन्त्रं ते

From this and No 18 it appears clear that Usavadāta gave three thousand Kārsāpanas, — two deposited with one body of weavers, bearing an interest of 100 Padikas or Kārsāpaṇas, from which cīv trikas or garments were to be provided, and one with another body of weavers, bearing an interest of 75 Padikas, out of which Kus na was to be given. Lines 4 and 5 cf this and 3 of No 18 arc thus consistent with each other,

We see from the above that the cave was dedicated to the use of mendicants in the year 42, and from No 28 that Usavadāta pestowed other charities in the years 41 and 40. What era these are to be referred to will be considered in the remarks

Nearly the whole of Dr Stevenson's translation of this is wrong

No 14

- तस क्षत्रपस नहपानस जामा
- २ शकस उपवदानस नेत्यकेसु
- ३ चेचिक टाहनुकानगरे केकापुरे
- 🕶 = छे अनुगामिह्मि उजनिय सीसाय
- ५ गवनो ब्राह्मणा भुजनो सनसाह
- ६ गवतो माह्मणान गर्ना सतस

- यता दवान बाह्मणानं च द्ता
- < चेत्र सुधे पनरसे क्षहरा
- ९ न गवा शतसहस्रदेन उप
- १० रहण नदीय वणासाय द
- ११ सुध २ तिथ चण्णेयते तस
- १२ चीत

These lines are complete on the right-hand side, but incomplete on the left, the rock having broken off on that side There is, therefore, not one sentence complete. Still the general sense is clear, as will be seen from the following.

Translation of No. 14

- 1 Son-ın-law of Satrap Nahapāna -----t
- 2 Usual deeds of Ushavadāta, the Śaka (1)
- 3 In Cecika, city of Dāhanukā, Kekāpura
- 4 In each village, in Ujjayinī (2), Sikhā
- 5 [Feeding] a hundred thousand worshipful Brahmans
- 6 [Giving) a hundred (thousand) cows to the worshipful Brahmans
- 7 Given to gods and Brahmans
- 8 On (3) the fifteenth of the bright half of Caitra, Ksahara
- 9 Usa(vadāta) who gave a hundred thousand cows
- 10 On the river Baṇāsā
- 11 Second of bright half

The Inscription thus appears to be of the nature of No. 17, recording nearly the same charities

Notes

- (1) This has been usually taken to be Saka, as if there were no doubt about it, but it is not quite safe to do so in the mutilated state of the Inscription.
 - (2) This is not without doubt.
- (3) Another inscription seems to begin here, since Ksaha-rata appears again.

No. 6

सादयाहनकुले कण्हराजिन नासिककेन समणेण महामातेण लेण कारित

Sanskrit

- शातवादनमुले रुप्णराजस्य नासिककेन
- २ धमणेन महामात्रेण लयनं कारितम्।

[This] cave was caused to be constructed by the Śramana officer of Kṛṣṇarāja of the Śātavāhana race, residing in Nāsika.

काह is well known to be the Prakrit form of Kṛṣṇa.¹ नासिकक belonging to or inhabitant of Nāsika The termination पुज्य or आफ is added upon the analogy of the words embraced in Pān IV. 2. 121-130. This Inscription is not translated by Dr. Stevenson.

This Kṛṣṇarāja was the second king of the Andhrabhṛtya dynasty of the Purāṇas, as will be shown in the remarks.

No. 3.

- सिधं रणो वासििंठपुतस सामि सरिपुलु-
- २ माइस सवच्छेर २ हेमंतपसे ४ दिवसे-
- 3 एतिय पुवाय ट(क)ट्रांबिकेण धनमेण इण.

To the Perfect One In the year 2 of the King, the Lord, the prosperous Pulumai, the son of Vāsisthi, in the 4th fortnight of Hemanta, on—day Before this, by the householder or husbandman Dhanama

No. 27.

सिधं रणो वासिटिपुतस सरपडुमयस सवच्छरे च्छ १ ठे ६ गिमपसे पर्चमे ५
 दिवसे.

To the Perfect One. In the sixth year of the King, the prosperous Padumaya, the son of Vāsisthī, in the — fortnight of Grişma, on the fifth? day.

¹ Vararuci-Prakrta-Prakasa III. 88.

^{34 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. L]

No. 4

- सिधं रणो गोतिमिपुतस [सामिसिस्थिणसतकिणस सवसरे सातमे देमतणपर्वे तितये ३
- र दिवसे पचमे केसिकस महासेणापतिस च(भ)वगोपस भरिजाय महासेणा-पतिणिय वसुय लेण
- ३ बोपिक्यितिस जमाने[मन १]स पयविसते समणे बहुकानि वरिसाणि उक्ते [१] [१] पयवसाण नित चातुदि-
 - 🕶 सस च मिसुसघस आ(अ)वने दतेति.

Line 2. वस्या वस् or वस् may be the name of the lady or a term of honour used in her case, as चस or वस in the case of those spoken of in Inscription No. 24 Probably the वास of dramatical language is the same as this

Line 3 बोपाकियातिस जमनस = बोपाकियतिर्यमनस्य Or if the स, which with the vertical stroke looks like अ, is to be so taken, बोपाकियति अजमानेस = बोपिकियति आर्यमान्यन्य. This will not necessitate माने being considered a mistake for मन उक्कते—उत्कल्य. उत्कीर्य is the word we should expect to find in such a case, but कृत् has the sense of "cutting," and with उत्, of "cutting out," which would do very well in the present case ते in उक्कते is the representative of तिअ=त्य.

SANSKRIT of No. 4

2 1 1

- सिद्धम् । राज्ञो गोतमीपुत्रस्य स्वामिश्रीयज्ञशातकर्णेः सवस्तरे सप्तमे ७ हेमन्त-पक्षे तृतीये ३
- २. दिवसे पश्चमे केशिकस्य महासेनापतेर्भवगोपस्य भार्याया महासेनापत्न्या वस्त्रा लयनम् ।
- शोपिकयतेर्यमनस्य पर्यवासिते श्रमणे बहुकानि वषाष्युत्रुत्स्य पर्यवसान नीत
 भातुर्दि-
 - शस्य च भिक्ष्संघस्यावने द्त्तमिति

Translation of No. 4.

To the Perfect One. On the fifth day, in the 3rd third fortnight of Hemanta, in the 7th seventh year of the King, the Lord, the prosperous Yajña Śātakarni, Gautamīputra, (1) the Cave of Vasū, Lady Senāpati, the wife of Bhavagopa, the Senāpati (commander-in-chief), inhabitant of Keśi was, the Śramana (2) having died, carried to completion, after having been under excavation for many years, for (or by) Yamana (3), the ascetic of Bopaki (or for the ascetic of Bopaki honoured by good men), and given for the use (lit. protection) of mendicant priests from the four quarters.

NOTES.

- I. She is called सनापत्नी, not because she commanded any army, but because she was the wife of the सनापति or commander of the army. The only way I can think of, of conveying this sense when another expression भवगोपस्य भार्या has also to be translated, is that adopted in the text.
- 2. The Śramana must have been the husband of the lady.
- 3. I am not quite satisfied with this. I was attempting so to construe the expression बोपिक्यितिस जमानेस as to yield the sense "having done so-and-so or while this was doing, the Sramana died;" but have not succeeded. So the best way is to take the genitive and interpret it by the prepositions "for" or "by". And there is Hemacandra's authority for it. तादथ्यें देवी। तादथ्यें विहितस्य देश्वतुरुयेंकवचनस्य स्थाने पष्टी भवति। क्रचिद्दितीयादेः। स्थाने पष्टी भवति

Dr Stevenson's translation of this is mistaken in many places He takes the third line to consist of names only

Who is the Gautamiputra here spoken of? Dr Stevenson translates descendant of "King Gotamiputra" But there is no word here which means "descendant". And this king Yajña is called Gautamiputra in the other inscriptions in which

he is named. It appears to have been a custom in the case of these kings to apply to them an epithet expressive of their being the sons of certain mothers. The Great Gautamiputra was so called because he was the son of Gautami, though his real name was Śātakarni Pudumāyi was called Vasisthiputra because he was the son of Vasisthi In the same manner, Yajña Śātakarni must have been called Gautamiputra because his mother also was named Gautami.

No. 15.

The language of this inscription is Sanskrit, with the exception of but a few words. It is considerably mutilated towards the end. Even in the first part the letters are not fully formed, and have to be determined by the sense and context. In most cases, however, my readings are obvious, and can admit of little doubt

- १ विषं । राज्ञी द्मर्रापुत्रत्य शिवद्तामीरपुत्रत्य
- २ आनीरस्य दीरसेनन्य संबन्तरं नवने गि-
- ३ ह्मे पसे चोये ४ दिवसे त्रयोद्शे १३
- 🕶 बापुदयागकर्णवर्नणः दुहित्रा गणपक-
- ५ रोनेटस्य नार्यया गणपकस्य विश्ववर्मस्य
- ६ ब्रानृकनि[न्य]क्या उपाष्टिकया विष्णुदृत्तया सर्वसन्बहि-
- ७ तनसार्वे त्रिराश्निपर्वतिहारवास्तव्यस्य चातुर्विश-
- ८ मितुर्तवत्य--मेदर्जार्थमक्षवनीवी प्रयुक्ता वि[घ 1]नदा[ल]-
- ९ व्यामु झागनाना नासु श्रेणीतु । यनः कुछनैकश्रेण्या हम्ने कार्पापण
- १० सहन्नं १००० —यात्रिक्त्रेत्रयां सहन्त्राणि श्वि हे २
- ११ प्यां भतानि पच ५०० तिलसिन्स्येण्यां शता...
- १२ एते च कार्यानमा चताला पदा ... ण्यदिव.
- १३ -तस्य म नदृद्धिक सदरस्रतिदिद् .

¹ See Kanheri Cave Inscriptions, No 44, Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Volume VI.

r.F

Notes

- ा. शिव is doubtful.
- 4. याप्रवयाग is unintelligible कर्णवर्मण may be काग्नेवर्मण, in which case the name is अग्निवर्मन् and the क the last syllable of the preceding word.
- 6. कनिकया is very likely कन्यकया. The first two letters are unintelligible, but they may have been intended for आतु or कृत, so that the whole compound is आतुकन्यकया or कृतकन्यकया
- 8. The three letters after सघस्य are unintelligible. They may have been intended for भिश्रुणाम् or वसनम्. —धनया One or two letters are lost here. Probably the word was गोवर्धन,
 - 9. कुलरीक was probably intended for कौलिक
- The first two letters before यांत्रिक are unintelligible. They may have been intended for चेण This and the succeeding lines have lost a good many letters.

Translation

To the Perfect One. On the 13th day of the fourth fortnight of Grisma in the 9th year of the king Virasena, the Abhīra, the son of Sivadattābhīra and of Damari, a permanent capital was deposited as follows with the guilds residing now or in future in Govardhana (?) by the worshipper Visņudattā, the daughter of Karnavarman, wife of Rebhila Gaṇapaka (the leader of a host), and niece (or adopted daughter) of Viśvavarman Ganapaka (the leading host), for the benefit and good of all creatures, and for providing medicine to the body of the mendicant priests residing in the caves on mount Triraśmi — A thousand Kārsāpanas with the guild of the weavers, two thousand with the guild of the — engineers, five hundred with the guild of — and — hundred with the guild of the grinders of sesamum (oilmen) These Kārsāpaṇas — together with interest —

This is a new Inscription, and was not translated before,

No r

१ सिधं शक्स दामचिकस लेसकस बुधिकस

- २ विष्णुदतपुत्रस दशपुरवाषवस लेण पो-
- डियो च दो २ अतो एका पोढिया अपर...स मे माता.
 - 🕶 तरो उदिस.

To the Perfect One [This] cave and [these] two tanks are [the benefaction] of the Saka Dāmacika, writer [or engraver-) and usurer (or carpenter), son of Visnudatta and inhabitant of Dasapura. One of these tanks . . is intended for (the spiritual good of) my father and mother

Notes.

ब्रुधिकस = वृद्धिकस्य probably "a usurer", or = वर्षक "a carpenter". वाश्यवस = वास्तत्यस्यः उदिस = उद्दिश्यः

No. 2

- १ सिघं सक्य दामचिकस
- २ लेषकस बुधिकस पोढि

To the Perfect One Tank of Damacika, the Saka, writer [or engrayer] and usurer [or carpenter]

No. 5

- १ देयधर्मीय उपासि-
- २ काया मर्म्भाया लयनं

This cave is the benefaction of Marma, a worshipper

No 8

१ नासिककनघभिकगामस दान

Benefaction of Nandabhikagāma, inhabitant of Nāsika

Nos 9 and 10

These two are parts of one inscription, both together forming but one sentence No. 10 is the first and No 9 the second part.

- १ रायामच अ[स]एइल[ली र्]यसं विलसीलने[ण]कस दुहतुय महाहकुसी--
- य तटपालिकाय रायामचस अगियतणकस तटांकासकी 👉 🗢 🕮 🗓 🤫
- ३ यस मारियाय कपणणकमातुय चितियंचर पृत्रते व्यापाया १००० विकास विका
- L. 1. The first letter does not occur in Mr West's copy! Lieut Brett's has it The initial letter of the second word, read as 31, may have been intended for 31, in which case we have the genitive राषामचस -In रहलयस the क may have been intended for ली, in which case the expression would mean "native of रहल." In such inscriptions it is usual to mention the native places of the persons named — yes This syllable occurs at the end of each of the three names of men Very likely it is an honorific termination corresponding to our modern Marathi नाक appended to the names of Mahars, and traced to the Sanskrit नायक. -क्रसीय. This may be कासीय or केसीय.
- L 2 तदपालिकाय = तदपालिकया or भदपालिकया. The first means "protectress of a fortress" and the second "of soldiers". but भरपालिका is an unusual expression Perhaps it is तरपालकीय. used as an attribute of the following noun, and meaning "native of "or "residing in तटपाछिक" तटाकाराकीयस = "native of तटा-कारक" perhaps, but it would not do to take it so if the word na-पालकीय were to be interpreted as proposed last. This word, however, may be read as भदाकारिकयस = मद्राकारिक्रयस्य, "one whose look and deeds are commendable" In this inscription no difference is perceptible between the letters t and bhi
- Ll. 3, 4 Some vowel-marks, which undoubtedly are required, are wanting. I have not attempted to reduce the proper names to their corresponding Sanskrit forms

Sanskrit.

- . १ राजामात्यस्य रहलीयस्य विलसीलणकस्य दुद्दित्रा म**हाईक़र्**या [ं]
 - २ तटपालकीयराजामात्यस्यागियतणकस्य मद्राकाराकि-
 - यस्य मार्यया कपणणकमात्रा चैत्यगृह पर्वते
 - ात्रेरश्मी निष्ठापितम् ।

Translation.

This Caitya-temple was etablished on the mountain Triraśmi by the worthy Kuśi, the daughter of Baliśitanaka, the king's officer, residing in Rahala, the wife of Agiyatanaka, the king's officer residing in Tatapalika, whose look and deeds are commendable, and the mother of Kapananaka.

Dr. Stevenson treated these as two separate inscriptions need not make any remark on his translation of them.

No II.

- सिंध ऊतराह्स दतामितियकस योणकस धमदेवपुतस इंद्राभिदतस धंमत्मना
 [नो].
- २ इम लेण पवतितरण्हिस खानितं अभतरं च छेणस चेतियघर पोढियो च माताप —
- तरं उदिस इम लेण कारित सवनुधपुजाय चातुदिसस भिखुसघस निया-तित स—
- ४ इपुतेन धंमरखितेन

To the Perfect One This is the cave of the charitable Indragnidatta, the son of Dharmadeva, a Northerner, a Yavanaka, native of Dattamitri, excavated on Mount Trirasmi. The interior of this cave is a shrine for a Caitya, and there are tanks also. This cave was caused to be constructed with a view to [the spiritual good of] mother and father, and is dedicated to the mendicant priesthood of the four quarters, for the worship of all Buddhas, by Dharmaraksita and his son

Notes

कतराह = औत्तराह "belonging to or inhabitant of the North"."

हासामिजी was the name of a town in Sauvīra in the vicinity of Sind. In the Siddhāntakaumudī this is given as an instance of a Sauvīra town under Pāṇini IV. 3. 76 Dr Stevenson's translation of this contains several mistakes He makes the father of the donor "prince regnant under Datamitraka"

^{1.} Vārtika on Pāņini, IV 2 104

This Inscription shows how wide the fame of our Trirāsmi was spread. It also points to the settlement of the Greeks near Sind and to their adoption of Buddhism. द्वामित्री may be Demetria.

No 12.

- 🕛 🐧 वेलिदतपुतस नेक्रमस रामणक्स
 - २ छाकलेपिकयस लेन देगधम चातुदि-
 - ३ सस भिखुसघस नियातित दत्त[ता] च[चा]-
 - नेन अखयनिवि कहापनसत् १००
 - ५ समस हथे एती बसव्यस प्रवहतस् जिव्हिः
 - ६ कं धातवं बारसकं

This cave is the benefaction of Rāmanaka, a merchant, native of Chākalapaka — It is dedicated to the mendicant priesthood of the four quarters — He has also given a permanent capital of a hundred Kārṣāpanas into the hands of the towns-people. — From that a garment should be given in the rainy season to the ascetic living here during the rains.

नेमक is very likely नेगम "a merchant".

ting in the fifth line may mean "the congregation of the mendicant priests". For the rest see notes to Inscription No. 18.

Dr. Stevenson's translation differs a good deal from this.

No. 13.

- १ सिधं सिवमितलेखकपुतस
- २ रामणकस लेणं देयधम

To the Perfect One. [This] cave is the benefaction of Ramanaka, the son of Sivamitra, the writer.

No 21.

- श्वातिक उपासिक्यम मुग्रदायस सपित्वारस लेगे देवकम एतम केवम
 बोधिगुव~
- २ उपासक्स पुतेन धमनाद नार्टत स्ते अपार्टिंग कण्ड्रिनिय पृतो व बेठतो चिबरिक प्रवडत...

This cave is the benefaction of the worshipper Mugudasa, a Khātika (a butcher) (1) and his family. Dharmanandī, the son of the worshipper Bodhigupta, has given a field in the Western (2) Kanhahini for this cave. From this field a garment [to] an ascetic.

- (1) আরিক may have been the name of a tribe It may also correspond to কাঁৱিক "a butcher". The vernacular word for a butcher, আহিক or আহকী, is very near to this.
- (2) अपरिलीय—इझ is a termination applied to nouns in the sense of "belonging to," "existing in". हिल्लुड्डी मने। मर्बेर्य नाम्न-परी इड उड़ इत्येती हिती पत्ययी मनत-। ... प्रिष्टं उनरिष्टं Hemacandra. The same termination in the form of इस exists in Marāthī. अपरिस्त therefore seems to mean "Western". इण्हाहिनी must have been the name of something.

No. 22.

दासब्स मुगुदसस सपरिवारस लेप देयवंमं.

This cave is the benefaction of Mugudasa, a fisherman, and his family.

No. 24.

- सिषं वरगर्हे[प शितिस नेगमस क्षेम
- देयधम इद्वंबिलिय चसनंदिसिरिय कवरको दुहुतु-
- य चसपुरिसदताव कवरको एप छेनं चतुगत-
- नियुत्त भिन्तुसंघस चातुदिसस नियाचितं

ľ

To the Perfect One. [This] cave is the benefaction of the merchant Varagahapati, [one] apartment, of his wife the worthy Nandaśri, [another] apartment, of his daughter the worthy Puruṣadattā. The cave thus composed of four parts is dedicated to the mendicant priesthood of the four quarters.

Line 2. चस may perhaps be traced to इस्या, or if read as यस, to बास.

Line 3. चतुगतनियत गत probably from गर्त "a hollow",

REMARKS.

As I have observed before, the cave numbered 26 by Mr. West was constructed and assigned to Buddhist mendicants of the Bhadrayaniya school by Gautami, who is distinctly mentioned as the mother of the king Gautamiputra Śātakarņi, whose exploits are described in the inscription. Gautamiputra therefore was so called because he was the son of Gautami, while his own proper name was Śātakarni. Pudumāyi is called Vāsiţhīputa or Vasisthiputra for the same reason. Väsisthi, as I have pointed out, granted the field conveyed in the second charter in Inscription No. 25 She is there spoken of as the Queen of Gautamiputra, if we accept the interpretation given in the note! and even if we follow that adopted in the text, and understand them as issuing orders conjointly, there could be no reason why their names should be so coupled together unless that relation existed between them Pudumāyi therefore was the son of Gautamiputra, and not his father, as the late Dr Bhau Daji thought.1

Gautamī is described as the mother of a king and grandmother of a king, while Vāsiṣṭhī is mentioned simply as the mother of a king. Gautamī therefore appears to be the

¹ Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Volume VIII, p. 287.

more elderly of the two, which she could not be if her son were the son of Pudumayi, whose mother was Vasisthi.

No. 26 is dated in the year 19 of Pudumāyi, when Gautami, who is spoken of as dedicating the cave in the present tense, must have been alive. Her son Gautamīputra Śatakarnı issued the charter No 25 (first part) the next year, and is represented in No. 26 and No. 26A as having granted a village in the same year for the support of the inmates of the cave-monastary of his mother, though his name does not expressly occur. therefore, have been alive when the cave was dedicated. As noticed above, Gautami is spoken of as the mother of the Great King and the grandmother of the Great King. There is no object in such a statement unless the son and grandson were kings at the time when the statement was made. How could Pudumāyı then come to be king during Gautamīputra's life-time? Instances are not wanting in Indian history of sovereigns appointing their sons as governors or kings of distant provinces. Asoka was king of Kashmere during the life-time of his father, and Agnimitia, of Vidisa, while Puspamitra reignediat Pataliputra. In the same manner, Pudumāyı seems to have ruled over this side of the country, since the inscriptions containing dates at Nasık and Karla are dated from the commencement of his quign, while his father Gautamīputra Śātakarņi reigned at his own capital. 'Gautamīputra Śri Yasina Śatakarni was one of their successors, whose name occurs in these inscriptions The elder Gautamiputra is mentioned in No 26 as having "established the glory of the Satavahana race", whence it appears that the dynasty called Andhrabhrtya in the Puranas was known by the name of Śātavāhana

Gautamiputra is spoken of in Nos. 25 and 26A as "the Lord of Dhanakata or Dhanakataka". Husen Thisang mentions a country of the name of Tonakiatsekia, which name is properly considered as the Chinese representative of Dhanakataka. This General Cunningham identifies with the ancient Dharanikot

situated on the river Kṛṣnā, in the Guntur district of the Madras Pre sidency. From the bearings given by the Chenese traveller, it does appear that Dhanakataka is to be looked for somewhere in that part of the country. That Gautamiputra's Dhanakataka was the same as or situated near Dharnikot is confirmed by the fact that coins of the Satavahana dynasty are found in that district These being leaden coins, the place where they are found may very reasonably be regarded as that of their original circulation. Some of these are figured by Sir Walter Elliot in Plate XI attached to his article in the Madras Literary Journal, Vol. 111, New series. Of these, one (No. 96) has for its legend 'Sata-kanisa ran.10', another (No. 101) has 'Gotamiputasa', and a third (No. 105) 'Ranno Gotamiputasa sariyannasatakanisa'. The legend on a fourth (No. 100) may be read Putumavisa, though I am somewhat doubtful. The Puranic name of the dynasty also indicates that its original seat, or the province over which its kings immediately ruled, must have been somewhere in the Andhra or Tailanga country. At first, the princes of the family must have been subject to the paramount sovereigns of Pataliputra, and were hence called bhrayas or servants of those sovereigns; and afterwards, they raised themselves to supreme power.

The three princes named above are not the only ones of this dynasty that are named in the inscriptions. There is another of the name of Kṛṣṇarāja, spoken of in No. 6 as belonging to the race of Śātavāhana. The characters in this inscription are far older than those in Nos 25 and 26. The Va, consisting of a circle with a vertical stroke above, is very much unlike the isosceles triangle of these latter, and this letter and the Da, made up of a small rectangle with the left hand side wanting

¹ General Cunningham reads this as Rajuya Gotamiputa Satakanisa, but.I observe the letters Sari after Gotamiputasa distinctly, and others further on which look like Yanna. He reads the legend on No. 100 as Pudumavisa, and does not give that on No. 101, but I have little doubt it is Gotamiputasa (See Anc. Geogr. of India, p. 541.).

and with two vertical strokes upwards and downwards, as well as the general style, look more like those of Asoka inscriptions than those of these later ones do. This in itself shows that a considerable interval of time must have elapsed between Krsnarāja and Gautamīputra. And this is confirmed by the Purānas, which, though there is not so much agreement amongst them as might be desirable, in the case of this dynasty, place about nineteen kings between Krsnaraja, who stands second in the list, and Gautamiputra. There are two other circumstances that deserve remark. In the time of Kṛṣṇarāja, the capital of the province seems to have been Nasik (Nāsika), for his officer or general resided, there, as we gather from No. 6, while in Gautamīputra's time it was Govardhana. There is still a village near Nasik of the name of Govardhana, as I have observed before. The other circumstance is that while out of the five kings, beginning with Gautamiputra, the names of three occur in the cave inscriptions on this side of India, not one out of the nineteen successors of Krsnaraja is mentioned This would tend to show that the Śātavāhanas possessed these provinces in the time of Kṛṣṇarāja, but that some time after him they were deprived of them by another race of kings, who must have held them till Gautamiputra regained them and re-established the power of his dynasty And in No 26 he is mentioned as having exterminated the race of Khagarata and "established the glory" of his race The dynasty of Khagārāta therefore must have ruled over these provinces during the interval. But what other indications have we of the existence of this dynasty? the first place we have the inscriptions of Usavadāta, which a king of the name of Ksaharāta Nahapāna, mention who is also called Kşatrapa or Satrap Ksaharāta looks very much like Khagārāta and the characters in these inscriptions occupy a middling position between those of No. 6 and No. 26. Ksaharāta Nahapāna therefore may well have been the founder of the dynasty which displaced the Śātavahanas

¹ See Wilson's Visqupurana, Chapter XXIV, Book IV.

some time after Kṛṣṇarāja. And coins of a race of kings calling themselves kings and Kṣatrapas or Satraps have been found in Gujarat and elsewhere, and amongst them one of Nahapāna himself. There are two inscriptions also in Gujarat, which mention some of these kings. Very likely therefore it was this dynasty that Gautamīputra displaced.

A passing examination of the coins of the Satavahana dynasty mentioned above points to the same conclusion. look at the figures of the coins bearing the devices of a horse, four wheels, and a pyramid composed of arcs of circles with a wavy line below and a crescent on the top, we shall find that these are alternative emblems. Some of the coins have the first and the second, others the first and the third, and the rest the second and the third. The first two occur on No. 96 and No. 92, the former of which bears the legend Satakanisa Ranno. This was the name of one of the earlier kings of the dynasty. Of the coins which bear the third emblent, those which have a legend at all contain the names of Gautamiputra and his suc-Now this third device is universally seen on the reverse of Sāh coins, it does not occur on a Śātavāhana coin of a king earlier than Gautamiputra, while it does occur on his and on those of his successors. This would show that the device was borrowed from the Sāhs, and was perhaps used by the Śātavāhanas to indicate their conquest of them. And since it occurs first on Gautamiputra's coin, it must have been he who overthrew them. An examination of more coins of this dynasty, if available, would throw further light on this subject. But so far as my present information goes, the fact tends to confirm what we have gathered from other sources, viz. that Gautamiputra put an end to the Sah dynasty.

These inferences would be rendered highly probable, of almost certain, if what is known or believed with regard to the dates of these kings were made to harmonise with the similar information we have with regard to the dates of Kṛṣṇarāja and Gautamiputra. The coins of the Satrap or Sah dynasty bear dates, but it is not known to what erathey are to be referred, For the dates of the Satavahana kings the only authorities are the Puranas. Though there is no very satisfactory agreement amongst them as to the names and number of the individuals composing the dynasty, the period of its total duration, given by all, nearly corresponds. Starting from the date of Candragupta Maurya, which is generally believed to be 315 B. C, and deducting 294, the number of years for which the intervening dynasties reigned, we have 21 B. C. as the date of the foundation of the Andhrabhrtya dynasty, and going on further in the same way, we have 2 A. D. for Kṛṣṇarāja's accession; and 319 A. D. for that of Gautamīputra. Now if we take Nahapāna to be the founder of the Saka era, and refer all the Sah dates to that era, the information got from the caves and the inferences based on it are perfectly consistent with these dates Nahapāna's career of conquest must have ended in A. D. 78, when the era began; and this agrees with what we have stated above that the Satavahanas were deprived of the province of Nasik some time after Kṛṣṇarāja In the same manner, if the statement that Gautamiputra exterminated the race of Khagarata is true, the last of the Sah dates must come up near enough to 340 A.D., that being the date of Gautamiputra's death, or of the end of his reign. This last date, if the era is Saka, is, according to Mr. Fergusson, 2 376 A. D., in which case it would not agree with the other, but there is a mistake here Mr Justice Newton, whom he follows, assigns 235 A. D.; to Svāmī Rudra Sāh, the 25th in his list, on the supposition that the era is Vikrama's, whence it appears that he reads the figure on the coin of that monarch as 291. But if we turn to the copies of the figures given by him at page 28, Vol. VII. Journ., BBRAS. we shall

¹ Wilson, Vispaparana, Chapter XXIV, book IV.

² Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, New Series, Vol. IV.

⁸ Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society. Vol. IX., p. 18.

find that there must be some mistake as to the right-hand stroke on the mark for a hundred in the last of the three dates given under Svami Rudra Sah. For the firss of these is 224, the middle figure being the mark for 20, since the circle has one diameter; and the second 192, the mark for a hundred having no side stroke. It is impossible then that the king, whose date is 192, should be reigning in 291. It is extremely probable that this king, No. 18 in Mr Newton's first list (Journ. BBRAS Vol. VII) or No 25 in his second (Vol IX), whose date appears thus to have been misread, or improperly engraved, is the same person as No. 12 in the former or No. 19 in the latter. For the name of the individual and of the father is the same in both cases. There is only the prefix Svāmī, "Lord," in the former, which make no difference, and the date 192 in the one case and 197 in the other. The final date of the Sahs therefore is that of No 17 (p 28, Vol VII. BBRAS), which is 250, for the figure resembling the letter A sa stands really for 50, as I have shown in my paper² on the Valabhi dates.

This date in the era of the Śaka Kings is 328 About that time then, i. e. about nine years after his accession, the Śahs must have been conquered by Gautamiputra. If, on the contrary, we should take the era to be Vikrama's, Nahapāna's date would be about 60 B C, i. e. he reigned 62 years before Kṛṣnarāja, which, it will be seen, does not agree with the evidence of the caves, the Śātavāhana dynasty having been in possession of Nasik in A D 2. In the same manner, the final date, which, according to Mr. Newton and Mr. Fergusson, is 235 A. D. on the hypothesis that the era is Vikrama's, but which really should be 196 A. D. in conformity with my reading of the dates, is so remote from Gautamīputra's 319 A. D., that he can in no sense be said to have exterminated the "race of Khagārāta." The

¹ See the numerals in the Nasık Cave Inscriptions and my paper in Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. X, p 67.

² Ibid, p. 72.

^{36 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. L.]

Vikrama era will therefore not do. The objection brought by Mr Fergusson against the Saka is that if the dates were referred to it, the Sāhs would overlap the Guptas by a considerable period But this period has now been reduced to about ten years, the Guptas being supposed to have come into power in 319 A.D. And a difference of 10 years in the uncertain condition of our chronology is almost nothing Besides, there is nothing to show that the Guptas obtained possession of the countries over which the Sāhs ruled in 319, or immediately after. Thus the date 319-340 A D. for Gautamīputra, and the Sāka era for the Sāh dates, alone appear to be consistent with what we find in the cave inscriptions about that monarch and the Sātavahana dynasty. The dates in Uṣavadūta's inscriptions, therefore, viz. 42, 41 and 40, would be 120, 119 and 118 A. D. respectively.

The other inscriptions show that in the early centuries of the Christian era Buddhism was flourishing in this part of India Mendicant priests from all quarters assembled at Trirasmi during the rains, and held what is called their vassa; and laymen made presents to them, especially of garments, during the robing For this purpose it was usual for persons who possessed the means, to deposit sums of money out of the interest of which the garments were given The followers of Buddhism appear to have belonged principally to the artisan and labouring classes. Brahmanism was not in a condition of decline vadāta made as many presents to Brahmans as to the Buddhists, and in these Buddhistic cave inscriptions they are spoken of with reverence Gautamiputra also takes pride in calling himself the protector of Brahmans, and credit is given to him for averting the confusion of castes, 1 e. destroying the effects of foreign inroads on Brahmanism and the system of castes and re-establishing them.

Inscription No. 15 is dated in the ninth year of a king named Virasena, who is called an Abhira or cowherd. The Puranas place a dynasty of that name after the Andhrabhity25,

and it was one of the many that ruled over the country, contemporaneously it would appear. They must have come into power after 416 A. D., and, according to the Vāyu-Purāna, ruled for 67 years. The Abhīras do not seem to have been very powerful kings, and possessed only this part of the country. The traditions about a Giulirājya current in the Nasik and Khandesha districts not unlikely refer to them.

THE RAMANUJIYA AND THE BHAGAVATA OR PAÑCARATRA SYSTEMS

[From the Berichte des VII. Internationalen Orientalisen Congresses held at Vienna in 1885, Wien 1859.]

A work entitled Arthapañcaka that has recently fallen under my observation gives a summary of the doctrines of the school of Rāmānuja [The whole subject is treated of under five heads viz. (1) jīva, i. e., animal spirit or dependent spirit; (11) isvara, i. e., God; (111) upāya, i. e., the way to God; (112) phala of puruṣārtha, i. e., the end of life; and (v) virodhinah, i. e. obstructions to the attainment of God.!

- I. Jīvas are of five kinds, viz. (1) nitya, i e., those who never entered on samsāra or the succession of lives and deaths at all, such as Garuḍa, Viṣvaksena, and others; (2) mukta, i. e., those, who have shaken off the fetters of life and whose sole purpose and joy is attendance (Kaimkarya) on God; (5) Kevala, i e., those, whose hearts being purified, are fixed on the highest truth and who are thus free from the succession of births and deaths; (4) mumukṣu, i. e., those, who having experienced the misery of life, are averse to its enjoyments and have fixed their desire only on the highest end, viz., the attainment of the condition of an attendant on God: and (5) baddha, i. e., those who devoting themselves to life, whether of a God, man, or brute, that their previous ments or demerits (karman) have assigned to them, seek only the enjoyments of such a life and are averse to the joys of Brahman.
 - II. The manufestations of Isvara or God are five; viz.,
- (1) Para, i. e., he who lives in Vaikuntha and whose presence is enjoyed by the Nitya and Mukta spirits who dwell near him, who is unbeginning and endless, who wears celestial ornaments, celestial garments, and celestial weapons, who possesses celestial beauty and an endless number of holy attributes, and who is accompanied by Śrī, Bhū, and Līlā;

- 1(2) Vyūha, 1. e, the forms of Samkarsana, Praduymna, and Aniruddha, assumed for the creation, protection, and dissolution of the world,
- (3) Vibhava, i e., incarnations such as Rāma and Krsna for the establishment of Truth, the protection of the good and the destruction of the wicked;
- 1(4) Antaryāmin, who has two forms in one of which he dwells in everything and rules over all, is bodiless, all-pervading, and the store of all good attributes and is called Viṣnu, Nārāyaṇa, Vāsudeva, etc., and in the other he possesses a body bearing celestial weapons such as a counch-shell and a discus, and celestial ornaments, dwells in the heart of man, is the store of all good attributes, and is known by the names of Hṛṣīkeśa, Purusottama, Vāsudeva, etc., /
- dwells and allows himself to be worshipped by his devotees. In the Yatindramatadipikā, to be noticed below, and in other places, the Vyūhas are given as four, Vāsudeva possessed of the six great attributes being the first, Samarsana, possessed of two, viz Jūāna and Bala, being the second, Pradyumna having Aiśvarya and Vīrya, the third, and Aniruddha possessed of Sakti and Tejas, the fourth The first Vyūha is assumed in order that it may serve as an object of devotion, and the other three for creation etc of the world In the present work, the Vāsudeva Vyūha is put down as the second form of the Antaryāmin j
- LIII The Upāyas or ways to God are five, viz (1) Karmayoga, (2) Jñānayoga, (3) Bhaktiyoga, (4) Prapattiyoga, and (5) Ācāryābhimānayoga Under the first comes the whole Vedic sacrificial ritual and the Smārta or domestic ceremonies along with the fasts and observances by going through which the person is purified Then by means of Yama, Niyama, etc, mentioned in the Yogaśāstra, one should concentrate one's mind upon oneself. This concentration leads to Jñānayoga which consists in fixing the mind on Nārāyana or Vāsudeva, described

on which the mental powers have already been concentrated depends. Thus the devotee arrives at God through himself The jūānayoga leads to Bhaktiyoga, which consists in continuously seeing nothing but God. Prapatti is resorted to by those who cannot avail themselves of or are not equal to the first three methods. It consists in throwing one's self entirely on the mercy of God. There are many details given which need not be reproduced here. The last method, Ācāryābhimānayoga, is for one who is unable to follow any of the others, and consists in surrendering oneself to an Ācārya or preceptor and being guided by him in everything. The preceptor goes through all that is necessary to effect his pupil's deliverance, as a mother takes medicine herself to cure an infant.

IV The Purusarthas are five, viz, (1) Dharma, (2) Artha, (3) Kāma, (4) Kaivalya, and (5) Mokṣa The first three do not differ from those ordinarily called by those names, and the last two are the conditions attained by the Kevala and Mukta spirit (I. 3 and 2 above)

'V. The Virodhins are five, viz,

- (1) Svasvarūpavirodhin, 1 e, that which prevents one's own real or spiritual nature from being seen, such as the belief that the body is the soul;
- (2) Parasvarūpavirodhin or that which prevents one's approach to the true God, such as devotion to another or false deity or belief in God's incarnation being but a human being etc.
- '(3) Upayavirodhin or that which prevents the true ways from being resorted to, as the belief in ways other than those mentioned above being more efficacious or in the latter being inadequate,
- (4) Purusarthavirodhin, or atiachment to other than the true or highest object of life,

(5) Praptivirodhin, i. e., the being connected with a body that one's own Karman has entailed or with other spirits who are so embodied.

At the end of another work, viz the Yatindramatadīpikā, the author gives a variety of views entertained by different classes of writers belonging to this school The Suris admit only one entity (Tattva); the Rsis divide it into two, Atman and Anātman, and the Ācāryas professing to follow the Śruti, propound three Tattvas or entities, viz, (1) Bhogya or what is to be enjoyed or suffered, (2) Bhoktr, the enjoyer or sufferer; (3) Niyantr or the ruler and controller. Some Ācāryas teach the system under the four heads of (1) Heya, or what is to be shunned, (2) the means of keeping it off, (3) Upadeya or what is to be sought and secured, and (4) its means. Other teachers (Desikas) divide the subject into five parts, viz, (1) what is to be attained or got at (Prapya), (2) he who attains it (Praptr), (3) the means of attainment (Upaya), (4) the fruits or objects of life (Phala), and (5) obstructions or impediments These are the five topics or the Arthapañcaka described above Some teachers add one more topic which is called Sambandha (relation), and thus expound six There is no real difference according to our author between these several views, since the variety is due to the adoption of a different principle of division by each teacher. The true substance of the Vedanta or Upanişads is that there is only one Brahman with the animal spirits and the dead world as its attributes (Cidacidviśistādvaitam))

The doctrines of Rāmānuja's school here given are the same as the doctrines of an older school, that of the Pāñcarātras or Bhāgavatas, reduced to a systematic form. In the Nārāyaṇīya section of the Mokṣadharmaparvan which forms part of the twelfth or the Śāntiparvan of the Mahābhārata, there occurs a text in which the Sāmkhyayoga, Pāñcarātra, Vedas or Āraṇyakas, and Pāśupata are mentioned as five distinct systems of religious truth.

¹ Chapter 349, Bombay Edition

The Vedas or Aranyakas here spoken of are the system afterwards known by the names of Anpanisada and Vedanta. doctrines of the Pañcaratra system are explained in Chapter 339 of the same book. Väsudeva is the supreme, unborn, eternal and all-pervading soul, the cause of all. From him sprang Samkarşana, or the soul that animates all bodies, regarded as one, from him Pradyumna, the sum total of all intelligence / Manas), and from him, Aniruddha who represents Aharikara or egoism, and who created all objects. Varāha, Narasimha, Rāma, Krṣna and others are represented as subsequent incarnations of the Supreme Vasudeva. Bhakti or love and faith is the way of reaching God The Nārāvanīva section is older than Rāmānuja, since he refers to it in a passage in his Vedāntabhāṣva, to be noticed below, and older also than Śainkarācārya, who quotes in his Bhāṣya under II, 1, 1. from Chapters 331 and 339, and 350 and 351. In his Vedāntasūtrabhāsya Šatikarācārya gives under II 2, 42 these same doctrines as maintained by the Bhagavatas, and refutes them on the ground that if Jiva, or the animating soul, is to be considered as created by Vāsudeva, it must be capable of destruction, and hence there can be no such ining as eternal happi-Then under Sûtra 42 he gives the same doctrines in a modified form. Samkersanz and others are not the enimating soul, intelligence, and egoism, independent of Vasuders, but they are different Vyühas or forms of the same Vasudeva, regarded as possessing certain attributes, viz. jāāna and aisvarya, šakti and bala, and virya and tejas, respectively. This too is refuted by Sain-aracarya. In connection with this modified doctrine the name Pañaratra is used as of those who advocated it.

Rămanu, a în his Vedăntasutraphasya introduces his comments on these Satras by the observation, Raising an objection against the authoritativeness or truth of the Paucaratra dispensation which was recealed by Bhagavat and which shows the way to the highest plass, from its being a (separate) system like those

¹ Page 400 Vol. I (Bibl. Ind. Edm.).

² Page 413 ibid.

of Kapıla and others (which have been refuted), he refutes it.' The objection that is raised is this. The Bhagavatas maintain that Samkarşana, the animating soul, and others were created. but the Srutis lay down that souls are not created Then under Sútra 44 which, however, is 41 in his Bhasya, Ramanuja says that this objection is based on a misconception of the doctrine of the Bhagavatas 'The correct doctrine is that Vasudeva, the supreme soul, assumes these four forms out of love for those who depend upon him, in order that they may resort to him under those forms \(\) In support of this he quotes a text from the Pauskarasainhitā, and another from the Sātvatasamhitā is quoted to show that the worship of these four forms is really the worship of the supreme Vāsudeva The supreme Vāsudeva is attained by his devotees when they worship him according to their abilities in his Vibhava and Vyuha manifestations or in his original subtle form. By worshipping the Vibhavas, they reach the Vyuhas and through the Vyuhas they reach the subtle form. The incarnations Rāma, Krsna, and others are Vibhavas, the Vyuhas are those mentioned above, and the subtle form is the supreme Brahma(n) called Vāsudeva, possessed only of the six attributes, Jñāna, Aiśvarya etc

According to Rāmanuja, therefore, the Bhāgavata doctrine, when properly understood, is not opposed to the Śruti and it is therefore not reputed by the author of the Vedāntasūtras but pronounced as correct. It will thus appear that the system was known by two names, Pāñcarātra and Bhāgavata, though Bāṇa in his Harṣacarita speaks of these as two different schools. If they were different, the distinction between them was probably due to one having adopted one form of the doctrine explained above and the other, the other. Under Sūtra 45, Śamkaracārya accuses the Pāñcaratras of treating the Vedas with contempt, since it is stated in one of their books that Sāndilya, not having found the way to the highest good in the four Vedas, had recourse to this Śāstra. Rāmānuja answers this accusation by

saying that a similar statement occurs in the Bhūmavidyā (Chāndogya Up VII I) Nārada is represented there as saying that he has studied all the Vedas and other branches of learning and still he only knows the Mantras and not the Ātman. This does not involve the contempt of the Vedas, but the object of the statement is simply to extol the Bhūmavidyā or the philosophy of the highest object that is explained further on. Or the sense is that Nārada studied all the Vedas but was not keen enough to comprehend the nature of the Ātman, though set forth in those works. Precisely the same interpretation should be laid on this statement of Sāndilya's not having found the way to the highest good in the four Vedas, and it should not be construed as involving contempt for the Vedas

Rāmānuja's system is thus the same as the Pāncarātra or Bhāgavata The sacred books of this latter are the Pāncarātra-Samhitās, three of which, the Pauskara, Sātvata, and Parama, are quoted by Rāmānuja in the passage referred to above The following exist in the library of Jasvantrao Gopalrao of Pātan

- a Laksmī-Samhıtā, Gr S 3,350
- b Jñānāmṛtasāra-Samhītā, Gr S 1,450
- c Paramāgamacudāmani-Samhitā, Gr S 12,500
- d Pauskara-Samhita, Gr S 6,350.
- e Padma-Samhita, Gr S 9,000
- f Vrddhabrahma-Samhita, Gr S 4,533.

The book printed as Năradapañcarātra in the Bibliotheca Indica is only one of these that are marked b. A copy of c was purchased by me for the Government of Bombay two years ago. Whatever may be the time when these and other Samhitās were written and the religion received a definite shape, the root of the Bhāgavata or Pāñcarātra system is to be traced to very remote times. Its distinguishing features are, as we have seen, the vorship of Vāsudeva as the supreme Brahma and the doctrine of Bhakti or faith and Love as the way to salvation. It does not trace all our finite thought and feeling to a principle

then to the soul such as Prakru or Miva, as the Samkhva or Advata Vedania does, and look upon freedom from that sort of thought and feeling as Moksi or deliverance. It is a system of popular religion and has not such a metaphysical basis as either of those two has. Vasudeva was recognised as the supreme deity even in the time of Patanjah, for under Panini IV, 3, 98, the author of the Mahabhasya states that the Vasudeva occurring in the Shira it not the name of a Ksatriva, but of Tatrabhagavat, which term is explained by Kaivata, as signifying a certain I form of the J Supreme Deity And since Panini himself directs us in that Sutra to append the termination aka to Vasudeva in the sense of 'one whose Bhakti or object of devotion is Vasudeva' the worship of Visudeva is older than that grammarian also In forming some conception of the origin of this cultus, other circumstances than those hitherto mentioned must be taken In the Narayaniya section of the Mahainto consideration bhārata, the Pāñcarātra is represented as an independent religion professed by the Satvatas and is also called the Satvata religion. and Vasu Uparicara, who was follower of that religion, is spoken of as worshipping the Supreme God according to the Satvata manner (vidhi) which was revealed in the beginning by the Sun 2. The religion is stated to be the same as that taught to Arjuna by Bhagavat himself when the armies of the Pandavas and the Kurus were drawn up in battle-array and Arjuna's heait misgave him 3. Thus the Bhagavadgitā belongs to the literature of Vāsudeva worship. In the Bhāgavata-Purāna, the Sātvatas are represented as calling the highest Brahma (n), Bhagavat and Vasudeva, and as worshipping and adoring Krsna in a peculiar way 4 Ramanuja too refers, as we have seen, to the Satvatasambitā Satvat was the name of a descendent of Yadu as we learn from the Puranic genealogies, and his race was the race or clan of the Satvatas The Satvatas are mentioned in the

¹ Chapter 348, verses 34, 55, 84,

² Chapter 335, verses 19, 24

³ Chapter 348, verses 8, chapter 346 xerses 11

⁴ Bhagavata Purana, IX. 9 49, XI 21 1

Bhagavata along with the Andhakas and Vrsnis, which were two of the Yadava tribes 1 Vasudeva himself was a prince of that race, being called Satvatapumgava.2 About the time when Pānini flourished or when the Upanisads were written, and even later when Buddhism and Jainism arose, the energies of the Indian mind were directed to religious speculation, and we find a variety of systems coming into vogue. In this intellectual race, the Ksattriyas took a much more active part than the Brahmans In the Chandogya Upan sad, a prince of the name of Pravahana. the son of Jaibalas and Asvapati, King of the Kekava country, appear as teachers of religious truth and Brahmans as learners; and in the former passage it is even stated that the Ksatriyas were the original possessors of that knowledge. Similarly, in the Kausītzkibrāhmanopanisad, we find Ajātasatru, king of Kāsī, explaining the true Brahma(n) to Balakı the Gargya, who had only pretended to teach it to the king, but did not know it really The same story is told in the Brhadaranvaka Buddha was a Kṣattriva and belonged to the Sākya clan, so was Mahāvīra. who belonged to the race of the Jnatrkas Since then the Ksatriyas were so active at the time in propounding religious doctrines and founding sects and schools, we may very well suppose that a Ksattiiva of the name of Vasudeva, belonging to the Yadava, Vrşnı, or Sātvata race, founded a theistic system as Siddhārtha of the Śākya race and Mahāvīra of the Jñatrka race founded atheisne systems And just as Buddha under the title of 'Bhagavat' is introduced as the teacher in Buddhistic works, so is Vāsudera as Bhagavat introduced in the Bhagavadgita and some other parts of the Mahabhamta. That must have been one of his most prominent names, since his followers were in later times called Or perhaps, it is possible that Vāsudeva was a famous prince of the Satvata race and on his death was deffied

¹ Bhagavata Porana, 1.14 25 . III 1.22

² Ibid, XI 27,1 I 2 32

³ V. 3

⁴ V. 11

and worshipped by his clan, and a body of doctrines grew up in connection with that worship, and the religion spread from that clan to other classes of the Indian people. In the course of time other elements got mixed with it. We have seen that Rāmānuja considers Rāma, Krsna and others to be only Vibhavas or incarnations of Vāsudeva and they are so represented in the Nārāyanīya also. This means that the legends and worship of those deified heroes became identified with Vāsudeva, and the traditions about the Vedic Visnu and the Purānic Nārāyana, who drew out the submerged earth were also in subsequent times referred to him, and thus the various forms of modern Vaisnavism arose

It is therefore clear that the Pañcaratra was a distinct system independent of the Vedas and Upanisads But during the early centuries of the Christian era, while the country was under the domination of foreigners of the Saka, Palhava, and Yavana races, the Buddhists had grown powerful. With the restoration of the native dynasties in the fourth century, the influence of Brahmans increased, and they then began a fierce conflict with all heretics These were cried down as scoffers, atheists, nihilists (Vaināsikas), etc The great Mimāmsakas— Śabarasvāmin, Mandanamiśra, Kumārila, and others, flourished during this period of conflict They ran down even the Aupanisadas or the holders of the Iñanamarga, 1 e, the religion of the Upanisads, as against the Karmamarga or the sacrificial religion The Bauddhas and Jainas who had no regard for the Vedas whatever met them on independent or rationalistic grounds But the Aupanisadas fought them on the field of the Vedic orthodoxy and succeeded in maintaining their position. There were unquestionably in ancient times several Aupanisada systems, but it was the doctrine of the unreality of the world and the unity of spirit with which the name of Samkarācārya is connected and which has been characterized by the Mādhvas as but Buddhistic nihilism in disguise, that succeeded on the present occasion. And that doctrine was by others considered as subversive of religion and certainty.

Śamkarācārya and his followers did not treat tenderly the religious systems that had become popular, such as that of the Bhāgavatas or Pāñcarātras and of the Pāśupatas. It was, therefore, Rāmānuja's endeavour to put down the pernicious doctrine of Māyā or unreality, and seek a Vedantic and philosophic basis for the religion of Bhakti or Love and Faith that had existed from times immemorial, and thus the Pāñcarātra system, which was independent of the Vedas before, became in his hands a system of the Vedānta or an Aupanisada system

A BUDDHIST JĀTAKA STORY IN PATAÑJALI:

[From the Transactions of the Ninth International Congress of Orientalists, London, 1892, Volume, pp 421 ff]

The Adiccupatthanajataka, No 175 of Fausboll's edition (vol II, p. 72), is to the following effect -In former times, while Brahmadatta was reigning at Benares, the Bodhisatto was born in the family of a Brahmana, and after finishing his education at Takkhasilā, became an Isi hermit (Rsi), and lived on the slopes of the Himālaya togethei with a crowd of followers or After having spent a long time there, he came down from the heights, and lived together with his followers in a hut made of leaves in the confines of a village in the vicinity When the hermits went into the village for alms, a monkey used to get into the hut of leaves, spill the water, break the pots, and clear his bowels in the fire-sanctuary. After the hermits had lived for a year in the village,, they made up their minds to return to the slopes of the Himālaya, as the heights had at that time become charming by means of flowers and fruits; and begged leave of the villagers The villagers expressed their intention to give a feast to the hermits at their hut before their departure and the next day brought a great many nice eatables to the hermitage The monkey, thinking of inducing the villagers to feed him also, assumed the appearance of one practising religious austerities and of a pious being, and stood adoring the sun villagers seeing him in that attitude, and observing that those who lived in the vicinity of good men became good themselves, said -

सब्बेस किर भूतेस सन्ति सीलसमाहिता। पस्स साखामिग जम्म आदिचसपातेहति॥ इति।

"Among beings of all species, there are (some) who are ennobled by their virtue, see, a vile monkey adores the son" The

¹ This and the following two articles appear as "Miscellaneous Notes" in the Transactions of the Congress [N B U]

Bodhisatto, finding that the men were praising the monkey in this manner, and observing that they were pleased with an undeserving creature, said –

नास्स सील विजानाथ अनञ्जाथ पससथ। अग्गिहुत्तञ्च ऊहति तेन मिस्ना कमण्डलु ॥ ति ।

"You do not know his conduct, you praise him without knowing, he cleared his bowels in the fire-sanctuary, and broke pots"

Thereupon, knowing the hypocrisy of the monkey, they struck him with sticks and fed the hermits

Under Pānini I 3 25, Patañjali gives as an instance of the first Vārttika आदित्यस्पतिस्ते, "he adores the sun" and quotes the following verses -

बहुनामप्यचित्तानामेको भवति चित्तवान् । पद्म वानरसेन्येऽरिमन्यदर्कसुपतिष्ठते ॥ मैव मस्या सचित्तोऽयमेपोऽपि हि यथा वयम् । एतद्प्यस्य कापेय यद्कसुपतिष्ठति ॥

"Among many unintelligent beings there is (possibly one) who is intelligent, seeing that in this crowd (army) of monkeys, one adores the sun

"Do not think he is intelligent and is as we are, even this is his monkeyism, that he adores (apes the adoration of the) Sun"

Here the story is not given, but there can be no question that whatever it may have been, a monkey is seen putting himself into an attitude of adoring the Sun, and in this respect it resembles the Buddhist Jātaka. In both, the two verses are spoken by two different persons. The speaker of the first verse supposes that the attitude the monkey assumes is out of real devotion for the sun, wherein we have another point of resemblance. But in the Mahābhāsya the adoration is regarded as indicating such an intelligence in a monkey as men possess, while in the Jataka story it is attributed to piety. The same word, sthā with upa, is used in both, but the verse in Patañjali being in Sanskrit, where the distinction between the Atmane-

pada and Parasmaipada is to be carefully observed, the Atmanepada is used, as real adoration of the sun is meant; in the Pali the distinction between the voices is lost. The impression of the first speaker is corrected in both by the second, wherein we have a fifth point of agreement. But intelligence is denied by the second speaker in the one case, and piety in the other, which difference depends upon the original difference pointed In the Mahabhasya story, a crowd of monkeys is seen before him by the first speaker; while in the lataka there is only one monkey. In the second verse in Patanjali, the Parasmainada is used, as reality is denied to the adoration, and it is in consequence no adoration. Whatever, therefore, may have been the story from which these two verses have been extracted in the Mahabhasya, the resemblance between it and the Jataka story is so great as to warrant us in attributing a common origin to them, and regarding them as different versions of the same story, though we have no grounds for holding them as identical.

DATES OF THE VEDĀNTAKALPATARU, VĀCASPATI, UDAYANA AND RĀMĀNANDA

[From the Transactions of the Ninth International Congress of Orientalists, London, 1892, Volume I pp 423 ff.]

In noticing the Vedāntakalpataru of Amalānanda, Dr Hall, in his "Index to the Bibliography of the Indian Philosophical systems", says —"It was written in the days of one Rājā Krsna, who had a brother Mahādeva"

A more definite statement was not possible at the time when Dr Hall wrote But since that time we have made greater progress in the knowledge of Indian antiquities, and can now with ease determine who this Raja Krsna was who had a brother of the name Mahadeva The verse occurring in the Kalpataru is as follows —

कीत्या याद्ववशस्त्रसमयंति श्रीजेबदेवात्मजे रूप्णे क्ष्मामृति भूतल तह महादेवेन सविश्रति । भोगीन्द्रे परिसुश्चति क्षितिभरघोद्भृतदीर्घश्रमं वेटान्तोपवनस्य मण्डनकर पस्तौमि कल्पद्रसम्॥

"I begin the Kalpadruma (wish-fulfilling tree), calculated to adorn the garden of Vedanta, while the King Kṛṣna, the son of Jaitra, is protecting the earth in a manner to exalt the Yādava race by his fame, along with his brother Mahādeva, and the Lord of the serpents is resting himself after the long-continued exertion consequent upon (bearing) the burden of the earth"

These princes, Kṛṣṇa and Mahādeva, who were sons of Jaitra, and belonged to the Yādava race, were the princes of those names of the Yādava dynasty of Devagin. The introduction to Hemādri's Vedānta-khanda and the inscriptions speak of them as the sons of Jaitrapāla, and we are told that they succeeded their grind-father Singhana. During Kṛṣṇa's lifetime Mahideva only assisted him in the government, and became sole king himself after Kṛṣṇa's death. Kṛṣṇa reigned from 1247

V D 10 1260 V D 1 The Kalpitaru was therefore written in the interest between these two dates, i. e. about the middle of the firecon a centrary. Vicupatanism, on whose work, the Bhamati, in Kalostina is a community, lived sufficiently long before this date to equite reputation as an important author.

Another litest from to Vicaspiti's date is Saka 1171 or 1252 to an which year a commentary on Bhisarvajia's Nyāyasāra was written by Righavablatta, who mentions or quotes from Vicispiti and Udayana? Dr. Hill gives the time of Bhoja of Dhare as the carliest limit, for, Vicispiti, he says, quotes Bhoja? Uafortuarish no his not stried where Bhoja is quoted by him, but it it is the passage from Rijavartuka quoted at the end of the Starkhyatatva' and his rint he means, the Rijavartuka has not vet been discovered, and we do not know for certain who its author is. The statement made by Dr. Hall that it was composed by Bhoja is based samply on the information given by Kasināthas istri. Istaputre, which is more than questionable.

But if the supposition that the Rijavārttika was written by Bhom is correct, the earliest limit for Vizaspati's date is the period between 996 to and 1051 A B Vācaspati thus flourished between about 1050 and 1250 to But in this period we have ilso to place Udivina, and assign to him a date later than Vacipiti, for Udayina has commented on the Vārttikat itparyatikā of Vicispiti. Another earliest limit to the date of Udayana is saka 913 or 991 to, in which year Śrīdhara's Kandali was written. In his commentary on Udayana's Kiranāvali, Vardhamīna, the son of Gangeša, says in his explanation of one passage that therein Udayana sets forth the view of the Kandali

¹ See my Early Eistory of the Deccan, p 86

² Dr Hall's Bibliography, p 26

^{3 -} Sumkhyasara, Introduction, p 40, note

⁴ Ibid, p 49, note

The Kalpataru is mentioned by Rāmānanda in his comment on Samkarācārya's Bhāsya on the Vedāntasūtra I 4.11. He states that the explanation given by the author of the Prakatārtha about the accent in the word Pañcajana has been refuted by the author of Kalpataru. Thus we have the author of the Prakatārtha first, then Amalānanda, the author of the Vedāntakalpataru, about 1250 a. D., and after him Rāmānanda, the author of the Ratnaprabhā, published in the Bibliotheca Indica.

THE LATEST LIMIT OF THE DATE OF THE ORIGIN OF THE CONCEPTION OF THE TAX AVATARAS OF VISNUAND OF THE WIDOW MARRIAGE TEXT.

[From the transactions of the Ninth International Congress of Orientalists of 1892, London, Volume I, pp. 425 ff]

A manuscript of a work entitled Dharmapariksa, by Amitagati, a Digambara Jaina and the author of the Subhasitaratnasamidoha, which was written in Vikramasamiat 1050, in the reign of Muija of Dhara, has recently fallen into my hands. It was composed in 1070 Vikrama, as we are told in the following verses.—

सबत्यराणां नि(बि?)गते महम्रे । ससन्तती विक्रमणार्थेवस्य । इवं निपे(पि)द्रान्यमत समाप्तमः । जिनेन्द्रधर्मामितिग्रक्तशास्त्रम् ॥

"When a thousand and seventy years of King Vikrama had elapsed, this sastra, full of the incomparable nature of the religion of the Jinendra, in which other creeds have been condemned, was finished"

Amitagati makes use of a story to convey his precepts, in the course of which the God Visnu, and the several acts unworthy of him as the Supreme Being which he did while he lived in the world as Rāma and Kṛṣṇa, are spoken of In connection with this I find the following verse —

मीन' क्वर्स पृष्ट प्रोक्तो नारसिंहोऽय बामन । रामो रामश्र्व रामश्र्व गुद्धः फल्की दश रस्ताः॥

"A fish, a tortoise, a boar, a Man-hon, Vāmana (dwarf), Rāma, Rāma, Rāma, Buddha and Kalkin,—these are known as ten (forms of Visnu)"

There is a marginal note on Prthu in which the word is explained as meaning Sûkara or "boar" The three Rāmas are of course Pārśurāma, Rāma, the son of Daśaratha, and Balarāma

or Krsna The last is spoken of independently in several places as an incarnation of Visnu

In another place, when the divine character of Visnu is called in question, we have—

स मत्स्य कच्छंप कस्मात्त्वकरो नरकेसरी। वामनोऽस्तिवधा राम पर प्राणीव ह खित ॥

"Why did he, like an ordinary miserable living being, become a Fish, a Tortoise, a Boar, a Man-lion, Vāmana (dwarf), and Rāma thrice?"

Here we see that the idea of the ten incarnations of Visnu had become quite an ordinary article of belief in 1070 Vikrama or 1014 A D, and Buddha had been received into the popular Brahmanic pantheon. In the latter verse the two last incarnations have been omitted, probably because the object was to represent the births of Visnu in previous ages of the world, waile the ninth belongs to the present, and the tenth to a future age

A little farther on, a story is told of a recluse of the name of Mandapakausika On one occasion he sat down to dinner along with other recluses Seeing him sitting in their company, the other recluses rose up, afraid to touch him, as if he were 1 Candala Mandapakausika asked them why they rose up as they should at the sight of a dog Tney told him that he had become a recluse immediately after he had been a Brahmaciria, and without going through the intermediate order by marrying a wife and seeing the face of a son A man without son does not go to heaven, nor are religious mortifications successful, if gone through by one in that condition He then went away and asked men of his caste to give him a girl in marriage, but as he had become an old man, nobody would give his daughter to him. Thereupon he went back to the recluses and told them of this, when they advised him to marry a widow and assume the life or a householder By doing so, no sin was incurred by either party, as stated in the scriptures of the recluses (tapisagame) For they said -

पत्य(त्यों) प्रवाजित ारीचे प्रनष्टे पतिते सते । पश्चम्यापत्स नारीणां पतिरन्यो विधीयते ॥

" In there five distressful conditions, viz., when the husband has tenounced the world, is an eunuch, is not found, has fallen away from caste, or is dead, another husband is allowed to women."

The text on the subject, occurring in the Smrtis of Parāśara and Nicida, and also in that of Manu, according to a statement of Midhava contained in his commentary on Parāśara, though not found there now, is as follows—

नटे मृते प्रव्रजिते हिवे च पतिते पती । पथास्वापन्स नारीणा पतिमन्धो विधीयते ॥

The difference, we see, is little, the words are merely transposed in the first line, and we have nail for are. This transposition, however, allows of the proper locative with of the being used, without the violation of the metre. It will thus appear that the text was known in 1014 A.D., and widow marriage was not a thing quite unheard of at that time.

THE RELATIONS BETWEEN THE SÜTRAS OF ĀŚVĀLĀ-YANA AND SĀMKHĀYĀNA AND THE ŚĀKALA AND BASKALA ŚĀKHĀS OF THE RKSAMHITĀ

[From the Transaction of the Ninth International Congress of Orientalists, London, 1893, pp 411 ff]

A Manuscript of a work Anukramanikādhundhū was purchased by me for the Government collection about two years It was bought by my agent from a Brahman of Samkhayana school, living at a village called Brahmapol, about two miles from Jayapur, along with other Vedic works belonging to the school It consists of the Sarvanukrama in a tabulated form In each line we have first the number of the hymn, then the first pada of the first verse, and afterwards follow in order the numbers of the vargas and of the verses of which the hymn is composed, the name of the Rsi, and the deity, and the metre When the partinext hymn is treated similarly in the next line culars referring to a hymn cannot be completely given in a single line, we have more than one, i e, a paragraph Suktas are numbered continuously from 1 to 1017, and the end of the Adhyaya is simply marked with the letters Adhya Valakhilyas come between hymns 668 and 669, 1. e, after viii, 48, and are numbered from 1 to 10. The manuscript was transcribed on Sunday the 7th of the dark fortnight of Bhadrapada, in the Samvat year 1796, and is thus a hundred and fifty years old.

This tabulated Anukramani agrees in all respects with the Sarvanukrama of Kātyāyana, as I have found from a comparison of parts here and there with the statements at the top of the hymns in Professor Max Muller's edition and with the original Sarvānukrama. The number of verses in vii, 21 is, however, given as nine, because it would appear, at first sight, the tenth is the same as the last verse of the previous hymn. In other places,

however, where the same verse occurs at the end of two successive hymns, it is not left out of account, so that there is probably a mistake here. The number of hymns, 1017, is the same as that given in the Anuvākānukramani, indexed in the Sarvānukrama, and found in the Vulgate. But our Dhundhū differs from the last in omitting one of the eleven Vālakhilya hymns, viz., that beginning with yanntujo (viii. 58), but agrees with the Sarvānukrama. For this also omits the hymn, and an anonymous commentary on the work, existing in one of my recent collections of manuscripts, agrees with the text, as it contains no reference to it

The author of the Bhāsya on the Caranavyūha, often noticed by scholars, says that the omission of viii. 58 constitutes the distinction between the Sāmkhāyana and Āśvalāyana Śākhās. At the same time, he notices the insertion of two of the three verses composing the hymn into X, 88, but only as a khila, and, consequently, not to be counted. The work before me does not notice the insertion, probably just on this account, assigning to the hymn nineteen verses, which it has in the Vulgate. It will thus appear that the statement of the commentator as to the omission of viii 58 in the Śāmkhāyana Samhitā is confirmed by my manuscript, and the Sarvānukrama, agreeing with both, seems to follow the text used by the school of Śamkhāyana, rather than that used by the Āśvalāyana school.

But the statement of the commentator and this conclusion are contested by Prof Oldenberg, and the grounds are these—In the Upākarana ceremony, usually called Śrāvani by us, after the name of the month in which it is performed, oblations are thrown into the fire after the repetition of the first and last verses of each Maṇdala. In the Samkhāyana Grhya Sūtra the last verse that is directed to be repeated is "tac chamyor āvrnīmahe," etc., which, therefore, must have been the last verse of the tenth maṇdala of the Samhitā followed by Samkhāyana. Similarly in another place in the Sūtra, the teacher having taught to the pupil the whole of the Veda ending with Śamyor Bārhaspatya, is

39 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

spoken of. Samyor Bārhaspatya here means, according to Vinayaka, the commentator on the Sūtra, the second verse, "तन्छंपोरा॰" etc occurring at the end of the last hymn, and not that same verse occurring in the middle. Now, on the evidence of a Kārikā, quoted in a Prayoga or manual of domestic rites, noticed by Professor Weber in his first Berlin catalogue (p 314), it is concluded that the Bāṣkala Samhitā of the Rgveda ended with the verse "तन्छयोग्।" etc., and since Śamkhāyana prescribes that verse for the last oblation, that this is the Samhitā that Śamkhāyana followed, and that his Sūtra was written for those who accepted the Bāskala Samhitā

To determine this point, it is necessary to trace the source of the information contained in the Kārikā noticed by the two scholars, and to bring together the statements of subsequent writers based on that source The Kārikā occurs work entitled Aśvalāyana-Grhya-Kārikā, attributed to Kumārila. Whether this writer is the same as Kumārila the great Mīmāmsaka is a point which I, at least, do not consider to be settled There is a copy of it in the Government collection of 1883-84 made by me, it bears the number 509 The collection A. of 1881-82, also made by me, contains two copies of a Bhāṣya on the Kārikās, Nos 176 and 177 No. 176 is an excellent manuscript and contains the original as well as the commentary author of the latter does not give his name, and though the name Nārāyaņa does occur in one place, still it is written in such a manner that it remains doubtful whether it is meant as the name of the commentator In the introduction we are told, "First of all, Nārayana, the author of the Vrtti, composed his work, as it was difficult for persons of little learning, who had simply a smattering of a part of the sacred lore, to perform the various ceremonies prescribed by Asvalayana with the help of the Sutra alone Taking the Vrtti as a basis, and considering the views of Jayanta and others which are in conformity with the Sútra, the author of the Kārikā composed the Kārikā, setting forth the procedure in order Still, some people neglecting this

Kārikā, though of use to carry one through the rites, on account of the difficulty arising from its brevity, and regarding a paddhati (manual) alone to be useful in this respect, perform the rites for themselves, and cause others to perform them (in accordance with it). Therefore, on account of the impossibility of removing doubts, by a mere Paddhati as to what is first and what is last, whether a thing exists or does not exist in the whole body of rites, prescribed in the Grhya Sūtras, some one, through the favour of the derty, presiding over the ceremonial having sat at the feet of a master, the like of whom is not to be found, of the name of Vuppadevabhatta, residing in the city of Kalamba, and belonging to the Aśvalayana school of the Rgveda, for receiving instructions in the interpretation of the Kārikā, is now expounding the whole Kārikā, for removing doubts concerning the body of rites that are performed, making use of what was taught to him by his master. "t

From this it appears that the Kārikā was written in accordance with Nārāyaṇa's Vṛtti, the views of Jayanta and others being also represented, and in the work itself the Bhagavadvṛtti-kṛt and Jayanta are frequently mentioned ² Now, as regards the point in question, Naidhruvi-Nārāyaṇa's Vṛtti on Āśv Gṛ. iii 5. 9, is "It is well known to students that this itself (and no

¹ तत्रादावात्र्यलायनगृद्यस्त्रमात्रेणैव। त्यन्युत्पत्तिमतामन्ययनैकदेशस्पर्शैनां तदुकतत्तर्क्समिवांहो दुस्तर इति वृत्तिकत्रारायणो वृत्तिमकरोत्। तामेव वृत्तिं पुरस्कत्य
स्त्रार्थानुकूलाञ्चयन्तादीन्विचार्य कारिकाकारः क्रमेणेवेतिकर्तव्यताकारिणीं कारिकां चकार।
तथाप्यत्र केचन संक्षेपकाठिन्यास्कर्मनिवीह्कारिणीमपि कारिकां निवार्य पद्धतिमेवात्रोपयोगिनिमवधार्य कर्म कारयन्ति कुर्वन्ति च। अष्ठः कोडप्यत्र कर्मदेवतानुग्रहेण गृद्धोक्तसकलकर्मस्र किमादी किमन्ते किमस्ति किं व। नास्तीत्यादिरूपं संदेहं केवल पद्धत्या दूरीकर्तुमशक्यतात्कारिकार्थपरिज्ञानार्थमृत्वेदान्तःपातिन्यामात्र्वलयनशाखायां कलम्बपुरवासिनं
लोकोत्तरं वुष्पदेवमहाख्य गृहमुपास्य तद्धितमेव निमित्तिकत्य क्रियमाणकर्मकलापसंदेह्नपः
नृत्तये तावतिमेव कारिकां विवृणोति।

—From No 176 of A. 1881-82

² See also Dr. Bühler's review of my Report for 1883-84, Indian Antiquary, Volume XVIII, p 188a.

other) is the Sutra and Grhya of the Sakala traditinal text and For the Śākalas 'Samānī va ākūtih' is the the Bāskala text verse, because it is the final one of their Samhita, while for the Bāṣkalas, 'tac chamyor āvrnīmahe' is the one, being at the end of their Samhītā Construing it thus is proper " The Kārikā based on this Vrtti is that noticed by Professor Weber in the first Berlin Catalogue, and runs thus -" The last oblation (āhun thrown into the fire) of the Śākalas is after the repetition of the Rk 'samani vah,' and the last oblation of the Baskalas, after (the repetition of) the Rk 'tac chamyor "3 The commentary on this is :- "In the province of Rgveda there are five different Śākhas, Āśvalāyanī, Śāmkhāyanī, the Śākalas, Bāṣkalas and Māndukas. Of these, the last oblation of the Śākalas is by repeating 'samānī vah 'and the last oblation of the Bāskalas is by repeating 'tac chamyor 2' Everything else is the same This same Aśvalayana Sutra is of use for the performance of the rites to the followers of the Aśvalāyana Śākhā, and to the Śākalas and Bāskalas 3" Jayanta in the Vimalodayamālā thus speaks about the point -" Since this itself (and no other) is the Sūtra of Śākala and Bāskala, and this the Grhya of the two Samhitās, those who end their Samhitā by the verse, 'Samānī va ākūtih' throw an oblation into the fire after repeating this verse and then offer to the Svistakrt, while those who read 'tac chamyor avrnīmahe' at the end of their text throw an oblation on repeating that verse and then offer to the Svistakrt and not on repeating

¹ See p 168, Bibl Ind Edn

शाक्लानां समानी व इत्यृचान्त्याहुतिर्भवेत् ।
 माप्कलाना तु तच्छयोरित्यृचान्त्याहुतिर्भवेत् ॥

⁻From MSS No 509 of 1883-84 and 176 of A. 1881-82.

³ ऋग्वेदे पश्च शाखामदा । आत्र्यलायनी सांस्यायनी (शांसायनी) शाकला याष्ट्रला माण्ड्कात्र्याति । तेपा मध्ये शाक्त्लाना समानी व इत्यूचान्त्याहुर्तिर्भवित । याष्क्रलानां तु तच्छयोगित्यृचान्त्याहुर्तिर्भवित । इतरत्तर्वे समानम् । आत्र्यलायनशाखा-नुसारिका शाकलयाष्क्रलाना चेटमेवात्यलायनस्त्रं कर्मानुष्ठानार्यमुपकरोति ।

'samani va akutih'. This sense is obtained from the word 'eka,' which occurs in both the Sutras.'

It will thus be seen that the source of the information used by Professor Oldenberg is Naidhruvi-Narayana's statement in the vren, and though Jayanta's direct connection with Nārāyapa's work is not mentioned, still the passage from his work is so greatly like that occurring in the vitti, that there is hardly any reasonable doubt that it is based on the vitti, or both derived from a common source. If, then, the Baskala Samhita ended with "tac chamyor" etc., while the Sakala with "Samani va Akutih", the Samkhayana Sutra, which prescribes "tac chamyor" etc as the verse for the last oblation in the Upākarana ceremony, must be a Sutra of the Baskala Sikha, and as according to Saunaka, the Samhita of this school had eight hymns more than that of the Śākalas, and the arrangement of some of the smaller books composing the first Mandala was different, it follows that the statement of the commentator on the Caranavyūha that Śāmkhāyāna Samhītā differed from Āśvalāyana's, which is the same as that of the Śākalas, only in excluding viii. 58, cannot be true, and there is no Samhita exactly corresponding to the Sarvānukrama, which also excludes this hymn. the same time, though my manuscript was in the possession of a Brahman of the Śāmkhyāyana school, along with the other works decidedly belonging to that school, still it should be considered as not representing the Samhita of that school the other hand, it must not be forgotten that those same writers who give us the information which leads to these conclusions tell us as a fact well known to students of Aśvalāyana's Sūtra, that that was the Sutra and Grhya of Bāskalas as well as of the The same fact is stated by Gargya-Nārāyana in his

यतः शाकलबाष्कलयोरेतदेव स्त्रं द्वयोः सहितयोर्गृह्यं च । तत्र ये समानीव आक्तिरित्यनया संहितायाः पारं गच्छानि ते समानी व आक्तिरित्यनयेव हुत्वा स्विष्टरुतं जुह्नति । ये तच्छयोरावृणीमह इति समाम्नायान्त पटन्ति ते तथेष हुत्वा स्विष्टरुतं जुह्नति न समानी व आक्तिरिति । अयमर्थ उभयोरकक्षमहणात् ।

comment on the first Śrauta Sūtra of Aśvalāyana The expression 'idam eva' or 'etad eva,' used by them, would show that this same and no other was, according to the commentators, the Sūtra of the Bāskalas; and if the Šāmkhāyana Sūtra was pecuharly theirs and the Asvalāyana that of the Śākalas, they were not aware of the fact. Again, if, following these commentators and looking to the present condition of the text, we exclude the hymn containing 'tac chamyor' etc from the Śākala Samhitā, and hold it to have at no time formed part of it, the traditional number of Rks in it, viz 1058014 exceeds the real number by 15, which is exactly the number of Rks contained in that hymn This in itself would show that the hymn formed part of that Samuta at some time, and if we interprete the Grhya Sūtras in 5, 8 and 9, independently of Naidhruvi-Nārāyaṇa, and in 20cordance with Aśvalāyana's usual style, we shall find that he supports our inference And if this inference is correct, all the difficulties pointed out above will disappear, and Samkhāyana's Sūtra as well as Āsvalāyana's will have to be considered as a Sūtra for both the Śākalas and the Bāskalas.

According to Nārāyana, Asvalāyana uses the word ekā in m 5.8 to indicate that the verse "Samani va ākūtīh" should be used to the evclusion of "tzc chamyor avrnīmahe", which is prescribed in the next sutra, and the word ekā in this last sutra or iii 5. 9 is used to indicate that 'tac camyor avrnimahe' should be used to the exclusion of 'Samānī va ākūtih' Thus the sense is, that or this verse should be used, and not both, i. e., vikalpa or option is here allowed, but it is a vyavasthita vikalpa, i. e., one course is to be followed by one class of men and the other by another Now, Asvalāyana's usual war of expressing a vikalpa is by the use of the word Va, as in 1. 10 9, 1 11. 13, 1 14 5; 1. 15 6,1 19. 2;1 20 1 etc etc and we find the word used even a little before in the section under consideration in the Sūtra 111. 5 3 Different courses for two classes of persons are prescribed by naming one of the classes, as in i 7.9 where Jamadagnas are mentioned, and 1 10 9, where we have the

Panelvatus, or by using the word eke, i. e. "some", as in i 4 2; 1 13 6, etc. So then, if he meant the verse mentioned in in 5. S for the Sikalas and that in in. 5 9 for the Baskalas, we should expect him to name the first school in the first Sutra or the second in the second. At any rate, even if this mode of expression should be considered innecessary, the word va is quite indispensable Again, Narayana interpretes the word ekā in these two sutras in quite a different manner from that in which he interpretes it in m 5 6 In this last sutra the word is used to prevent the repetition of the next verse in the text of the Samhita, while in the two, it is, he says, used to prevent the verse in the next or the preceding sutra from being repeated in addition to the one occurring in the Sutra itself. And Nārāyana himself is by no means certain about the explanation he has given, for he winds it up by saying "ity evam niveso yuktah," which means "This construction is reasonable," or rather according to the sense of the word nivesa as used by the grammarians, it means, "Asvalayana should properly have put in words to that effect"

The true explanation of the two sutras seems to me to be this Asvalayana evidently meant to prescribe the first and the last verse of each Mandala. But since those for whom he wrote were supposed to know the whole Samhita by heart continuously without proper divisions, or perhaps to possess a book in which the verses were written continuously without a break, he prescribes a pair of verses in each case, the first of which is the last of the previous Mandala, and the second the first of the next Mandala This mode of expression can evidently not be used in prescribing the first verse of the first Mandala, or the last verse of the last Mandala, hence, they must be prescribed separately and singly But to prevent the possibility of the learner connecting the word dvrca, "pair of verses," with the first verse that has to be prescribed singly, and of his repeating the second verse also of the first Mandala, the word 'ekā' is used in the Sūtra, iii. 5 6, "Agnim īde purohitam ity ekā" But there is no such necessity in the sutra which prescribes the

last verse of the tenth Mandala, for even if the word "pair" were brought over to it, it could mean nothing, as nothing follows the If, notwithstanding this, the word ekā is used in that sūtra also, the reason must be the same as that which holds in the case of the sutra about the first verse of the first Mandala, 1 e, it is used to prevent the next verse for being repeated The conclusion to be derived from this is that some verses followed the verse "samānī va ākūtih" in the text as it existed in Aśvalāyana's time And the last of these additional verses is also prescribed in iii 5 9 by Aśvalāyana, and there too, he uses the word eka What could be the meaning of the word there? No more verses can be supposed to follow the last of the additional We can understand the meaning or Aśvalāyana's object in using the word only if we suppose that the additional verses which followed "samānī va ākūtih" constituted such a hymn as the samjaana hymn with fifteen Rks, as given by the author of the commentary on the Carnavyuha, the fifth of which was "tac chamyor" etc , and the fifteenth or last also the same the word eka had not been used in the sutra which prescribes "tac chamyor" etc , the learner, by connecting the word "pair" with it, might have used the first "tac chamyor" etc., i e the fifth verse of the hymn, and along with it the sixth also, "Nairhastyam" etc But ekā prevents the use of this, and the result is that the last verse only of samjñana hymn is prescribed But now the question arises, if another hymn followed "samani va ākūtih," why does Āsvalāyana not prescribe its last verse only, "tac chamyor" etc , as is done by Samkhayana, and why does he prescribe "Samānī va akūtih" etc also. The reason must, I think, be sought for in some such fact as this, that in his time the samjñana hymn was considered as a necessary appendage of the Samhitā, though the text of the latter ended with "samānī va ākūtih," or there was no general agreement that that hymn was not a part of the Samhita, some included it, while others did not. To meet both these views, Asvalāyana prescribed both the verses, so that it is not vikalpa or option that Asvalayana allows, an option to be construed as resulting in one verse being prescribed

for one of the two schools and the other for the other, but twenty-one Rks are prescribed, and twenty-one abutis or oblations for the followers of Rgveda generally. And since the scholiasts we have consulted inform us of the tradition that Asvalivana sutra was intended for the Sakalas as well as the Baskalas, the twenty-one Rks and abutis must be understood as laid down for both.

If, for these reasons, we reject Narayana's interpretation, and admit the supposition that Asvalayana prescribes the two verses either because the samifima hymn formed a necessary appendage of the Samhita, or its rejection was not accepted by all, Samkhayana must be understood to prescribe the last verse only of that hymn, not because he intended his Sutra for the Baskalas only, but because he acknowledged the samiñana hymn as decidedly the last hymn of the Samhita, and not a mere appendage: or the view of its being apocryphal was not started in his time, or he did not notice it. But that view, which is only indicated by Asvalayana, gained strength gradually, especially in Śākala school, and about the time of the Anukramanis, the hymn was rejected by that school But the Baskalas were more conservative, and retained it. And even the Śākalas, though the hymn was thrown out, repeat at the present day the last verse or it, " iac chamyor " etc., in winding up the Samdhyavandana and the Brahmayaiña. It is repeated before the verse "namo brahmane," which is prescribed by Aśvalāyana in iii 3 4; and both are prescribed in the Grhya-parisista (Ed Bibl. Ind. p. 270, 1, 8) This circumstance might not improperly be taken to point to its ancient connection with Sākala samhitā. By the way, it would appear, from what I have stated, that the Anukramanis are chronologically subsequent to Asvalayana's Grhya

And now all the difficulties which I mentioned before have been cleared. What the commentator on the Caranavyuha says as to the difference between the Samhitas of the Aśvalayana and the Śamkhayana schools is true, as the Samhita of the latter is not necessarily that or the Başkalas. These two Śakhas are only

two Sūtra Śākhas like those of Apastamba, Hiranyakeśin, and Baudhayana, and do not point to a difference of the Samhita text If we believe the scholiasts, Aśvalayana's Sūtra was intended both for the Sākalas and the Bāskalas, and we may regard Samkhāyana's also as intended for both. That the Sakha of Aśvalayana is a Sūtra Śākhā only, and is not tied down to a particular Samhită, 15 also indicated by the present practice of Brahmans of that school, who at the end of their Samdhyā adorations, have to describe themselves individually as Rgvedantargatāsvalāvana-Śākala-ṣākbādhyāyın. The name of Śākala is added to show what Samnita it is that he studies, as the name Aśvalayana by itself does not do The Bāṣkala Śākhā seems to be extinct now; for the author of the commentary on the Caranavyuha, after giving its peculiarities on the authority of a Vitti on the Auukramani, 5275 "evam adhyayanābhāvācchākhā'bhāvah," "such a text is not studied, therefore the Sakha does not exist." The only Samhita, therefore, to which both Aśvalāyana and Samkhāyana now refer is that of the Sākala school. And the text used by the two Sūtra schools differs only in the omission of Rv. viu, 58, by the followers of Samkhayana. But in this respect they agree with the Sarvanukrama; and it is the Asvalavanas who have admitted that hymn into their text, or allowed it to remain there in opposition to that work. My manuscript, also found with a Brahman of the Samkhāyana school, really represents the text of his school, and of no other; and the traditional number of Rks in the Sākala Samhītā, 105801/4, is now justified, since at one time the Samjñana hymn formed a part of that Samhita.

It may be remarked in conclusion that the Sūtras of Aśvalayana and Sāmkhāyana about the Upākarana ceremony which we have been discussing are adduced by the commentator on the Caranavyūha as authorities for including that hymn in the text of the Samhitā. One can understand how in his eyes the Sūtra of the latter is an authority, believing as he did that the Samhitā of Samkhāyana was the same as that of the Sākala school. And probably he attaches the same significance to Āsva-

lāyana's prescribing the use of "tac chamyor" as to Samkhā-yana's; but he has not explained why it is that the former prescribes the last verse of X. 191, "Sāmānī vah, "etc. also. He, of course, does not adopt Nārāyana's interpretation. According to the commentator, the Samhitā of all the Rgveda schools is the same; which is true, as we have seen, in the case of the four that are extant. The fifth, the Māṇdūkī, has not yet been traced.

There are two copies, recently transcribed, of the commentary on the Carnavyuha in the Deccan College collections, No. 19 of 1871-72, and No. 5 of 1873-74 Neither of them contains the name of the author. But the work has been lithographed at Benares, and also printed in the Benares Sanskrit series, as an appendix of the edition of the Prātisākhya of the White Yajurveda. In both, the name of the commentator is given as Mahīdāsa, who wrote the work in the year (expired) tridasangadharamite, which in the edition in the Benares Sanskrit series is given as equivalent to 1613, but which really means 1633, tridasa, meaning "gods", denotes 33, the traditional number of the gods This Mahidasa or Mahidasa is probably the same as the author of the commentary on the Lilavati, written in 1644, and noticed in my Report for 1883-84 under No 205 (pp. 82 and 368). The dates refer to Samvat, 1. e the era of Vikrama, and thus correspond to 1577 and 1588 A. D.

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS AT THE OPENING SESSION OF THE FIRST ORIENTAL CONFERENCE OF INDIA, HELD AT POONA ON THE 5TH OF NOVEMBER 1919.

[From the Proceedings of the First Oriental Conference, Poona, 1920, Volume I, pp 13 ff]

[His Excellency the Governor of Bombay presided on the occasion. Sir R G Bhandarkar was prevented by illness—mainly brought on by the exertions involved in preparing the Address—from attending the meeting, and so the address had to be read by another scholar. This address has been the last literary writing of the author I—N B U

YOUR EXCELLENCY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,

I sincerely thank you for having elected me President of this Conference My only qualification for this post is that I am the oldest of you all, and if time allows, I intend to give you an illustration of my age by mentioning the several controversies which I have carried on since I began life as a scholar that our body here is composed of two classes of learned men, those educated as Pandits of the old school and those who have been studying the literature of the country and the inscriptions and the antiquities which are found scattered in the different provinces, by the application of the critical and comparative method. As to the former class, there are at present two Sastras mostly studied, namely Vyākarana and Nyāya In the former, Bhatton Dikşıta's Sıddantakaumudi and Manorama and portions of Nagojibhatta's Sabdendusekhara and his Paribhasendusekhara, and the Navāhniki and the Angādhikāra from Patañjali'a Mahābhasya are taught My only suggestion with reference to this is, that the Mahabhasya is such an important and informing work that it should be the aim of the Vaiyākarana school to read the whole of it with its pupils. I had an occasion in connection with a controversy to give the correct sense of the passage of the

Mahābhāsya under Pānini V. 3. 99 given in the footnote. My difficulty was great especially because Nāgojibhaṭṭa in his Uddyota on the passage interprets Mauryas as manufacturers of idols. I consulted learned grammar-pandits, but they were not able to give me the correct sense off-hand, because this portion of the Mahābhāsya does not come within the range of their studies. I then wrote a Sanskrit commentary on the passage, and they saw the propriety of taking Mauryas as a race of princes. My interpretation, that the Mauryas are spoken of in the passage as having used golden images for their purpose when they were in need of gold, was accepted by Prot. Kielhorn, who was opposed to me in that portion of the controversy, as he wrote to me in a private letter and did not contest my view again in the Indian Antiquary for 1887, in which the controversy was carried on

The other school, that of the Nyāya, deals with what is called the Navya (or modern) Nyāya, based upon the Tatvacintāmani, composed by Gangeśopādhyāya of Bengal, the many abstruse commentaries beginning with the Dīdhiti of Raghunāthabhaṭta Śiromani, and ending with the Jāgadīsī of Jagadīsa Bhaṭṭācārya and the Gādādharī of Gadādhara Bhaṭṭācārya, are taught and studied in this school

The whole learning has become extremely artificial and the student of this school acquires a certain intellectual acumen, which, however, is not of much use in ordinary matters. It is very unfortunate that this modern Nyāya should have driven out of the field the system of Logic and Didactics of Nyāya, founded by Gautama and elucidated by Vātsyāyana in his Nyāyabhāsya, for about the time when this Bhāsya was written, the Buddhist Mahāyāna school had acquired prominence and the two systems carried on controversies which are interesting to students of the progress of

अपण्य इत्युच्यते तत्रेद न सिच्यति । शिवः स्कन्दो विशाख इति । किं कारणम् । मौर्योहरण्यार्थिमिरची प्रकल्पिता । मवेचासु न स्यात् । यास्त्वेताः संप्रति पूजार्थास्तासु भविष्यति)

thought. Vācaspati gives some valuable information about this point and I have given elsewhere a translation of his remark in the following words .- "The revered Aksapada having composed the Sastra calculated to lead to bliss, and an exposition of it having been given by Paksilasvāmin, what is it that remains and requires author Vārtika should be composed? Though the the Bhasya has given an exposition of the Sastra, still modern scholars like Dinnaga and others having enveloped it in the darkness of fallacious arguments, that exposition is not sufficient for determining the truth Hence the author of the Uddyota dispels the darkness by his work the Uddyota, i e, light (torch)". On this Uddyota there is a commentary by Vācaspati himself, entitled Vārtikatātparyatīkā and on this again Udayana wrote the Tatp2ryap2risuddhi. These works represent the Brahmanic side of the argumentation with the Mahayanists and a study of them would be both interesting and instructive. But this study has disappeared before the cumbrous subtleties of the modern Nyāya. Still, however, I hear that some of these works are read in the Mithila country

There are other schools also which might be styled (1) the literary, (11) the medical, and (111) the astronomical or astrological schools. In connection with the first, Kāvyas, dramatic plays and works on Poetics such as the Kuvalayānanda, the Kāvyaprakāsa and the Rasagangādhara are generally taught and studied. The course of this school might be improved by including some of the works alluded to in the last two treatises. As to the other two schools I have nothing to say. I am not aware, whether in any of the indigenous establishments there exists a Mīmāmsā school, but I think that there ought to be such a school in connection with Dharmasāstra in which the most important treatises or religious and civil law should be taught and the rules of interpretation given by the Mīmāmsalas applied for the decision of legal roints. I consider it advisable hit in coalect on vitit is D'ar mislata and M. na nsa school

the oldest treatises, the Bhāsya of Sabaraswāmin and the Vārtikas of Kumārilabhaţţa, should be regularly studied

As to the other class of our body here, viz that composed of critical scholars, the first thing we have to bear in mind is that the study of the Indian literature, inscriptions and antiquity according to the critical and comparative method of inquiry, so as to trace the history and progress of Indian thought and civilization, is primarily a European study Our aim, therefore, should be to closely observe the manner in which the study is carried on by European scholars and adopt such of their methods as recommend themselves to our awakened intellect intelligent man this ought to be enough to qualify him for the pursuit of critical scholarship, and the Government of India seemed, at the Conference held at Simla in 1911, to favour the idea of opening Research Institutes at the Capital City and presidency-towns, but subsequent events led to the idea being set aside, and instead, the Government provisionally adopted the plan of sending qualified Indians to Europe and America to be trained under famous Western scholars We have now among us several gentlemen, who have returned after serving out their period of apprenticeship. There are others among us, who have qualified themselves for the purpose by the method alluded to above by me

Between the Western and Indian scholars a spirit of cooperation should prevail and not a spirit of depreciation of each other. We have but one common object, the discovery of the truth. Both, however, have prepossessions and even prejudices and the same evidence may lead to their arriving at different conclusions. Often, however, when controversies are carried on, the truth comes out prominently, and there is a general acquiescence when it does so. To express the same idea in other words, the angle of vision, if I may use an expression that has become hackneyed, may be and is different. The Indian's tendency may be towards rejecting foreign influence on the development of his country's civilization and to claim high

antiquity for some of the occurrences in its history. On the other hand the European scholar's tendency is to trace Greek, Roman or Christian influence at work in the evolution of new points, and to modernize the Indian historical and literary events It is on this account that there has been no consensus of opinion as to the approximate period when the most ancient portion of the hymns of the Rgveda was composed refuse to assign it a higher antiquity than 15 centuries before Christ, while others carry it far to the beginning of Kaliyuga, 1 e. to about 3101 B C A scholar may have conceived a prejudice against the Indian race and may look down upon the Vedic Rsis Thus, our critical method is unfortunately too often vitiated by extraneous influences But this probably is due to human weakness A critical scholar should consider his function to be just like that of a judge in a law-court, but even there human weakness operates, and renders a number of appeals necessary, so that one judge differs from another, and so does one critical scholar from another

Now as to the subjects to which our critical studies are directed, the principal one is that of the interpretation of the Vedas. This has been the monopoly of the European scholars and we Indians have not taken any considerable part in it. But it is indispensably necessary that we should enter the field. A European scholar may give up the function of a judge which I have attributed to him, and assume that of a prosecuting counsel. A certain individual, looking to what are called the Dāna-stutis or praises of gifts, has given it as his general opinion that the old Rsis or seers had no higher aim than the materialistic one—the acquisition of wealth. Thus he bases a universal judgment

¹ Notable instances of the former are afforded by the persistent efforts made by some of us to prove that the twelve signs of the Zodiac are not adopted by the Hindus from the Greeks, though names of the signs are the translations of the Greek names and oven these last are given in a verse of Varāhamihira Garga, as quoted by the latter states. 'The Yvvanas are the Mlecchas among whom this Sāstra (astronomy, and astrology) is well known, they even are worshipped like Rsis'.

- on what he finds in about 15 or 16 hymns out of 1017. In the same Vasistha Mandala in which he finds such a praise of gifts (hymn 18), there are the outpourings of a contrite heart afflicted with a deep sense of sinfulness, and humbly begging to be forgiven. But such points as this last do not attract the attention of the prosecuting counsel. Then again the same scholar asserts that "The hymns of the Rgveda are for the most part composed with the technical object of some ritual and this object stands quite near to the later ritual." This is perfectly wrong. The Rgveda collection has been treated, from the times of the Astareya Brahmana down to the present day, as a storehouse of sacred texts to be uttered and used whenever any new ceremony has to be sanctified. Thus the Brahmana again and again states "That contributes to the success of the sacrificial worship, which is possessed of an appropriate form, i e., when the act performed is alluded to by the Rgveda " This rule has been followed by all writers on later ceremonies. The resemblance between the verse and the act may be simply verbal as in the prescription of 'Sukram te, etc. '(Rgveda VI, 58, 1) in which there is mention of the bright form (Sukra) of Puşan, 1. e., his form during the continuance of the day, to the invocation of Sukra or the planet Venus in the ceremony called the 'Grahamakha.' And this adaptation of different Rgveda verses for the performance of the Soma services also, such as a Sastra repeated by the Hota, 1 e. a priest, and for the choice of the Anuvākyā and Yājyā verses, is apparent from the services and the verses themselves, so that there is no question that the hymns of the Rgveda form the storehouse for the preparation of the services required for rites that came on in later times. (See my Report on the search for Sanskrit MSS. for 1883-8884. pages 32 ff.). Thus it will be seen that the Rgveda hymns were mostly composed for purposes other than those connected with the sacrificial ritual and there are a great many hymns which are to be recited in the morning on the first day of the Soma sacrifice (prātaranuvāka), which are addressed to Agni, Uşas,

^{41 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

and the Aśvins. The commentator on the Aśv. Śr. Sūtra (IV, 15, 11) states that Usas has nothing to do with Soma sacrifice, still as the goddess is connected with the preceding Agni and the following Asvins, hymns to her are intended in this list. Thus the theory that the hymns to the Vedic deities were inspired by the poetic inspiration of beauty holds its ground firmly, notwithstanding the assertions of the above-mentioned prosecuting counsel of a scholar. The three deities, Agni, Usas and Asvins, are represented as manifesting themselves in the morning. The old Āryans were accustomed to rise very early and enjoy the beauty of the Dawn and its thickening away into brighter light. It will be seen from all this that the cult followed the composition of hymns and did not precede it in a far outweighing measure.

Then again an attempt has been made to throw discredit on the ritual prescribed in the Grhyasûtras and the Śrautasūtras by tracing them to the practices of savages like the Red Indians of America, and even the Upanayana and the marriage ceremonies of the domestic rites and the Diksa ceremony are treated similarly. But the main points involved in these ceremonies are The priest in the case of Upanayana is the father of the boy himself and not a developed form of the "medicine man" of the barbarians The boy is dedicated to the service of the God Savitar in the words "O God Savitar, this is thy Brahmacarin, preserve him, may he not die", and the object of the ceremony is not to scare away the evil spirits of whom the Acarya or father is afraid. The putting on of the hide of an antelope in the Diksā and other ceremonies, the fasting which precedes them, and such other practices came down to the Hindus from their residence in the forest, where the antelope was a familiar figure, and from the necessity of preserving the body in an unencumbered condition before the performance of any This is done even at the present day when the Brahmans have to perform holy functions such as meditation, celebration of the birth of such a god as Kṛṣṇa, the performance of the

Śraddha ceremony, etc., and these practices are certainly by no means to be traced to the weird performances of the medicineman of the savages. The question of magic rites is an independent one and should not be confounded with the cults prescribed in the Sūtras. As shown by an inscription, regarding a treaty between the king of the Hittites and the king of Mitani, found in Asia Minor, the Aryans who ultimately migrated to India were the neighbours of the Assyrians or Asuryas and must have learnt from their connection with these and the Babylonians the art of magic, and the subsequent composition of the Atharva-veda must have been greatly influenced by this circumstance. Therefore, whatever weird and magical practices are to be found in the Hinduism of the day, are not unlikely to be traced to this source.

Notwithstanding such aberrations of scholars as we have noticed, European scholarship deserves our highest respect, and the erring individuals are corrected by other scholars and on the whole no great harm is done Still, we Indian scholars ought to devote ourselves strenuously to Vedic study Yaska tells us that a science should not be taught or communicated to a faultfinding or prejudiced man and the mood to be observed in studying a subject is, according to the Bhagavadgītā, that of Śraddhā, i e, a disposition to receive whatever strikes as reasonable or an attitude of open-mindedness. We are likely to be more actuated by this spirit in the study of our Vedas than any foreign nation. Still those of us who have not become critical scholars by closely observing the method of European scholars, or serving out a period of apprenticeship to them, exhibit a number of faults and weaknesses which entirely vitiate their reasoning A young man, the editor of a good many Sanskrit works, asks me with a derisive smile what the necessity was of naming a MS, showing the country it came from and the age in which it was written, when the mere fact of its presenting a varied reading is enough for all purposes He did not know that when a judge noted down the age or a wit-

ness appearing before him, the name of the caste or the community or the country to which he belonged, he got information from him which had a value in the estimate of the evidence Similarly another young man, not fully acquainted with the critical method, said that Nāmadeva and Jñānadeva were contemporaries, but that the difference between their languages was due to the mistakes of successive scribes. He thus believed that the scribes could reconstitute the grammar and lexicon of a language, forgetting to ask himself why the marvels effected by the scribes in the case of Nāmadeva should not have been effected by them in the case of Inanadeva himself, whose language they had not altered I do not give these as solitary instances but as due to the working of a spirit which has rendered Jñaneśvara, the author of Iñaneśvari, which does not contain the name of God Vithobā at all and whose Marathi is very archaic, to be the same individual as the author of the Haripātha, whose abhangas teem with allusions to Vithobā and Rakhumāī and whose language considerably approaches modern Marathi Marathi literature which has come down to us is full of such strange theories It is a very disagreeable matter to dwell at this length on the faults of our Indian scholars, but it is an allegiance which I owe to truth.

The study of Vedantism among European scholars is dominated by the views of Prof Deussen, who is a follower of Samkaracārya's system of world-illusion and the spiritual monism, but it is wonderful that nobody should have penetrated below the surface of the question and seen that it is not one system that the Upanisads teach, but several, inconsistent with each other and each supported by an Upanisad text (see the Introductory chapter of my Vaisnavism and Saivism etc.) I have already alluded, in connection with the modern system of Nyāya, which forms the stock-learning of the existing Nyāya school, to the growth of a controversy between the Brahmans and the Mahāyāna Buddhists, the Brahmanic side of which is represented by Vatsyāyana, Bharadvāja, etc., and the Buddhistic side by

D'Andra and others. This controversy might well form the sociect of close study among modern critical scholars and perhaps even a clue to Sambardearya's theory of world-illusion rught be found in the Nihilism of the Buddhist Mahayana school.

Another very important branch of our study is that of in anytions, which are scattered over the whole country and are entraved on cone or on copper-plater. These last are mostly deeds of vifes of villages or of the revenues of villages to Bezhinani or for the support of temples and other religious establishments. These deeds contain the pedigrees of the donating monarch, with notices of important points in the careers of his ancestors and in that of the reigning monarch himself. These notices have a historical value which must be judged of by our usual canons of criticism. We are thus enabled to reconstitute sletches of dynasties and of the principal points in the history of the provinces concerned. The inscriptions on stones contain records of specific events which enable us to find a clue to the progress of the occurrences described therein. We should be groping in the dark if there were no chronological light thrown on the events recorded in our reconstituted sketches. Such chronology we have for post-Christian occurrences We have an era which originally dated from the coronation of a Saka king and was called also the Era of \$1\forall a kings By a mistake in identification, such as those we have noticed in our vernacular literature, the name of the Saka king was supplanted and that of the Sahvahana or Satavahana Dynasty which followed those kings was substituted in its In the usual practice, the two names are put together and the era is called "Salivahana Saka" which can denote the names borne by two dynastics There is another era to which the name of Vikramaditya is attached. There is a third bearing the name of the Gupta princes, which has been in use for some centuries. Its initial date, as compared with the Saka era, was given by the Arabic writer Alberum as 242 Saka, but unfortunately that writer stated it to be the cra of the extinction of the

Gupta dynasty It was however found to have been used by the Gupta princes themselves and hence scholars and antiquarians not only disbelieved this fact, but threw discredit on Alberum's statement of the initial date of Gupta era Long and pungent controversies followed on this matter, new initial dates for the Gupta era being proposed I also took part in the controversy and my conclusion, recorded at the end of a note in the Appendix A to the second edition of my Early History of the Deccan, is as follows -" Thus, then, the evidence in favour of Alberuni's initial date for the Gupta era appears to me to be simply overwhelming " Subsequently in an article in the Indian Antiquary, Vol XLII, pp 199 etc., I had to consider the relation between the dates found in Mandasor inscriptions These dates are given as the years that had elapsed after the constitution of the Mālavas as a Gana or a political unit This Mālavagana came afterwards to be identified with the name of Vikramaditya, just as the Saka era came to be associated with the name of Śālivāhana or Śātavāhana The only Vikramāditya that became famous, after the institution of the era of the political unity of the Malavas, was Candragupta III of the imperial Gupta dynasty, who came to the throne about A D 400, conquered Ujjain, made it one of his capital cities, drove out the Sakas and was consequently called Sakarı These two ears then, that of the Saka and that of Vikrama, have become our guides in determining the chronology of the post-Christian occurrences

Another source of information is that which is afforded by comparison of the statements by foreign writers with those found in the indigenous records. Thus Megasthenes is mentioned by Greek writers as an ambassador sent by Selukos to the court of Sandracotta. Sandracotta is the same as Candagutta, the popular pronunciation of the Sanskrit Candragupta. Hence we gather the contemporaneity of Candragupta, the Maurya, with Selukos.

Similarly, in the inscriptions of Asoka "Antiyoko nama Yona Raja" is mentioned as a friend of Asoka, as also four

others'associated with Antiocus. Thus the age of Candragupta is about 325-315 B. C. and that of Aśoka's coronation is about 269 B. C. Similarly we gather chronological information through the comparison of Chinese literature with the Indian. The Kārikās of Īśvarakṛṣṇa on Sāmkhya philosophy and its commentary, for instance, was translated into Chinese between the years 557 A. D. and 569 A. D. Pulakeśin came to the throne in 610 A. D. and was the only southern monarch to conquer whom the efforts of Śīlāditya or Harṣavardhana proved fruitless; he remained an independent sovereign. These facts are gathered from the writings of Hiuen Tsang and our copper-plate inscriptions, which tally with each other.

In this field of the study of inscriptions the most confusing points are those connected with the dynasty of Kaniska a great desideratum that all inscriptions and other scraps of information connected with the family should be brought together and attempts should be made to fix their dates. No such comprehensive attempt has, I believe, been yet made, and it is now left to those of us who have paid special attention to this branch of our study to make it The Epigraphia Indica has been doing good service by the discovery and publication of new inscriptions and the whole department of Archæology is devoted to making excavations and bringing to light new sources of information. Such a source is that of a Greek of the name Heliodora, having been discovered by means of an inscription at Besnagar as a Bhagavata and a worshipper of Vasudeva, the rise of this sect being shown as early as the 2nd Century before the Christian era (See my Vaisnavism etc. pp 3-4) The field of research in this connection is extensive, to cultivate it and to bring out fruitful results it is necessary that more of us should devote themselves to the subject

During the period that I have been working in this line. I have had to take part in several controversies. One of these I have already mentioned, and that is about the Gupta era.

328 Presidential Address of the First Oriental Coeference

I now close the address by briefly setting forth the points involved in one that is still agitating us, and that is about the genuineness of the Arthasastra attributed to Kautilya, which has been recently discovered. Prof. Jacobi believes that it is the production of Canakya or Vısnugupta, who overthrew the Nandas and raised Candragupta, the Maurya, to the throne Prof. Hillebrandt, on the other hand, attributes the authorship to a member of the school of Kautilya and not to the great Canakya himself The point I wish to make out is that it was not written so early as in the times of Candragupta, the Maurya, but later The earliest notice of Kautilya's work is that contained in the Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana, in which occur a number of passages which are the same as in Kautilya It is then mentioned by Kāmandaka in the third century, by Dandin in the sixth century and by Bana in the seventh century A. D But its existence is noticed by no writer earlier than Vatsyayana's Kāmasūtra. Patañjalı, the author of Mahābhāṣya, throws şideglances on the things existing in or about his time tions the Candragupta-Sabhā, the greed of the Mauryas for gold and their selling golden idols, and the beating and the sounding of the Mrdanga, Sankha and Panava in the temples of Kubera, Rāma and Keśava, the existence of a sect of Śivabhāgavatas holding an iron lance in their hands. In the extent of the literature written in the Sanskrit language, he enumerates 2 number of Vedic words with the Angas, Vākovākya (which is defined by Samkarācārya and Ranga-Rāmānuja as Tarkasastra), Itihasa, Purana and Vaidyaka, but there is no room anywhere here for Kautilya or for his work the Arthasastra Now as to the arguments that may be taken as pointing to an earlier date for the Arthasastra, the following may be mentioned -

(1) Ānvīkṣikī as defined by Kauṭilya consists of Sāmkhya, Yoga and Lokāyata This is the popular philosophy of the time of the Śvetāsvataropaniṣad and the Bhagavadgītā, while the Anvikṣiki of Vātsyāyana's Nyāyabhāṣya is the system of Gotama

himself. They should rather show a later date for Nyāya Philosophy than an earlier one for the Arthaśāstra.

(ii) Then again, Kautilya speaks of his writing a Bhāsya on his own Sūtra and of apadeśa, i e the statement of the views of others and lastly of the Siddhāntin. Now in the chapter on Tantrayuktis he mentions this last circumstance as the yukti or the device for the exposition of the system, so that it should not be necessarily understood that the views of the Siddhāntin or the last writer are given by himself. Similarly in the Vedāntasūtra, when the views of other authors are first given and that of Bādarāyana at the end, it ought by no means to be understood that Bādarāyana himself was the writer. Hence the occurrence of the name of Kautilya should not be taken as indicating his authorship of the whole statement

Now as to the date of the Arthaśāstra itself, it depends on that of Vātsyāyana's Kāmasūtra Vātsāyana lived after Kuntala Śātakarani Sātavāhana, whom he mentions as having killed his queen Malayavatī in an amorous sport by a pair of scissors. Kuntala must have flourished in the middle of the first century B C. and consequently Vātsyāyana lived about a hundred years afterwards, so that he may be placed in the first century of the Christian era. Since these calculations are rough, we may assign him to the first or the second century A D. This is the earliest date to which we can refer Kautilya. The last śloka of the chapter on Tantrayukti is —

येन शास्त्रं च शस्त्रं च नन्दराजगता च सूः। अमर्पेणोद्धतान्याशु तेन शास्त्रमिदं छतम्॥

the sense of which is "This Śāstra was composed by him who, unable to bear it, extricated this Śāstra, the insignia of authority and the country under the sway of Nandarāja". The second word Śāstra which occurs in the last line refers to the book actually written, while the word occurring in the first line alludes to the conception and development of the idea of the Śāstra. This conception and the development were attributed

42 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. L.]

to Visnugupta by tradition, as well as the removal of the insignir of authority and the overthrow of the sway of Nandas. The Arthasāstra therefore was attributed to Kautilya, because traditionally he was the conceiver of it

The study of the Avesta or the sacred literature of the Parsis has been associated with the study of our Sanskrit litera-There is a close resemblance between the languages of this literature and of the Vedic Sanskrit, so much so that, with but the slightest changes, certain passages from the one can be turned into the other But a critical study of the Parsi Scriptures began with a French scholar named Anquetil Duperron, who came to this Presidency in the 18th century, discovered that literature and was struck with its importance studies were undertaken in Europe and several scholars such as Martin Haug, etc., devoted their lives to it In India critical scholarship of the European type was introduced by the late Mr K R Kama, in whose memory there exists an Institute Avesuc studies were erected by his friend Mr Sukhadwala subsequently conducted by a number of Parsi scholars, prominent among whom is Dr Jivanji Jamshetji Modi desirable that intelligent Parsis in greater numbers should enter into the field and conduct researches into their ancient religion and customs

The Arabic and Persian literature also should prove a fruitful field of study. Early Arabic and Persian writers like Alberuni, have much to say about the contemporary history, religions, customs and manners of India. Their study is, therefore, bound to prove of great use. Again our modern vernaculars, especially the Aryan ones, have borrowed much from these sources and many points connected with their etymology cannot be satisfactorily solved, unless we seek help from the Persian and Arabic languages. I am glad to note that the attention of young scholars is drawn in this direction also. In this connection I have to note with satisfaction the useful work.

that the Hyderabad Research Society is carrying on under the patronage of His Most Evalted Highness the Nizam's Government I do hope that scholars will take greater interest in these subjects, as also in the Chinese and other literatures, without which Oriental studies are bound to remain incomplete and one-sided

Now, gentlemen, I close I am very glad to observe that critical scholarship has, notwithstanding the defects alluded to by me, been flourishing among us Good books and lectures have recently been published, especially in connection with the Calcutta University Our own University has not extended that support to original research that we might expect from it. Still, I close the active years of my life with an asured belief that sound critical scholarship has grown up among us, and that it will maintain its own against aspersions and attacks. I am very glad to observe that a large number of papers will be read at the session we begin to-day, a good many of which must be important, so that in every way we have reasons to congratulate ourselves, and this our Confeience will, I trust, be a landmark in the progress of our studies

MY VISIT TO THE VIENNA ORIENTAL CONGRESS

[From the Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Volume XVII (1889) pp 72ff]

Being a paper read before the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, on February 11th, 1887

When my college friend and class-fellow, Mr Javirilal Umiashankar Yajnik, saw me a few hours after my return to Bombay from Europe, and proposed that I should give an account of my visit at a meeting of this Society, I had no hesitation in saying that that was the last place I should myself think of for such a purpose My visit to Europe was of a very short duration, and though I could say something that might interest an ordinary native audience, I had very little to communicate that was worthy of being listened to by such a learned body as the Bombay Asiatic Society Besides, even as regards a mixed native audience, so many natives of the country had visited Europe before me, and lived there for a number of years, and communicated their experiences to their countrymen after their return by publishing books and pamphlets and delivering lectures, that short as my visit was, I could have nothing new to tell even to such an audience My scruples, it appears, were communicated to the respected President of the Society, who thereupon suggested that I should give principally an account of the Congress of Orientalists held at Vienna to which I had been deputed, and in connection with that, some of the impressions which what I saw in Europe had produced on my mind this I assented, and I thus appear before you to-day

I arrived in London on Saturday, the 28th of August, and stayed there till Thursday, the 9th of September. On the afternoon of this day I left for Oxford, where I spent the next three days On Monday I went thence to Birmingham, and returned to London on the following Wednesday. The next

four days I spent in London, and left England for France! on Monday, the 20th In London I saw St. Paul's Cathedral. Westminister Abbey, the House of Commons, India Office, the National Gallery, the Guildhall, the British Museum, the Tower of London, the Kew Gardens, Hampton Court, the Royal Exchange, the Bank of England, the Hyde Park, the Albert Memorial, the Albert Hall, and the Indian and Colonial Exhibition. I had unfortuantely none to guide me in London, as I had in Oxford and Birmingham, and therefore I did not see as much or as well as I might have. I met our late Secretary, Dr. Codrington, in Vienna, and on my informing him that I had been to London, he told me he was in London during the time I was there, and had he known of my being there, he would have been glad to take me with him and show me all the sights. I was very sorry that I did not know Dr Codrington was in London: but as it was, everybody was very busy and nobody could make it convenient to go with me I cannot stop here to give the impression that each of the buildings and institutions I saw produced on my mind, and my general impression I will give further on

I wore in Europe my usual Maratha costume, the turban, the long coat, and the white uparneth or scarf. In the streets of London and in the places I visited, therefore, I often met persons who stopped me with the words bahut garmi hoti hai, salam, &c. The conversation thus begun in Hindustani was continued in English, and I was asked to what part of India I belonged, and where I was going. These were Anglo-Indians; and they told me how long they were in India and in what part, and spoke of the days they spent in the country with agreeable feelings. I was once accosted in Marathi near the Royal Exchange with the words कुम्ही कोइन आलां, "Whence do you come?" I said I was from Bombay, and asked the gentleman whether he was in the Maratha country, to which he replied in Gujarati, अमे काटेबाइमां इता, "I was in Kattiawar," आपता काम हं इत "What office did you hold there?" I asked. अमे पोलिट्किल एजंट

हता "I was Political Agent," was the reply Then I asked in Marathi आपण आंहरसन साहेन काय, "Are you Anderson Saheb?" to which he replied, "Yes" Then we went on speaking in English together, and he was kind enough to go with me and show me the Office of the Oriental Bank to which I wanted to go

The first person I saw in London was Dr Rost, Librarian, India Office, who received me very kindly I visited him several times, and on one occasion he remarked that my lectures on the Sanskrit and the derived languages, three of which the Society did me the honour of publishing in their journal last year, were very important, and wished me to complete them as soon as I could The second time that I saw him in the India Office library, Dr Eggeling, Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Edinburgh, happened to be there, and I was introduced to him by Dr Rost Professor Eggeling has been compiling a descriptive catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the India Office library, on the model of Professor Aufrecht's Oxford Catalogue, and he had come that day to London to examine some of the manuscripts carefully I had an interesting conversation with him, and in his congenial company, and in that of Dr Rhys Davids, the Pali scholar, to whom I was introduced by Professor Eggeling three or four days afterwards, I felt myself at home I passed a very agreeable evening with them at the National Liberal Club, of which Dr Rhys Davids is a member a long conversation on a variety of topics, ranging from Buddhistic metaphysics to English and Indian politics, including the Dr Rhys Davids seemed to be full of annexation of Burma admiration for the freedom, boldness, and truth of the religious and philosophic thought of ancient India about the time of Buddha, to which the modern world according to him presents no parallel Professor Eggeling did not quite agree with him' taking into consideration the development of philosophic speculation since the time of Kant, and I was disposed to sympathize with him, though as regards religious ideas and theories. I perfectly agreed with Dr. Rhys Davids According to Dr Rhys Davids, the Buddhistic ideal is the condition of an Arhat who enjoys profound internal peace undisturbed by passion. It is a condition of holiness, goodness, and wisdom This seems in his opinion to be at the bottom of the religious aspirations of man, or probably the only thing that is valuable in those aspirations, and this alone Buddhism set up as an ideal to be striven for by the religious man, to the exclusion of the ideas of God, the human soul as one unchangeable substance, and eternal existence. Dr. Rhys Davids is an enthusiastic Pali scholar, and has succeeded in organizing the Pali Text Society, in connection with which, with the aid of other scholars, he has been publishing in annual instalments the sacred books of the Southern Buddhists The service he has thus been rendering to the cause of scholarship and research is invaluable. But it is very much to be regretted that he cannot devote his whole time to his studies, and has to work for his bread at the bar If he had been a German he would have got a Professorship somewhere He is, however, Professor of Pali in the London University, but without pay and without pupils. He is a candidate for the vacant Secretaryship of the Royal Asiatic Society, which is a paid appointment, and I have no doubt, if elected, he will be of very great service to the Society, but it is by no means certain that he will get the appointment I saw him on one occasion in his rooms in Brick Court, when he showed me some splendid Pali Manuscripts which had been presented to him, if I remember right, by the king of Siam

Another gentleman with whom I came in contact in London and who was very kind to me was Colonel Henry Yule, Member of the India Council and President of the Royal Asiatic Society Mr Edward Thomas, a Bengal Civilian, who, after his retirement devoted himself to the study of Indian antiquities, and Dr J Fergusson, a zealous student of ancient Indian architecture and architecture and architecture and architecture, both of whom were active members of the Royal Asiatic Society, are dead. The Society's Secretary,

Mr Vaux, has also rather suddenly been removed by death at an early age and another not yet appointed, so that the Society is not in a very flourishing condition at present, and Colonel Yule observed to me how difficult it was for them to get enough matter for the Society's Journal I also came in contact with Mr J S Cotton, Editor of the Academy, who was once employed by the Secretary of State to examine the materials in the India Office, and digest them into a report on the advancement or condition of India, and he seemed to be very familiar with Indian matters

At Oxford I was received with cordiality and almost enthusiastic kindness by my old master, Mr. Sidney Owen, who was Professor of History and Political Economy in the Elphinstone College, from January 1857 to April 1858, and his family. I had before me the charming and edifying spectacle of a wellregulated, high-toned, and happy English family object of father, mother, sons, and daughters seemed to be to please me, and I felt I was in the midst of persons who had, as it were, found in me a long-lost son or brother at this time empty, the Colleges having vacation, and all I could see was the buildings Mr Owen showed me Magdalen, Christ Church, Worcester, Baliol, and others The quadrangles with the green grass nicely trimmed, the gardens and walks, and the canals give a rural, quiet, and pleasing appearance to the scene calculated to compose the mind and dispose it to contemplation, thought, and study Within the premises of the same colleges there are often buildings in three different styles of architecture, the mediæval, that of the seventeenth century, and It was a curious sight of a nature to awaken the modern historical associations rather than produce a harmony, the stone of the mediaeval buildings in particular being in a crumbling condition But even this characteristic 15 calculated, I suppose, to confirm the reflective mood saw the Sheldonian Theatre where the commemoration is held, the Bodleian Library, the Martyr's Memorial, and others

a visit to Prof. Max Müller, who unfortunately was not in good spirits on account of the recent loss of a favourite daughter. He regretted very much that he should have been in that condition at the time of my visit. He wished to see more of me than he could under the circumstances. Still I had a pleasant and interesting conversation with him for an hour and a half. He told me he had quoted my lectures in a paper that he had been publishing in a German periodical, and read a passage from that paper in which he interprets the expression Bhasarthah, occurring in connection with certain roots in the Dhatupatha as meaning "roots the sense of which is to be known from the spoken language" Though of course I am a strong advocate of the view that Sanskrit was the Vernacular of the Indian Aryans, and think I have proved the point in my last lecture, still I did not believe that the expression Bhāsarthāh meant what the Professor said, and was sorry not to be able to agree with him Then he spoke to me about a letter he had received from the late Divan of Bhavnagar, Mr. Gaurisamkar, which was written on the occasion of his assuming the order of Samnyasa, and about a copy of the new Samnyasın's work on the Vedanta, presented to him by the author Professor Max Muller spoke approvingly of the doctrine of the Vedanta that the contemptibility and misery of life come to an end when an individual soul knows himself to be the same with Brahman or the Supreme soul As I am not an admirer of the doctrine in the form in which it is taught by Samkarācārya and which alone is now the prevalent form in India, I observed that though according to his system a man must rise to the knowledge, "I am Brahma," previous to his entering on the state of deliverance for of eternal bliss, still it is essential that the feeling of me or egoism should be destroyed as a necessary condition of entrance into that state. The me is the first fruit of ignorance, and it must be destroyed in the liberated condition A soul has no individual consciousness when he is delivered, and in that state he cannot have the knowledge, "I am Brahman." The illustration often given of a

liberated soul that becomes one with Brahman is that of the space or ether that is enclosed in an earthen jar becoming one with the infinite outer space or ether when the jar is broken to pieces In such an absorption into or identification with Brahman when there is no individual consciousness and no knowledge that "I am the Brahman," what happiness can there be? Besides, the proposition, "I am Brahman," does not according to Samkarācārya's system mean I am one with the Supreme Soul, who is the author of the Universe and who dwells in the Universe, so full of beauty and grandeur This, I believe, is the idea of the author of the Vedantasutra and of some of the Upanisads, but with Samkaracărya, the Universe or Creation is an illusion like that perceived by a man who sees a rope in darkness and mistakes it for a serpent, and flies away from it through fear Misery, worldly happiness, sinfulness, littleness, and indeed all finite thought and feeling, are illusions When these are dispelled the soul is free and happy and without finiteness or limitations, so that the proposition, "I am Brahman," means "I am not the miserable, sinful, little soul, tied down to this or that mode of thought or feeling, that I appear to myself to be, but a free, blissful, unchanging, and unconditioned soul." This is the real nature of the soul, and anything at variance with it that is felt is an illusion, so that Samkarācārya's ideal is not to become one with another being who is the Supreme Ruler of all, but to see that oneself is really a blissful and unconditioned being. Though I might admire the doctrine about the first ideal, I do not think the latter to be very charming. This discussion we carried on for some time, and then we turned to other matters Professor Max Müller made me a present of a copy of the four parts of the Anecdota Oxomensia as a memento of our short meeting, and with a few complimentary remarks on my work in the field of scholarship, for which I feel very thankful to him, brought the conversation to a close

On Sunday, the 12th, I was introduced by Mr Owen to Professor Jowett He received me very kindly, but nothing of importance was said in the short conversation that followed.

I went to Birmingham to have a glimpse of Industrial England Fortunately I found an obliging friend in Colonel A. Phelps, late Commissary-General, Bombay. The British Association for the Advancement of Science recently held its meetings at the place, and an exhibition of the arts and industries of Birmingham had been got up for the occasion Colonel Phelps took me twice to see the exhibition, and there I saw the products of an immense variety of industries with the latest improvements, from a new apparatus for electric lighting without the high tension so dangerous to life that is a drawback in the present mode, to a machine for washing clothes by means of steam, and school furniture so manufactured as to avoid the evils such as shortsightedness, which results from the use of the present kind of furniture The kind Colonel also showed me the engine factory of Tangyes, Gillott's pen factory, and a pin factory He then took me to the Birmingham Municipal Offices and Town Hall, both of which are splended buildings, and in the afternoon to the Liberal Club, where I saw a great many members in the dining and the smoking-rooms Chamberlain came in a short while after we entered, and I was introduced to him by the Colonel A short but interesting con-Mr Chamberlain endeavoured to excuse versation followed himself from attending to the affairs of India, while I strove hard to fix the ultimate responsibility of governing the country on the British Parliament and through it on each member, and especially on the leaders of parties.

After having seen so much of England I started from London for Vienna on the 20th I went by way of Paris where I could spend only two days, during which, however, I saw so much as to make my head giddy I saw the artificial lakes, the grand cascade, the race-course, the dismantled palace of St Cloud, the palace, galleries, and park of Versailles, the Louvre, Luxembourg, Pantheon, the porcelain and tapestry manufactories which, I was told, are maintained at the expense of Government, and other places Paris appeared to me to be a beautiful town, the

palace at Versailles with the parks and avenue in front is superb, and the pictures at that palace and in the Louvre are innumerable and beautiful The French appeared to me to be a nation of lovers of beauty and spared no expense, since the Government maintained even factories for painting pictures on procelain and weaving them by means of coloured thread But when certain places in the town called to my memory the frightful deeds of the people during the first revolution and of the Commune in 1871, the melancholy reflection forced itself on me that even an intense love of beauty, which I consider to be heavenly, is not necessarily associated in the human heart with a heavenly or angelic character, and that it is a mere passion in the human breast like rage and resentment I was sorry not to have met any of the French Oriental scholars in Paris I had very little time, and besides I was told that one of them, Monsieur Senart, was not in town, and I subsequently learned that even Monsieur Barth was absent From Paris I went to Munich, where I stopped for a day I found it to be a charming little town There is an excellent museum, and a building in an elevated position called the Maximilian College, which commands a very I saw these and also a bronze colossus representing Bavaria, behind which there is a corridor in the shape of three sides of a rectangle with marble busts of the great men of the country placed in niches in the walls The view from this point also is commanding, and in the light of the morning sun the place looked very charming and well suited for contemplation From Munich I went on Saturday, the 25th, to Vienna, the place of my destination, which I reached at about 9 P M

The next morning Dr Rost and Dr Kielhorn came to see me at the Hotel de France, which is situated close to the University We walked together for about an hour and returned by a tramcar to the University The meetings of the Congress were held in this building, and the office of the managing committee was also located there We stepped into the office and signed our names in the Register of members. In the even-

ing a conversazione was held at one of the hotels in order that the members of the Congress might make each other's acquaintance. The attendance was very large, and I was introduced to and exchanged cards with a great many scholars. There were two Egyptians with an ivory complexion and Turkish caps, a Chinaman, the Secretary of the Chinese legation in his national costume with the long pigtail, a Japanese in European costume, an Indian Mussulman, native of Aligarh and educated at Cambridge, similarly dressed, and myself with my turban and upainem. The Chinaman's knowledge of French was greatly admired, and they said he spoke the language perfectly as well as a Parisian.

The next morning at ten o'clock the members of the Congress gathered together in the large hall of the University Opposite to them on the other side of a large table sat the members of the Committee of Organization with the minister of Public Instruction and Archduke Rainer, who is a great patron The Archduke in a short speech deof learning in Austria clared the Congress open, after which the Minister of Public Instruction rose and welcomed the members of the Congress in the name of the Government He was followed by the President, Baron Kremer, who delivered a long address in French. Then the leaders of the different deputations rose one after another and made a few observations, and those who had brought In the afterpresents for the Congress laid them on the table noon the different sections met in the rooms assigned to them, and after the election of the President and Vice-President, papers were read and discussed As I belonged to the Aryan Section I witnessed the proceedings of its meetings only I will therefore confine myself to an account of them Our President was Prof Roth of Tübingen and Vice-President, Prof Weber. Among the members who attended were Dr Rost of the India Office, Professors Buhler of Vienna, Kielhorn of Göttingen, Ludwig of Prague, Jacobi of Kiel, Leumann of Strasburg, Kühn of Munich, Jolly of Wurzburg, and Windisch of Leipzig;

Drs. Hoernle of Calcutta, Cartellieri, of Vienna, Macdonell of Oxford, and Stein of Buda-Pest, and Messrs Bendall of the British Museum, Grierson, a Bengal Civilian, and McAuliffe, a Panjab Civilian, and Capt Temple Dr Cust of the Royal Asiatic Society of London attended some of the meetings, and we had an American gentleman of the name of Leland, who has made the language of the Gipsies his special study There were two French scholars of the names of Milloue and Guimet, and an Italian scholar named Lignana There were other members whose names I do not remember Our average attendance was about 45 Prof Max Muller did not come on account of the unfortunate occurrence I have already mentioned, and the other scholars conspicuous by their absence to me, at least, were Professors Oldenberg of Vienna, Aufrecht of Bonn, Kern of Leyden, Eggeling of Edinburgh, and Dr Bohtlingk of Jena. The Arvan section met also on the following days, the last sitting being held on Saturday Englishmen and myself read papers in English, and the German scholars in German with the exception of Dr Stein, the Hungarian, and Dr Hoernle, who used English One of the French scholars only read a paper, and this was in French, and the Italian read in the language of his country These four languages only were recognised by the Mr Bendall read a paper on the discovery in Nepal of a new alphabet with arrow-head characters Specimens were exhibited on the occasion, but I felt convinced that the alphabet was only one of the many varieties of the Nagari, and what looked like arrow-heads were only the short horizontal strokes which occur at the top of each Nagari letter They were thicker in this manuscript than usual and written in a manner to make one end narrower than the other Mr Grierson appeared before the section twice, to read a paper on some of the dialects of the Hindi, and at another time with observations on Tulasidāsa and other Hindi poets This gentleman has been doing very useful work by studying the peculiarities of the Hindi, as spoken in the provinces of Behar and Mithila, and publishing grammars

of the dialect provident there. The Aryan section adopted a re obstron recommending to the Government of India, the institution of regular survey of the spoken dialects of India at the first day's meeting, extracts from my Report on the search for Manurcript, which is now in the Press, and placed before the rection to old Palm le f Manuscript of a Jama work, Intherto uning a the had been discovered in the course of the search nos conducted by Dr. Peter on and myrelf, and which would have been placed before the section by Dr. Peterson, lumself if he had been present. This exeited a good deal of curiosity, and one of the scholars give it as his opinion that the work belonged to that branch of the Jama cacred literature which is known by the name of Purvas, and which is by some believed to be more ancient than the other branches, without, in my opinion, sufficient reason. At another meeting, Prof. Roth made a few observations on the peculiarities of Vedic grammar, dwelling principally on the fact that when a noun and an adjective are used together the case termination is often found affixed to one of them only, as in the instances परमें ह्योमन, महिना जनिष, &c Prof Jacobi read a paper in which he endeavoured to show that the Brahmanic hero-god, Krsna, was admitted by the Jainas very carly more than a century before the beginning of the Christian ery into the list of their holy personages. Prof Kuhn appeared with a paper on the dialects of Kasmir and the Hindu Kush One of Dr Bühler's pupils, a young man of the name of Dr Cartellieri, showed, by comparing passages in Subandhu's Vasavadattā with similar ones occurring in Bāna's Kādambari, that Bana adopted, in a good many cases, Subandhu's images. and often his very words and expressions, so that the doubts thrown on Subandhu's priority to Bana were groundless Dr Hoernle read a paper on an old Manuscript of a work on Arithmetic found at Bakkhāli in the north of Panjab in a ruined enclosure. It is written in a character which is a variety of the Kaśmir character known by the name of Sāradā, and Dr Hoernle thought it was transcribed in the 8th or 9th century. The

character appeared to me not very different from, or very much more ancient than that in which Kaśmīr manuscripts about 100 or 150 years old are written. Dr. Hoernle had read a paper on the same Manuscript about three years before at a meeting of of the Bengal Asiatic Society. Mr. Leland read a paper on the Gipsy language, in which he traced the origin of the Gipsies to India, Captain Temple gave some account of the Dictionary of Hindustani Proverbs that he has been compiling, the Italian scholar read a few remarks on the words Navagva and Dasagva occurring in the Rgveda, and the French, an essay on the myth of Vṛsabha, the first Tīrthamkara of the Jainas. A few other papers were also read

At one of its meetings, the Section adopted a resolution asking the Government of India to restore the appointment of epigraphical surveyor, as the arrangements proposed by Dr Burgess for getting translations of inscriptions done by different scholars willing and qualified to do them, were considered unsatisfactory, and to re-appoint Mr Fleet to it I must here observe that I did not quite approve of such a personal question being brought before that learned body

One thing in connection with the work of the Semitic section that came to my notice must here be mentioned Prof Karabacek read a report on the paleographical results, furnished by some of the papyri or documents written on pieces of the papyrus which were found in Egypt. These were purchased by the Archduke Rainer, who paid more than 25, 000 florins for them. I went to the place where they are kept, and exhibited, and was told that some of them were more than two thousand years old. There is among them an original order issued by the Caliph Amru, which bears his own signature. The papyri were tound rolled up, and it is a very difficult thing to unroll them in a manner not to break them into pieces. This however is done very carefully by Prof. Karabacek and his coadjutors, and there is a large photographical apparatus in the building, by means of

which the papyri are photographed, and copies of the size of the original printed off from the negative in the colour of the original

On Monday, or the first day, an evening party was given by the Minister of Public Instruction Besides the members of the Congress there were other distinguished guests, among whom was the British Ambassador, Sir Augustus Paget On Wednesday, a sumptuous entertainment was given in the afternoon by the Burgomaster in the lirge banqueting hall of the The Rathhaus or Lownhall is an extensive and noble building round which the learned guests were taken, previous to their being led into the banqueting hall Refreshments were laid on the table, and the best available music provided for the In the evening of the same day, there was a reception at the residence of Archduke Rainer There was an unlimited supply of the best Viennese sweetmeats, and tea, coffee, and ices A good many persons, including myself, were introduced to the Archduke and the Duchess, who spoke a few words to them in German, French, or English On Thursday, a grand dinner was given in the evening by the Committee of organization, and there were toasts and post-prandial speeches as usual In the afternoon of Friday, the members of the Congress were taken in river-steamboats by the Danube canal and by a special train up a hill in the vicinity called Kahlenburg, the view from which is splendid The whole city of Vienna lay at our feet at a short distance, and with hills on the sides, the scene was charming. We spent about an hour at the place and returned home a little after sunset

Dr. Bühler had told me a day or two before the dinner on Thursday to compose a few verses in Sanskrit and sing them in reply to one of the toasts. I said I would rather sing them at a meeting of the Aryan section, where I should have a select audience that would understand me. Accordingly I composed eight verses in different metres and sung them in the manner

¹ Printed at the end of this article

^{44 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

we usually do in India, at the final meeting of the Aryan section on Saturday morning. After that was over, I read some of the hymns in the Rgveda Samhitā in the manner in which they are recited by Vaidika Biahmans here, as some of the German scholars were anxious to hear how the accents are indicated in pronunciation.

The sight of so many men from different parts of Germany and Europe who had chosen a life of study and thought, and who applied themselves with such devotion and zeil to the study of the sacred language of my country and its vined literature, was very gratifying to me. The spirit that actuated them appeared to be that of the old Rsis of India, who cared little for worldly possessions, and devoted themselves to a life of study and ineditation In the ancient times in India, whenever any grand sacrifice was performed by a great king, Brahmans from all parts of the country assembled at the place and held debates and discussed abstruse points. One such congress of Rsis is reported in the Brhadaranyika Upinisad and the Vayu-Purana lanaka, the king of Mithila, performed a horse-sacrifice, and a great many learned Brahmans from the Kurupañcālas or the country about Thanesar, Mathura, Delhi, and Agra flocked to the place Janaka wished to find out who among these was the most learned and knew the Brahma or the highest truth the best, and therefore brought forth a thousand cows and tied pieces of gold of the weight of ten tolas to the horns of each Then he said to the Brahmans "That one among you who knows the Brahma the best should take away these cows " None of the Brahmans dared to take them, when Yājñavalkya said to a pupil of his, "Young man, drive these cows home" The pupil began to do so, when all the other Brahmans got angry saying "What, does he think himself to be the one among us who knows the Brihma the best?" Janaka had a priest of the name of Asvala, who said to him " Well, Yajñavalkva, are you the one of us all who knows the Brahma the best ' Yajiiavalkya replied "I am but an humble servant of one who knows the Brahma the best; I only want the cows " Then the priest Asvala put a question to Yājñavalkya, and he was followed by a great many others who put similar questions, requiring him to explain a large variety of points concerning the ritual, the gods, the soul, the supreme cause of the world and the soul of all, good deeds, bad deeds, &c Among his interlocutors was a lady of the name of Gargi Vacaknavi who, in her own words, "attacked him with two questions as a warrior of Kāsī or Videhas attacks an enemy with two arrows on his strung bow "Yājñavalkya answered satisfactorily the questions of all This is a celebrated chapter in that Upanisad, and is very important for the history of ancient Indian thought. The idea I endeavoured to bring out in the verses sung by me at the Congress was that this body of holy and learned Rsis, adored by gods and men, that had assembled at Mithila, the capital of the king of Videhas, on the occasion of the horse-sacrifice, had risen up again at Vienna, the capital of the Emperor of Austria, to dispel the darkness that had overspread the earth in this sinful age of Kili, out of pity for man Asvala, the priest of Janaka, had assumed the form of Buhler, Yājñavalkya appeared as Weber and Roth, and Sākala as Kielhorn Kahoda mamfested himself as Jolly, and the remaining Rsis as Ludwig, Rost, Jacobi, and There was a Viennesc lady who attended the meetings of our section, and who takes very great interest in Indian literature and has read nearly all that has been written about it, as well as translations of Sanskrit works She was our Gargi Vācaknavi

Such a compliment, I thought, these European scholars, and especially the Germans, deserved Ever since the discovery of Sanskrit, the Europeans have devoted themselves with their usual energy to the study of the language and its literature, and to the solution of the various problems suggested by it. They have successfully treated the affinity of the Sanskrit with the ancient languages of Europe, classified the languages of the civilized world on a scientific principle, and the races that speak

them, shown that the Aryans of India, composed of the three castes, Brahman, Ksatrıya and Vaısya, belong to the same race as the ancient Greeks and Romans and the nations of modern Europe, except the Turks, the Hungarians, and the Fins, penetrated into the secret of the formation of human speech and the growth of myths, and constituted the science of language and comparative mythology. They have collected manuscripts from all parts of India, and from Nepal, Ceylon, Burma, and Siam, and the Government of India has been assisting their efforts by instituting an archaological survey and search for Manuscripts They have examined the Vedas carefully, and traced out a great many facts concerning the original history and condition of the Indian Aryans, and compiled dictionaries, concordances, and The Mahābhārata, Rāmāyana, some of the Purāņas, grammars and the law books, as well as the dramatical literature, have been subjected to a similar examination Buddhism, the memory of which has faded away in India, has again been brought to our notice, and its sacred texts, Manuscripts of which are nowhere now found in India, have been rendered available to us

In this work of study and research the Germans, of all the nations of Europe, have been the foremost. Most of the great achievements I have briefly indicated above are due to their patient industry and critical acumen. We have had one great French scholar, and there are now two or three first of all discovered Sanskrit, as was of course to be expected from the fact of India's having fallen into their hands, and we have had first-class English scholars, such as Colebrooke and Wilson. But somehow Sanskrit and philological studies have not found a congenial soil in the British isles. While there are at present twenty-five German scholars at least who have been working in the different branches of Sanskrit literature and have published something, we have not more than five among Englishmen England employs Germans in connection with her philological work The best Sanskrit scholar in the country is a German, and the Professor of Sanskrit at Edinburgh and the

Librarian of the India office are Germans There is a German in charge of Manuscripts in the British Museum and the Assistant Librarian at the Bodleian is a Hungarian. The Germans are the Brahmans of Europe, the French the Kṣatriyas, and the English the Vaiśyas, though, as was the case in India, the Brahmans of Europe have now taken to a military occupation. The great excellence of German scholarship consists in the spirit of criticism and comparison that is brought to bear on the facts that come under observation, and in the endeavour made to trace the gradual development of thought and language and to determine the chronological relations of events.

So much for the bright side of the picture But it has also a dark side, to shut our eyes to which will do no good to the cause or to anybody The proper and fruitful exercise of the critical and comparative, or what might be called the historical spirit, depends upon innate ability and a naturally sound judgment These are not to be found everywhere, and often we meet with instances in which very comprehensive conclusions are based upon the most slender evidence. Though it is true that a native does not easily look at the language, thought, and institutions of his country from the critical standpoint, while the first impulse of an intelligent foreigner is to do so, still there are some disadvantages under which the foreigner must labour He has no full and familiar knowledge of what he subjects to a critical examination In the case of European Sanskrit scholars there is besides always a very strong disinclination to admit the high antiquity of any book, thought, or institution, and a tendency to trace Greek influence everywhere in our literature; while not seldom the major premise in the reasoning is that the Indians cannot have any good in them, since several times in the course of their history, they allowed themselves to be conquered by foreigners Oftentimes the belief that the Brahmans are a crafty race prevents a full perception of the Of course, scholars of ability and sound judgment shake off such tendencies and prejudices, and among these I may

mention, since I do not wish to make invidious comparisons between living scholars, Di Muir of Edinburgh and Prof Goldstücker

But independently of such defects in the exercise of the critical faculty, there are very important branches of Sanskrit literature which are not understood in Germany and Europe I had a conversation with Dr Kielhorn on this subject the day after I reached Vienna I said it appeared to me that works in the narrative or Puranic style and the dramatic plays were alone properly understood in Europe, while those written in the style of discourse or works on philosophy and exegesis were not He replied that even several of the dramatic plays and works on Poetics were not understood Mistakes are constantly made when a scholar endeavours to interpret and criticise a work or passages in a work belonging to any of the Sastras, as we call them, and often the sense of passages containing idiomatic ex-A scholar reads pressions in other works also is not perceived such a work or interprets such expressions and passages with the aid of a grammar and a dictionary, but a clear understanding of them requires an amount of previous knowledge which cannot As to positive command over Sanskrit, be derived from either I had an illustration in the shape of a card which was given to me by a Professor at the Congress on which two verses in the easiest of Sanskrit metres, the Anustubh, composed by him, are In three of the four lines the metie is violated, and there is a bad compound in the second verse. If the study of Greek was not successfully carried on in Western Europe before the fall of Constantinople drove many learned Greeks into that part of the continent, it is of course not reasonable to expect that Sanskrit literature should be properly understood in Europe without instruction from the old Pindits of India was first of all clearly perceived by those German scholars who spent a good many years in India, and now it has been acknowledged by others also, though there are still some whose reliance on a grammar and a dictionary continues unbounded

Germans have already begun to remedy the defect. Dr Garbe was sent more than a year ago to this country at the expense of the Prussian Government to study Indian philosophy it Benarcs for a year and read one or two works with some of the Pandits there, and has recently returned to his country Dr. Kielhorn has undertaken to publish an edition of the Kasika, in old commentary on Pinini's Sútias, containing copious notes and explanations of a nature to enable the European scholar to understand the intricacies of the style of grammatical exegesis And on the last day of my stay at Vienna, Dr Buhler told me that he had on that day called on the Minister of Public Instruction to represent to him the necessity of having an Assistant Protessorship of Sanskrit in connection with the University of Vienna. This he means for Dr. Hultzsch., but his ultimate idea is that large Universities, such as those of Berlin and Vienna, should have in Assistant Professorship to be held by a Sanskrit Master of Arts of the Bombay University, and on Di Hultzsch being iaised to the Professorship or provided for elsewhere, he will have an Indian in his place. This I believe is a good idea, in the interests of both European and Indian Sanskrit scholarship, but the principle involved in it, viz, a close intercourse between the scholars of the two countries, deserves to be carried out in other ways I his also has not escaped the attention of Dr. Buhler, for though he is not now in his bodily form present in India, he carries on an active correspondence with many persons here, and has recently issued a prospectus about a Vienna Oriental louinal which will contain several articles in English intended to be read by us here. I have no doubt that such a close intercourse will be productive of benefit to us here. New ideas and views about matters in Sanskrit literary history are constantly started in Germany, and these will stimulate thought and inquiry among us, and we shall be able to make use of our knowledge either to confirm or refute them, and put forth new ideas and views of our own. It is very much to be wished that more of us devoted ourselves to learning and research. Every year our University turns out a good many Sanskrit scholars, and but few

have hitherto made scholarship the occupation or pleasure of there lives. But physical wants claim attention first, and unless some-body in his liberality makes provision for them, there is little hope that we shall have many scholars among us. The necessity of endowing Professorships for the advancement of learning and science among us was recently urged with characteristic ability on the attention of his audience by the Vice-Chancellor of the University and our President, and I gave expression in my humble way to the same idea in my first. Wilson Lecture and in my evidence before the Education Commission; but there is no hope of Government being able to do anything in the matter in the present state of circumstances, while as regards ourselves there is little public spirit among us, and the liberality of Khojas, Parsis, and Hindus flows in other channels, and no one has the power of diverting it into this

Another feeling which the sittings of the Congress evoked in me and to which I gave expression in my verses was that of admiration for the respect for human nature and brotherly sympathy for mankind which, I thought, were evinced by the interest which so many people took in the condition, the thought, and languages of the people of Asia, Africa and Polynesia, so inferior to Europeans in all that constitutes civilization. I also thought that international congresses such as this were calculated to promote good feeling between the different nations of Europe, so as to render war impossible in the course of time And from what I saw during my hasty visit it appeared to me that Europe was approaching towards a realization of this ideal There is hardly so much difference as regards external appearance and manners between the different nations of Europe as there is between the different races of India, though their languages are more widely different than those of Northern India their modes of eating, their social manners, and their institutions are a good deal more alike than ours. Any invention or discovery made in one country finds its way easily into another The railway trains of one country run in continuation of those

of another, and the postal and telegraphic arrangements are such as one might expect only in a country under one and the same Government Travellers are always going from one country to another, and everywhere there are hotels where their comfort and convenience are carefully attended to So that, to an external observer, Europe appears in times of peace to be one country And I saw a pantomimic show in one of the theatres in Vienna which intensified my general impression. girls in European costume appeared dancing on the stage was shown the digging of the Suez Canal and the plying of steam-boats in it. This was followed by a representation of the cutting of the Mount Cenis tunnel, and afterwards appeared men and women in the costumes of all countries, with some in our Indian costume, and a number of negro boys And they all danced together in joy, the negro boys beating time. This idea of a universal brotherhood was, I thought, the most precious product of European civilization, more valuable by far than railways and electric telegraphs. And it was in such a mood of thought that I opened my versified Sanskrit address with the words, "Supreme over all is that brotherly feeling for mankind which prompts the constant endeavours of these men to study the languages, the sciences, and arts of Eastern races so utterly different from themselves, " and ended it by saying, " May Congresses such as this conduce to knit different countries together in friendship, to the cessation of war, and to the prosperity of mankind."

I was however not free from disturbing thoughts. Though all this Oriental learning had probably its origin in a respect for human nature, still a mere love of reputation and a desire to conform with the fashion of the day are the motive causes in most individual cases. Though the whole external look of Europe makes for peace, still ever since the idea expressed in the lines

Till the war-drum throbbed no longer and the battle-flags were furled.

In the Parliament of man, the Faderation of the world,*

was distinctly formulated, there have been many wars in Europe, and many more times have the Europeans fought Asiatics and Africans and crushed them And I remember that the advancement of oriental learning was looked forward to in some quarters as one of the happy results of one of these latter wars, so that, love of oriental learning is not necessarily associated with goodwill for the oriental races A German Sanskrit Professor once said to me that he liked social equality being given to the natives of India, but not political equality, and that he considered the Ilbert Bill to be mischievous I told him that in Ceyloii and the presidency towns the native magistrates did actually exercise the power of trying European offenders He did not know that, he said, but still proceeded to defend his position, and, bringing his oriental learning to his aid, observed, "Oh, Buddhism has softened the Ceylonese, so that they might exercise that power, but the case is different in India " I listened quietly, thanking my country's stars that she had not fallen into the hands of And two of the most civilized nations in Europe have for the last fifteen years been making preparations with their usual energy for a grand human sacrifice, in which the blood of about eight million human victims is to be poured on the altar of the goddess of nationality Even the Oriental professors of those two nations are full of warlike sentiments, and there is a firm determination to destroy the hated enemy or die So that, the spirit of humanity, though evolved in the course of European history, has been entirely driven out of the field of action by the spirit of nationality. The very physical energy of the European races and the importance attached to mere material greatness are unfavourable to the further growth of that spirit And in this matter at least the prophecy of the old Locksley Hall has not been fulfilled, and there is ground for the despon-

^{*}Tennyson, Locksley Hall

dency expressed in the new After the Congress was over I staved for a week more in Vienna, and saw the museums, the picture galleries, and other sights. I left the place on Sunday, the 10th of October, for Venice, where I spent three days

I have already taken up so much of your time, that I have little left for conveying to you some of my general impressions. I will, however, do so hastily Everywhere the energy of the European races and the orderly shape that they give to everything made a deep impression on my mind On my way from Brindisi to Calais, I observed on the sides of the railway in Italy vines and trees planted in straight lines at equal distances, and in Southern France, happy-looking villages with nice roads laid out, and grass so well trimmed as to give the fields and even the man was to be seen. In London I was impressed with the immense wealth of the people, and their devotion to business. In private dwellings and in shops all things are nicely arranged. The shops are generally in substantial buildings, and the shopkeeper is always seen standing or sitting on a high stool, ready to attend to his customers The affairs of every large establishment where a number of men are employed are conducted with Wherever I went I could not avoid the regularity of a machine making comparisons between what I saw and what exists in I felt that with our fields neglected except for getting a harvest or two, our things lying about in a disorderly condition in our houses and our shops, and our shops constructed of wooden planks and our shopkeepers often dozing in their seats, we are considerably inferior in point of energy to the European races, and especially to the English When I saw the exhibition at Birmingham and observed how some improvement or other is always made in machines, implements, and arts, and how new arts and industries spring up, I could not avoid remarking to my kind friend Colonel Phelps, "Your intellects are always awake, ours are dormant " Indian implements and arts are now in that condition in which they were in the time of Manu

The English people possess a vast power of organization. Those of them who hold the same view on any matter easily combine together to advance that view, and thus form clubs and associations I was struck when I heard that the National Liberal Club in London had 5, 000 members In India hardly so many as five persons can be found to lay aside their jealousies and combine for the advancement of a cause In every one of the towns I visited, there are one or more museums, and in most of them picture galleries Both the Government and the people take pride in them and in other institutions of the kind, and are ready with their contributions of money for their improvement have no museum anywhere in India worthy of the name, and picture galleries are never dreamt of I saw a splendid free library at Birmingham maintained by the Municipality, and in the Guildhall in London, and was told that all the municipalities in England had such free libraries We never heard of anything of the kind in India

Even such a rich municipality as that of Bombay, with its surplus of five lacs, does not maintain an institution of the kind, and it is a matter of no little wonder that the idea should not have been put into the heads of the members of our Corporation by any European gentlemen or a native who has been to England The means of communication throughout Europe are, as I have already stated, perfect, though the Customs Officers on the frontiers of a country give some trouble, and there are establishments in all places for the accommodation of Travelling, therefore, is so easy, that a timid Hindu like myself, who cannot speak French or German, could go from London to Vienna, and thence to Venice, alone, without the least difficulty All that I saw in Europe deepened the impression that, as we are, we are an inferior race in point of energy We are far behind Europe, and especially England, in all those matters that I have just noticed, and ours is what Principal Wordsworth calls a feeble civilization, though I believe the vigorous civilization of Europe is now on its trial, and the war between the French and the Germans which must come some

day, and the socialistic and nihilistic movements, if they make further progress, will determine whether it is not one-sided, and its ideals have not been chiefly, if not exclusively, material. And in this respect we should by no means be very anxious to realize it among ourselves.

One point more, and I have done. When I set my foot on the soil of Italy and saw the Italian Custom-house officers, policemen and others, exercising their authority, the thought entered my mind, "But a few years ago this country was cut up into a number of little states, most of them despotically governed, and now these people have become one nation and got representative institutions", and I cast a wistful eye at their newlyacquired independence While in London I once went to see the Tower with my friend Dr. Rhys Davids, and when I was shown the place where Anne Boleyn, Catherine Howard, and Lady Jane Grey were executed, and also the dungeon into which those persons who were obnoxious to the reigning prince or his courrier were cast quietly and in a manner unknown to anybody, I observed to my friend, "You are a wonderful people; three centuries ago you were governed by monarchs nearly as absolute and despotic as any that reigned in India, and you have now gradually worked out your freedom without shedding much blood, while we have not succeeded in emancipating ourselves during the last twenty-five centuries " Notions such as these were present in my mind during the time I was in Europe: but atter a while I asked myself, what it was that I wished? Should I like that the English had never conquered the country? I at once said, "No " For, as I had already observed to my friend we really were not free under the old native monarchs Under them there was no possibility of our having any idea of that European civilization which I so much admire, there was hardly much security of life and property, and there was little possibility of a man travelling from one province to another without being And we should in that case have had no post-office or roads or railways or electric telegraphs or printing presses, and

above all, that education which has now opened our eyes to our own defects, and given birth to new aspirations. And how was it possible that they should not subjugate the country when it was in the lowest state of political degradation, with selfishness reigning supreme, rival competitors for thrones or for power intriguing against each other and asking their aid, and the people at large maintaining their traditional indifference? Would I then wish that the English voluntarily retired from the country -for driving them away was out of the question-and left us to govern ourselves? Even here I had no hesitation in saying "No." If they should retire, we should immediately return to the old state of things For though we talk about public spirit, public duty, nationality, and things of that sort, these ideas have not deeply sunk into our nature Self-interest is as strong a motive with us as it ever was before. There is a lamentable want of serious thought amongst us Childishness is rampant everywhere We are divided into castes and communities that have not yet learnt to make common cause with each other We still want that energy and those orderly modes of action, and that power of organization, which are necessary in order that we may progress in civilization, and we shall only lose the ground which we have gained under the British, and shall be unable to form a strong Government, and all the benefits of a higher civilization that we at present enjoy will be lost to us I believe it to be an act of Divine Providence that the English alone of all the candidates who appeared about the same time for the empire of India should have succeeded The Marathas, the Portuguese, the Dutch and the French were all weighed in the balance and found wanting, and the empire was given to the For the Marathas possessed the usual vices of Indian rulers, the Portuguese were intolerant and forced their religion on the people, the Dutch have made the natives of the countries they conquered hewers of wood and drawers of water, and the French are volatile and have no settled principles Of the other nations of Europe, the Germans and Austrians do not themselves enjoy that freedom that we do under the British, and Rurea is the most despotie of all European States, and is perhaps as berbatour ar ourselves without our infldness But England is a nation that has worled out its freedom. She gave liberty to the Ne tro slives at a vit sacrifice of money, and it is the only country in Europe where the sentiment of humanity has made progress. It is impossible that such a country should treat us as slaves, or, like the Dutch, reduce us to the condition of mere artisans and labourers. Reflections such as these quieted me, and I was content that the English should rule over us, notwithstanding that there are very few. Sanskrit scholars among them In this frame of mind I got on board the steamer "Siam" The next morning, a fellow-passenger of the name of Colonel Noble, Commissioner of Sahet Mahet in Oudli, came and sat near me. He asked me a variety of questions, one of which was, "How will you manage about caste after your return to your country." I said "When I go back I shall live with my family as a Hindu that I am, as it nothing extraordinary had happened, and will not invite caste opposition. If, notwithstanding, I find myself in difficulties, these must be put up with, for it is of the highest importance that we should visit Europe, if we would march on, side by side with our rulers, towards a higher goal" "That word 'rulers'," says Col Noble, "that you have used, I do not For, it is the feeling of a great many Englishmen, that we are but your brothers to direct and guide you towards a brighter future" I was highly delighted, and thought that if all the statesmen and officers in whose hands the destinies of India were placed were actuated in all that they did by such a feeling as this, we should be the happiest people on earth, we should forget that we were governed by foreigners, and look upon the British Government as our own national government were a good many other passengers on board who were very courteous and kind to me, and with whom I had pleasant conversations Among them were Mr Sheppard, Revenue Commissioner, Northern Division, and a good many other civilians

belonging to Bombay, Madras, and the North Western Provinces The charge of hauteur, usually brought against Anglo-Indians, I found to be false on board the steamer The Siam dragged its slow length along the Mediterranean, the Suez Canal, the Red Sea, and the Indian Ocean, and at last we found ourselves in the harbour of Bombay In the bustle and commotion which followed in consequence of everybody's desire to go on shore at once, I made my way to the place where Colonel Noble was, and took his leave with the words, "Your sentiments with regard to my people are, no less than your name, Noble," and came away

VERSES

DEDICATED TO

THE ARYAN SECTION

OF

THE VIENNA ORIENTAL CONGRESS *

पीरस्त्यानां जनानां निरातिशयमपि स्वात्मतो भेदभाजा भाषाविषाकलानामधिगमविषये सततं सप्रयत्ना । येनान्योन्यं समेता समुन्तितवचनेर्वोधयन्येवमेते सोयं सोदर्यभावो जगति विजयते मानुपत्वानुबन्धी ॥ १ ॥

नानादिग्देशसंस्थान्प्रथितद्यधजनान्सगतान्त्रीनिषुर्या-मास्त्रेयासुभृतोन्यां नृपसुकुटमणे राजधान्यां समक्ष्य । वेदेहस्याश्वमेधे पुरवरामिथिलामाश्रितं पुण्यसघ ब्रह्मज्ञानामृषीणा सकलसुरनंर्र्वान्दिताना समरामि ॥ २ ॥

> अभ्वली भवति वृलरस्तप याज्ञवल्क्य इव वेवररोटी । ज्ञाकल किल भवेत्किलहानीं य कहोड इति सात्र च योलि ॥ ३ ॥

गार्गी वाचक्रवीवेटा पुरंधी प्रतिभाति मे ।

ग्रन्थावलोकन यस्या जीवस्यालम्बन महत् ॥ ४ ॥
अन्यान्छिद्विगरोस्तयाकुविद्यखान्मन्येव तास्तानृपीन्
सर्वे तस्वरता श्रुतिस्मृतिपरा ज्ञानेकबद्धस्पृहा. ।
गङ्के तिप्ययुगप्रभावजनितं गार्डे तम सर्वतो
दूरोंकर्स्तप्रपास्थित सकरुण सोय मुनीनां गण ॥ ५ ॥
समतीत्य वराकोई गिरिवनवार्धीन्समामिमां प्राप्त. ।
प्रेम्ण पार्शर्वद्धा वय च देशाश्र्व नो भवेपुरिति ॥ ६ ॥
आर्यावर्तनिवासिष्ठ तद्दियाद्दिशताद्दरान्भवत ।
सोहार्दे याचेई विश्चद्धस्पं तथा च मिय ॥ ७ ॥
राष्ट्राणां स्नेहभावाय विग्रहस्य शमाय च ॥
कल्पतामीहृशी ससन्मनुजानां च मृतवे ॥ ८ ॥

^{*} See ante p 345

^{46 |} R. G Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

THE

CRITICAL, COMPARATIVE, AND HISTORICAL METHOD

OF

INQUIRY,

As applied to Sanskrit Scholarship and Philology and Indian Archeology,

[Being a lecture read at the Public Meeting held under the auspices of the Free Church College Literary Society of Bombay on the 31st of March, 1888, originally published by the Author at Bombay, 1888]

A critical inquirer is one who does not accept an account of an occurrence just as it is presented to him, whether orally or He subjects it to certain tests calculated to prove its truth or otherwise He takes care, for instance, to ascertain whether the person giving the account was an eye-witness to the occurrence, and if so, whether he was an unprejudiced and at the same time an intelligent observer. If his information is based on other sources, the critic endeavours to ascertain the credibility or otherwise of those sources When it is a thing or a verified occurrence that he has to deal with, he does not satisfy himself with that view of its nature and relations that appears plausible at first sight. He seeks for extraneous assistance to enable himself to arrive at a correct view One of the most efficacious means employed by him is comparison of like things or occurrences This comparison enables him to separate the accidents of the thing or occurrence from its essential nature, and sometimes to arrive at a law which includes the thing or occurrence as a particular case and explains it. Though comparison may thus be considered one of the means of a critical examination, still its own proper results are so important that it deserves to be considered an independent method of inquiry The history of a thing, i e a comparison of the various forms it presents at different well-ascertained periods, is also of the

greatest use for the determination of its real nature when no written history is available, the inquirer determines the historical or chronological relations between the several forms of a thing by referring them to an obvious standard, and arrives at some important conclusions based on such rela-Thus for instance, the Greek essues 'we are' is more ancient than the Sanskrit smas, for it retains the original a of the root as in the form of e which Sanskrit has lost, and the Sanskrit santi 'they are,' more ancient than the Greek 'enti' which has lost the whole root as From an observation of many such forms the conclusion to be drawn is that Greek is not derived from Sanskrit, nor Sanskrit from Greek, but both from an older form of speech. The comparative and historical methods correspond to the inductive method used in the physical and experimental sciences In those branches of knowledge in which you cannot from the nature of the case make experiments, you have recourse to comparison and historical observa-The inductive method began to be used in Europe about the end of the sixteenth century, and since that time very great progress has been made in the discovery of the laws of the physical world The critical, comparative, and historical methods began to be well understood and employed about the end of the eighteenth century, and within a hundred years since that time, an equally amazing progress has been made in other departments of knowledge, and geology, paleontology, comparative philology or the science of language, comparative mythology, evolution and the origin of species, scientific history, comparative jurisprudence, archeology, sound scholarship, and even comparative religion are the grand results Before the employment of the inductive and experimental method such theories as that nature abhors a vacuum passed current, and before the application of the comparative and historical method the beliefs that the world was created in six days and that the Hebrew was the primitive language of which all the rest were offshoots were equally prevalent But just as an experiment with a tube longer than thirtythree feet, in the case of water, was enough to explode that theory, so did the observation and comparison of the different strata of which the earth is composed and the discovery of Sanskrit and its comparison with Greek, Latin, and other languages dispel those beliefs. And this critical and comparative method is necessary not only for increasing our knowledge of the world and of historical man, but also for arriving at correct views of things in ordinary practical life. I must use criticism and comparison if I wish to have a true knowledge of the character of any man, public or private, or to understand any individual action of his correctly. Criticism and comparison are necessary for the politician, the legislator, the lawyer, the merchant, and, last but not least, the newspaper writer if he is to rise above the level of scurrilous journalism.

Criticism and comparison are of use not only in enabling us to arrive at a knowledge of what is true, but also of what is good and rational A man born in a certain country with certain social and religious customs and institutions, and in a certain range of ideas, thinks those customs, institutions, and that range of ideas to be perfectly good and rational, and sees nothing objectionable in them, unless he is a man of genius however, he comes to know of other customs, other institutions, and other ideas, and compares them with those to which he has become accustomed, he is able to find out any evil that there may be in the latter, and to see what is better and more rational The comparison of the jurisprudence of different countries 15 calculated to afford valuable hints to the legislator for the improvement of the laws of his own country Similarly, the critical observation and comparison of the social institutions of other countries and even of other religions will afford guidance to the in giving us juster notions of the beautiful. These general observations, applicable as they are to all the branches of knowledge I have indicated, I will expand and illustrate by taking instances from those subjects to which I have devoted some

attention, 1.7 Sanskrit scholarship and philology, and Indian archeology.

Before admitting the narrative contained in an ancient work to be historical, one ought to ask oneself whether the object of the author was to please and instruct the reader and excite the feeling of wonder, or to record events as they occurred. If the former, the narrative cannot be accepted as historical, but legendary. Our obvious and almost axiomatic notions of ordinary probability should also be brought to bear on the question Ima, for instance, in such a narrative is represented to have reigned a thousand, or even two or three hundred years, one ought to understand that the author wants to excite the feeling of wonder and admiration in his reader, and was in all likelihood under the influence of that feeling himself. If we apply these iests to our existing Sinskrit literature, we must declare the Ramayana, the Mahabharata, and the Puranas to be not historical works Of course, it is possible that they may have a historical basis, and some of the persons mentioned in them may have really existed, but we cannot assert that they did exist, without corroborative evidence such as is to be derived from contemporary inscriptions and the historical writings of foreigners if the object of the author be the latter, and the narrative answers to our tests of ordinary probability, the work must be accepted as historical But we have very few such works in Sanskrit Probably, there were many more, but literature now extant they are lost to us The Vikramānkacarita, the Harsacarita, the Gaudavadha, and the Rajatarangini are works of this nature I will also include deeds of grants inscribed on metallic plates, stoneinscriptions, and coins among the historical documents now available to us It appears to have been the custom in ancient times, as it is even now, to preserve genealogies of royal families We find some given in the Puranas These have a historical value as they are confirmed by inscriptions. But as the readings have in the course of time become corrupt, and the genealogies of different royal families seem to have been confused together,

they are not to be relied on implicitly, without check and comparison. Now as to the contemporary caritras or the deeds of kings spoken of above, it ought to be remembered that the writers, being dependents or servants of the princes whose account is given therein, cannot be expected to be impartial historians of their patrons and masters, and must be regarded as open to the temptation of bestowing extravagant praise on them Accordingly, the virtues that they ascribe and their ancestors to the princes in the most general terms cannot safely be accepted as historically true, but the specific statements such as those of their being at war with certain other princes or of their having constructed certain public works must be, and if corroborative evidence becomes available, we find them confirmed Thus, all the copperplate grants of the successors of Pulakesi II of the Cālukva dynastv of Mahārāstra, who ascended the throne in the year 610 A C, speak of his having defeated or remained unsubdued by Harsavardhana, the sovereign lord of the whole of Uttarapatha or Northern India, and obtained in consequence the title of Paramesvara or sovereign lord. This is confirmed by what the Chinese pilgrim Hwhan Thsang, who travelled in India from 629 A C to 645 A C, tells us about the prince Siladitya, as Harsavardhana is also named by him, invited the ablest generals, and sending a large army under them, and on one occasion taking the command himself, fought with the people of Mahārāstra who were at that time ruled over by Pulakesi, but he was not able to conquer them Now, the point to be considered with reference to such a book as the Rajatarangini is that though the author is to be considered a contemporary historian so far as the period in which he lived is concerned, what were his authorities for the history of previous times? He does mention previous writers and speak of having consulted eight historical works he begins his history with Gonarda I, who was the contemporary of Yudhisthira, and gives three names after him. The next 35 princes are, he says, unknown by name, and then mentions 13 This is the period for which, he says, he did not find more

full authorities, and mentions the books from which he got the 17 names given by him. The next period begins in 1184 B. C., when a prince of the name of Gonarda III ascended the throne The history is then carried on by Kalhana without a break up to his own times. One of the princes, however, is represented by him to have reigned for 300 years, and the average duration of the reigns of the princes in the different groups is sometimes 48 years, cometimes 38. When it is remembered that this varies from 18 to 22 only, the chronology of Kalhana in the older portion of his history must be considered as not reliable. Though it appears very probable that he himself did not put on paper anything for which he found no authority, the works he consulted cannot be considered to be quite reliable themselves looking generally to the manner in which the text of old works gets corrupt in the course of time, this is perfectly intelligible Still, since Kalhana mentions his having used inscriptions, and edicts or proclamations of kings, and states with what public works in Kasmir the names of some of the princes are connected and makes specific statements about them of another nature, the narrative portion of his history should, I think, be considered generally reliable, and also the chronology of the period nearer to his own time. But the older chronology and even to a smaller extent the latter require rectification, as we have seen from the internal evidence, and there is ample external evidence also For Kalhana mentions three Turuska or Scythian kings who reigned before his historical period, t e before 1184 B C and whose names he gives as Huska, Juska, and Kanişka are coins of all these kings, and inscriptions also, from which it appears that the last was the founder of the dynasty Kalhana mentions Buddhism as flourishing in Kaśmīr during the reigns of these monarchs, and represents them to have constructed monasteries in a country in the vicinity which appears to me to be Afghanistan Now here, Buddhistic records and traditions, which represent Kaniska as a great patron of the religion, confirm Kalhana's account But his chronology is entirely wrong

For, from the evidence of the inscriptions, coins, and Buddhistic traditions, Kaniska has been placed about the end of the first century of the Christian era; and I have found reason to refer him to the middle of the second Similarly, a comparison with Chinese chronology, which is believed to be very accurate, has led to a correction in the date of a later prince named Lalitaditya, who conquered Yasovarman, the sovereign of Kanoj and the patron of Bhavabhūti and Vākpatīrāja, the author of the Gaudavadha, and who, according to Kalhana's chronology, reigned from 695 to 732 A C The Chinese account represents his brother who was king before him to have sent an embassy to China in 713 A C, while according to Kalhana that brother died in 691 A. C. But even supposing that the Chinese account is not correct, Prof Jacobi has recently calculated the date of an annular eclipse of the sun which is represented in the Gaudavadha to have occurred about the time Yasovarman's position in his kingdom was shaken, apparently by a foreign invader whom that scholar understands to be Lalitaditya The eclipse occurred on the 14th of August 733 1. c and reached its middle at Kanoj at 40 minutes after 4 o'clock in the afternoon Now, if the danger to Yasovarman's position that is spoken of was really that caused by Lalitaditya, which appears indeed very likely, Lalitaditya must have been living and in the height of his glory in 733 A C, while the Răjatarangini represents him to have died in 732 a C

Here I have given you a specimen of the sort of criticism to which books or documents of a professedly historical character ought to be subjected. As to the other class of works—the Rāmāyana, the Mahābhārata, and all poems, plays, and religious books such as the Vedas,—though the narrative therein contained is not historical, still they are of great historical value in so far as they place before us the thoughts and feelings, the aims and aspirations, and the manners and customs of the people at or, possibly in some cases, before the time when they were written, and thus present to us a picture of the life and civilization of the

period. If, for instance, woven or sewn garments, ships, chariots, and weapons of war such as a sword and an ave, are incidentally mentioned in the Rgycda, it must be inferred that these were used at the time when the hymns, in which they are mentioned, were composed, and the arts of manufacturing them were known and practised. If the Atharvaveda tells us that "when a woman has had one husband before, and gets another, they shall not be separated if they ofter the ajapaneaudana offering," we may safely infer that the practice of widow-marriages existed in those days. We may arrive at the same conclusion, and also infer the existence of polygamy in some cases at least and the absence of polyandry from the words of the Attareya Brahmana that "one I man I may have many wives, but one [woman] cannot have many husbands simultaneously." When we find that the Mantras or formulas contained in the Rgveda which the bridegroom addresses to the bride on the occasion of marriage contain allusions to the indissoluble character of the union into which they have entered and to their bringing up a family of children, it must be supposed that when these Mantras or formulas were composed, the two parties to the marriage were not children but capable of understanding and appreciating the sense of what one says to the other When in the Ramayana and Mahabharata Ksatriya girls are represented as calling a meeting of their possible lovers and choosing that one from among them that they liked. the custom must be considered as existing at or before the time when they were written In Bāna's Kādambarī the Oueen Vilāsavati is spoken of as going to the temple of Mahākāla and hearing the Mahabharata read. This shows that our present custom of reading that work and others of the kind in temples for the edification of visitors existed in the middle of the seventh century after Christ, when Bana wrote, and his mentioning the Bhagavadgita as a part of the Mahabhārata shows that the work is older than the seventh century and formed an episode of the epic then as it does now. From the fact that Rama, the hero of that very

popular epic the Rāmāyana, is represented as a rigid adherent of truth, regardless of the worldly sacrifices that such a course entails, as unswerving in his attachment and fidelity to his one wife, and as possessed of such equanimity and firmness of character that "when he was invited to his father's palace to be installed as Crown Prince, but was instead sent to live in a forest, divested of all worldly greatness and enjoyments, there was not the slightest change observable in his countenance," the conclusion is legitimate that the higher Hindu mind loved and admired these virtues. A wife's faithful devotion to her husband and her following him cheerfully through dire vicissitudes of fortune, with her love and respect for him unabated, were equally objects of admiration with Hindus, as is shown by the characters of Sitā, Damayantī and Tārā.

Perhaps the distinction between the ways in which the two classes of works are used for historical puroses, will be best illustrated by a specimen of the mistakes which writers in the vernacular papers make on the point One such writer, waxing warm in the course of a dissertation against the Poona High School for Girls said, "What, had we not learned women in ancient times such as Gārgī, Sıtā, and Tārā? But did they attend a High School? What then is the necessity of a High School in these days?" And on another occasion in that same paper, another or the same writer in giving advice to the women of these days said something to this effect, "You are ambitious of rivalling Gârgī, Sītā, and Tārā, but you should attend to your domestic duties first " I do not remember the exact words now, but this is certain that the writer made no distinction between Gargi, and Sītā or Tārā as historical personages Sītā and Tārā occur in poetical or legendary works, and though they may have existed, we have no grounds for believing that they did are not represented as possessed of learning, though they were educated women, but supposing they were, all that it would prove is that in those times it was possible for women to become learned, and that some did become so But the existence of Gargi

as a historical personage, as a woman of learning, and as a Vedic teacher, is not a matter of reasonable doubt. For a Rgyedin Brahman has, as a portion of his daily religious exercises, to recite portions of the Vedas and other sacred treatises, and pour water in the name of and, technically, for the satisfaction of three classes of beings, viz. deitics, Rsis, and Acaryas This is prescribed in the Grhya Sutra of Aśvalayana, and the names are The Rsis mentioned are the composers of the Rgveda hymns, - Grtsamada, Viśvāmitra, Vasistha &c , and since these hymns exist, their authors also must have existed. The Acaryas are the Vedic teachers, or writers as we should call them in these days, and in the list there are the names of authors of works called Brāhmanas and Sūtras, some of which have come down to our times Thus, Śākalya whose name occurs in the list was the author of the existing Pada text of the Rgveda, Pingale wrote a treatise on the sacrificial rites which is mentioned by the grammarians, Aśvalayana did the same and his work is now extant, Aitareya and Samkhyayana were the authors of Brāhmanas which are extent, Saunaka wrote the Rgveda Prātiśākhya, and Bāskala and Sākala were the names of teachers of two different recensions of the Rgveda All these being historical personages, the three women, Gargi Vacaknavi, Sulabha Maitreyi, and Vadavā Prātitlieyi, whose names occur in that same list of Acaryas or teachers, must be so likewise, thought their works have not come down to us

Since then it is from our ancient literature that we have to find out the history of our people, it becomes a thing of the greatest importance to determine the form in which the works we are concerned with, were written by their authors. The readings of passages are not the same in different manuscripts of the same work. A variety of this nature we find to a pretty large extent even in ordinary works such as the poems and dramatic plays. Sometimes, numbers of the whole stanzas are to be found in certain manuscripts and not in others, as in the case of Kālidāsa's Sakuntala. But in the Rāmāyana and the

Mahābhārata, the two most important works of the non-Vedic period, the differences are bewildering. No two manuscripts from different parts of the country agree Often there are, in some, whole chapters which are omitted in others new stories or legends are found. It is, therefore, the duty of the critical scholar to collect Manuscripts from different parts of the country and collate them, with a view to arrive at a correct In the performance of this task, which often is very laborious, he ought to be guided by definite principles in choosing or rejecting a certain reading or a certain passage, see whether it gives good sense, whether it agrees with the context, whether it is in keeping with the author's general way of thinking, whether it is found in the oldest manuscripts, whether the idea or mode of expression was current in the author's time, whether it involves redundance or tautology, and so on. some parts of India such as Bengal, people have been in the habit of taking very great liberties with the text of their author; and in Southern India they have been as a general rule very con-This fact I would take into account in determining the correct text of a work When, however, the differences are very great, the best way is to treat the texts found in different provinces as independent editions or recensions what the old Indian Vedic scholars did In the Vedic texts also different readings sprang up in the course of time, and one active cause of this was the fact that they were handed down But when scholars like Sākalya, Śaunaka, Kātyāyana, and others arose and gave a definite form to the Vedic literature, they took into account these differences and established separate recensions or editions of the texts, known to us now by the name of Śākhās, which represent the forms of the text taught in different schools, such as those of Śākala, Bāskala, the Taittirīyas, the Maitravaniyas, the Kanvas, the Madhyandinas &c business of a scholar of the present day to compare these several texts, as well as the several recensions of later works, determine the circumstances under which they arose and their mutual relations, literary as well as chronological, and find out which of them have a greater claim to be considered genuine and original.

Now as to the mode of interpretation of the texts so settled. The first rule is that a word as occurring in a book must be interpreted in the sense which usage has given to it may serve as a guide; but it ought never to be set_above usage Consequently, no word should be understood in an etymological sense only Oftentimes it is difficult to find the correct etymology, and a man has recourse to one that is fanciful interpretation of a book based on such fanciful etymologies must be incorrect Then again, the literature of a country is divisible into periods, and the usage of one period differs from that of A word, therefore, occurring in a certain book, should be understood in the sense which it has in the usage of the period in which the book was written. A better way still is to interpret it in the sense in which the author himself uses it in other parts of his work Our, oldest literary period is that of the Vedas, and this again is clearly divisible into the period of the hymns and the period of the Brāhmanas The lauguage of the hymns is archaic and very different from the later Sanskrit, that of the Brāhmanas is much nearer to the classical Sanskrit hymns contain a great many words which do not occur in later Sanskrit, and there are also a good many which have a different sense there from that which they have in the latter Under these circumstances, the only proper way to understand the hymns is to bring together and compare the passages in which the same word occurs, taking etymology as a guide only where necessary. In the same manner, the ideas and modes of thinking which from our acquaintance with the period we have seen to be prevalent should be referred to for help in interpreting a passage If, instead of resorting to these methods, we take an isolated passage and interpret it according to modern usage, modern ideas, and fanciful or even true etymology, we may make it mean anything, and we shall thus find in the Yedas not only pure theism,

but even railways and electric telegraphs These observations are also applicable to works belonging to other periods

Having disposed of books and other written documents, I will now endeavour to estimate the value of traditions accept traditions as we find them, we shall often be deceived There is a tradition among us, for instance, that Bhavabhūti and Kālidāsa were contemporaries, but we have now found that Bhavabhūti lived in the first quarter of the eighth century of the Christian Era, and Kālidāsa long before 634 A C, since he is mentioned as a famous poet in an inscription bearing that date and also by Bana who lived in the middle of the seventh century Bhavabhūti, it has recently been discovered, was a pupil of Kumārılabhatta, and Kumārılabhatta quotes from Kalıdasa's Śākuntala Similarly, the authors, Dhanvantarin, Ksapanaka, Amarasimha, Kālidāsa, Varāhamihira, Vararuci, and others who formed the tarditional nine gems, are said to have lived at the court of Vikramādītya the founder of the Samvat Era, the initial date of which is 57 B C But Varāhamihira, as stated by Āmarāja in bis commentary on Brahmagupta's Khandakhadya, died in Sala 509 or 587 A C, and the epoch year of his Pañcasiddhantika is 427 Śaka cotresponding to 505 A C, and the existence of Vikaramāditya in 57 B C has not yet been traced Alberuni, who accompanied Mahmud of Ghazm in his invasion of Gujarāt in the early part of the eleventh century, reports a tradition that the Gupta era dates from the extermination of the Gupta dynasty of the Gupta dynasty date their inscriptions in their era, which therefore, was in use before their extermination makes Pānini a contemporary of Kātyāyana, and represents him as having been a blockhead who by austerities pleased Siva and obtained a new grammar from him Kātyāyana held a disputation with him for eighty days and, though Pānini paoved a powerful antagonist, he was ultimately vanquished. The fact, however, as we know it, is that Kātvāvana is the writer of Vārtikas or comments on Pānini's grammar, in which he explains, rectifies, and supplements Pānini's rules. It was in consequence of his

having thus rectified and supplemented Panini that the story of his having vanquished him must have arisen,—and traditions often have a basis of this nature and no better—but it is impossible that he should have written those comments, a good many of which are explanatory on the work of a contemporary Besides, from a comparison of the works of the two, it appears that the Sanskrit language was in a somewhat more archaic condition in the time Hence, therefore, the tradition cannot be true, and there are also inherent improbabilities in it Sometimes the tradition of one sect contradicts that of another The Svetāmbara lamas, for instance, claim to represent the original Jamism and speak of the Digambara sect as having been founded by one Sivaliuti in 83 A C, and give childish explanations of the origin of the two peculiar doctrines of that sect, viz nudity and denial of absolution to women The Digambaras, on the other hand, represent themselves to be the original Jainas, and state that /a sect of the name of Ardhaphālaka separated from them about 272 B C, and out of that arose after a long time the Svetambara sect Still, however, traditions are not to be entirely rejected. endeavour should be made to ascertain their antiquity, as their credibility must be considered to be proportionate themselves probable and and if they are in stand all critical tests, they may be provisionally accepted. Thus, the tradition about the nine gems has been traced up to the year 1,005 Samvat or 948 A D In an inscription bearing that date, found at Buddha Gaya, it is stated that Vikramaditya was a renowned monarch in whose court there were nine learned men celebrated under the epithet of the Nava-ratnani or nine jewels of whom Amaradeva was one That portion of the tradition which refers to Vikramāditya's being a great patron of learning ascends still higher into antiquity. In the introduction to Vāsavadattā, a work mentioned by Bāna in his Harshacarita and consequently written before the middle of the seventh century, its author Subandhu, who also is mentioned by name as a previous poet in the Gaudavadha by Vākpatirāja,—who lived in

the middle of the eighth century, -speaks regretfully of the sweet or tasteful poesy of the time of Vikramāditya, having, when he lived, dried up like the waters of a lake which once was full. And the manner of his regret, looks like that of one whe lived near to the times of Vikramaditya But nothing has yet been found to confirm the date, and it occurs only in the Jyotirvidabharana, the author of which pretends to be the great Kālidāsa, but which has been satisfactorlly proved to be but a recent forgery by all scholars who have noticed it. Since the tradition is thus confirmed, it may be accepted as correct, but the date of the nine jewels and of their patron Vikramaditya should be taken to be that which we have got for Varāhamihira on unimpeachable evidence. And a great king of the name of Harsa Vikramādītya is mentioned by Kalhana in the Rajatarangini as a paramount sovereign ruling at Ujjayını He drove away Sakas and all Mlecchas from the country, and was a great patron of learning. According to Kalhana's chronology which in this palce is, as I have already observed, very faulty, this Vikramāditya lived in the first half of the second century of the Christian era tion of the king suits so well the patron of the nine gems, that we may correct the date assigned by Kalbana in the light of that of Varāhamihira, and suppose Harsa Vikramāditva to have reigned at Ujjayını in the middle of the sixth century and patronized Kālidāsa, Amarasimha, Varāhamihira, and the rest And nothing that has yet been discovered goes against the contemporaneity of those three, so that the tradition, when corrected as regards the date of Vikramāditya, agrees with everything that is known and seems highly probable. It may incidentally be observed here that Subandhu appears thus to have lived after Vikramāditya and before Bana, that is between 550 and 650 A c And this is in keeping with his regretful mode of expression noticed above, and both together lend strong support to another tradition that he was the son of a sister of Vararuci, one of the nine gems of Vikramaditya's Court But the chief use of a tradition is to confirm, corroborate, and strengthen other evidence, and it should

not be put in the place of such evidence. This will be illustrated further on

I will now proceed to give instances of our method from philology and point out the errors due to superficial analogies. People seem to think that mere external similarity between a word in one language and another in another language is enough to enable us to decide that the two are one and the same word. Thus I have heard the word Dutch, (Germ) Deutsch, traced to the Sanskrit word Dailya, which means 'a demon' or 'an enemy of the gods', Taittuiya identified with Tartar, Caspian with Rasyapa, and Chitpavan or Chipte with Copt or Gypt. It is inelancholy to see such etymologies and a great many others of the same nature put forth without the slightest evidence, and against all the rules of philological comparison. Now there are languages which bear a close affinity to each other, and there are others which do not Words in a language belonging to the former group observe certain laws in assuming the forms which they possess in another belonging to the same group Thus the High German in which we have the word Deut, sch being an adjectival termination, is related to Sanskrit But consonantal sounds assume certain forms in German And there is a law with reference to that point known by the name of Grimm's law The German d corresponds to th in the Gothic, with which also low German or Anglo-saxon, t e, the modern English agrees, and to t in Sanskrit Thus German diet is English three, and Sanskrit fa, Germ dass, Eng that, Skr तद, Germ du, Eng thou, Skr न्व in न्वम, Germ durch, Eng through, Skr तिरम, Germ durst, Eng thirst, Skr नृष्, i e, तर्ष &c Similarly, Germ t is Eng d and Skr &, as in the instances, Germ mit, old H G mutte, Eng nud, A S midde, Skr मध्य, Germ tag Eng day, Skr some such word as yes. You will thus see that there is a law which determines the forms that Sanskrit words should assume in German, and that law ought to give to daitya some such from as teudh, so that we should not allow ourselves to be deceived by the mere similarity of daitya and deut. Besides, from all the

48 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol I.]

observation that the great founders of comparative philology have made, they have come to the conclusion that the affinity between the Sanskrit and the European languages dates from prehistoric times, i e, is due to the fact that the ancestors of us all spoke one and the same language before they separated and formed distinct nationalities This was long before the time when the Vedas were composed Now the word daitya does not occur in the Vedas and was formed later How could such a word be found in the German, not having existed in the Sanskrit itself a long time after the Aryan separation? There are, indeed, instances in historical times of independent Aryan communities having again been brought into connection with each other and exchanged a few later words Thus our astronomical works, and especially those of Varāhamihira, contain several Greek terms, as will be hereafter noticed If we suppose the word daitya to have been communicated to the Germans by us in historic times, is there any record anywhere of our having gone into the forests of Germany and established a kingdom there, as we have of the Bactrian Greeks having established one in the Panjab? And are there more such instances? None has been pointed out other etymologies must also bo similarly condemned to suppose that the students of the Taittiriya recension of the Yajurveda came from Tartary, and our Chitpavan Brahmans from Egypt, and that these foreigners were admitted into our exclusive Hindu community and assigned the highest place? Is there a tittle of evidence to show that? The Jews came to our country in very carly times, and the Parsis later, but have they become incorporated with our community, taken our Gotras, and become students of our Vedas?

There are many instances of false and unscientific Marathi etymologies in our books. Unless you resort to comparison and historic observation and discover laws which explain the particular case before you, your etymology must be empiric. Thus our ordinary root an 'to sit' his been traced to Skr an 'to dwell'. Of course a and a constantly interchange places in our languages

and there is no difficulty on that point. The sense, however, of पस is not appropriate, but even that is no great objection. Still, if we have recourse to observation and comparison, we shall find that this etymology is wrong. इस has another form वैस, and since the tendency of languages is always to drop an element and not add, an is an older form of the root, and that it is a form of that same root and not an independent root is shown by such contractions as महस्र 'a she-bullalo' for महेस, जसा 'as' for जैसा, तसा 'so' for तैसा &c Again, the analogy of the cognate languages also shows that बेस is the real form of the root, for the Gujarati has बेस, and the Hindi बेस, though बेठ 15 more common. Marathi, Hindi and Gujarati have descended from the old Prakrits, and in the first two and in the last is a combination of the vowels a and g, brought together by the dropping away of uninitial consonants, which forms a characteristic of the Prakrits, as will be seen from the following instances -

So that चैस or चेस must be बहस in Prakrit or बहस, since ब and ब are always interchangeable. Now, we know that Skr इपविश्व which means 'to sit' appears in the Prakrit in the form of इवहस, प being changed to ब as a general rule of which there are many instances, and ब being dropped as in कह for कवि, रह for रवि &c. Then the initial vowel द is dropped since it is unaccented, as it is in the following cases:—

Skr अभ्यन्तर 'inside', H भीतर, M भितर — अरघट्ट 'a water-wheel', H रहट, M रहाट

Here and in the following pages M = Marathi, H = Rindi, Ap = Apabhraméa, G = Gujarati, P = Panjabi, S = Sindhi, B = Bengali, and O = Oriya

Skr अभ्यञ्जन 'to be soaked', H. भीजना, M भिज्ञणें, G भिज्ञहुं

- एकस्यं 'collected', Hकट्टा
- उपाध्याय 'a teacher, 'a priest', M पाध्या, P पाधे
- उपरि 'above', M वर

Here then, there is an analogy at every step, and the M वस is thus derived from the Skr. उपविद्या

Another instance of the necessity of a close observation and comparison of facts is afforded by the derivation of masculine nouns ending in आ in Marathi and Hindi. It is supposed that the आ of these is a remnant of the ओ of masculine nouns in Prakrit, such as हत्या, गुणो &c But the question is, by what rules of transformation does ओ become आ, and why is it that a great many nouns such as हात 'hand', पाच 'feet,' कान 'ear', and others are without it? Besides, in such a language as the Sindhi, those nouns which end in आ in Hindi and Marathi end in short उ, while those ending in आ have ओ for their final, as हुआ, कह for हाथ, कान &c and घोडो 'a horse,' for घोडा, मजो 'a bed-stead' for माचा, मयो 'head', 'top' for माया &c The correct way of finding the origin of these forms in आ appears to me to be the following -

In modern vernacular pronunciation there is a law of accentuation which has produced important results. The penultimate syllable of a word is in all our dialects pronounced with a stress the tendency of which is to lengthen that syllable and drop the final yowel, and in most of them this tendency has worked itself out. The preceding yowel, however, is not always written long, but still the long or at least the emphasized pronunciation does exist. Thus you written is pronounced by us as you in M, you in H you a flower as you are, 'strength' as \frac{1}{4000} (baal), here 'god of love' as here (madain), &c. In these instances though we write the penultimate you of short it is really long. The final z or z of Sanskrit ords recently imported into the languages have been dropped in intue of this law of accentuation. Thus—

M H G पद्धत 'method', 'mode', Skr. पद्धति

M H G P गत 'condition', Skr गति

M G विपत, H P 'विपत', 'misery', Skr विपत्ति

M H P G. B fla 'manner', Skr fla.

M H G P. B जात, 'species', 'caste', Skr जाति

M H कीर्त, H G P कीरत, 'fame', Skr कीर्ति

M H P G रास 'a heap', Skr राशि

M H. P G नीत 'mortality', Skr नीति

M G वस्त, H P बस्त, 'a thing', Skr वस्तु

H. P. साध 'a good man', Skr साधु

M G H P Hu 'honey', Skr Hy

Not only does this law characterise the vernacular speech of the day, but it must have been in operation for centuries, since the old Prakrit words, which like the above have not recently been imported but have descended to the modern languages from the spoken dialects of ancient times, have also been similarly changed. Thus—

M B भूक, H G B भूख, P भुक्ख, O. मोक 'hunger', Pr बुहुक्खा, Skr बुभुक्षा

М Н Р G जीн, В О जिम, S जिम, 'tongue', Pr जिल्मा, Skr जिल्ला

H P सेज, M G जेज, S सेज सेजा, 'a bed', Pr सेज्जा, Skr ज्ञाया

M भीक, H G भीख, P भिक्ख or भीख, B O भिक, 'alms', Pr भिक्खा, Skr भिक्षा

M नीज, H. P नींद, S निंड, 'sleep', Pr निद्दा, Skr निद्रा

M सोंड, H सूड, G सूड, P सुड, B O जूंड, सूढि 'trunk of an elephant', Pr सोण्डा, Skr शुण्डा

M G दाह, H हाह, 'a jaw', 'a grinder', Pr दाहा, Skr दृष्टा

H. S साथ, P साद् or साथ, O साथ, 'wish', 'longing', Pr सद्धा' Skr. M G धूळ, H धूल, S धूहि, B O धूला, P धूस, 'dust', Pr धाले. Skr धूलि

M बहिण or भैण, H भैण, बहिन or बहन, G बेन, B बोन, 'sister', Pr भहणी, Skr मगिनी

M महैस, H भैंस, G भैंस, P मैंह or भैंस, 'a she-buffalo', Pr महिसी, Skr. महिपी

H P कोख, G कुख, M कूस, 'a side of the abdomen', Pr कुविख, Skr क्राक्षि

M सवत, H सौत, 'a fellow wife', Pr सवत्ती, Skr सपत्नी

M खाण, H खान, 'a mine', Pr खणि, Skr खनि

H सास, P सस्स, M G सासू, 'motner-in-law', Pr सरह, Skr

M G बीज, P बिज्ज, 'lightning', Pr विज्जू, Skr वि त्

M ऊस, H ऊख or ईख, P इक्ख, Pr उच्छु, Skr. इस्र

H G आंख, P अक्ख, B O आखि, 'the eye', Pr अक्खि, Skr, आक्षि

M G B O हाह, H हाह, हप्नु or हड्डी, P हप्नु or हड्डी, P अही, Skr आस्थि

In this manner the final आ, इ, ई, उ and ऊ of Sanskrit and Prakrit nouns have, in almost all cases, been dropped in the vernaculars or changed to a silent अ Final ओ is similarly treated. Even in the Apabhramsa period this rule of accentuation must have prevailed, since the ending vowels are similarly shortened in a good many cases. The Prakrit ओ of the nominative singular of misculine nouns is mostly shortened to उ in that dialect, and sometimes altogether dropped, The modern vernaculars have thus got a great many masculine nouns ending in the silent अ, such as हात or हाथ 'hand', यान 'ear', हात 'tooth', धीट 'bold', बह 'the Banyan tree' When the final vowel is preceded by another, and not by a consonant as in these words and the others given in the above lists, that other vowel, being accented by our rule, shows a tendency to become long, and the original final being dropped, the recented vowel becomes final. Thus—

Str मीर्नियम 'a pearl, Pr मीर्निय, M मोर्ता, G P. II मोती-

Skr पानीयम 'water', Pr पाणिल, M. G. पाणी, H. पानी

Shr. क्यिश 'a flowering buch', Pr. मुहिआ, M H G सही or मुई

Skr पोटिका 'a mare' Pr. पोडिका, M G. II P बोटी

Skr शाहिका 'a garment', Pr माहिआ, M G H साडी

Sl.r स्तिरा 'cuth', Pr मिनआ, मिट्टिआ or मिट्टिआ, M माती, H

Skr तिलक 'a seller of oil', Pr and Ap तेल्लिओ-उ, M तेली

Skr. नाषित 'a burber', Pr नापिओ or नहाथिओ. Ap. नाथिउ, M नहाथी, H नार्ट

Skr इध्विक '1 scorpion', Pr विञ्छुओ, Ap विञ्छुड, M विज्यू, H P विष्टु, S विद्यु, B O विद्या

Skr. एका 'a louse', Pr जुका, II P G जू, M क

Skr यादिसा 'an enclosie', Pi वादिआ or वाहिआ, M G H वाही, B वादी

Skr बीटिया 'a roll of betel leaf, &c ', Pr बीडिआ, M बिडी, G बिडी, H बीडी.

Skr. जीव 'life', Pr जीओ, Ap जीउ, H जी

Skr लोहितम 'blood', Pr लोहिअ, G लोही, H P लोह

Skr जलांका 'a leech', Pr जलांआ, M जलू, G जलो

Skr बालुका 'sand', Pr बालुआ, M G बालू, H बालू

Thus then, the Sanskrit and Prakrit penultimate vowels become final in the vernaculars and, being originally accented in consequence of the law we have been considering, retain that accent in most cases, and are thus lengthened. When the penultimate happens to be at it is lengthened to are, as in

Skr घोटक 'a horse', Pr घोडओ, Ap घोडउ, M H P B O घोडा

Skr पारद 'mercury', Pr पारओ, Ap पारउ, M H P B O पारा

Skr आमलक 'a kind of myrobalan', Pr आमलओ, Ap आवलड, M. आंवळा, H P B आंवला or आमला

384

Skr आम्रातक 'hog-plum myrobalan', Pr अस्माहओ ?, Ap. सम्बा-इ.स. अंबाहा, H अंबाहा

Skr विमीतक 'beleric myrobalan', Pr वहेंडओ, Ap वहेंडउ, M वेहडा, H P बहेडा

Skr. प्रसादम 'a volume', Pr पोत्थओ, Ap पोत्थउ, H P पोथा

Skr कपटक 'a thorn', Pr कपटओ, Ap कपटउ, M H B कांटा

Skr गोलक 'a ball', Pr गोलओ, Ap गोलउ, M P गोळा, H B.

Skr शालक 'brother-in-law', Pr सालओ, Ap सालउ, M H साळा, H साला

Skr दीपक 'a lamp', Pr दीवओ, Ap दीवउ, M दिवा, P दीवा, H B. दिया

Skr मञ्चक 'a bedstead', Pr मञ्जा, Ap मञ्चल, M मांचा.

Shr मस्तकम 'head, Pr मत्थय, M (Goan Mal and Chit) मार्था, by a change of gender, Pr मत्थओ, Ap मत्थड, M H B माथा, P मत्था

Skr क्टकम् 'a wristlet', Pr कहज, M (Goan Mal and Chit)

Skr कीटक 'a worm', Pr कीडओ, Ap कीडउ, M किहा, H P. कीडा, B कीडा

It may be urged that in modern pronunciation when the penultimate a is accented, it does not become an, even though pronounced long, as observed before. How is it then that it becomes an here? In modern times several new modes of pronunciation have arisen, but as regards the matter in hand, to lengthen a into an was the old process. And often when the old processes have disappeared from what is considered as the standard form of a language, they are found preserved in some dialect of that language. Thus, while in the standard Marathi the penultimate a is simply pronounced long, it becomes an in the Gornese and Malvani dialects.

Thus :-

St. M	Māl. Goan.
पातळ 'a garment'.	पाताळ.
कापद 'cloth'	कापाह
वतन 'hereditary property'.	वतान.
जतन 'careful preservation'.	जतान
धोतर 'a garment'	धोतार.
खहप 'a rock'.	खडाप.

In this manner, then, the penultimate of became off in consequence of the accent and, the final vowel being dropped, itself became the final, and has preserved its accent. Thus the nouns ending in 31 in Marathi and Hindi are derived from Sanskrit nouns with the penultimate and final syllables ending in a The consonant of the final syllable is dropped in the Prakrits, and the vowel अ is together with the nominative termination changed to ओ This off, being unaccented, is first shortened to a in the Apabhramsa dialect, and afterwards entirely dropped, while the accented a of the penultimate syllable is lengthened to sa and becomes the final. In most cases the final syllable is a applied in Sanskrit to modify the original sense in some way. Instances of those nouns which are seen to have as as the ending syllable in Sanskrit have been given above, together with their Marathi and Hindi forms in sa And in those cases in which the Sanskrit forms of other Marathi and Hindi words in off are not seen with a final of, it must have been applied to them in the spokeen language, since Pānini gives a very general rule as to the addition of to all nouns, and we actually find its remnant of in a great many words, including past and present participles in the Prakrits I have spent so much time on this instance in order to give you an idea of the extent to which it is sometimes necessary to make close observation, discover analogies, and trace the operation of laws, to enable us to arrive at correct etymologies A mere hap-hazard assertion without any proof is unscientific and will not do in philology any more than in other subjects

49 [R. G Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. L]

In connection with this matter of insufficient or superficial analogies, I may mention that those who are engaged in the studies I have been speaking about are peculiarly open to their influence, especially when they lead to or support a theory which is striking. Thus, the Rāmāyana is supposed by some to represent the stuggles between the Brahmanas of India and the Buddhists of Ceylon, that the Rāksasas that disturb the rites of the Brāhmaņas in the Dandakāranya are Buddhists, that the red clothes worn by the priests at the magic rites of Indraut are the brown garments of Buddhist mendicants &c Again, Sīta's ravishment is the same incident as the ravishment of Helen, and Śiva's bow which Rāma bent is the bow of Ulysses Therefore, Valmiki must have been influenced by Homeric ideas, and the poem written after the Hindus came in contact with the Greeks. I cannot stop to give you a detailed account of this controversy, but will observe that if such analogies are to be used as arguments in favour of a certain theory, an antiquarian in mote future may declare that the Maratha Hindus had no family names before the British conquered India, but that they learned to use them, having seen the British doing Hence some of their family names are but mere tanslations of British names, thus Gore or Dhavale is a translation of White, Kale, of Black Landge, of Wolf, Kolhe of Fox, Parvate or Dongre, of Hill, Barve, of Wells, Gole, of Ball, Ghate of Bell, &c But as we now know that we had these names before we heard of the British, the theory cannot be advocated at the present day And to my mind the analogies about the ravishment of the wife of another and the bending of a heavy bow are more natural and less due to intercommunication than this close correspondence of family names. For, what incident is more common in an early condition of society than for one powerful man to take away forcibly the wife of another? Even in a highly civilized condition of society the incident is not uncommon, though the forces used are of a more delicate nature The same remark applies to a heavy bow. And the state of society pictured in the

Rămāyana is very archaic, the whole Maratha country was a forest infested by Rāksasas or savages, the ancestors of our Bhils and Gonds, and the gentler races of the South were so uncultivated that they were compared to monkeys Valmiki does not mention a single Maratha town, while in the Mahābhārata the names of Karhad and Supara occur. He has heard a few names of places in Southern India, but does not seem to be familiar with the geography of the country, while, in the third and second centuries before the Christian era, the Aryas became more familiar with the South, as we see from the inscriptions of Aśoka, Patañjali's Mahābhāsya, and Buddhistic tradition And to this must be added the weight of the tradition which represents Vālmīki as the first or earliest poet of the non-Vedic Sanskrit Similarly resemblances have been traced between the ideas expressed in the Bhagavadgītā and those expressed in the New Testament, and a Christian influence detected in that work. But a good many of these resemblences are more apparent than real, the whole tone and manner of the Gitā are different from those of the New Testament, and most of the notions suspected to be borrowed from the Bible are found expressed in the Upanisads and such older works, as has been shown by the late Dr Muir Scholars seem sometimes, when they have to advocate a theory, to forget our common humanity to which a great deal that is common in our notions must be attributed.

On the other hand, when the evidence is irrefragable it is unscholarlike to deny foreign influence. For instance, the Indian astronomical works written during the first five centuries contain several. Greek terms. The names of the twelve signs of the Zodiac are translations of the Greek names, and the original Greek names even are given by Varāhamihira in the following Āryā quoted by Bhau Daji.

क्रियतादुरुजितुमञ्ज्ञलीरलेयपार्थोनजूककौर्प्याख्या । तौक्षिक आकोकेरो हृद्रोगश्चेत्यसि क्रमश ॥

Where we have Kriya i e Krios, Tāvuru i e Tauros, Jituma i. e. Didymos, Leya i. e Léon, Pārthona i c Parthénos, Jūka

1 e Zygon, Kaurpya 1 e Scorpios, Taukshika 1. e Toxotês, Akokero 1 e Aigókerôs, Hrdroga 1 e Hydrochoos, Itthasi 1 e. Some of the other terms are Heli 'the sun' 1. c. Ichthy's Helios, Kona i e Kronos, Kendra i e Kéntron, Jamitra i e Diametron &c Altogether there are 36 such terms are not Sanskrit words, and to endeavour to give them an unnatural Sanskrit etymology is vain and unscholarlike the clearest evidence of the close connection between Hindus and Greeks from about the third century before Christ to the first after Christ, in the inscriptions of Asoka and others, in coins which bear the names of Bactrian Greek monarchs, in Greek as well as Indian characters, and in Buddhistic literature Garga as quoted by Varāhamihira says — "The Yavanas are Mlecchas among whom this Sastra (1 e astronomy and astrology) is well known, they even are worshipped like Rsis". The Greeks were at that time called Yavanas, for in an inscription of Aśoka, Antiochus king of Syria is called a Yonaraja So also Milinda who reigned at Śākala in the Paniab and who has been identified with the Bactro-Greek monarch Menandros is called a Yona king in Pali books The Hindus had their own astronomy before they came in contact with the Greeks, but they borrowed from the latter what was necessary for their own further progress Prof Weber thus expresses himself on the point -" Although most of these names denote astrological relations, still, on the other hand, in the division of the heavens into Zodiacal signs, decani, and degrees, they comprise all that the Hindus lacked, and that was necessary to enable them to cultivate astronomy in a scientific sprint And accordingly we find that they turned these Greek nids to good account, rectifying, in the first place, the order of their lunar asterisms, which was no longer in accordance with reality, so that the two which came last in the old order occupy the two first places in the new, and even, it would seem, in some points independently advancing astronomical science turcher than the Greeks themselves did."

I will now give a specific instance to show how previous history enables us to understud the nature of a thing, though what I have hitherto said in connection with other points contains a good deal calculated to illustrate this also. At present we use an era which is called Śālivāhana Śaka, and ordinarrly we understand the word Saka in the sence of 'an era,' and believe that the era was founded by a king of the name of Salivahana But the word Saka has not the sense of an era in the Sanskrit language, and the expression Śālivāhana Śaka has been in use for about three or four hundred years only Before that, and even in some books of the subsequent period, the expression used is Śakakāla. Varāhamihira calls it Sakendrakāla or Šakabhūpakāla, i e. the era of the Saka king. In an inscription dated 500 Saka, it is called the era of the coronation of the Saka king, and in another dated 556 Śaka, the era of Śaka kings In all old copperplate grants the expression used is Śakanrpakāla or Śakakāla i e the era of the Śaka king or Śaka Thus, then, this is an era founded by a great king of the Saka or Scythian race And that India was exposed to the inroads of the Scythians and that they established a kingdom in the country, is proved by many an inscription and coin There was a dynasty of kings who called themselves Satraps and ruled over Ujjayını, Kathiawar, and Gujarat In the beginning at least they were in all likelihood the viceroys of the Saka kings

Śālivāhana or Śātavāhana was the name of a royal family which ruled over Daksināpatha or Southern India. The principal branch reigned at Dhanakaṭaka in Telingaṇa, and the younger princes of the family, or a subordinate branch, ruled over Paithana. The Śātavāhanas came in contact with the conquering Śakas, who established their power also over a part of the country ruled over by the Śātavāhanas But after a short interval of time, one of the princes of this family succeeded in driving away the foreigners and regaining the lost provinces The Śakas and the Śātavāhanas were by these events associated together in the popular memory, and it must have been on this account that the names of the two families came to be connected .

in after times with the era, which thereafter was called the Śālivāhana Śaka More information, however, is wanted to enable us to understand satisfactorily how the name Śālivāhana came to be connected with the era, but at present we do not possess it

Thus, then, the great lesson we have to learn is that if we wish to know and understand the truth about a point, whether in science or practical life, we should seek analogies, find out, if we can, the history, and criticise, not foolishly and ignorantly as we often do, but according to well defined and rational principles

And now, gentlemen, and my Hindu friends in particular, a word as to my object in taking up this subject for to-night's It is no use ignoring the fact that Europe is far ahead of us in all that constitutes civilization. And knowledge is one of sciences that depend on the critical, comparative, and historical method have made very great progress in Europe, and what deserves our earnest attention is that they are every day making further and further progress The Europeans have derived much greater advantage from our connection with them than we have from their connection with us They have turned to account their acquaintance with the sacred language of our country, and have added the sciences of comparative philology and comparative mythology to their existing store of knowledge. The old principle of the classification of races has been given up, and a new one based on the affinity of languages adopted Civilized mankind has in the first place been divided into three races,—the Aryan, the Semilie, and the Turanian The first has again been subdivided into the Hindus, the Hellenes, the Italians, the Slavs and Lithuanians, the Kelts, the Teutons including the Scandinavians and the Germans, &c So strongly and universally has the fact that affinity of language points to a community of descent and consequently to a common nationality been grasped in Europe

that "to it," as Sir Sumner Maine remarks, "we owe, at all events in part, the vast development of German nationality, and we certainly owe to it the pretensions of the Russian Empire to at least a presidency over all the Slavonic communities "-So that, it may be remarked by the way, the many wars that have been fought in Europe since 1855, and are likely to be fought during the next twenty years, have or will have for one of their causes the discovery of Sanskrit. Though in itself this is by no means a very gratifying result, still I allude to it simply to show how deeply the Europeans have been influenced by the new ideas. Similarly, I think the liberality that is now observable in the religious thought of Europe is due to the study of Sanskrit and Pali literature this will show the activity of the European intellect, and convince us that the principle of progress is very strong in their civilization But what advantages have we derived from them? A great deal of what they have got from us has but very indirectly been given to them by us, while they have placed before us a whole civilization, which undoubtedly is far superior to ours in a great many points. The impulse to be communicated to us by it ought to be a hundred-fold stronger than that which we have communicated to them Just as they have used the critical and comparative faculty with energy and produced the results I have just noted, we should use it and direct it not only to find what is true in science, but what is good and rational in social and religious institutions But have we received the impulse, have we been using the faculty? Who can say we have, while our new literature is scanty and barren of any original idea and we are still quarreling about female education, caste, and religion? Why should we not move on, side by side with Europeans, in the great fields of thought? Why should discoveries be made in France, Germany and England, and not in India? If you say that in most of the branches there are facilities in Europe for making fresh additions to the existing stock of knowledge, while we have none in India, surely no costly laboratories are required to enable us to study the ancient literature of our country and its architectural remains and inscriptions, and to throw light on its political and literary history and its philology This is a field in which we may successfully compete with Europeans, and in which we enjoy certain peculiar advantages But these advantages turned to account only if we follow their critical, parative, and historical method. My object, therefore, has been to call your attention to the nature and requisites of this method, in order that by its successful application to the branch of study I am speaking of, we may take our legitimate place among the investigators of the political, literary, and religious history of our country,"and not allow the Germans, the French, and the English to monopolize the field And here I feel myself in duty bound, even at the risk of displeasing some of you, to make a passing allusion to the most uncritical spirit that has come over us of praising ourselves and our ancestors indiscriminately, seeing nothing but good in our institutions and in our ancient literature, asserting that the ancient Hindus had made very great progress in all the sciences, physical, moral, and social, and the arts,—greater even by far than Europe has made hitherto—and denying even the most obvious deficiencies in our literature, such as the absence of satisfactory historical records, and our most obvious defects long as this spirit exists in us, we can never hope to be able to throw light on our ancient history, and] on the excellencies and defects of our race, and never hope to rise While, if we shake ourselves free of such a bias, and critically and impartially examine our old records and institutions, we shall do very great service to our country, we soall be able to check the conclusions of some European scholars who are swayed by an opposite bias, and at the same time that by a clear perception of out great national defects we prepare the ground for healthy progress in the future, we shall, I promise you, find a great deal in the past of which we may honestly be proud

But an honest and discerning pride in the achievements of our ancestors entails a heavy responsibility and duty. We should render ourselves the worthy sons of the fathers whom we respect A son that is no better than the father or is worse certainly dishonours him Have we then not been dishonoring our ancestors, of whom we profess to be so proud, by going backwards and thus becoming worse than they, or, at the best, standing where they left us? For, if you examine your history you will find that your philology is where Panini and Katyayana left it, and your philosophy and literature where Kapila, Kanāda, Gotama, Vālmīki, Vyāsa and others left them, and your social institutions are actually far more irrational than theirs were in the very olden Let us therefore do honour to them by showing that we have their capacities and can use our rare opportunities have just seen how fifteen hundred years ago, the Hindus availed themselves of the astronomical knowledge of the Greeks, they 'worshipped' the Greek astronomers, in the words of Garga, 'as Rsis', and finally, according to Prof Weber, who is by no means fond of praising us, advanced astronomical science further than they did Let us act likewise, and, sitting at the feet of the English, French, and German Rsis, imbibe the knowledge that they have to give, and at least keep pace with them, if not go beyond them Let us learn, let us reform If we do not do so, fifteen centuries hence, the antiquarian of the period will, unlike Weber, say, "the English placed before the Indian Aryas the highest civilization which Europe had reached by the end of the nineteenth century, but in the hot plains of India, the Indian Aryas had grown so degenerate, that it produced no influence whatever on them, and their degeneracy deepening, they eventually became hewers of wood and drawers of water, or were swept off the face of the earth by the mexorable law of the survival of the fittest "

LINES FOR FRESH RESEARCH IN SANSKRIT LITERATURE AND INDIAN ANTIQUITIES

[From the Sanskrit Research, Bangalore, 1915, Vol 1, pp 51ff, being a lecture originally delivered under the auspices of the Free Churon College Literary Society of Bombay in 1905]

It gives me very great pleasure to find that a school of critical scholarship is growing up amongst us. The young men who were sent to Europe and America by Government to work under European and American scholars for two or three years, or who went there on their own account, have been endeavouring, with the assistance of an energetic young gentleman, who is both a scholar of the old and new school, to organise, in connection with an institute at Bangalore, a series of lectures on subjects connected with Sanskrit literature and Indian Archæology, treated on the principles, accepted and approved by critical enquirers, and also to issue a periodical magazine

In 1888, just 27 years ago, I delivered a lecture on "the critical, comparative and historical method of inquiry" in connection with the Free Church Literary Society, Bombay, and published it in the form of a pamphlet 1. It does not appear to have attracted the notice of our Sanskrit students, and there has been very little work done by Indians in the field of critical re-I therefore call the attention of students again to the search Seventeen years later, 1 e, about ten years ago, I delivered another lecture in the same institution Therein I suggested or pointed out fresh fields for research in the various branches of Sanskrit literature and Indian antiquities lecture has remained unpublished to this day, and I deem the present one to be a fit occasion, when we have so many young men prepared to work in connection with original research, to

¹ Printed in this Volume ante, pp 362 ff

plained differently in different places and the same verse is interpreted differently in different Vedas Sāyana, therefore, had no traditional interpretation of the Veda to guide him Some European scholars, headed by Roth, therefore set him aside entirely and, regarding the Rgyeda as an Indo-Germanic work, i. e., a work containing the thoughts of the early ancestors of the whole stock rather than a work belonging to the Indian branch of the race, interpret it by means of etymology, grammar, comparison of all the passages in which a word occurs and by one's own idea of what is appropriate in the case of primitive thought Other scholars have recently contested the truth of this view and laid down that the Rgyeda is essentially a Hindu work, that though Savana interprets the same words and passages in different places in different ways, still one of the ways at least is often found correct and therefore he was in possession of some tradition, that the same holds good of Yaska, who, though he interprets words by means of etymology, often-times mentions the Aitihasikas (or knowers of legends) and Sampradayavids (or persons acquainted with the tradition), that the Nighantus, though they contain but a few words and only give their general sense, must be considered as based on tradition, that there is no wide and impassable clift between the Rgveda and the later literature and that therefore all the help we can derive from this last we must avail ourselves of, that we should seek Hindu ideas (or at least the primitive forms of Hindu ideas) in the Reveda rather than Indo-Germanic ideas necessity of comparing parallel passages and even the aid of etymology are not dispensed with by this school. Pischel and Geldner are the scholars who have put forth this view, and I belie e it is correct. Though there is a break in the tradition and a great many changes and revolutions took place in later times, still we cannot say that between the Vedic and later Indians there is that difference which exists between two entirely a ferent nations. I will give an instance of persistent contirus. Caranas or bards in Gujarat and Rajputana narrate stories the serses in which have been composed by others, but

the link between them is supplied by the Caranas orally in prose, so that if the tradition of the stories is lost and only the verses, as having a permanent form impressed on them, are preserved. these verses become unintelligible without the oral prose link supplied by the living bard. Now the practice of telling such stories existed in Buddhistic times and in those represented by Brahmana literature, and there are hymns in the Rgveda also which are called Akhyāna hymns and which contain verses only The connection between them was once orally supplied but has now to be guessed to render the verses intelligible. Here then we have a continuous literary practice from Vedic times to this day And in these days we are as anyious about praja (progeny), paśu (cattle or wealth), and daksınā as the old Vedic bards were. The Rgveda has been translated from Roth's point of view by Grassmann and to a certain extent by Ludwig also. But if any one of you are disposed to work in this direction, I would recommend its being translated in accordance with Pischel and Geldner's idea But rather than undertake such a laborious work at once it would be well for you to confine yourself to parts or to the elucidation of certain words and expressions by means of the processes above mentioned A student of the Rgveda will have to read it many times from different points of Even the grammar has not been fully investigated, especially the syntax Roth has noticed a curious phenomenon in the omission of the termination of a case after some nouns when it is used after others in a sentence. In the case of the omission the termination is to be brought over to the noun from another noun after which it is used Thus in viii II I we have cand व्रतपा असि देव आ मर्तेष्वा। "Thou O Agni, art the protector of the law among gods and mortals" The sense does require our understanding देव as देवेष्ठ, the स being brought over from मतेष्ठ. So also तथा रक्ष ओषधीय जुनोत vi 3.7 "The fire roars in trees and bushes", रक्ष having स supplied to it from ओपधीय Similarly तमिन्महत्त्वाजिषुतेमभें हवामहे (1 81 1) "We invoke him (Indra) in fights large and small." Evidently and is here contrasted with महत्स and should therefore be अभेप Several more such cases have been adduced by Roth

The text of Rgveda, there is reason to suppose, is not quite the same as it was originally Some Sūktas and Rks are found in the other Vedas, and there the readings in some cases are different What the original readings were will have to be determined, if at all possible, by comparing the variation and taking a good many other facts into consideration. The way has been shown by Oldenberg, and it is quite open to any of us to follow it

Another question that will have to be considered is whether the sacrificial ritual that prevailed in the times of the Rgveda was as elaborate as that detailed in the Brahmanas, and if not, to determine its nature and compare it with that which came in The supposition that the Brāhmana ritual was elaborated in later times is supported by the fact that the Mantras used in some of the ceremonies are not to be found in the same place in the Samhita, i e, are chosen from different parts of it, and the principle laid down in the Aitareya Brahmana is that that Rk should be used which has some reference to the ceremony that is performed. So then here we find the practice of attaching RL verses to every new ceremony to confer sanctity on it in its initial stage. In later times the connection between the Rk used and the ceremony did not go beyond the sameness of sound, howsoever entirely different and inapplicable the sense of the verse was

There are several questions to be considered in connection with the Upanisads As to the text, the following facts should be borne in mind Sometimes the same verse occurs in more Upanisads than one, sometimes a whole passage or story found in one is repeated in another, and sometimes in the same Upinisid These phenomena appear in an exaggerated form in the Mahabharata. A number of verses in the same are to be tound in the Manusamhith and certain stories or discourses

occurring in one book are repeated in another (or the same), sometimes in an expanded form. The occurrence of the same verse or verses in different works I have in other place accounted for by the theory that since writing was in ancient India introduced at a late period and was not generally in use even after as introduction, verses containing a moral or religious idea were composed and handed down orally and, after a time, the name of the uithor was forgotten. Then when books came to be composed they were appropriated by different authors The same is the case with regard to long passiges containing stones or discussions. They were, so to say, in a floating condition, i.e. in the mouths of people, and were afterwards incorporated in books. As to the same discourse (such as that addressed by Yaphavallaya to Mattreys in the Brhadaranyakopanisad) being repeated in another part of the work, this circumstance is, I think, to be accounted for by the supposition that the works in which such repititions occur were made up by collecting matter from different places. The book is really a collection, and not one composed by one and the same author Hence different versions of the same discourse, found in different quarters, were incorported in the same book. The repeated discourse often-times contains additions, omissions or variations of reading and thus, though in substance it agreed with a preceding one, it was retained on account of its peculiarities. From this reasoning as well as from the fact that different doctrines are sometimes inculcated in the same Upanisad, it would appear that these works are generally collections of the observations or discourses of different Rsis

One thing I would recommend in connection with the Upanisads is the study of the growth and development of philosophic and religious ideas from the philosophic hymns of the Rgveda to the Upanisads—It should be determined what it was that communicated an impetus to the thought of a Rsi; why it was that the Ksatriyas are mentioned as particularly active in such speculation, whether one same doctrine is taught in all the

Upanisads, whether, if Pantheism is taught, it is of the nature that all reality consists of one soul, eternal, pure, and knowing, and every thing else is an illusion, or that God by means of selfdetermination assumes the form of the whole external world, and whether there are not purely theistic principles are such, it should be found out what attributes are assigned to the supreme soul, what is represented as the relation between it and the individual soul, and what is aimed at as the summum All other doctrines, if there are any, should be traced I have mentioned in a paper I published about five years ago 1 an allusion to the doctrine that the soul is not a permanent substance, and what remains of a man after death is his karma, a doctrine that in later times was adopted by Buddhism attempt should also be made to trace the influence of the Upanisad ideas on the later development of Hindu religion and For the purposes of such an essay as this the Upanisads must be interpreted philologically, 1 e, according to grammar, usage, context, comparison of parallel passages, and any historical information that is available, and not according to the commentary of any particular school, though all the existing commentaries belonging to the different systems should be read in order that the varying interpretations may serve as guides

We will now turn to Vyākarana or Grammar The know-ledge of the Grammar of Sanskrit must have been fully attained before the time of Pānini and from the very arrangement of his rules Pānini's object seems to be to reduce the whole to the shortest possible compass and give it a systematic form. But the question is what is the language taught by Pānini. It is not that of the Vedas, since its grammatical peculiarities are specially noticed by him, nor of the Rāmāyana and Mahābhārata, for there are a good many forms in them which violate Pānini's rules. The liter literature was not popular literature and the author specially learned Pānini's grammar and wrote in con-

^{1 &#}x27; A Peep into the Early History of India," Ante pp 7 ff

formity with it. Some European scholars, and especially the American Sanskritist Whitney, have expressed their utter want of confidence in the grammarians and consider the language taught by them as fanciful or existing only in their imagination A love of an artificial system is attributed to Indians generally, and if some matters cannot be brought into harmony with a preconceived system, they are made to be so Thus, the Sūktas in the Vedas have each an author mentioned in connection with them. In some cases the author's name is unknown; but to carry out the system thoroughly, a word from the hymns is taken out and understood and mentioned as the name of the author Whitney, therefore, does not believe in the reality of the forms taught by the grammarians unless they are found actually used in the language And first about the Dhātupātha it is stated that only about 850 roots out of about 1700 given in it can be traced in the language. The rest, therefore, are invented by the grammarians. Now, as to the lists given by Pānini they do not afford a fair ground for judging of his accuracy For, there is every probability that there are interpolations in them and if there are more roots given in the Dhatupatha than are found in the literature the fault may be of later writers or readers Still, though not found in the existing Sanskrit literature, some roots are found in the Pali stories called Jātakas, as has been pointed out by Dr Bühler, and some in our present-day Vernaculars No instance of the use of the root to touch has been given in the Petersberg Dictionary, but in the form of छ[ना] Hindi and शिवणि Marathi it does exist in our languages Similarly, in the case of foims the change of ध्वे to दवे in the perfect, as in चक्रदवे prescribed by the grammarians, he asserts, is due to "down right unintelligent blundering", because there is nothing here which might be expected to change the dental to a cerebral Why not? There is the same thing here as in the case of अवृद्धवम् and अस्तौद्वम, which forms Whitney gives in his grammar as correct He does not find चरुद्वे in the literature, but he does not find चक्काने either, then why condemn

the former in such violent terms? It is only a person who does not understand Pānini and is prejudiced against Indian writers generally that can use such expressions in speaking of him Whitney's attacks go much further and he even condemns the logic of his system But the German scholars, the venerable Hofrat Dr Bohtlingk and Dr. Keilhorn's pupils have defended him Now, to be able to say that the grammarians are untrustworthy because the forms and phrases they teach are not found in the existing literature, it is necessary that one should have before one a vast literature composed of works of all sorts, works containing discourses, narrations, and lively conversations But all styles are not fully represented in the existing Sanskrit literature. Here, as in the case of roots, our Vernaculars may sometimes assist the inquirer in finding out whether the grammarians have taught the language correctly. Thus expressions like याहि याहीति याति "goes again and again," or न संभावयामि स भवान्हीरं निन्दिष्यति "I do not think it probable that his honour will abuse Hari" as applicable even to a past time in which he is asserted to have abused him, are not found in the old literature, but still our Marathi has the phrases, as in जा जा जातो and मला वाटत नाहीं की तो हरीची निदा करील said in reply to one who has reported, त्याने हरीची निंदा केली It would certainly not do here to say that in teaching these phrases Pānini drew on his imagination —If then some of Pānini's forms and phrases are not found in the existing literature, but his grammar does teach a real language, what was that language? About thirty-seven years ago I showed, in the Preface to my Second Book of Sanskrit, that the several past tenses are used in the Aitareya Brāhmana exactly in the senses attached to them by Pānini Dr Keilhorn's pupils have carried on the examination further and the conclusion follows that the Bhasa or spoken language of which Pāṇini teaches the grammar was alin to that of the Attareya and other Brahmanas. A question connected with this is whether Sanskrit was ever a spoken language. Curious views are held with reference to this by European scholars, some of whom do not seem to be actuated quite by an impartial spirit. These I have considered in my Seventh Wilson Philological Lecture published in the Bombay Asiatic Society's Journal, and arrived at the conclusion that the Sanskrit was the language of educated people and the Prakrit of the lower strata of society, and the area over which the former was spoken gradually became narrower and the Prakrits' went on developing until they have become the modern Verna-Dr. Franke has written on the subject and arrived at similar results, and recently a paper followed by a debate was read at a meeting of the Royal Asiatic Society. No two scholars approach the subject from the same point of view, the question often becomes confused and it is very difficult sometimes to apprehend piecisely the difference between two writers and speakers Still the whole question is worthy of reconsideration, and I would recommend to your attention Patanjali's Mahābhāsya, which is a great work well worthy of close study. The style is pure, sometimes lovely, the argumentation is close and subtle, and it is real pleasure to read and understand the book But often it is difficult to apprehend the connection between the several sentences The instances given and the observations frequently made are full of information, but these have already been collected by Weber Still a careful reader will glean much more than Weber has done. It is worth the while of a critical student to devote close attention to the work

I will now turn to the Mahābhārata European scholars have but recently begun to study this epic and grapple with the innumerable questions connected with it. One of the main points is whether the Mahābhārata is a Smrti, i.e. a didactic work, or an Epic. If the former, the principal object must be considered to be to teach morality, the main story and other smaller ones being narrated to illustrate and support the doctrines inculcated. On this theory the whole book, it is said, will have to be considered as having from the beginning existed in the form in which we find it to-day. But I have already, in going over the Upanisads, given a reason why the Mahābhārata must

be considered as a collection of heterogeneous matter and not as one whole Again, southern Mss of the Epic are different from those in the North A copy of Assamedha Parvan in Telugu characters was once examined by me, and I found it contained stories and other matter which the Bombay edition did not contain Again, Patanjali mentions the names of Yudhisthira, Bhīma, Arjuna etc., and the family name Pandava, and tells us that the Kurus fought in accordance with the law, 1 e theirs was a fair fight in which no undue advantage was taken and that Yudhisthira and Arjuna were well-Taking these facts into consideration and the circumstance that the name Mahābhārata is mentioned by Āśvalāyana and Pānini, I arrive at the conclusion that a Mahābhārata existed in Patanjali's time Still, under Pānini iv 2 60, he tells us that Yāvakrītika is one who reads and knows the Ākhyāna of Yavakrīta and Yāyātika one who reads or knows the Akhyāna of Yayatı Here these Aklıyanas are evidently spoken of as having But at present we have them in the a separate existence Maliabhārata alone And since we have supposed that a Mahābhārata existed in the time of Patanjali, these stories did not form parts of it Even if they did, and the stories existed separately also, the circumstance would show that the Mahabharata was made up by collecting matter from different quarters-Again, the different parts of the Epic are unequal and written in different styles I have elsewhere given a reason why I consider the chapter in the Anusasanika Parvan in which the relations between the Sakas and Yavanas on the one hand and Brahmans on the other are represented to have been written after those races had lost their predominance in the country, i e about the end of the fourth century. In the epic itself it is represented as having been edited at different times, the editions differing from each other in extent. From all these reasons it appears that even in the beginning, when the first shape was given to it, it was a work of the nature of a collection like the Upanisads, but unlike these, additions were made to it later from time to time

But a didactic character it seems in some way to have borne from the beginning. Patañjali, as already noticed, reports the tradition which exists at the present day that the Kurus fought in conformity with the law (Dharma), i. e the moral law. the war was so regarded, the idea of making it serve a moral purpose must have existed in very early times, and if it did, it is easy to understand how the Epic should attract material of a didactic nature from different quarters. It is by no means necessary to suppose that the existence of that idea must necessarily have led to the Epic being at once cast into the form in which it now exists. The idea was there and it served as an attracting centre. The Mahābhārata, as I have elsewhere stated, is mentioned in inscriptions dated A D. 492-3 and 495-6, and as a Śatasahasrī or composed of a lakh of ślokas as it now is in one of the year A D 532-3. The Bhagavadgitā formed an episode of the work in the time of Bana, i. e. middle of the seventh century, and the Nārāyanīya which we have at the end of the Śāntiparvan, in the time of Śamkarācārya in the beginning of the ninth century Looking to the fact that what we at present consider the regular metres mostly prevail in the Mahābhārata and to the frequency with which the irregular ones occur in the Upanisads, the work must be supposed to have been composed after them and about the same time as the metrical works in Pali, i e about the fourth century before Christ.

These questions of the nature, composition and state of the Mahābhārata deserve your attention. But the Mahābhārata is a mine of information about the literature and philosophy, aims and ideals, manners and customs, and social and moral condition of the periods to which its different parts refer. It deserves study from this point of view, and as it is a huge work certain points or portions only might engage the attention of one scholar. Before however setting to work one must read what the European and American scholars have written about it. We have in German the volumes published by A. Holtzmann, Father Dahlman, and Jacobi, and in English that published by

Professor Hopkins as also an article on the "ruling caste" in the Journal of the American Oriental Society.

The Ramayana also deserves our attention Mss of the poem differ widely from each other One often-times contains verses and passages which are paraphrases of the corresponding ones in another. A chapter in one is sometimes longer than that which answers to it in another, or has a portion of the text in the later incorporated in it. This has been accounted for by the supposition that the poem was learnt by heart by rhapsodists, who went from place to place and recited it for the delectation of their hearers, and when it was committed to writing by different persons from the mouths of different rhapsodists, the Mss came to be in the condition in which we find them last or Uttarakanda and also the first or Balakanda were added in later times, and the story really begins with the exile of Rāma, Sitā and Laksmana to a forest These points you will have to consider if you mean to devote yourselves to the study of the Rāmāvana The condition of the country South and North as depicted in the poem will also be an interesting study as well as the manners, customs, aims and ideals of the people, as in the case of the Mahābhārata You will also have to consider why it is that the characters in the Rāmāyana are not mentioned in the Mahābhāsya as those in the Mahābhārata are, and why even Amara gives the name of Kṛṣṇa among the synonyms of Visnu, but not of Rama It will also be useful to compare the story with that in the Dasaratha Jataka, which represents Rama, called Ramapandita, Laksmana and Sītā, the children of the eldest wife of Disaratha, to have been binished to a forest for twelve years, because the wife that had succeeded the mother of Rama wanted Dasaratha again and again to assign the kingdom to her son Bharata; and Dasaratha feared that she might even take away the life of Rāma and the other two But nine years after, Daśaratha died and Bharata instead of ascending the throne went to Rima and endeavoured to persuade him to return and assume the to creignty. But since he had been banished for twelve

years and there still remained three, Rāma would not return. At the end of the three years he came back and took possession of the throne. Curiously enough, Sītā though represented as Rāma's sister, was raised to the dignity of the Chief Queen.

I may here mention that the large number of Jataka stories in Pali, which have now all been printed and some; of which have been translated, deserve careful study. As already stated, Dr. Bühler made use of them to point out to Professor Whitney that some of the roots in the Dhātupātha, the existence of which he attributed to the inventive faculty of the Indian grammarians, were in vernacular use. Another scholar has written a book based on them on the "social divisions in Eastern India at the time of Buddha". The stories are interesting in more ways than one and the information to be gleaned from them as to the manners and ways of thinking of all sorts of men from the king to the common labourer in the street and the condition of Indian Society generally is very valuable. They vividly represent the virtues and vices of the times to which they refer.

Purānas (and usually the Purāna) are mentioned in some of the Brāhmanas and Sūtras. The contents of a Purāna are fivefold. 1. creation, 2 resolution or dissolution, 3 family or geneology, 4 the Manus or certain periods, and 5 the doings of persons belonging to certain families. The idea has been started by Mr. Jackson that originally there was only one Purāna as in speaking of it the singular (Purāna) only is used. But that is not the invariable practice, the plural also is used. But while comparing the Vāyu, the Mārkandeya, and Linga Purānas it appeared to me that the sections on creation agree in substance as well as words in those Purānas as if they were derived from a common source, while the Visnu has an abridged form of them. It appears that the Purānas were written to extol particular deities such as Visnu, Šiva, Durgā, etc. and

¹ Since the above was written all have been translated.

advance their worship, to sanctify customs that had come into use and lay down certain religious duties and prohibit others which had become obsolete. The earliest of them mentions Gupta princes and consequently must have been written, as I have elsewhere stated, in the last quarter of the fourth century. They are of use for tracing the growth of the religion that took the place of the old Vedic fire-worship. Most of the facts and observances of the religion of the day have their authority in one or other Purāna.

As to the Hindu Law which we study it is based on certain. metrical works which are ordinarily called Smrtis. There are Patanjali seems older works which are called Dharma Sūtras to know of those only and not of the Smrtis Some Dharma Sūtras, such as those of Apastamba, Gotama, Vāsistha etc, are extant. Each of these belonged to a certain Vedic school or Carana, and thus religious and civil law grew up within the limits of that body A demand for a law binding on all was not, it appears, felt for a long time, but it came to be felt eventually, and the metrical Smrtis began to be written for general use Some of these, there is reason to suppose, were based on the Dharma-Sūtras, and as I have stated elsewhere, the Vināyaka-Śānti in the Yājñavalkya Smrti agrees almost word for word with the Sutras of the Katha school on the subject The doctrines had down by the Smrtis have been expounded by tha authors of the Commentaries or Nibandhas, such as Medhātithi, Vijñāneśvara, Aparārka and Nilakantha It would be worth the while of a Sanskrit scholar who is at the same time a lawyer to trace the history of Hindu Law, both religious and civil, from its beginning in our oldest literature through the Dharma-Sútras and the Smrtis, to the Nibandhas or Commentaries, and if this is a wide subject, any portion of it only might be taken up for The Tagore Law-lecturers at Calcutta, insuch a treatment cluding Professor Jolly, have done good work in connection with the subject. The introduction to the translation of law books published by Dr. Bühler and Jolly in the series of the Sacred Books of the East will give the readers a good knowledge of the development of Hindu Law up to the rise of the Smṛtis. An easier work, though laborious, would be to trace the quotations from Smṛtis given in the Nibandhas to their source to enable one to find out whether the Smṛtis before their authors were exactly those which we have at present under the same names.

European scholars have not yet done much to elucidate Indian philosophy I have considered the first portion of the subject already in going over the Upanisads The notions put forth in those works were worked out in the older times by - authors whose names are like those of the Rsis, such as Auduloma, Asmarathya, Bādarı etc , but all we know of their work are the extremely short notices contained in Bādarāyana's Śārīraka Sūtras. This latter work, as the principal work of the Vedanta, has been commented on by the founders of the various Vedantic schools of modern times. Most of them twist the sense of the Sutras whenever they have to interpret them in accordance with their own doctrines, but it is a useful task to find out what Badarayana's lown system was Dr. G. Thibaut has already done this to a large extent. But there is room for more work in connection with it. The author of the Sutras does not support the doctrine of Ware and it would be worth the while of a scholar to trace the bistory of the coeffice through all the available works, including Buddies works of the Maringmika and Yogācāra schools, the Yoga-Visistha and the system of the Kashmir Saivas A similar treatment should be accorded to Rāmānuja's doctrine of the reality of God, the individual soul and the manimate world as time distinct substances the last two being under the perfect control of the first who is the internal soul and whose rocess they constitute. A workcompany ing the doctrines of the larious Volcation street and the transfer of those of Samkara, Ramano, a Macana. Valabea. Nincara and others together with an account of their emitted revenue the tite vailing religion of ceremonias is very desirate

^{52 [} R. G. Bhandarker's Front Total

There is a great deal of work to be done in connection with the system of philosophy not proposing to be based on the Upanisads What the Samkhya system is is. I believe, not yet clearly Hitherto it has been usual to translate the understood Prakrti of this school by Nature which, of course, is inanimate But the first product of Prakrti is Buddhi or definite conception (अध्यवसाय) and through it is produced Ahamkāra or consciousness of self How this can be a product of Nature in its ordinary sense is more than I can understand The Sāmkhya philosophy is the earliest. The words Sāmkhya and Yoga as well as some of the peculiar terms of the system (Mahat, Avyakia, Fradhana, Purusa or Ksetrajna) occur in the Upanisada Bhagavad-gîtā and other parts of the Mahābhārata also there are some of these terms, and the Pūrānas explain the genesis of the world by speaking of the production of the Samkhya principles from the Avyakta or Pradhana put into motion by God The chief authority for the system is Isvarakṛsna's Kārikā The so-called Samkhya Sutra I consider to be spurious The doctrines are But the Samkhya alluded to in some of the works mentioned above is theistic, and probably the words Samkhya and Yoga are not used in their technical sense I would propose that somebody should take up this subject, collect the passages in which these words and some technical terms of the system occur, determine the sense of the words and decide whether the references are to Iśvarakrsna's atheistic Sāmkhya or to any other system. Of course the interpretation must be philological, it would not do to accept the views of the sectarians, who interpret the word Avyakta in the Kathopanisad to mean " the body".

The Nyāyā und Vaisesika systems should be studied with a view to ascertain their origin. Are there any germs in the prevous literature which developed into those systems? An endeasour should be made to ascertain this. The great commentaries on the Sūtras of the two schools should be carefully studied to ascertain the points in dispute between the Buddhists and those schools, and the manner in which they argue with each

other. For, in the celebrated passage in Vacaspati's commentary on the Uddyota, the former tells us that the doctrines laid down by Vatsvavana in his explination of Gotama's Sutras were refuted by the Bauddha Dinnaga, and Bharadvaja wrote his Uddyota to answer his objections and set Vatsyayana right. If possible, any Buddhitic work on Dialectics that may be available should be read for the purpose of comparison. The Nyaya system of Dialectics is very interesting, and probably controversies such as those regulated by it must often have taken place in the olden days.

Lastly, I would suggest that the history of the manner in which the descendants of the old fire-worshipping Rsis have become devotees of Visnu, Sixa, Durga and a host of other deities, and built temples instead of yajña-mandapas and worshipped idols instead of invisible deities by throwing oblations into the fire in their names should be investigated. The innumerable animals that were slaughtered in the Yainas or sacrifices produced feelings of revulsion, wordly life was found to be miserable and there were dreams of a happy condition in another world. The Upanişad Rsis, therefore, resorted to the contemplation of the Supreme Soul that pervades the beautiful Universe, and aimed at the closest possible approach to him or union with him as the means of the highest bliss. The Buddhas and Jamas found a way in righteous and benevolent conduct, and others derived comfort from adoring Vasudeva regarded as the Supreme Being and sought for their highest bliss in love for him and faith in him This school was known afterwards by the name of Bhāgavata and Pāñcaratra The Vāsudeva of this school and of the Bhagavadgītā were afterwards identified with Visnu and Nārāyana, who had already been objects of worship before, and thus arose modetn Vaisnavism But India has ever been exposed to the inroads of foreigners and the settlement of these in the country has led to the multiplication of races These races had their own gods Some of them, who had preceded the Aryas in their occupation of the country, worshipped their god by means of the

phallus, and his worship must have been so general that he was taken over by the Aryas and identified with their Vedic Rudra. Other races worshipped other deities and these also were adopted into the pantheon, and Purānas were written to exalt their glories Buddhism and Jainism being religions founded by men who came to be considered as perfect beings, naturally there was a desire to worship their relics and pay homage to their statues The worship of these became general and spread over the Indian world and hence idols of Rāma, Krsna, Nārāyana, Laksmī, Śiva and Părvatī were prepared and established in public places for worship But whatever may be the mode of accounting for the religious revolution that took place in the country, the question itself deserves careful study, and one who desires to take it up will have to read the Puranas, the Pancaratra-Agamas, the Sawa-Agamas, the later literature of the several sects, and some portion of the Buddhistic and Jaina literatures

I will devote the remaining portion of the lecture to the consideration of the study of Indian antiquities or of Indian history by means of coins and inscriptions and by the help of such incidental notices in Sanskrit literature as may be available If you wish to take up the subject you will have to cast a glance over the volumes of the Indian Antiquary, the Epigraphia Indica, the Journals of the Royal Asiatic Society, of its Bombay Branch and of the Bengal Asiatic Society, as well as the separate volumes of inscriptions that are published, and the Archæological Reports, and read such portions of these as refer to the line you may have chosen As to the inscriptions of Asoka and those in the cave temples the last thing has not been said about them yet deserve a fresh study The University has been offering a prize for transcripts and translations of the Karla inscriptions but no candidate has yet appeared Then we have to consider the inroads of the Greco Bactrian princes into India and the establishment of a kingdom by them in the Punjab with Śākala for their capital One of these princes named Milinda is noticed in a Buddhistic work as holding a controversy with a Buddhistic saint

named Nagasena. Milinda has been identified with Menander. The Bactrians were followed by the Sakas, who ruled over Kathiawar and Malva for more than 200 years, but were soon followed in Northern India by the Kushanas. There appear to have been two families of these, the later having Kaniska for its founder. The name of this prince is famous in the literature of the Mahayana Buddhism. He is generally placed in the first century of the Christian Era, but I have on a careful consideration assigned him to the first quarter of the third century. There are dates in his inscriptions, but they run on from the unit figures (5, 6, etc.) and I have supposed that the hundreds in those numbers have been omitted in accordance with a practice prevalent in Kashmir in later times. But I am now of opinion that the existence of that practice in the early centuries cannot be proved, there is an inscription of the third Kushana prince in eastern Malva which is dated in the same way as the others belonging to the dynasty, and the practice of omitting hundreds does not seem to have ever existed in Malva I would, therefore, now put forward the supposition that Kanişka founded an era of his own, but that its initial date must be about A. D 200. The whole evidence I have brought forward is consistent with only such a late date and not with the first century? No scholar has answered my arguments, but they are sceptical as to the truth of my conclusion, and some wish me to read the notices occurring in a Chinese book about the Kushanas, and reconcile them with it I have yet found no time for it But this question of Kaniska's date is important and is worthy of being taken up by any one of you Then followed the dynasty of the Guptas and the Kushanas, and the Sakas of the Kathiawar and Malva were deprived of their power But foreigners continued to pour into the country. There were Abhiras who penetrated into the country in such large numbers that after they became Hindus

Since the above was written, several other inscriptions containing the name of Kaniska have been discovered and the whole evidence requires a thorough sifting.

they formed subdivisions of some of our castes and we have now Aher sonars and ordinary sonars, Aher sutars and ordinary sutars and Aher gaulis and ordinary gaulis, and several more of such divisions A dynasty of Abhiras seems to have reigned somewhere in the Maratha country, probably in Khandesh, since an inscription of one of the princes exists at Nasik. Again we had an inroad of another foreign race called the Gürjaras The Gürjaras, who came by way of the Punjab and gave their name to a part of it which is called Gujarat to this day, settled in Rajputana and founded a kingdom, thence extended their power to Kanauja which became their capital, and afterwards an off-shoot of the race established a kingdom at Anahilapattana and gave the name Guiarat to the province of Lata This race has also given rise to a special division of castes like the Abhiras The history of these races which have settled in the country and become Hindus deserves to be carefully studied, all the information that can be got must be secured and that which is now available to us must be weighed critically I am of opinion that some at least of the Raiput families of the present day are of a foreign origin Inscriptions of some of the dynasties that ruled over Northern India have been published, but the information available from them has not been collected and put into shape, as that referring to the Maratha and Canarese dynasties has been A family of the name of Kalacuri reigned in Cedi or the country about Chattisgarh, and a good deal of information is available about it and it can be put into shape. The subject was proposed for a university essay several times but it was not taken up by any body ' A dynasty that ruled over some part of Gujarat and even Maharastra in the fourth century and is known by the name of Traikūtakas used the same era as that used by the princes of Cedi The question to be considered is whether it belonged to the same race as those princes The history of the Paramāras of Ujjayinī

¹ This year (1915), however, an essay on this subject has been seceived by the University

and Dhārādeśa has also to be written We possess a good deal of information about them

You will thus see how many questions there are in Sanskrit literature and Indian Antiquities which require to be taken up If we natives of the country study them strickly according to the critical method, we are likely to throw more light on them in in some respects than European and American scholars what is the reason of the fact that though we have been teaching Sanskrit in the University for the last forty years, we have turned out but few critical scholars? The reason probably is that a man must first devote himself to something that enables him to earn his livelihood, and most of his time being spent in that pursuit, very little is left for anything else And scholarship is not something that you can acquire at odd moments I have, therefore, been for several years urging the endowment of permanent fellowships in connection with the Colleges or Universities, and even proposed that the Daksina fund, which in my opinion is now wasted on what are called Daksına fellowships tenable for a year or two only, should be used for endowing permanent fellowships Lord Harris took up the question at my suggestion, but in the face of the opposition of the Department, which is lealous of any suggession from without, he had to give it up And endowing fellowships from some other source is something that Government have not yet considered to be necessary for the advancement of original research among us and no patriotic Indian has turned his attention to it

INAUGURAL ADDRESS

Delivered on the 15th of December 1918, at the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute on the occasion of the Opening of a Series of Lectures arranged by the Institute

[From the Annals of the Bhandarkar Institute, Volume I, (1919-20), pp. 1 ff.]

THE OBJECT of this Institute is to promote among its members a spirit of inquiry into the history of our country-literary, social and political-and also to afford facilities to outsiders engaged in the same pursuit. The idea is to get scholars to deliver lectures and read papers before the members of the Institute and to publish these in the form of a journal. The work undertaken is indeed arduous; and it may well be questioned whether we Indians are sufficiently advanced to undertake unaided such a task with confidence and execute it in a manner so as to bring about fruitful and uncontestable results To secure such results some familiarity vith the critical and comparative method of inquiry is necessary. Institutions similar to this, e. g the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, have been in existence for a long time among us, and several Indians have distinguished themselves by their work in connection with them. Our University has sent out into the world a large number of students of Sans' me and the vernacular languages of India; but the number of inquirers has been very small and almost insignificant Besides, much of the work done by Indian scholars is considered by the leading scholars of Europe as possessing little worth. We may easily recort to this charge and show their incompetence in ceal rg with Sans'ri: matters; nevertheless we ought to ascertain rether this charge brought against us is based on truth, and, if so, we should set about mending our ways

I have already tried to impress on our students the importance of the parsuit of a chaical and comparative method of inquiry, and indicated the lines of research that should be But these lectures of mine do not seem to have profollowed 2 duced a very wide effect, though, of course, I am much gratified to find that a small body of critical scholars has now grown up and is still growing up amongst us, and naturally they are among the members of this institution Still, I must once hastily go over what I may call the temptations of an Indian scholar He is prone to see good in everything old. If he does it without any evidence, he makes himself ridiculous and unworthy of attention, and sometimes even when there is evidence he is prone to take that view of it which reflects most credit on his ancestors For instance, in a paper entitled 'International Law in Ancient India 'a scholar from Madras states that injury to the cultivators, their implements and crops by the enemy was against our international law If this is to be quoted in our favour as against the practice of European combatants, the fact that European fights are between nations, while Indian fights have been between princes or chiefs, the great body of their subjects remaining unaffected, should not be lost sight of, so that if the enemy did not lay waste the land of the cultivators or do them any other injury, it may possibly have been due to the fact that in the case of success the cultivators and their lands belonged as much to the invading enemy as to the original chief. But this is not an instance of extravagant admiration for ancient Hindus, and it is taken from a paper which is thoughtful and written on critical lines.

But the tendency towards such admiration is very strong in the generally uncultured mind Several years ago a young enthusiast came to me and wanted to know if in the course of my search for manuscripts I had come across a copy of the Maya-

¹ In a lecture delivered in 1888 under the auspices of the Free Churca Literary Society, Bombay, and published Ante, pp 36215.

² In a lecture delivered in 1905 in the same institution and published Ante, pp 394 ff.

^{53 [} R G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

Samhitā which, he said, contained instructions for the accomplishment of wonderful feats. On my replying in the negative, he said that Europeans must have found a copy of the Samhitā, for otherwise how could they have possibly made the discoveries and inventions such as those of the telegraph, the telephone and others? Again there are persons who find in the Rgveda an allusion to the X-rays, railways and what not! I hope you will not consider my having brought forward these cases an insult to you, implying a comparison of your work with theirs. But what I have called the peculiar temptations of an Indian scholar do, in a large number of cases, influence our judgment

For instance, reverence for the name of Vvasa is early instilled into our minds Consequently we are apt to feel shocked if anybody were to tell us that Vyāsa is only a mythical figure without any historical counterpart. Yet the chief circumstances we know about him are so incongruous and conflicting with each other that they unmistakably point to that conclusion. Vyasa is said to be the grandfather (by means of Nivoga) of both the Pandavas and the Kauravas, and yet he lived long enough to watch their deeds and write about them after the time of their death or ascension to heaven, and thus compose a voluminous work beyond the working capacities of an old man said of him in the Visnu-Purāna that there was only one Veda in the beginning and that Vyāsa divided it into four and taught it to four pupils the Atharvaveda he taught to Sumantu, the Sāmaveda to Jaimini, the Yajurveda to Vaisampāyana, and the the Rgveda to Paila Aśvalāyana in his Grhya-Sutra gives a list of the Rsis or Vedic teachers in whose name oblations of water have to be made on certain occasions. Among these Rsis are the authors of the several Mandalas of the Rksamhitā, and the writers of the Brahmanas and Sutras, such as Kausttaka, Sāmkhāyana, Aitareya, cte, whose works have come down to us This, therefore, is - list of actually living Vedic teachers. In this list occur the names Sumantu, Jaimini, Vaisampäyana, and Paila, spoken of as the pupils of Vyara in the Visnu-Purana, to whom he taught the

four Vedas. If the names of the alleged pupils of Vyasa do occur in this list of teachers, why not that of Vyasa himself if he was a historical personage and a teacher of these four? the Brahma-Sútras are attributed to Badarayana, which is regarded as another name of Vvasa! So that our Vyasa is to be the author of the Brihma-Sutras also. Even such a great writer as Riminuja subscribes to this opinion and considers this Vyasa to be the same as the author of the Mahabharata! But in the Sutras themselves occur names of ancient authors with an indication of their views which are often contrasted with each other. With the views of laiming, a name specially connected with the karmamarga or the road to final bliss by means of deeds such as sacrificial ceremonies, are contrasted those of Badarayana which have a reference to the manamarga or the way of knowledge. One would here expect the name of Vyāsa, if that was the name of the author of the Brahma-Sūtras, but we have that of Bādarāyana only. Vvāsa is also considered as the author of all the Puranas. But these contain conflicting views, and the same author cannot be considered as speaking highly of the gods Siva and Visnu in one place and making contemptuous observations about them in another So that here too Vyasa is a mythical personage. The word vyasa has the sense of expansion or analysis, as opposed to samasa which means contraction As observed before, the Visnu-Purana reports that all the four Vedas form one whole This whole was expanded or analysed into four distinct substances. The Brhad-Āranyaka-Upanisad has in two places an enumeration of the then existing lore, in which Itihasah and Puranam are put in the singular number, showing that each of these formed an independent These afterwards were analysed, or divided, or expanded into the many stories contained in the Mahabharata and into the large number of Puranas that we possess. Thus there was a vyāsa in each of the three cases and its importance led to the identification of the action with the actor, the analysis came to denote the analyser

Similarly, we have been since our childhood so familiar with the stories contained in the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyana that we should at once condemn the critic who spoke of the stories as constituting merely legendary poems, i. e. works of imagination, having perhaps historical basis of which one cannot be certain The poems are very valuable from the historical point of view, because one can gather from them information as to what men and women did or thought in those days, 1 e, as to the stage of civilization at which they had arrived when the poems were composed But the occurrences reported in them cannot be regarded as strictly historical In a prize-essay that I had to examine in connection with our University, the writerwho, I believe, was an M A -stated that the Mahābhārata was in every sense such a historical work as that of Thucydides But it did not occur to him that before making such a statement it was necessary for him to settle whether the poem had onc author or more, whether these authors were contemporary witnesses of the events they reported and whether the stories told by them were in themselves credible

As to the authors of the metrical treatises on Law they mostly bear the names of old Rsis of the Upanisad or Sūtra period But it ought not to be supposed that the laws and usages mentioned in the Smrtis of Yājñavalkya and Āsvalāyana belonged to the period of Yājñavalkya of the Brhad-Āranyaka and the Asvalāyana of the Śrauta and Grhya Sūtras come the custom or a matter of style to use the names of older sages in composing the Smrtis and Purānas of the period of v hat I have elsewhere called the Brahmanic revival

on J have here briefly sketched the natural failings of Indian cholars. The fact is that the literature and the antiquities we examine are our own, and naturally we look more to the contents of the exercise of than to its historical relations, which require the exercise of the critical faculty. It is questionable whether the exercise of the critical faculty. It is questionable whether the exercise of the critical faculty.

¹ In the ' Perp into the Early History of India, Ante pp 7 ff

all ready Scholar reed. Sairless works to be amused or inconvert by them, by a first on view in historical and critical, On one occasion I by period to say to the Die Dr. Builder that the Third Act of the Ungrarity carità drew terri from my eves the ever I read it. He seemed to be surprised. The constithe the difference in the point of view of the Indian and the Paroteer Ciones. We make not on that account coase to read car Sambra and vernicus in order for the pleasure and instruction which they round to u . Only we must take care that our per this first earlies the select does not observe our judgment then to have to examine them critically in order to find out the theremal relation. We provided deeply moved by the Third is of the Universimalism, but when the question of h torical teach come up for consideration, we must be prepared to accept, if our evidence leads to it, that Rama and Sita are mythical perconage, and that they did not really exist.

I have here touched only the fringe of the subject, the critical method to be pursued I have indicated in the two lectures alluded to above. Our critical studies must be conducted conjointly with European scholars. We should read their works and take limits from the observations contained in them, both as regards the subjects to be investigated and the methods to be followed. Our research work will assuredly bear fruit if it is thus conducted.

In conclusion I am happy to say that, notwithstanding the disadvantages under which we labour, critical scholarship has been steadily advancing among us. In recent years I have had several papers from the Madras Presidency the writers of which show considerable critical acumen and skill and I have full hope that our work here and in the Madras Presidency will put an end to the disparaging tone in which the European scholars speak of us, and compel their approval and even their admiration.

ammon, and it is

THE MAHÁBHÁRÁTA

[Being the address delivered by Sir-R G Bhandarkar in inagurating the Mahabharata Edition work of the Bhandarkar Institute on the 1st of April 1919. This address is being now published for the first time -N B U]

The Mahābhārata is a work which is essentially connected with a stage of civilization which the Indian Aryans had attained, and without which that stage would be unintelligible. traditions which have been embodied in it have their roots in the most early times The name Bhārata, which indicates the races which fought against each other, occurs in the 3rd Mandala of the Rgveda Samhitā, where it is stated that the Brahman or religious worship instituted by Viśvāmitra protects the Bhārata On the other hand, in a hymn of the seventh or Vāsistha Mandala it is stated that the Bharatas were like sticks used in driving the cattle with - torn into shreds, and were feeble, when Vasistha became their leader, the people of the Trtsu race spread over a wide extent Similarly a prince of the name of Sudas crossed a certain river safely as Indra was pleased with the ministrations of the Kausikas, i e, Visvāmitra and his kinsmen On the other hand, in the fight between the ten kings Indra protected Sudas through the religious service performed by the Vasisthas Thus it appears that these two Rsis were at times the religious leaders of the Bhārata tribe and Puroliitas of Sudas, but which of them supplanted the other is not easy to This is the origin of the famous enmity of the two determine sages, eliborated and even grotesque stories of which are narrated in later works inclusive of the Mahabharata The enmity of Vasistha and Visyamitra, which is an article of ordinary Hindu belief, has thus come down to us from early Vedic times, and thus also the name of the fighting race, mentioned in the work which we propose to edit, is as old as the Rgyeda. In the Aitareya Brihmana Dirghatamas Mamateya is represented as having crowned Bharata, the son of Dusyanta, by the use of the ceremonies contained in the ritual of the Indra coronation, and it is also stated that Bharata was enabled by it to conquer the whole world, whereupon he performed the horse-specified indicative of his supreme sovereignty. Similarly the name of Janamejava, the son of Pariksit, is mentioned there as having been thus crowned and having performed a horse sacrifice.

The Mahabharata is mentioned by Panini, and the Bharata and Mahābhārata by Asvaliyana One way of determining the position of a work in Sanskrit literature which we resort to is to determine the literary stratum to which it belongs. The Rksamhita forms the oldest stratum (or strata), the Brahmanas form the next, though considerable chronological distance separates the two, then we have the the stratum represented by Yaska and Panini The question now is what position assign to the Mahabharata If we judge form the language, we shall find that it is not in strict conformity with the rules of Pānini, as shown by Prof Rajwade in the case of the Bhagavad. This is a stage in the growth of the Sanskrit language at which Panini's idiom was not strictly cared for, and a great deal of freedom, which we even in Marathi resort to, was used But the sounds of the language at that stage had not become corrupt by passing through the mouths of foreign races, i. e. had not acquired the form of Pah. In other words, the language of the Mahabharata represents the speech of the Indian Aryans, though strictly grammatical forms had gone out of use. Thus this stage in the growth of the language belongs to a period later than Yāska and Pāmm The Mahabbārata, therefore, is a work in popular use, and gives us knowledge of the ideas, conceptions, institutions, manners and customs of the Indian Aryans. And thus we find in it the philosophy and the morality which found their proper exposition in the Upanisads and Dharmasūtras, such as those of Gautama, Vasistha and Manu The object of the writers of the Mahabharata seems to be to include in it in a popular form all the stories and moral and philosophical conceptions that had developed from the remotest times to the period when its composition was conceived of. Thus it forms a sort

THE MAHABHARATA of encyclopædia of the knowledge possessed by the Aryans This object seems to have been never lost sight of during the course of the development of the work and thus we have had interpolations from time to time For historical purposes it is necessary to ascertain what matter was interpolated at what time There are differences of reading in individual cases, but they are not so great as in the case of the Rāmayana, for instance The theory is that the Rāmāyana was committed to meniory and there were rhapsodists who sang it on different occasions When the poem came to be written out, the reading of the different rhapsodists were used by different writers, and thus we come to have recensions in which the stanzas give the general sense but differ as to the particular words used Such differences do not seem to exist in the case of the Māhābhārata MSS Its recensions seem to be due to the interpoletions introduced from time to time. There are, of course, as noticed above, varieties of reading in the portions of the recension which agree with each other Our determination of the text should proceed mainly on these two lines Prospectus, which has been prepared carefully by Mr Utgikar, explains all matters connected with our work. When the text is prepared and established in accordance with the principles The Mahābhārata explained therein, then will be the time to inquire into and consider various questions concerning the Epic, such as the following. Whether the purpose of the work is to narrate the heroic deeds of the principal characters and the episodes intreduced to set off or illustrate the exploits of the heroes, or whether the main purpose of the work is moral or didactic and the epic Portions are introduced to illustrate the didactic purpose, whether the form originally intended to represent the final victory of the hauravas and v as afterwards recast in order to represent their defeat and the success of the Pandavas, or whether the poem was gradually altered to give it a Vaisnaya character which it had not in the beginning, whether Kryna's early life was spent in the Gokula or cov herd-reatlement, or whether it was totally unconnected

with his careet as a member of the Satvata branch of the race of Yadu and was introduced into the story in later times

This work we propose to inaugurate on this auspicious New Year's day of our Hindu calender The conception of the undertaking is entirely due to the enlightened views of Shrimant Balasaheb Pant Pratinidhi, from whom we have received and are to receive, munificent financial help but that alone will not suffice, and we have to request other chiefs and persons possessing the means to cotribute towards the successful execution of For it should never be forgotten that this is a patrio-The Mahabharata is essentially connected with our tic work intellectual life. There is almost no Hindu who has no knowledge of one or more of the heroes whose exploits have been sung Our attitude towards the best of them is respectful The late Mr E I Howard, one of our earliest and reverential Directors of Public Instruction, often said to me in the olden days that we Hindus should be proud of our Mahabharata heroes, and entertain feelings of admiration and respect for them. That such a work as the Mahābhārata should still remain a confused mass scarcely does any credit to us, whose attitude towards the heroes should be of such a nature

And now a word as to the spirit in which the work should confidently be conducted by us. It should be thoroughly impartial. Our aim should be to find out the truth, whether it is flattering to our racial pride or otherwise. Scholars of the leading European nations have been for a long time engaged in making researches into our literature and ancient history. They have got their own critical methods. New ideas and issues strike them more easily than they do us. On the other hand, there are proper Hindu feelings and ideas which they do not

perfectly understand and make mistakes about Science is not racial or national European scholars and ourselves should devote respectful attention to what we have got to say to each other, We should learn from them and strictly use their critical methods, and they should not treat our suggestions with contempt, thus alone with the common efforts of both historical and literary research will advance and throw light upon our past , which must remain dark without such research

CONVOCATION ADDRESS

[Delivered by Sir R. G. Bhandarkar, Vice-Chancellor, at the University of Bombay, 1894. Originally published in 1894 by the author]

Gentlemen of the Senate, Ladies and Gentlemen .- His Excellency the Chancellor has assigned to me the onerous task of addressing you to-day, and it will not, I expect, surprise you if I feel extremely diffident as regards my ability to execute the function which on other occasions was performed by Chancellors, such as His Excellency himself, Lord Reay, Sir James Fergusson, and Sir Seymour Fitzgerald, and by Vice-Chancellors such as Sir Raymond West, Dr. Mackichan, and the Hon Mr. Birdwood. If I can at all hope to attract your attention, it can only be on the ground of the novelty of a native of this country addressing you from this chair. But even when you look at the fact from that point of view, a feeling of bitter disappointment is sure to arise in your minds. For you have, through a mournful occurrence, lost an opportunity of listening to a more distinguished and abler native of the country, whose public utterances were, as Sir Raymond West in the best notice of Mr. Telang's life hitherto published happily expresses it, characterised by "a sweet persuasive reasonableness, illumined by a diffused radiance of feeling," and whose inner nature was, as he truly says, " in a great degree that of a meditative Saint, enamoured of purity and holiness, and filled with longing aspirations for the progress of mankind, but especially of the Hindus, towards perfection in knowledge, wisdom, and purpose." A sadder occurrence than the death, at an early age, of your late Vice-Chancellor I do not remember. When his faculties had reached their fullest development and his thoughts on all subjects had become mature, when, by his intellectual and moral excellence, he had but just risen to a position of high honour and influence, and a wide sphere of usefulness had opened itself before him, and the highest expectations were everywhere formed of the good he would be able to do, he was snatched away from us by the hands of death was not only the brightest, or one of the brightest alumni of our University, but as a Fellow and Syndic always exerted himself to promote its interests and raise the quality of its tests. In his hands the interests of higher education, so far as the University was concerned, were safe Mr Telang's untimely death revived with very great force the controversy which the occurrence of the death of a graduate always gives rise to. His death was followed by that of another gifted graduate who was an M. A and M D-Mr Vithal Vishnu Gokhale He was one of six brothers, all of whom, with the exception of the last, who is still young, achieved great distinction at the University, but three, of whom had died before him Another very promising young man, Mr L R Vaidya, who had gone through all the Arts examinations up to the M A very successfully, passed the LL. B and the Advocate's examination, compiled a very useful Sanskrit dictionary, and edited a Sanskrit poem, similarly died a very pre-And there have been a good many more such mature death instances The responsibility for the deaths of these young men is, by a large number of persons, brought to the door of the University The courses laid down are, they say, very difficult, the rules are stringent, a candidate who has failed in one subject is mide to go through the whole course again instead of being examined in that one subject only and the examinations are stiff. In the first place, before the charge can be truly made out against the University, it is necessary that an equal number of young men not belonging to the University should be taken, and the percentage of deaths among them shown to be lower But this has not been done by anybody. In the next place, many of the young men whose deaths we deplore died not immediately after finishing their course, but a good many years after, so that the heavy train to which the avocation they had chosen subjected them must at least have as much to do with their premature detth as the University course. Still, I am not prepared to

deny that the long course of study, that a student has to go through before he becomes an M A., LL. B., or even a B A. does impose a heavy strain on the intellects of our young men. The education of a Hindu of the upper classes belonging to a generation immediately preceding ours consisted simply of reading and copying letters and a little mental arithmetic, while we have had to go through a course of training lasting for at least ten years in two or three languages, the literature of those languages, mathematics, history, philosophy, physics, &c. The only sort of indigenous education that can at all be compared with that which we receive is that which was given to those who became Sastrins or Pandits. But in their case they took their own time to finish a book, and the number of such Sastrins or Pandits was insignificantly small, there being hardly two or three to each If, then, while our fathers, grandfathers and so on district were almost entirely free from any mental strain, we are subjected to it for at least ten years, it is not, I think, unreasonable to assume that somehow or other it must tell on our health. then? Shall we ask that our colleges and high schools should be shut up? ' No, we are so jealous of this free gift of higher education by a civilised Government that whenever there is a report of the abolition of any of these institutions or a Government Resolution having a remote tendency to discourage higher education appears, we are all up in arms,-metaphorically of Shall we then render our degree course easy and direct the examiners to put easy questions? If we think over the matter, we shall see that this demand really means that we want the degree or certificate of higher education, the semblance it, not the reality. But in practical life semblance will not help us, we must have the reality. And let us see whether while we have the reality of higher education we cannot prevent matter more carefully, we shall, I think, find out in what direction the remedy lies. I have been at some pains to ascertain how many men from our M. A. and B A lists have died from 1862, the year in which the first B; A examination was held, to the yeat 1880 In this portion of the M. A. list there are the names of 25 Maratha Hindu Graduates, of whom 11, or 44 per cent,, have died, and there are 18 Parsis, of whom only 3, or 16 6 per cent, have died There are three Gujrathis, one Mussulman, and two Europeans or Eurasians, but I do not take these into consideration, since the operation of any law in such matters does not become manifest when the area of observation is very limited In the B A list between those years we have 193 names of Maratha Hindu Graduates, of whom 40 or 20.7 per cent. have died The number of Gujarathi Hindu Graduates is 40, of whom 9 or 22 5 per cent have died The number of Parsis 18 63, of whom only 6, 1 e, 95 per cent, have died. The oldest graduate in this portion of the list is of 32 years' standing, and the youngest of 14 years Amongst graduates, therefore, of between 32 and 14 years' standing, 44 per cent Hindu M As have died, while of the Parsis only 16.6 per cent, and 20 7 per cent Maratha Hindu B As have died, 22.5 per cent Gujarathi Hindu B As, and 95 per cent. only Parsi B A.s. Now what is the cause of this disparity? It cannot be said that the Parsis are less studious than the Hindus, for they have obtained equally high University honours, neither can it be said that after graduation they lead a less busy life than the Hindus. The cause, therefore, must be in certain circumstances, the existence of which makes the Parsis go on and the absence of which leads to the early breakdown or death of the Hindus A Parsi enjoys life much better than a Hindu, uses nourishing food, goes through a greater amount of physical exercise, and does not, as a general rule, marry very early Neither does a Parsi girl enter upon the married condition until she is mature. It must then be that, because these conditions are wanting in the case of the Hindus, so many of them comparatively are unable to bear the strain which higher education and subsequent active life impose upon them and die off. The food used by most of our Hindus, if not all, is hardly nourishing, they have no liking for physical exercise, do not know how to enjoy life, and marry very early and the health of their wives is in a shattered condition on account of early maternity. Reform in this direction is, therefore, the only way to avert the cvil. And this is not the only sphere in which we find the strain upon us to be heavy. We have now come in contact with an energetic race, able to sustain a great deal of continuous and hard evertion, and possessed of habits of punctuality and regularity, which enable a man to go through a large amount of work with the same efforts. We have not yet acquired those habits, and our indigenous modes of work are more leisurely. We have to stand side by side with Englishmen or act under their direction in the vork of administration, and compete with them and other European races in matters of trade, commerce, and manufacture. Every one of us who enters the Public Service has to work continuously from 10 o'clock to 5 o'clock, sometimes much later. A man engaged in trade and commerce has always to be on the alert and watchful of his opportunity, and even the labourer in a manufacturing establishment has to work continuously from six in the morning till six in the evening. Thus, under the new conditions of life, we have to bear a heavy strain in almost all spheres of activity. If, then, we will not sink and fall back in the race or die off under the tension, we must turn our attention to reform in the direction indicated by the statistics we have examined Early marriage, both of boys and girls, must be put a stop to, physical exercise must be regularly resorted to by young as well as old, and our diet must be more nourishing. But I have heard people complain of poverty, and say that they cannot afford to use nourishing food. But complaints of poverty are heard only in connection with education. When a Hindu has to get his boy married he does so, howsoever poor he may be, and borrows, pledges, or pawns, if he has no money. But he will do nothing of the kind for the education of his son Still he sees that education will enable him to earn a livelihood and sends him to a school, but he will spend as little as possible on the object Thus, then, to render ourselves fit for the new conditions of life, all our ideas on social matters must undergo a thorough change.

In previous Convocation speeches the change recently introduced into the Arts course has been noticed. As I myself took very great interest in the question and often discussed the new seheme with my lamented friend, Mr Telang, and as objections are still raised against it in one shape or another. I hope you will allow me to say a few words on it If one surveys the history of higher education in this Presidency, one will find that a contention between two ideas or sets of views has been going on all along. Some educationists would comprehend or include a great many subjects in a course of general education, while there are others who would put in as few as possible and begin special education early. The necessity of a general education and also of special education has not been denied by either party though in the beginning that for the latter was not practically acknowledged. But there is very great difference as to what subjects a general education and special education should embrace Higher education began in this Presidency with the establishment of the Elphinstone College about the year 1836. From that time up to the establishment of the University every student of the College had to go through a course of mathematics, beginning with spherical trigonometry and ending with the differential calculus, history, political economy, logic, mental and moral philosophy, and English literature Even for the B A examination, history, and logic and moral philosophy formed part of the necessary course in 1862, the first year in which it was held. In 1863, however, logic and moral philosophy were taken out of the necessary course and put into the special or optional course change in the same direction was made in 1865, when history also was removed from the necessary course, so that a few books in two languages and a short course in mathematics only formed the necessary course Thus the specialists fully succeeded. Now, gentlemen, the object in laying down a number of optional subjects is to enable the student to apply himself exclusively to that subject in which he takes interest and thus to acquire a special proficiency in it, which he would not acquire if others repulsive to him were forced upon him. But from the manner in

which the subjects out of the optional list were chosen, it would appear that our students had either a varied taste or no taste at all, and were guided by other considerations than the interesting nature of particular subjects. Thus in a large number of cases they did not choose exclusively mathematical subjects or literary subjects or scientific subjects, but took up one or two from one branch and the rest from the Thus dynamics and hydrostatics others and analytical geometry were chosen with political economy, or logic and moral philosophy; or chemistry, dynamics and hydrostatics, with political economy. The object of the advocates of special education was in this manner defeated by the students prevent a fanciful choice of this kind kindred subjects were in 1879 grouped together and a candidate was required to choose one of these groups. But even in the choice of a group the students were very often guided, as I know from experience, not by their tastes but by the probability of an easy or a difficult examination in them When, from the known characteristics of certain persons, generally appointed examiners, a student saw that an examination in a certain subject would be easy, he took up that subject, and when that in another subject was similarly expected to be difficult, he rejected that subject or group. Thus it often happened that a young man got his B A. degree after having gone through about seven poetical and prose books or parts of books in each of two languages and a course in mathematics or science not very comprehensive. During the three or four years that he spent at college he did not imbibe any sound principles in spheres of thoughts with which he necessarily came in contact after he went into the world. practical life there are always political, moral, social, and economic questions coming up, and every graduate has to form some opinion about them and advocate it in the press or on the platform. But for this a great many were hardly qualified, not knowing anything about history, politicals economy, philosophy. Ignorance of sound thought on those subjects and the absence of the mental discipline which a course of study

in them imparts is one of the many causes of the wild talk and writing that we find about us. Thus a young man goes out into the world and finds the English constitution spoken of. He sees how the people themselves govern the country and their wishes triumph over those of the men above them in the social scale, and conceives a liking for it, aspires after it, and wishes for itsuntroduction into his own country But he little knows that such a constitution as that implies masses of men being inspired with certain ideas and moving towards their realization, and to work it certain mental and moral habits are required which it takes hundreds of years to acquire, and certain social arrangements which are the growth of centuries The English constitution of the present day would not have suited the English of the times of the Wars of the Roses It did not spring up then. is, therefore, not possible that it will suit India, which in its social arrangements and in its political, economic, and other ideas greatly resembles Europe in or about the end of the "Middle Ages" It is by an intelligent study of the history of England alone that a student can know what social changes must take place, what a transformation of ideas and sentiments there must be, what trials and sufferings must be gone through and how a nation's character should be formed under those trials and sufferings, before it becomes fit for such political institutions as those which prevail at present. The study of moral philosophy is similarly of use in my opinion. If nothing else is effected by it, the student's mind will at least be directed to the awful nature of the distinction between right and wrong, as to which the followers of all schools are agreed, though they explain the origin of the distinction differently. This cannot fail to be of use to a student when he goes out into the world, and the knowledge that he will acquire by the study of this subject will enable him to form for himself a practical theory for his guidance in life It was for these reasons that, in common with my friend the late Vice-Chancellor, I sought the re-introduction of history, political economy, and moral philosophy into the general course But there was such a strong opposition against the inclusion of

moral philosophy that it had to be given up History we did succeed in getting in, but now and again there are complaints from specialists against it. I do not understand why there should be such a strong objection to the re-introduction of these subjects. Nor do I understand what marvellous virtue there is in six or seven prose or poetic books or parts of books in two languages and in a short course in mathematics which those subjects have not and which renders it necessary that every student should go through them. Why should we not have special education from the beginning? Why is a second language, which is often a dead language, necessary? But the specialist is not prepared to go so far, though if he is consistent, I think, he should. We have seen that very often we do not, by having a great many optional groups, encourage special talent, and the effect is that students go into the world with a sort of education which has not fitted them for grappling with certain problems that come up before them and which notwithstanding they have to grapple with I do not mean to say that the mere inclusion of these subjects into the necessary course will effect the object I aim at Nearly everything depends upon the sort of teachers we have in those subjects and of examiners. The teacher should accustom his pupils to think and trace effects to their causes, and it is the duty of an examiner to find out whether they have been so taught. But I cannot say that in both these respects things are in a satisfactory condition. Great is the responsibility of those who make appointments to professorships and to examinerships, but with reference to the latter, on behalf of the University, I can only regret that there is such a narrow and unpromising field for selection.

Another point on which, with your permission, I wish to make a few remarks this evening is that concerning the course of general education that is necessary fot a candidate for a professional degree. The idea of those who gave shape to our. University appears clearly to have been that a general education up to the B. A. standard was wanted. Hence they laid down

the rule that a candidate for LL B. and M C. E should be a Bachelor of Arts. But in the early days of the University the number of B As was very small and even that of those who passed the Matriculation examination, and the course of medical education extended over five years and that of civil engineering over three They, therefore, conceived the idea of granting a sort of license to those students of medicine and civil engineering who were qualified for their particular profession, but were not qualified for a degree As, however, they considered it inconsistent with the dignity of a University to issue licenses, they gave the name of degrees to the licenses they issued in medicine and civil engineering, and thus we came to have the degrees of Licentiates of Medicine and of Civil Engineering In law, however, such a necessity was not felt, and and the B A. qualifica-But since the time when the rules were tion was adhered to promulgated the number of successful candidates at the several examinations in Arts have vastly increased, and while we had 39 candidates who passed the Matriculation in 1862, we have now about 800 or 900 passing every year In 1862 there were only four B As, we have now between 100 and 150, and at the Previous Examination we have between 160 and 240 successful candidates; and at the 1st B. A more then 150 therefore, for carrying out the idea of the founders of our University, in a modified shape at least, has long since arrived, and the engineering faculty has raised the standard of qualification from the Matriculation to the Previous examination the medical faculty has been discussing the question for nine years without coming to any conclusion. It was even proposed or resolved that, instead of the Licentiate of Medicine, we should have the more dignified degree of Bachelor of Medicine, and for this at least it was hoped that all medical members of the Senate would agree in requiring a higher standard of general education, but even for that the majority in the Senate would have nothing more than the Matriculation The sister Universities of Calcutta and Madras have raised their preliminary requirement to the First Examination in Arts, but we will have nothing of the

kind. It stands to reason that if a medical man has had a good general education, he is likely to be of greater use, but we do not want lum to be a man of general education. This you will admit is a very unsatisfactory condition of things and is unintelligible to my mind. A dead-lock such as this cannot but be a matter of great concern to one who wishes to see self-government flourish in this country, and augurs ill for our future prospects. I carnestly hope, therefore, that wiser counsels will come to prevail, and our medical faculty and the Senate will decide the question in a satisfactory manner. I would propose for their consideration, as a sort of compromise, whether, if they must have the Matriculation for the degree of Licentiate, it would not be advisable to have the Intermediate as a preliminary qualification for the higher degree of M. B. We shall thus have three medical degrees corresponding with three stages in general education, that of Licentiate with the Matriculation, the M B with the Intermediate, and the M. D with B. A. The field of choice will thus be comprehensive, and the candidate may choose one of the three according to his own capacities and means.

The character of our Senate and the debates carried on by it have a good deal changed since I first became acquainted with the body. In those days a very small number of Fellows attended the meetings, but they were men who took very great interest in educational matters and were alive to the responsibility of their position. There were only, if I remember right, about twelve fellows present when such an important question as the introduction of Persian as a second languag at the higher examination in Arts was discussed and that language added to the list from which it had before been excluded on account of its being a vernacular. Now we have a larger number of fellows on the roll, and a larger number take interest in University matters. This is as it should be. If, however, instead of being guided by cold reason and acting with a sense of responsibility, the Senate becomes a democratic assembly, carried away by

emotion and impulse, the change must certainly be a matter for regret.

Our University is but an examining body, and its function, life that of a mint, is confined to assaying the silver, perhaps the gold, of the intellectual acquisitions of our young men, eccercing to certain standards, and stamping it with the letters B. A., M. A., M. D., &c. Such an idea of a University is no doubt in keeping with the spirit of the age in which machinery has received such a high development. But this is neither the primary, nor a degnified, nor a fruitful idea of a University. A University, in my opinion, ought to be a body of men devoted to learning, engaged in the pursuit of truth, carrying on researches and investigations, and communicating their knowledge to others by educating young men and by the publication of cooks and papers. Such a body should have a healthy public opinion of its own, the infinence of which every one of its members, whether a teacher or an examiner, should feel, and which should compel him to do his duty faithfully and conscientiously. Looking to the manner in which the work in the departments both of instruction and examination has been done for a great many years. I have long felt the necessity of such a public opinion as the only remedy for the many defects that are observable. But it is a question whether we shall ever be able to realise this idea in India. In the first place our colleges are scattered over the whole Presidency and cannot on this account influence each other. The learning and the knowledge that we cum at are, and must necessarily be. what might be called European learning and European knowledge, and the methods of investigation. research, and education are European. Consequently, Europeans must form a very large and predominant element of such an institution. But the European prefessors and tenchers that come out feel themselves as emiles in this country and have got no permanent stake in it. They can hardly, therefore, he expected to take price in such an institution as I have oven thinking of and contribute to its formation. Hence it is that, though we have had European professors and teachers here

now for more than half a century, not a single work of a nature to make its mark in the world has been published by any one of them, except the German professors of Oriental Languages. And as to the natives of the country, they, too, have shown no zeal or ardour in the pursuit of knowledge. Our graduates after leaving the University often forget all about the subjects to which they were introduced while at college, and if in any case they continue to take interest in them, it is but of a languid nature. It must be said, however, on their behalf that the first thing they must think of is getting on in the world, and perhaps the avocation which they have to follow with that view allows them little time for pursuit of knowledge. What is necessary then is that in connection with the University we should have some endowments in the nature of fellowships or professorships to be held by the natives. Germany is the country in Europe which is known to be foremost in carrying on original research in all branches of knowledge, and this has beeen attributed to the fact that it was cut up into a great many little states, and continues in a great measure to be so cut up Each of the states made it a point to have a University of its own with its usual complement of professors and the country has thus come to have many such Universities, and a great many men devoted enthusiastically to study and research. But what is the possibility of our having such professorships, fellowships, or studentships? There are many and important calls on the public exchequer on account of which it does not appear likely that Government will institute them, though it behoves a paternal Government to direct its attention to this branch of educational work also. But Government have at their disposal a fund which might be used for this The late Mr E I Howard, Director of Public Instruction, who has left his permanent stamp on the Department of Public Instruction, saw the necessity of such professorships or studentships and got the Government to put into his hands the Daksına Fund He thereupon created certain appointments, which he called fellowships—a name which shows what his idea was; and he often expressed that idea by saying that his object

was to encourage the pursuit of learning among the natives of this country He, thererore, did not lay down a certain period for which a fellowship was tenable, and our early Daksina fellows held their appointments for five or six years and might have held them longer if they had wished But since his time the idea has been lost sight of, and the Daksinā fellowships have been, to all intents and purposes, converted into scholarships, tenable for a year or two, ostensibly to enable the Bachelors of Arts to study for the M A. degree, which, however, they are not compelled to do But there is nothing to prevent us from retracing our steps and the Daksina Fund might still be used for the institution of fellowships or studentships in connection with the University with a monthly allowance varying from Rs 150 to Rs 250 I am sure we shall then have a few men at least determined to devote their life to study and research Daksınā Fund can go but a little way, and the means that are wanted for this purpose must principally come from our rich citizens themselves Scholarships and prizes we have got in abundance What is now wanted is studentships or fellowships of the kind I mention, and the merchant princes of Bombay will truly immortalise themselves and entitle themselves to the permanent gratitude of their countrymen if they endow them or six thousand rupees are sufficient for a scholarship, wherefore the credit derived from such a gift is but little. But a fellowship, from the very fact that a large amount 1s required and that 1t alone will be the means of promoting the growth of knowledge in the country, will remain a standing monument of the generosity and public spirit of the citizen who endows it

But such as our University is, it has been the means of promoting education in the Presidency and, through it, of doing a great deal of good generally. Had it not been for such a central establishment as ours that lays down what boys and young men shall be taught, sees that they have been taught it, and issues certificates to that effect, education would not have received the expansion it has. At the same time it is quite clear

that such an expansion would not have been possible had there been no openings for our graduates in the public service and the professions. In 1862 there were only 4 B As Now the number on the M A list is 106, and that on the B. V. list in 1,339, and if we add the 183 that have passed this year, the total number of graduates in Arts is 1,628 Besides we have 35 Bachelors of Science, which brings it up to 1663 Of these 378, inclusive of the 31 who have passed this year, are Bachelors of Law They are enther employed in the Judicial Service of Government or are pleaders. In both capacities they have greatly contributed to raise the tone of the administration of justice. I remember in my younger days to have, in my native district, constantly heard murmurs against the conduct of the munsiffs or subordinate judges of those days, but they have all ceased now. A few graduates have got entrance into the Revenue Service, and from all the information I have I am in a position to state that there also their work is satisfactory. Nearly the whole of the higher branch of the Educational Department is conducted by graduates The greater the number of educated men that find entrance into the services, the better will it be in the interests of good government. It is not enough that the statesmen at the helm of affairs and the great officers immediately under them should be disinterested persons desirous of nothing but the good of the people The agency they employ for carrying out their orders and for the performance of the details of the administration must be as good as it can be made. Our immediate governors, with whom it rests to make our life miserable or happy, are the Patils, the Kulkarnis, the Talatis, the Mahalkarıs, the Mamlatdars and their Karkuns, the Police Chief Constables and Inspectors, the petty Magistrates and the Subordinate Judges For the purposes of good government in a country where the masses are uneducated and ignorant it is, in my humble opinion, of the highest importance that these should, as far as possible, be men of education and principle list of medical graduates we have five Doctors of Medicine and 438 Licentiates of Medicine, inclusive of the men who have passed this

year; in all 443 Some of these are employed in the service of Government, and a few in Native States, but a good many are engaged in private practice. It is only in Bombay and some of the larger towns in the districts that our medical graduates find private practice, but in Bombay the field is now overcrowded. European medicine has not yet found favour with the masses, and the condition of our medical graduates is anything but satisfactory. On the list of the graduates in Civil Engineering we have one Master and 311 Licentiates inclusive of this year's men. Most of those are employed in the Public Works Department, on the railways, and in Native States. A few are engaged in private practice, but there is no extensive field for it.

On our list all the literary classes or castes of Hindus are represented, and there are a few from what are called the backward classes The Parsis have, as may be expected from their usual energy, taken the fullest advantage of the benefits of the University, considering that they form such a small part of the population of the Presidency The only important community that still remains backward is that of the Mahomedans There are not more than about 20 on the list of graduates in Arts to this time we have had one Mahomedan M A, only, and it is a gratifying circumstance that we have got another this year. In Bombay we have a large and influential Mahomedan community, but its members are engaged in trade and commerce, and, as a rule, Indians, whether Hindus or Mahomedans, who have an opening in this sphere for their sons do not care to give them higher education The Deccan Mahomedans, like the Deccani Hindus, have no genius for trade or commerce, but somehow they do not come forward as their Hindu brethren do. In my opinion it behaves the leading and influential members of the Mahomedan community here and in the mofussil to make strenuous endeavours to bring up their co-religionists assistance of other communities might be asked and will, I suppose, be given A large number of scholarships, not less than too, to be held by Mahomedans in High Schools and

Colleges should be instituted. If it will take a long time to raise a sufficient fund to be invested for the purpose, a beginning should be made by making annual contributions. Let us show that we possess public spirit and will help ourselves. If we bring forward Mahomedans in this way, they will be represented on our University lists in due proportion and will take their places side by side with the Hindus in the public service and in the professions in a corresponding proportion.

But, gentlemen, though our University has thus been successfully discharging its duty, there are certain points which it is urgently necessary that our graduates should carefully bear in mind in order that they may be as useful as they might be to the Government of the country, promote the general interests of the community, and contribute towards the regeneration of India. And to these, with your permission, I will now devote a few minutes, addressing my remarks to my brother graduates. An undergraduate of the University, after he has finished his course and taken his degree, claims to be called an educated man. The Sanskrit word that corresponds to the word educated is "sista," and according to the old Hindu idea a "sista" is, in the words of the great grammarian Patañjali, a man who does not store up wealth (literally one who keeps so much grain only as is contained in a jar), who is not greedy, and who disinterestedly, without any further object, acquires perfect proficiency in some branch of learning. The idea, therefore, is that he who devotes himself to the pursuit of knowledge or truth for its own sake and disdains mere worldly prosperity is a man of education or culture To deserve this title, therefore, it will not do for undergraduates to study their books and subjects only with a view to the degree examinations and in a manner to achieve success. It will not do for them to choose their optional subjects only because the examination in them is likely to be easy. They should take a real and lively interest in the books they read and the subjects they study, and choose heir optional course only because they have a predilection for it

With a sincere and humble desire to know and to improve, they should endeavour to find and appreciate the good that their books place before them, appropriate it, and make it a part of their Mere success at an examination and the acquisition of a degree, and through its means the improvement of one's worldly prospects, are not very high motives, and are certainly unworthy of any thoughtful man, and especially of the descendants of those who disdained riches to be able to devote themselves single-heartedly to knowledge and truth. At the same time to deserve this title of sista or educated it will not do for one who has got his degree to think that his work is accomplished and that he has nothing more to do with books or knowledge An educated native should continue through his life to take interest in the great subjects of knowledge which occupy the attention of man, and should go on closely watching the progress of ideas in Europe, where, of course, there is greater movement of thought than in our country He will forfeit his claim to be considered a man of culture, if he chooses to be ignorant of what the progressive nations of the West are thinking, doing and admiring But in the course of our progress in this lifelong education we are likely, in consequence of our previous antecedents, to misunderstand and misapply European ideas Against such misunderstanding and misapplication we have to guard ourselves For instance, the idea of self-respect, personal independence, national independence, liberty of speech, and patriotism are constantly brought to our notice, and there is evidence that a good many of our men do not understand their proper limitations Self-respect and personal independence often degenerate into disrespect for others, and want of reverence for those who, by their position, knowledge or even age, deserve it from us Such a degeneration can only have the effect of degrading a man's character Self-respect ought to come into operation only then, when a low worldly motive is about to lead you to do a mean or an unrighteous act, and prevent you from doing it Personal independence ought to be exhibited only then, when the importunity of others or

the fear of displeasing them prevents you from saying and doing what, after a careful inquiry, you have come to believe to be undoubtedly true, and lead you to say it or do it National independence you can have only when there is a nation and it has the capacity of governing itself. But when the inhabitants of a country are divided into a number of separate communities or castes hostile to each other, national independence can only mean the possession by one community or one caste of power over others which it must, of course, use for its own benefit and to the detriment of others. And when the country has never had in the course of its history a training in free or representative institutions or never been animated with a desire for them or had even a conception of them, national independence must mean the tyranny of one man over all others. In the same manner liberty of speech and all kinds of liberty have their limits, which it is of great importance always to bear in mind You cannot speak what you like or act as you like simply because you are free or possess liberty Liberty of speech means that one should not be prevented from speaking what, after a careful examination, one has found to be unmistakably true and which it is good in the interest of the community that one should speak If, however, you say something against an individual or a body of individuals because they are obnoxious to you, without any inquiry and without even a decent knowledge of the matter with reference to which you are criticizing him or them, it is an absolute misuse of the liberty of speech which cannot but promote ill-feeling, foment quarrels, and eventually bring on ruin. Similarly, liberty of action implies that one should not be prevented from doing what one's conscience approves or imposes on him as a duty If, however, conscience or sense of duty is set aside and a man, under the influence of any of his lower passions, acts in a manner to do harm or injustice to others, he is not a man who is free, but is a slave of his passions, and will have to be prevented in certain cases from being so by the laws of the community.

The idea of patriotism, which is constantly brought up before our minds by English literature and history, is in the same manner liable to be very greatly misunderstood and misapplied. One may think patriotism requires him to hate foreigners because they are foreigners, to run down their manners, customs, and institutions, to attribute vices to them which they do not possess, and deny their most manifest virtues and all the good that they actually do. On the other hand it may be considered to consist in praising one's ancestors and one's own people, admiring their manners, customs and institutions, and denying their manifest failings and the patent defects of their character. This is the patriotism of feeble minds incapable of thought and action And eventually it resolves itself into a confirmed enmity for one's own people For if people do not see the good that there is in foreigners, they are incapable of learning, if they do not see their own serious faults and defects and the evil that there is in their manners, customs, and institutions, there can be no improvement, no progress, and the nation must lag behind while others are going on, and must suffer He only is a true patriot who, with an unprejudiced mind and with the light that God has vouchsafed to him, examines the manners, customs, and institutions of his country and the character of his people, fearlessly exposes the abuses or evils he may find therein, and earnestly calls upon them to reform and improve even at the risk of offending them and being stoned by them Again, one ought to be a patriot in reality and not in name merely, for if he is a true patriot, he must be prepared to sacrifice his time, money, convenience, interests and even reputation for the good of his country But if he is good only for talking and slowly retires when called upon to undergo self-sacrifice, when he will work only in matters where he is praised by his countrymen and will stand at a convenient distance from others, which, howsoever beneficial to them, offend their prejudices, he is but a self-seeking patriot Again, in politics patriotism generally aims at national independence But I have already pointed out what national

independence can only mean in the case of a country divided into communities' and castes in chronic hostility with each other and unused to, or unacquainted with, free institutions. An Indian patriot must recognize the great forces in operation in the world. Asia is being divided among themselves by three great European Powers, and in the contest, from the character and peculiar civilization of its people, Asia is nowhere. We ought to consider ourselves peculiarly fortunate in having fallen into the hands of a nation that has a conscience. England would be ashamed of herself if she held India solely for the purpose of her own aggrandizement. She has consciously undertaken the function of civilizing India, and of this our University is one of the many evidences available. She has given an orderly and stable government to the county, destroyed the Thugs, Pindaries, and Dacoits, allowed to every man the right to live and enjoy his earnings, which no one, howsoever high his position, can transgress, and given us the benefits of the inventions of the nineteenth century,-railways, telegraphs, a highly organized post The material or mechanical resources of office, and so on England are vast The intellect of a European, especially of Western Europe, is ever wakeful, ever active Every new idea is grasped, examined from all points of view, and it fructifies. Improvements in the processes of manufacture of all kinds, from that of fire matches to that of guns, ships of war, and spinning and weaving machinery, are constantly going on. And above all, the Englishman possesses immense powers of organization, he is ever diligent and watchful, his work is always methodical and systematic, he is animated by an over-powering sense of national duty, and he maintains perfect discipline in everything I say "above all," for it was by these qualities that he succeeded in establishing an empire in India, before he had steamships. railways, electric telegraphs, and powerful guns and other arms of precision A wise Indian patriot, therefore, will take pride in the fact that this country forms a very important member of the Empire over which the sun never sets, and that India is one of the brightest jewels, if not the brightest, in the British Crown

The glories of that Empire he should regard as his glories and its misfortunes to be his misfortunes. We are the inhabitants of Greater Britain, 1 e of the larger section of Britain, the smaller section of which is situated in North-Western Europe, and our political patriotism should centre itself round that name Therein lies our salvation The world is moving towards higher political unities And a higher unity has been formed in the shape of the British Empire, and there can be no disruption of that unity as long as Englishmen are what they are, nor can there be the remotest desire for it so long as Indians are thoughtful There may be some points in the policy pursued in and wise the government of the country and some acts which are conceived. more in the interests of England than of India There may be grievances and individual cases of oppression. But we have got the right of petition and the liberty of speech. This right and this liberty should, however, be used in the manner I have question and ascertain the truth about it, to say nothing that is not true, and to ascribe no evil motive, and general denunciations, of which unfortunately we find so many, ought strictly to be avoided We may even ask for powers and privileges But before we do so we should take care to qualify ourselves for their exercise. We should learn to use them in the interests of the community at large, and rise superior to all considerations about self, caste, or clique Nothing but a sense of duty to the general public should actuate us in the exercise of the powers and privileges that may be granted to us. At the same time we should endeavour to form habits of working in an orderly the first instance, to be evercised by the masses of the people, they ought to be made to understand what they mean, they ought to be taught that they have certain interests as a community which it is their duty to promote by a just and faithful exercise of those powers and privileges, and educated into a desire for them. If we bestow no thought upon such matters and go on asking, we shall simply be wasting breath. And as to the

powers and privileges already granted to us, if we use them for advancing our private interests or those of our clique or caste and will not do the work they entail regularly, we shall make ourselves the enemies of our country instead of patriots. For there will thus be misgovernment, and the British authorities will take away what they have granted, or at least cease to grant more, and our future prospects will be destroyed. Whenever a native misuses a privilege or a power, there ought, therefore, to be a strong public opinion among us which will condemn him and prevent further misuse.

I have thus briefly discussed the manner in which some of the ideas to which we are introduced are, or may be, misunderstood and abused We should guard ourselves against such a misunderstanding and such an abuse, and treasure up in our hearts the words of the great sage of Chelsea, addressed to the students of the Edinburgh University, on the true objects of education. "You are ever to bear in mind," says he, "that there lies behind that (mere positive and technical knowledge) the acquisition of what may be called wisdom-namely, sound appreciation and just decision as to all the objects that come round you, and the habit of behaving with justice, candour, clear insight, and loyal adherence to fact. Great is wisdom, infinite is the value of wisdom. It cannot be exaggerated, it is the highest achievement of man. 'Blessed is he that getteth understanding.' And that, I believe, on occasion may be missed very easily, never more easily than now, I sometimes think. If that is a failure, all is failure!" Let us then endeavour to acquire this highest achievement of man, this wisdom, by means of the education we receive, by what European literature and history teach us. If we do not do so, rest assured all will be failure, as the prophet tells us.

One of the ideas which is calculated to lead us to wisdom, and which, in recent times, has been exercising such a powerful influence over the European mind and leading Europeans to study with a sympathetic spirit the literature, the history, and the religion of our country and the other countries in the world, even the thoughts and ideas of savages, is the unity of the human race We should learn to sympathize with the joys and griefs of men of all races, study watchfully the conflict and progress of ideas among them and their gradual advance from barbarism to the height of civilization, and from the elevated standpoint thus gained, and with our national prejudices thus cleared off, look back upon the history of our race, trace with an unbiassed mind its progress towards civilization and observe the phenomenon of its stagnancy, or, more truly, its decline and degradation. It will then be our duty strenuously to endeavour to eradicate the causes of that decline and that degrada-My friends, we are living in a momentous period in the history of India Upon our conduct at this period depends the future of our country The Indian intellect has been dormant for centuries Original thought disappeared with the old Rsis, the fermentation of religious and philosophic ideas ceased with the decline of Buddhism, philosophy became verbose and wasted itself in trifling subtleties, poetry assumed an artificial character, religion degenerated into forms, ceremonies, and superstitions, and custom became a hideous tyrant and brought in female infanticide, the burning alive of widows, the marriage of a hundred or a hundred and fifty girls to one man, the degradation of womankind, hook-swinging, &c, &c, and there was no moral force in the land to do battle with these evils reserved for the foreigner to put some of them down with the strong arm of the law, but in the cases in which the foreigner will not interfere they still flourish. The intellect and the moral sense of the country must now wake up under the influence of European civilization, and the task and heavy responsibility of regenerating her has devolved upon ourselves, who have felt the influence Thought on every subject of interest to humanity must be stimulated, literature and philosophy have a fresh start, our vernaculars be improved, and a desire for knowledge be propagated. The great iniquities and falsehoods of our social

institutions and customs must be corrected, the standard of practical morality raised, tastes improved, higher ideals placed before the people, and religion purified and reformed. If we have truly assimilated the idea of patriotism, if the feeling has really sprung up in our hearts, here is a legitimate field for its exercise. But if we neglect it entirely, or are very lukewarm about it, and confine our activity to the political sphere, the least that can be said about the matter is that our patriotism is not the genunine but a counterfeit article. And we shall cut the ground from under our feet, for, from what I have already said, you will see that there can be no political advancement without social and moral advancement. In this process of regeneration the ideas or principles, which alone will guide us safely, are sense of duty, love of truth, and love of justice. The education we receive will have to be considered merely superficial if it does not enable us to grasp these principles. These, therefore, I earnestly implore you to learn thoroughly and incorporate with your internal spirit Not only will they elevate the individual character, but they alone will ensure the future happiness of our race. For, social arrangements, manners, and customs then only conduce to the happiness and prosperity of a nation, when they are based upon truth and justice. One of the greatest historians of England, who denies that history is a science which will enable us to predict the results of particular deeds, as the astronomer predicts an echpse, still acknowledges that "one lesson and only one history may be said to repeat with distinctness, that the world is built semehow on moral foundations, that in the long run, it is well with the good, in the long run, it is ill with the wicked." And further on he says more forcibly and eloquently, "Tirst, it (history) is a voice for ever sounding rerose the centuries the laws of right and wrong. Opinions altermanners change, creeds rise and fall, but the moral law is written on the tablets of eternity. For every false word or unrighteous deed, for cruelty and oppression, for lust or vanity, the price has to be paid at last, not always by the chief offenders, but paid by some one. Justice and truth alone endure and live. Injustice and falsehood may be long-lived, but doomsday comes at last to them, in French revolutions and other terrible ways." There, gentlemen, must all discussion and dispute end, and there will I end.

REJOINDER TO MR. JUSTICE RANADE

[From the Bombay Gazette, Bombay, April, 1894]

The late Mr. Justice Ranade criticised in an address delivered at the Eighth Annual General Meeting of the Bombay Graduates' Association some of the conclusions arrived at in the Convocation Address. The following is the reply of Sir R. G Bhandarkar, dated Lenavala, 24th April 1894.

Sir,—The position and influence of the Honourable Mr. Justice Ranade render it necessary that against my usual practice I should notice his criticism on my Convocation address. Mr. Ranade discovers an undertone of pessimism in what I said. private conversation also he has again and again denounced me as a pessimist. But I am unable to find the reason. What I have been doing during the last seven or eight years is to call attention to the defects and shortcomings of my countrymen and the erroneous notions prevailing among them, imploring them to endeavour to get over them as a necessary preliminary to all progress and tell them that without the acquisition of certain virtues and without a reform of our social Institutions real political advance is impossible. Beyond this I can find no justification of Mr. Ranade's use of that epithet in my case. But there is no reason why I should complain. On the contrary, I should feel myself highly honoured by Mr. Ranade's speaking of me as a pessimist For in the course of a lecture delivered by him in connection with the anniversary of the Prarthana Samai, about a fortnight ago, Mr. Ranade told his audience that Carlyle and Ruskin were pessimists. A pessimist then is one who hates cant and convention, and preaches sincerity. fidelity to truth, and action as opposed to talk; for that is what Carlyle and Ruskin do. But Mr. Justice Ranade warned his hearers against Carlyle and Ruskin as unsuited to our weak constitution, however suited they might be to the sturdier races that inhabit the British Isles. This I am unable to understand,

Such a scientist as Tyndall acknowledges with gratitude the healthy moral influence exercised over him by Carlyle, saying it was his teaching that roused him to action. Principal Selby observed in the course of a lecture that he delivered to his pupils the other day that Carlyle, Ruskin, and Wordsworth were his favourite authors, meaning thereby to recommend them to their attention

Perhaps Mr. Justice Ranade does not like my plain-speaking, for he is reported to have said at the lecture alluded to above that "a wise doctor never revealed to his patient the danger of illness he suffered from, though some thought that truth was truth and must always be told". This constitutes the radical difference between us But what surprises me greatly is that Mr Ranade should see that his doctrine is based on the most hopeless pessimism For the comparison implies that India is suffering from a dangerous disease that must terminate fatally But if she is suffering from a disease which is curable, but may become dangerous if she does not carefully attend to the directions of the doctor, can the doctor be called wise who will not warn her and impress upon her the paramount necessity of carefully observing his directions, if she wishes to live but will go on telling her that she is in sound health, equal to any kind of exertion and may conduct her as she wishes? If I believed that India was suffering from a disease of the former kind, I would pass my days in the saddest silence But I believe slie is suffering from a disease of the latter kind, and hence my efforts to warn her and to point out the remedies. This is what I have done in my Convocation address, and the directions there given might be shortly summed up in the words "Follow Carlyle and Ruskin, and you will be saved"

I will now proceed to the main points discussed by Mr Ranade. He says I did not attribute the disproportionately large mortality among the Hindus to "the strain of studies in the University curriculum". This is not correct. I did attri-

bute. Again, he says, I was "of opinion that they (the evil results) were not due to any overstrain of studies and examinations". I was not and did not say I was. On the contrary, I endeavoured to make out that the education we received did impose a heavy strain upon us, from which our fathers and grand-fathers were free And I said that the strain in the ordinary walks of life was also heavy in consequence of our having come in contact with a more energetic race. I admitted the strain, but, unlike Mr Ranade and others, I did not propose the reduction of the standards, as that would mean the giving of degrees to men with only a semblance of education, but, finding from a comparison of the mortality among the different classes of graduates that the Parsces stood the strain very well, I advised the Hindus to live like the Parsees, and affirmed that a thorough change in their social ideas and customs would alone And I do not know how enable the Hindus to bear the strain men who advocate a reduction in the standards can with consistency ask Government to hold the Civil Service Examination in India The course of study for that examination is certainly more difficult than that for the B A degree, and is as hard as, if not harder than, that for the M A examination Our best B A s have, when they happen to go England, to place themselves for one or two years under a professional crammer and even then get a low place in the pass list. Our only way, therefore, is to fit ourselves for the strain which the higher education imposes, and not ask that the strain be reduced. If Mr Ranade thinks that the real evil lies in the University curriculum, he ought to have faced that vital question, and given us his solution of it instead of contenting himself with a general allusion to the pass degree of the English Universities He has not made any specific proposals as to how the standard should be reduced The only definite thing that he and persons who think with him have got to say is that candidates who fail in certain subjects should be examined in the following year in those subjects only. But if we examine our death list we shall, I think, find that a

great many on that list were not plucked at all at any examination, so that, supposing the change were not objectionable in other respects, it would by no means operate as a far-reaching remedy

Since the lowest percentage of deaths among the Parsee graduates is the point on which my argument for social change hinges, Mr. Justice Ranade's great effort is directed towards making out that the Parsees have no advantage over the Hindus in this respect, and these do not die in larger numbers since Mr Ranade has also been an advocate of social reform, he endeavours to clear himself from a possible misunderstanding as regards his attitude towards that question, and asserts that if he is going to prove that the Parsees have no superiority over the Hindus, it is only because "no useful purpose is served by imperfect generalizations". He also thinks it unfortunate that I should have confined my inquiries to the first eighteen years of the existence of the University But let us look into the question more closely in order to find out whether Mr Ranade's generalizations or mine have a sounder basis, and whether the principle of confining one's inquiry to a certain number of years is not the only true principle in the case. Mr. Ranade himself says that some only of our graduates died immediately after taking their degree, but the majority lived till they were about thirty-five, that being their average age at the time of death. They thus lived for about ten years after they took their degree In so taking the matter, Mr Ranade supposes them to have left the University at the age of twenty-five But B As leave when they are about twenty or twenty-one, though M As stay till they are about twenty-five We will take twelve or thirteen as the number of years for which they lived after taking their degree. This means that the University poison, to adopt a medical phrase, takes about 12 years to operate after 11 has been introduced into the system If so, in order to estimate the percentage of deaths caused by it, we should take into consideration only the number of graduates on whom it has been operating for more than twelve years, and leave out the rest. For in

the case of these latter the poison has not had its assigned time in which to operate. Mr Ranade's procedure, therefore, of taking all graduates up to the last year must lead to fallacious results. I have excluded graduates of the last thirteen years, and therefore mine must be the correct principle. Of course since all these calculations are more or less rough, I must have excluded those of the last twelve, eleven or even ten years, instead of those of thirteen years, but the result would not have been materially different. Then again the other principle introduced by Mr Ranade is equally based on a radical error. He states that the general health statistics give the rate of mortality to be thirty per thousand between the age limits of twenty and forty, and since the University rate exceeds this, it is unquestionable that the University kills men Now, the University rate cannot at all be compared with the general outside rate For this latter is arrived at by taking a certain number of men at the age of twenty, observing them till they (the same men) become forty years old, and finding how many of them have died during the time. But in arriving at the University rate Mr Ranade has not observed the same men from the age limit of twenty to that of forty; some of his men are forty years of age, others thirty-nine, thirty-eight etc., down to twenty be able to compare the two rates, Mr Ranade ought to have taken the graduates of a certain year and observe them till they became forty years old, and not taken different graduates of different ages. In the case of the general outside rate, you have the same men at different ages, while in the case of the University rate you have different men at different ages. Thus then, though of course we believe that the strain of University studies does injure men's health, the matter has not at all been proved by Mr Justice Ranade.

But though the statistical results arrived at by Mr Ranade are vitiated by the radical error shown above, they point in the same direction as mine. The death-rate among Parsee B. As is three per cent., among Gujarathis five, and Marathas 9.2,

58 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

while the rate among graduates generally is four, five and ten respectively. Mr Ranade shirks from the obvious inference that arises He dwells for some time on the apparent advantages which the Gujarathis enjoy as regards some of the courses in some of the faculties, and which are principally due to their smaller numbers, where, as I have observed, the operation of a law does not become manifest, quietly neglecting the fact that they are two-thirds worse than the Parsees in the B A list (the percentages being five and three), while in any more limited B A list, they are a little more than once worse, asserts that the general rates, 4, 5 and 10 are reproduced in the B A rates, though these are 3, 5 and 9 2, 1 e that there is no difference between the three of the Parsees here and four there, and observes in explanation of the more favourable rate in the case of the Parsees "The general rate for the Parsees is lowered by the very large number of Parsee Garduates" What Mr Justice Ranade means by this it is difficult to understand arithmetical law that he wishes here to enunciate, viz, the larger the divisor, the smaller the quotient that we get? If so, what can be the use of such a statement here? He should give a social, economic, sanitary, or educational reason for it, but instead of that he gives an arithmetical reason All this shows that the spirit in which Mr Ranade approaches the question is anything but scientific, similarly, he says with reference to the Maratha graduate that " if these specially unfortunate deaths (60 out of 102) are excluded, the mortality rate among the Marathas would be as favourable as the Gujarathi graduates show", that is to say, if these sixty Marathas had not died, the death-rate among the Maratha Graduates would have been the same as among the Gujarathis, and if the divisor in the case of the Parsees had not been large, the Gujarathis would have been equally well off with the Parsees This simply shows Mr. Ranade's desire to arrive at the conclusion that the Parsees were not better off than the Marathas and Gujarathis, and thus to show the groundlessness of my reasoning about the necessity

of Social reform, but it also shows that he has failed in the attempt, the facts being mexerable. And this is the case, not-withstending that he included all the younger graduates upon them the trace had not ver produced its effects.

The rest of Mr. Justice Ranade's address is similarly made up. He mounderenade me, makes me say what I did not there to say, and then refutes me. For instance, while speaking on the quertion of the University becoming a body of men devoted to learning and currying on original investigations and recordies I and that the Turopean Professors had not contrilated to the formation of such a body, nor the Native graduates, who, if they continue to take interest in certain subjects, did it in a languid manner. Against this Mr. Ranade brings ten per cent of graduates, mose of whom have confined their literary efforts to the translation of a play or two from Shakespeare, or another small English work or a Sanskrit work and to the publication of stray articles or ussays in a magazine or newspaper Can all this be by any stretch of sense called carrying on investigations and researches? Is the interest taken not languid even on Mr. Ranade's showing? I have even excused this want of devotion to learning by saying that the avocation which graduates must follow leaves them little time for literary pursuits, and proposed the institution of Fellowships From Mr Ranade's way of meeting the point, it would appear that Tellowships are unnecessary, since ten per cent of our graduates have already devoted themselves to learning and original research and made our Universities a body of learned men Similarly, with reference to my remarks on the poverty of students Mr. Justice Ranade says I have evaded the difficulty. He, however, does not appear to liave met it or suggested any remedies. He has only dwelt longer on the subject, and said some fine things about it. But I proceed to tell the parents that they should care more for the education of their sons than for their marriage and spend what they have got or what they borrow on the former rather than the latter. And no one will deny that the average

Hindu parent attaches far greater importance to the marriagé of his son than to his education and makes greater sacrifices for it than for the other

In the paragraph in which Mr Justice Ranade brings together the lessons to be derived from his discourse he puts down enforced bachelorship up to the completion of studies as the highest remedy, and uses language a great deal similar to that which I have used. This is very surprizing after the long and elaborate effort made to prove that University strain and poverty alone kill our graduates, in the course of which not the remotest allusion is made to bad social arrangements as even a partial source of the evil, or better social arrangements as calculated to avert it. There are a good many other points worthy of notice, such as the University extension question, and the proposal about a sort of an acadamy, both of which I consider thoroughly impracticable, but the letter has already grown long and I must stop.

THE ENDS AND AIMS OF COLLEGE EDUCATION

Being the substance of an Address delivered on the occasion of the 25th Anniversary of the Removal of the Decoan College, Poons, to its present building. Originally published by the Cheap Literature Committee of the Theistic Association of Bombay,—1893.

On the 23rd of March 1868 the old Poona College was removed to this very commodious and beautiful building and called the Deccan College This event you have recently conceived the idea of commemorating and I think very properly For by being removed to this building, the old Poona College was put into a condition to realise, in a more efficient manner, the object of its existence. We should remember this event with joy, but it is not proper that the occasion should be wasted in mere froth and merriment. We should devote a portion of our time to looking back at the way we, as members of the Institution, have traversed in order to find out whether it is the right way, and if we perceive that we have gone astray, we should endeavour to place ourselves on the right way. In other words, this is an occasion when we should seriously consider whether the College has been realising the objects of its existence and if not, think how it may be made to do so better. From his superior ability and the position he occupies I believe the Principal to be the fit and proper person to address you on a matter connected with this subject today, but since the time is nigh when my connection with this College and with education generally shall cease, he has asked me to discharge the duty instead. And though I clearly feel myself to be incompetent for the task, I think I must obey.

The object of the Deccan College and similar institutions is to educate the youths of India. Various views have been expressed as to what education consists in, but I think those which I wish to place before you will not be considered unique. The etymological sense of the word, which is "to lead out,"

will guide us towards the formation of a correct idea. To evoke the faculties of the mind, which, but for the education that is given in such institutions, would lie dormant and to direct their exercise so that they may efficiently serve their purpose, is thus the object of education. In Sanskrit we have the word Vinaya which has the same etymological sense and expresses the training of the feelings and faculties and also the result of the process, viz a well-ordered or disciplined mind, good manners and humility. There is another word Samskara which means the communication of a certain shape or form to the mind like the shape which an artist gives to the material he employs in order to produce a beautiful object; or that which a mechanic gives to the quantity of matter so as to make it subserve a useful purpose. Training the mind in this way or giving it such a shape or form is the object of education. Young men have to be taught here to have clear ideas before them, to see the connection between them, and to proceed from one connection of ideas to another, that is, to proceed from one proposition to another. They have thus to be taught to reason. We know how confused the ideas of an uneducated man are, what mistakes he makes as to their connection and how incompetent he is to arrive at a correct conclusion from a certain proposition.

But this is not the only object of mental education. You may be able to form clear ideas, see their connection correctly and reason in a faultless manner, but the ideas themselves may be mistaken. The premises you start from may be wrong, and may thus render all your reasoning worthless. Another object of education, therefore, is to teach you to observe correctly, compare and criticise, and arrive at correct premises or notions

Another object or a kindred object is to liberalise the mind; to free it from the narrowness which the antecedents of a man induce into it. Thus, for instance, a bigoted Christian thinks that there can be nothing really good in Non-Christians, and a bigoted Hindu thinks a Christian to be unclean whom it is

defilement to touch. But if by education the mind is raised above the narrow sphere of thought in which it moves, the Christian will see that the Heathen too has got a great deal of good in him and the Hindu, that it is not simply fickle fortune that has raised the European, but that he possesses virtues that deserve his admiration. To place the mind, therefore, on a high platform from which it can look at things as they are, and not with the coloured eyes of pre-possession or prejudice, is an important end to be attained by means of education

But this does not exhaust all that is sought to be attained by the education of the mind. A young man is introduced to a variety of subjects that are likely to interest him, and these are taught to him in a manner to enable him to make one or more of these the study of his after-life, so that they may be the source of pure pleasure or profit to himself and to the community to which he belongs. Thus then the acquisition of clear ideas, and of the power of observation, comparison and criticism, the freeing of the mind from the narrowness consequent on prepossession or prejudice, and a positive knowledge of certain subjects constitute, I believe, the aim of mental education

But after all the mind or intellect is but an instrument, and even when the instrument is good, it does not necessarily follow that he who wields it—the inner man—is himself good. The inner man is composed of a variety of feelings and desires which sway the will and from which proceeds action. We are so constituted as to perceive a graduation of worthiness among these various springs of action. The desire, for instance, of pleasure ought not to be allowed to determine a man's will in preference to truth or justice. Selfishness ought not to make us neglect the claims of compassion. A training and a discipline that will make all the springs of action fall into the relative positions assigned to them by the conscience, or the faculty of moral judgment, is the most important of the ends to be attained by education. A man has no right to be called an educated man

if he has not acquired the habit of controlling himself, and shaping his action in accordance with truth and justice or the dictates of an enlightened or cultured conscience.

Now what are the means at the disposal of Colleges such as this of attaining these ends? You are taught Logic here. which will help you to render your ideas clear and to reason correctly. But dry logic alone is insufficient, and therefore treatises on a variety of subjects, the matter contained in which is well reasoned out, are placed in your hands. Even poetry and fiction are calculated to contribute to the same end, since there also you have to observe the connection between one thought and another. You are also taught several subjects calculated to train your mind to observe, compare, and criticise, and there are books which, if you study them carefully, ought to raise your mind above all narrowness Moreover the subjects themselves, if you approach them with an open mind, cannot fail to be interesting. The efforts recently made with partial success to introduce History, Philosophy, and Physics into your necessary B A course had for their object the liberalisation of the mind. As to that other end of education, which I consider by far the most important, viz the training of the feelings and the desires, that also the course of study through which you are carried is, I believe, calculated to realise. If you study properly the literature that is placed before you of the two languages you have to take up, the end cannot but be accomplished to a certain extent at least. If the feelings of the human heart portrayed by a good poet are really appreciated by you, there cannot but spring up a desire in you to endeavour to realise those feelings in yourselves, and those works of imagination, whether novels or dramatic plays, which represent the struggle of good with evil, virtue with vice, cannot, if read and studied as they ought to be, but enlist your sympathies on the side of what is good But more than all this, there are to guide you the living examples of some at least of your teachers who possess a high character.

There are, however, it must be confessed, the adverse influences of the society in which we live and move. And to remove you as far as possible from these the idea of having residences for you within the College premises, and more recently for the Professors also, has been carried out. If by such means as this you come into closer contact with your professors and there springs up in your hearts as unfeigned admiration and reverence for them and their character, it cannot fail to produce a very healthy influence on your own character.

And there is another object of education which, though incidental, is by no means less important than those to which I have called your attention In the College we are introduced to a civilisation and a system of thought which are greatly different from our own. When two varieties of a type present themselves to our observation, they cannot fail to evoke the spirit of comparison and criticism so as to enable us to find out what is essential to the type and what is bad. It is said that a man cannot know his own language well unless he knows another. In the same manner you cannot understand your own civilisation, cannot distinguish its good elements from its bad elements, unless you are acquainted with another. When you are acquainted only with your own manners and customs and your ways of thinking, it is but rarely that a doubt crosses your mind that there may be evil in them. Though, for instance, in the course of time institutions such as child marriage and caste have grown up among us to such an extent that their evil con sequences cannot fail to strike an unprepossessed mind, they did not strike us before we became acquainted with a condition of society in which these institutions do not prevail. The effect of such education, therefore, as is given to Young Indians in Colleges such as this ought to be to enable them to compare the manners, the customs, the adeas and the institutions of the flourishing communities of Europe with our own, and to find, out the evil that there may be in the datter, and to create in us in, desire to eradicate it. Though insidental, I consider this 40 be.

59 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

a very important effect of the education we receive. For, unless the evil that there is in our society is in the first place perceived and then removed, there can be no hope that we shall ever rise In connection with this matter, however, I may note that there are two extremes into which a man may easily fall. He may come to think that every thing in the new civilisation he is introduced to is better and so proceed to adopt it. Such a wholesale adoption, even of manners which are trifling and have no particular significance, argues a shallowness of mind. the other hand, not to be awakened to the evil at all argues stupidity or the absence of intellect; while to suppose and declare, out of a mistaken pride, that everything we have is good is pernicious and augurs evil for the future of our community. If a man honestly compares the condition of our society with that of any European nation such as the English, he cannot fail to realise the fact that there is much evil in it from which the other is free. And to declare, notwithstanding, that it is in every way good and requires no change is to cut off all hope of It is a mistaken notion to suppose that there can be a race which will evolve all its civilisation out of its own consciousness Just as one man learns from another, the history of the world tells us that one race learns from another. The Greeks adopted something from the Phoenicians and Egyptians, and the Romans from the Greeks, while in the civilisation of modern Europe four distinct elements are observable, the Greek, the Roman, the German and the Jewish. And we are no exception to the rule. We too in the olden times adopted a good deal from the Dravidian races, later on from the Greeks and still later from the The very dress we wear at the present day is un-Hindu, the two dhotars only being original with us.

Now the question is whether the education we have been receiving in this and other Colleges has been successful and has produced these several effects. That it has produced some good effects, cannot be denied. Our educated men are in many cases able to reason well. They know a great many things of which

their fathers were ignorant. And when placed in positions of power and influence they do credit to themselves. That by the employment of graduates in the Judicial Department the service has improved is an undoubted fact. But often times a doubt crosses my mind and I am reminded of the lesson that the Great Sepoy Revolt of 1857 taught us On that occasion about 50,000 native soldiers revolted against the British Government and threw off the authority of their commanding officers. These soldiers were disciplined by the British. When they were led against powerful native Princes and chiefs, they achieved invariable success Even if they had been led against a European foc it is not impossible that they would have been similarly But all this they did, disciplined as they were, only when commanded by British Officers. As soon as they freed themselves from their authority, their discipline stood them in no stead, they became a mob, and were cut to pieces by a handful of British soldiers and in some cases even by civilians. The only small victory that the mutineers achieved was that over General Windham at Kalpee. My doubt therefore is this. May not the good qualities we educated people show when in position of power and trust be due to our continuing to be under British influence as much as to our education? And this doubt is strengthened by the manner in which these same educated natives often conduct themselves when employed in native states. And generally, whether a love of truth and probity, a sense of duty and fearless independence, are in many cases the prominent characteristics of our educated mind, is too more than questionable.

Even as regards mental education I have perceived from a perusal of several University essays and of the articles that appear in the Native press that, although we have acquired the power of correct reasoning, still the critical and comparative power which enables us to test our premises, and breadth or comprehensiveness of view are wanting. There are B. A.s and M. A.s who think the Rāmāyana to be a thoroughly historical work,

who, though reasoning correctly on points of English law, are not, when asked to write an essay on the development of Hindu Law, able to distinguish between the several stages that it presents, and consider e g the Smrti of Yājñavalkya to be a work of the same author that promulgated the several books of Sukla Yajurweda. The difference in language does not strike them; and the fact that the law books in Sūtras are prior to those composed in Anustubh ślokas they have no conception of.

As to the last-effect of education that I have noticed, the fact that there has been very little of conscious improvement in Hindu society indicates that that too is by no means very If any effect has been produced in this respect, perceptible il does not go far beyond talk, while within the last 10 years or so even the talk has been of the opposite kind, and educated men are very little disposed to acknowledge the evil that permeates their society. Moreover, the fact that we have not yet acquired the courage of our convictions argues that those convictions are very weak indeed. And the half-hearted and lethargic manner in which all our movements, political, social, feligious and economical or industrial, are conducted and the fact that we do not find a succession of resolute or zealous workers in connection with them show that the new civilization with which we have come in contact has not, except in very rare cases, produced more than a skin-deep improvement in us.

If then our education has not been so effective in all these respects, the next question is what are the causes and the remedies? As to this matter I shall place myself under the guidance of a very old Sanskrit author four of whose verses are quoted by Yāska, the author of the Nirukta, himself a very ancient author. The language and the irregularity of the metre of the Ślokas indicate that they belong to the period in which the Upanisads were written, i. e. to about the sixth or seventh century at least before Christ. Two of them are as follows—

विया ह व बाह्मणमाजुगाम गोपाय मा शेवधिटेऽहमास्म । अस्यकायानुजवेऽयताय न मा ब्रया वीर्यवती तथा स्याम ॥

पमेव विद्या हानिसम्मन मेथाविने धराणवीपपसम् । पने न इसे राजस्मार समी मा सपा निधिपाप सहान ॥

the new area to to a harned Brahman and said, "Larreson treams, therefore take care of me, inc. do not server exists, the improved premier defter who is disposed in the district of the engage of the ange honort or sincere toranks recorded, and return ducted. Thur alone shall I are tower, is constant. Communicate me only to him and the state of the innocent or unrulled, to be not s recently to be endowed to the rood parts, chaste, unmarried endesorted to the color of whom you may know to be faithfuller level to you. He alone will protect the treasure"." Peters receed to turble let me remark that the poverty of to until the last literated uptiming of its due to other causes, than rich as refer to yours be. To a certain extent the Univerty earthrest and even the teachers are responsible for it. The exister condition of the Hindu Society, its thoughts and a provious, such as they are, operate in a manner to concentrate the influence of the education we receive. Our history of the last twenty centurier, which har induced certain mental and moral characteristics in us, has also a great deal ultimately to do with the uncatisfactory nature of the result. But as I am not discusting the question in a general manner today but simply addressing you, I will mention only those shortcomings in students to which this condition of things is in a great measure to be traced. Yasla, or the author quoted by him, says that l.nov ledge has power (यीर्य) but only when communicated to one whose attitude towards it is that of honesty or sincerity (). That is to say, the student must be a bona fide student, seek knowledge for its own sake and appreciate it for itself, and should not resort to it simply as a means to an entirely different end. Now can we assert that this is the attitude of most of our students? Do they not, when they read the books placed in their hands, think very much more of the examination that they have to pass and the degree they have to gain than of the

knowledge itself? When you choose your optional subjects can you all say that you choose them because you like them, and not because the University examination in them is likely to be easier, or those who are appointed examiners in them are not men of rigidity and are liberal in assigning marks? then is an instance of what Yaska called Annutva or want of sincerity. 'When our students read the best specimens of English poetry, do they endeavour to realize the sentiment and appreciate it, and are they charmed by it? Do they not care for the syntactical collocation of the words, and for the merely dry and mechanical contents of the book, in order to be able to paraphrase any passage that may be set by the examiner and to answer questions as regards the contents? In studying history, do they care to realize the manner in which a race has progressed from barbarism to civilization, and do they study the arts and institutions of that race with any degree of sympathy? Is philosophy studied with a keen interest in the problems, concerning human nature and the principles which are in operation in human society, which it grapples with? I am afraid the examination papers in the University Calender are more assiduously studied than the subjects themselves
If then a University degree and the worldly prospects it opens to us are all that we thinks of in reading our books, it is a wonder that our Vidyā or education or knowledge has no Vîrya or power as Yāska calls it? But on reflection you will find that if you study English poetry and fiction, history and philosophy in an appreciative manner, feel really interested in them, realise the ideas that they place before you, and learn to admire those ideas, you will do greater good to yourselves than that which a University degree will do for you You will be a better man, life will have greater and more elevated pleasures for you, and you will be a more useful member of society And do not for a moment suppose that by constantly placing before your mind's eye the requirements of the examinations you are the better able He who studies a subject for itself because he is interested in it and wants to know as much of it as he can is

better able to stand the chances of an examination than one who studies it merely for the examination. So that a bona fide or sincere study of a subject is twice blessed. It improves your mind and heart, and enables you to pass your examination

There is another circumstance which contributes towards this attitude of insincerity or this imperviousness to the influence of what you learn. Somehow or other, in Bengal and in our part of the country, a false race-pride has sprung up and dominates the minds of a great many persons, old as well as young. Whenever one is introduced to a good idea in European literature or philosophy there is always a desire in many young men to be able to say that the idea is not foreign to our literature and philosophy and that it dawned upon our ancestors centuries ago. People want to believe that Europe has got little or nothing which our ancestors had not. When the mind is thus prepossessed, it is of course not possible for it to place itself on a high platform and examine the literature, the history and the progress of different races with impartiality and critical fairness. Hence comes it that in handling Sanskrit literary problems our students show little critical powers and are guided by the same principles and beliefs that dominate the mind of a bigoted Pandit. The assertions of a graduate that the Rāmāyana contains nothing that is not historical, or that of another that all people were perfectly virtuous and happy in the time of Rama, without ever asking himself whether there was ever such a historical person as Rāma, and that of a third that the religion which enjoins animal sacrifices (कर्ममार्ग) and that which includes the findings of God in the whole universe as a means to eternal bliss (ज्ञानमार्ग) were promulgated at one and the same time as simply two alternative methods of arriving at eternal bliss, suited to the tastes of two sorts of people, and that the Upanisads, the hymns of of the Rgveda, and the Brahmanas were written at one and the same time, notwithstanding that the difference as regards language and ideas is so great that to a mind not so prepossessed they cannot but appear as representing different stages in the progress of Indian thought, show that all the European education they had received was wasted on them and that it possessed no Virya or power in their case. I ask you to reflect whether this assumption that all that is ours is good and that our ancestors were omniscient can be beneficial to us in any way. That it is perfectly untrue is plain even to a child if its mind is not enslaved. And if we obstinately stick to this belief, the result must be that the thought or civilisation of Europe will' exercise no influence upon us and that we shall be what we have been,—a dormant or dead community. A student therefore should endeavour to-divest himself of this false belief and keep his mind open to the influence which European thought cannot but exercise in the natural course of things.

Another qualification of a student is Apramattatva, i e the absence of conceit If a student already believes that he knows much, he cannot learn much In order that learning may be possible, it is necessary that he should know how ignorant he is. A great many students think themselves qualified to pronounce a decided opinion on any question, educational, moral or historical, as against even their teachers and men of greater experience. It will be seen that Yaska requires a student to be loyal and faithful to his teacher and to regard him as his father and mother (य आतृणात्यवितथेन कर्णावद्व स कुर्वज्ञमृत समयच्छन्। तं मन्येत. िपतरं मातरं च तस्में न इहोक्कतमचनाह).

If such a relation springs up between the teacher and the taught, the latter cannot fail to be immeasurably benefited by it. If he sincerely reveres his teacher, the high character of the teacher will serve as a living example for him to copy. But if he is Asūyaka, to use Yāska's expression, i e disposed to find fault with him or to believe any evil about him upon the most slender evidence, the influence of that living example will be entirely lost. If any feature in the character of Indian students has from the remotest times been most plainly visible, it is this reverence for a teacher. But somehow under the system

of education organised by our Government that reverence seems to have almost entirely disappeared, and a teacher's own pupils are not seldom his hostile critics in debate and in newspapers. I believe this is partly at least due to the non-appreciation by the pupil of the knowledge communicated to him by the teacher. But whatever the reason may be, the absence of reverence is in a great many cases a fact, and a fact to be deeply deplored. You will see that Yaska places the teacher on the same level with the father and mother. The feeling of reverence for these naturally springs up in the mind of a man. A feeling of reverence is one of the most ennobling features of human If it is not developed in those cases where should first develop, it will in all likelihood developed at all, and a reverence for truth, for moral good, and for the author of the grand and beautiful cosmos of the universe will not spring up. And what is a man who has not reverence for these? You should therefore guard yourselves very much against concert, against the readiness to teach before you learn, and against an irreverent spirit

Again, we see that Yaska considers that a man's education will be fruitful when he has good natural parts. This no doubt is true, but it is a gift of nature or of God and we can devise no remedy if one does not possess it. Still, if the other requisites mentioned by him are realised, they can to a large extent make up for the absence of good natural parts. He says that a man should observe strict Brahmacarya during the time he is student, and should be Yata, i. e. 'well-behaved'. Brahmacarya by usage means 'celibacy', but etymologically it means the vow of devotion to Brahman, which might be rendered here as 'what is good and true'. This requisite therefore comes to this, that a man should devote himself entirely to knowledge during the time he is a student, should not think of enjoying pleasures and should not have a wife or the cares of the family. This last requisite is wanting in a good many cases in which our students have to look to their family and 60 [R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

their application to their studies is lessened. Here, again, we can see how much we have fallen away from our old ideal, the ideal of our ancestors In olden times when a boy was sent to a Guru or teacher, he had to remain with him for twelve or twenty-four years and think of nothing but his studies during that time. And it was after he had thus completed his studies that he was allowed to marry. At the present day we go through the ceremonies of being sent to a Guru and of return-But this last, which was ing after the completion of studies performed at the end of a period of twelve or twenty-four years, is now performed on the fourth day and we have now a farce in the place of an old reality. It must not be denied that there is a tendency in our society towards improvement in this respect, and the marriage of boys is often delayed till they are twenty or twenty-one. As to close application and devotion to your books with a singleness of purpose, you can realise them if you are so minded

Thus then, if the education you receive here is to have Virya or power, 1 e, 1s to be fruitful in the manner described in the beginning of this address, you should endeavour to realise in yourselves these requisites mentioned by an author who flourished about 25 centuries ago Your attitude towards knowledge should be that of sincerity, i e. you should be a bona fide student and seek knowledge for its own sake, because it is interesting to you, not because you are to derive any incidental benefits from it, such as a degree and good prospects in life, though of course these will be added and your single-minded devotion will be rewarded in that manner also You should disabuse your mind of that sentiment of false patriotism with which the atmosphere of Bengal and of this side of the country is surcharged. You should keep your mind open to the influence which what is true, good and beautiful, whether it comes from a foreigner or from a native, cannot fail to exercise You should not assume to yourselves a knowledge and experience you do not possess, and should wait until you come

Your attitude towards your teachers, as, towards your father and mother, should be that of complete reverence, and that attitude will breed in you a reverence for what is true and good. Lastly, your application towards your studies should be close; nothing ought to draw your mind away from them, no pleasures ought to attract you. It is thus alone that the education given to you will develop your mental faculties, establish a moral order amongst the feelings of your heart, and elevate your character. And it is thus that you will be able to derive advantage from the dispensation of Providence in virtue of which we have been brought into connection with one of the foremost races of Europe, and improve your moral, social, economic and political condition. In this way alone will you be able to raise your fallen country, and enable it to take its place in the community of nations.

THE IDEAL OF AN INDIAN SCHOLAR

From The Times of India, Bombay, Wednesday, 19th July 1893

[At a private and informal meeting held on Sunday afternoon in honour of Dr R. G. Bhandarkar, M A., Ph D. C.I.E., the learned Sanskritist sketched the following ideal of what an Indian Scholar ought to be. In the course of his remarks he said]

Many years ago when I first entered the Educational Department it was because I felt that the highest duty of man consisted in trying to secure the love and approbation of his fellowmen and that the teacher's calling afforded excellent opportunities for the purpose, I soon discovered, however, that it was not given to all, even of those who assiduously served their fellowmen, to secure their love and approbation, and all I aimed at instead was the satisfaction of my own conscience and the service of the Universial Lord in Whom we live, and move and have our When, later on, I had the good fortune to be appointed Professor of Oriental Languages, I felt I would hardly be equal to the discharge of the many and important duties which in my opinion are expected of the occupant of such a chair in India A Professor's duty is not simply to teach, but also to learn a Professor of Sanskrit has an extensive field before him, the whole of which any one individual can hardly be expected to cover. In the first place, he has to be conversant with the history of Indian languages The language of the Vedas differs from the language of the Brahmanas, which in its turn is not the language for which Panini legislated It is generally believed that Pānini's Grammar formulated a system from which later Sanskrit literature has not diverged But it is not so The language of the Epics is not indeed so unlike the language of Pānini's Grammar as that of the Vedas is, still the points of dissimilarity between the two are neither few nor trivial, and European scholars who, till lately, had almost exclusively confined themselves to the Vedas are now beginning to bring their comparative, elabo-

rate and scientific methods of study to bear on the 'later Sanskrit literature, and to discover that in it also, as in the earlier field which they have made peculiarly their own, there are stages of growth and periods of change to record and understand. But this is not all. The Pali and kindred dialects also claim the professor's attention; these have developed literatures of their own, were derived at different stages of Sanskrit from the mixture of Sanskrit, the language of the Aryan conquerers, with the tongue of the barbarians, autochthonous or not, with whom they came in contact and whom they subdued and Aryanised; and they have given birth in turn to the Gujarati, Marathi and other languages of Modern India. The professor has thus to study the various literatures, with special reference to the history of language, the broad landmarks of which have thus been sketched too hurriedly. But this is only one branch of study he professes - and hardly the most important branch. These literatures embody many stages of religious belief and ceremonial practice, each of which grew out of the one preceding it. The Vedic hymns embody a religion which is not that embodied in the mass of literature styled as Brāhmanas. Again there is Buddhism, especially northern Buddhism; and the religious belief and the code of morals embodied in the Mahābhārata, which to all careful and unprejudiced students show strong mutual affinities. Lastly, there are Jainism and other modifications of Buddhism as well as Brahmanism, which slowly approximated one faith to the other, until there emerged as a substitute for both and as a result of compromising and accommodating tendency, extending over centuries, Puranic Brahmanism. Thirdly, the professor has to study the history of early Indian thought as a branch of inquiry distinct from the two, roughly delineated above This, also, begins with some of the hymns of the Rgveda The body of literature known as the Upanisads furnished the material for its second stage, and last come the different schools of formulated and systematised philosophies and the controversies that raged for long periods between them. The very materials for a study of this period of philosophical

controversies have yet to be discovered, collected and arranged. Lastly, the professor has to turn his attention to the political history of the country, and by deciphering and interpreting inscriptions, which is almost the only material he has got for the purpose, to try his best to get as many events, persons and works dated as possible. All this it is clearly impossible for any one man to study with equal attention and fullness at all points. Even the laborious Professor Weber has not been able to do so; and some scholars have, nolens volens, to work as pioneers examining, classifying and tabulating manuscripts, preparing lists of authors and the works, mentioned or referred to in any one manuscript or author, and in a variety of ways clear the road by preparing and digesting material in order that their successors may use it with greater ease and turn it to better account. Moreover, Indian professors have to do more teaching work than their German brethren. And being Indian born, they have a personal interest in the religious and social institutions that now prevail in the country, their past history, and the efforts that are now made to modify and reform them. As a reformer I belong to the most advanced section of the religious and social reformers of India. But my fellow-workers and fellow-thinkers of that section consider me as one of the most conservative of their number. The explanation is this In Indian society the centrifugal tendency is, in my opinion, far too strong. The slightest -divergence of ritual, manuer of life, or mode of thinking is -sufficient to constitute a new caste-group which falls away from the older castes and begins life by itself, and there is hardly any way in which these atoms can be crystallised into a new and larger whole. This conviction and the strong sense of the need of preserving historic continuity have often held me back not enough that any particular reform that may be suggested is good in itself. The question that is of vital importance is whether it can be engrafted on the existing organism of Hi- ity Society, whose roots go back into the prehistoric tire scholars which contains vestiges of all that it has at any pericaselves to the assimilated or had to struggle against. rative, elabo

I am aware that this line of thought is capable of being construed to support the most uncompromising hostility to every kind of change. But I have always held that it is necessary to act as well as to deliberate and discuss and preach and enlighten, excite and exhort in all varieties of tone and manner. And whenever my lights have enabled me to recognize a clear case for action, I for one have not hesitated. If my conduct on such occasions has dissatisfied any, I ask only to be judged according to my lights. I have always an explanation to offer as regards my attitude towards present political movements. not that we Indians are devoid of sympathy for our fellowcreatures. Our benevolence is active where particular individuals have to be helped. Our feelings are stirred at the sight of individual human beings in distress. But we are devoid of the sense of public duty. Our sense of wrong at public grievance is fitful and transitory. We cannot yet work with perseverance for objects that are to benefit not certain specific individuals, but the body public. The public, the body public, the country, is to us an abstraction with whose wrongs and needs we have not sufficient sympathy, whose claims on our efforts we do not adequately recognize, and whose good is not the good of any individuals in particular with whom we can speak and talk, whose gratitude we can enjoy as our reward We are unselfish enough where help is claimed by individual distress, but, being devoid of the sort of corporate consciousness thus described, our actions in political matters are guided on the whole by selfish and individual interests When this is the case, it is inevitable that there should he factions instead of parties, and that personal preferences. and animosities should assert themselves and public interests be lost sight of. As long as such a state of things lasts, representative government would be an evil and not a boon. And I conclude by advising you that before asking for any political privileges, you should impartially examine whether you are fit for it, whether, lu, in fact, there is any probability of your using it to the lasting different nefit of your country

and the cor The very n

A REVIEW OF MARTIN HAUG'S AITAREYA-BRAHMANA'

[Originally appeared in the Native Opinion, Bombay, Febr 28 and March 6, 1864, pp 99-101 and pp 110-112, subsequently republished by Prof. A. Weber of Berlin in his Indische Studion, Vol 9 (1865), pp 177 ff]

It is satisfactory to know that while Europeans have got so many things which excite our admiration and which we are tempted to adopt we possess one at least which seems to be very attractive to them in India and which they have consequently adopted here, and that is,—our love of ease and pleasure It is indeed wonderful that while so many good and valuable periodicals are conducted in England and so many books of real work published every week, the English men and other Europeans in this Presidency should not be able to manage a single periodical or write one book at least in a decade of years late Rev. Philip Anderson of Colaba was a remarkable exception. He wrote a good history of the English in Western India, and his connection with the late Bombay Quarterly Review is well The Review, like its projector, died an untimely death for want of contributors Perhaps, the reason may be that Englishmen here have too much to do to be able to devote their attention to literature, but the number of really hard working men like Mr Ellis is infinitesimal, and even in their

¹ The Aitareya Brāhmaṇam of the Bgveda, containing the earliest speculations of the Brāhaṇas on the meaning of the sacrificial prayers and on the origin, performance und sense of the rites of the Vedic Religion edited, translated and explained by Martin Haus. Ph D., Superintendent of Sanakrit Studies in the Poona College, etc., etc., Volume I Pp IX, 80 215, VI, Sanakrit Text with Prefece, introductory Essay, and the map of the Sacrificial Compound at the Soma Sacrifice, and Volume II. Pp VII, 535 Translation with Notes Published by the Director of Public Instruction in behalf of Government, Bombay, Govt Central Book Depôt, London, Trubner & Co. 60 Paternoster Row. 1863

case the examples of Sir George Cornwall Lewis and Mr. Gladstone forbid us to make any great allowance. But the Professors of our Colleges can by no means plead this excuse, for the greatest amount of work they have is about two hours a day and vacations extend over about four months in the year. On this account, and on account of the circumstance that their profession is literary, the public has a right to expect good books from them. But they also have disappointed us. The only exception amongst them is Dr. Haug. His essays on the Parsi Religion have now been for about two years before the public, and this Edition and Translation of the Aitareya Brāhmana is his second work.

The style of the Astarcya Brāhmana is generally simple. But it can by no means be concluded that a translation of it is therefore an easy matter. There is indeed a very great difficulty, and such a difficulty as European scholars in Europe cannot master. To understand the Brahmana, a general knowledge of the complicated sacrificial ritual of the Brahmans is necessary. A large number of sacrificial terms occur in the book which, without such a knowledge, are liable to be totally misunderstood. There is, no doubt, Sayana's excellent commentary, in which most of these terms are explained and several of the sacrificial processes minutely described, but the great scholiast presupposes some knowledge of the ritual in his reader, and thus even with the help of his valuable work a great deal in the original remains indistinct and obscure. Dr. Haug's residence in India, therefore, gave him great advantage in this respect. He had recourse to one or two Śrotnyas who had officiated as priests at some of the sacrifices which some times, though now very rarely, take place on the banks of the Krsnā. They gave him a good deal of Toriginal information, and one of them performed a model sacrifice in his presence in a secluded part of his bunglow. We congratulate the Doctor on the success which thus attended his endeavours. It is indeed very difficult for a European to procure information on this most holy art. A Brahman breaking its secret to a Mleccha commits a horrible and inexpiable offence against religion. But the greediness of the Deccani. Brahmans is more than a match for their bigotry and superstition, and the offers of Dr. Haug were probably too tempting to be resisted.

With the information thus obtained and with Sāyana's Commentary, the sacrificial sūtras and the prayogas for manuals of priests, the translation of the Brāhmana was a matter of comparative ease. Dr Haug, however, must have worked very hard before he was able to perform the task he had undertaken. The translation upon the whole is well executed, as might be expected. Copius notes illustrative of the text are given. They are chiefly based upon oral information and the prayogas, and now and then upon the Sūtras of Āsvalāyana and Hiranyakeśin, and the Kausītaki and Tāndya Brāhmanas. No ordinary degree of preseverance must have been required to collect and bring together this mass of information. The Germans are known to be patient scholars, and Dr Haug seems to be a favourable specimen of their class.

A knowledge of sacrifices and of the technical terms of the art is essential for the correct interpretation of a large number of hymns in the Rgveda, and of nearly the whole of the Yajus Samhitā. It is indispensable for the accurate understanding of the work of the Mīmāmsā divines, and useful to a student of several schools of Philosophy. Dr. Haug, therefore, has rendered material service to the students of Sanskrit literature, especially in Europe, and is therefore entitled to their thanks.

But great as are the merits of this book, it has many blemishes. These we should gladly pass over, were it not a duty we owe to the public and to the cause of Sanskiit learning to point them out. The edition of the Sanskiit text seems to have been hastily gone through. The punctuation is in many places wrong and calculated more to bewilder than help a reader. The editor in the corrigenda states that the first 60 pages were reprinted, and that the stopping from page 61 to 96 is not quite

correct. But even in the reprinted pages and those which follow p. 96, the punctuation in a number of places is wrong, though such errors are fewer in the former portion than in the latter. We will give a few instances

Page 50 line 20: kim sa yajamanasya papabhadramadriyeteti ha smāha. yo 'sya hotā syādīti. Properly, the full stop ought to be placed after this last iti, and even if it cannot be marked there on account of the samidhi of the 1 of the a of the following atra, there ought not be a full point after smaha. For the meaning is, "what, can he who is Hotar do any good or evil to him? So they (he) ask". It is clear that the relative yah is connected with the correlative sah. By placing a full stop after smaha, the relative clause is separated from the correlative, which ought not to be. On referring to the translation, we find that Dr Haug has constructed the passage as he has pointed it. But the particle iti in Sanskrit cuts] off the connection of the clause at the end of which it is placed with what The clause vo 'sya hotā syād iti, therefore, has no connection with the following but with the preceding. Besides. it will be necessary to understand the correlative sah in the next sentence, while if it be taken with the preceding there is no such need And Sayana understands the passage as we take it. His words are: asya yajamanasya yo hota syat sa tastya papabhadram kim ādriyeta And there is no doubt that that is the sense of the passage.

Then follows in the text atraivainam yathā kāmayeta. tathā kuryadyam kāmayeta prānenainam vyardhayānīti etc Both these full points are wrongly placed. The first ought to come after kuryād, and, if it cannot be printed, omitted altogether, and the second ought to be totally dropped. The translation, however, in this case is correct, but the text, being badly pointed, is apt to confuse a learner.

Page 97, line 9. atha yāh samāpayisyāmah samvatsaramityāsata etc. A full point is here placed between the verb samāpayisyāmah and its accusative samvatsaram, which, of course, is wrong.

Page 107, line 11. tad yadiheha vo manasa bandhuta nara ityarbhavam. prathame 'hani sansati etc. Here it is clear that arbhavam is the accusative governed by the verb sansati, there ought not to be a full point therefore after arbhavam.

Page 106, line 3. agnir vai devatā, prathamamaharvahati, —a semicolon separating the verb from its Nominative! — There are several such errors, but in the thirty-six pages between 61 and 96 the stopping is positively bad.

Then there are errors of another sort, which are also calculated to mislead a reader. The Sanskrit Mss, our readers know, are written without leaving a space between two successive words. This, no doubt, renders their understanding difficult to a beginner. Dr. Haug has, in his edition, separated the words, but in several places the division is wrong and therefore apt to bewilder a reader. Some of these errors are corrected in the corrigenda, but there are others which are not noticed. We will cite a few instances

Page 31, last line (and p 32 first line) sa medhena nah pasunestam asat kevalena nah pasunestamasaditi. Here the first word ought to be samedhena, that is, with the medha or sacrificial quality. For the story there mentioned is that the sacrificial quality fled from animals and took refuge in the earth Consequently, the things produced from the earth such as rice are offered in the form of purodăśa along with the animal, in order that the deficiency of the animal in the sacrificial quality may be made up

Page 61, line 9 sastvā 'caturaksarena. It ought to be sastvā caturaksarena.

Page 62, line 19 yajñāyajñīya, being the name of asāman, the first part ought not to be separated from the second.

Page 66, line 15. sa somapīthān karoti. It ought to be: sasomapīthān karoti.

Page 68, line 4. tena prati ca na samavadata. It ought to be te na prati cana samavadata.

Page 118, line 9. tad yathā yathamṛtvija ṛtuyājān yajanti. The first yatha ought to be joined to the other.

Errors of this kind considerably impair the value of the edition before us. The third and a part of the fourth Pancika abound with them.

We will now proceed to examine the translation. We are sorry our limits do not allow of a full discussion of its merits. Though it is, on the whole, well executed, there are unfortunately too many inaccuracies and errors to render it trustworthy throughout. We can give but a few examples.

Page 57, line 23 (Text) asau vāva vāvrtavah sal etam eva tad rtusvādadhātyrtusu pratisthāpayati Dr. Haug's translatation of this passage is as follows.

Page 168, line 6-10 (Translation). The part vau of the formula vausat means the six seasons. By repeating vasatkāra, the Hotar places the sacrificer in the seasons, gives him a footing in them.

This makes no sense 'Because the part vau means the seasons, therefore he places the sacrificer in the seasons' is no good reasoning even according to the standard of the Aitareya Brāhmana. Besides, a little above, it is said that, the part sat means the seasons, and the reason is that the seasons are six and sat in common language means six. Vau, therefore, cannot means the seasons here. And immediately after this the author of the Brāhmana says. "As he does to the Gods so the Gods do to him." There must be something then in what precedes which is construed by the author as being done by him (the Hotar) to the Gods, otherwise, that observation would be out

of place. The true sense is . asau 1. e. that, meaning the sun, is vau and rtus (seasons) are sat, therefore (by joining the two in the word vausat) he places the sun in the seasons, gives him a firm footing in them The connection of the observation which follows with this is clear. He (Hotar) gives the god Sun a firm footing among the seasons, therefore the god Sun will do a similar thing to him, i e. give him a firm footing here in this world throughout the seasons In Dr Haug's translation the word asau is left out altogether, sat he takes to be the word in common language which means six, while it is here meant to be the latter part of vausat, and makes it an adjective of Sāyaņa takes the passage as we do, and since the translator makes no mention of his dissenting from the commentator in this case, he must have been under the impression that Sayana and he agreed But the commentator explains it differently, whence it is clear that the Doctor did not read Sayana's explanation carefully. It is thus tanmantrapathenaitameva vauśabdābhidheyamādityam satšabdābhidheyeşvṛtuṣvādadhāti, 1 e. by repeating that mantra (vausat) he places that, 1. e. sun who 1s meant by vau, in the seasons which are meant by sat the word asau or esa, that, refers very frequently to the sun 15 clear from several passages of the Brahmana itself (see particularly 3, 44 and 1, 30)

In the translation of the tale about the bringing of Soma from the heavenly world by gāyatrī, a formula is given which ought to be repeated by one who wishes safe passage to a friend going on a journey That formula, according to Dr. Haug is pra cā cā. The original (page 69, line 21, 22, Text) is tām devāh sarvena svastyayanenā'nvamantrayanta preti ceti ceti etad vai sarvam svastyayanam yat preti ceti ceti. Dr. Haug's statement is in the last degree unsatisfactory. Sāyana's explanation is decidedly better and very probably true. He says ko 'sau mantra iti. prasabda eko mantra āsabdo dvitīyo mantrah. tadubhayadarśanārthamitisabdadvayam ubhayasamuccayārtham cakāradvayam ksemena somam prāpnuhi punarapi ksemenā 'gaccheti.

Nothing can be clearer than this. The word pra is some mantra (formula) and the word ā another. The different words which are joined together by the rules of samdhi in the expression preti ceti ceti are, pra iti ca ā iti ca iti. The word iti is twice used as a demonstrative and ca, being a copulative particle, is put in twice to join pra and ā. The last iti points out the whole expression and is connected with the principal word in the sentence. The particle pra means forth and ā hither. The sense of the formula pra and ā is forth (safely) and hither (-wards safely), i. e. go and return safely. Dr. Haug makes it pra cā cā-which means nothing.

Page 197, lines 12-16.

tadu punah paricaksate yadasarvena vaco'bhişikto bhavatīcvaro ha tu purāyusah praitoriti ha smaha Satyakāmo Jābālo yametābhir vyāhṛtibhir nābhisincatītīśvaro ha sarvamāyuraitoh. sarvamāpnod vijayenetyu ha smāhoddālaka Ārunir yametābhir vyāhṛtibhir abhisincatīti.

The full points are Dr. Haug's. The first is misplaced as we shall show. The translation (page 506, lines 9-16) is as follows:

They, again, are of opinion that the Ksatriya when sprinkled not under the recital of the whole mantra (1 e. with the omission of the sacred words) has power only over his former life.

Satyakāma, the son of Jabalā, said, "If they do not sprinkle him under the recital of these sacred words (in addition to the mantra), then he is able to go through his whole life (as much as is apportioned to him)." But Uddālaka Āruņi said "He who is sprinkled under the recital of these sacred words obtains everything by conquest".

Before examining this, we must premise that the author of the Brahmana in describing the power, whether for good or evil, of a mantra or a sacrificial rite always points out an ana-

logy between the good or evil which is to result and the mantra In the first and second sentences of the above passage as translated by Dr Haug, having power over his former life and being able to go through his whole life mentioned as the effects of sprinkling a Ksatriya (at the time of coronation) with water under the recital of an incomplete mantra, 1. e 'mantra to which the sacred words bhûr bhuvah' svah are not added There is, however, no analogy between the cause and its effects. It would make good sense if, on the contrary, it were said that when he is sprinkled under the recital of an incomplete mantra, he enjoys an incomplete life, 1 e as some words are clipped off from the mantra, so are some years clipped off from the life originally assigned to him, and that when he is sprinkled under the rectical of a full mantra, 1. e the mantra with the sacred words, he enjoys his fulf life And such is really the sense of the Sanskrit passage above quoted Dr Haug has translated it as if the first sentence ended with praitoh and the second, with ayuraitoh, the remaining words forming a third But this is objectionable, because, as above observed, the sense is not good, and because, if the first sentence ended with praitoh, the second would begin with iti or at least with smaha, which is never the case in Again, the translator has misunderstood the sense of pura 'yusah, which according to him means 'former life,' while in reality it means 'before life' (pura before and ayuşah genitive sing of ayus life) and he has not translated the word praitoh, which etymologically means to go forth, and usually, to die Tadu punah paricaksate may be taken to be the first sentence, the second ends with the words abhisincatiti, and the remaining words of the passage as quoted above make up a third construe the passage thus, the sense which as we said appears to to be proper naturally follows The translation then ought to be

Then again, they refute Satyakama, the son of Jabalā. said that he who is not sprinkled under-the recitation of the

sacred words (vyāhrtis, bhur etc.) is apt to die before the term of his natural life in consequence of his being sprinkled with a part only of a speech (i e mantra). And Uddālaka Āruni said that he who is sprinkled under the recital of the sacred words (vyāhrtis) is able to live all his life and, having conquered his enemy, gains everything. Sāyana perfectly agrees with us. We refer the reader to his explanation, it being too long to be here quoted.

We will give a few examples of another class of errors and conclude.

Transl. Page 84, lines 2-5. When the fire is carried round (the animal), the adhvaryu says to the hotar repeat (thy mantras) The hotar then repeats etc.

Page 93, line 29 'The adhvaryu now says (to the hotar): recite the verses for Manotā.

Page 95, Note 32, line 5 to 7 The Adhvaryu puts the plant on the juhu. and says to the hotar address vanaspati. He then first repeats an anuvākyā

Page 99, lines 1 to 2 The adhvaryu orders the hotar to recite the mantra appropriate to the drop (falling down)

This is all wrong. It is not the hotar but the maitravaruna that repeats all these mantras and the anuväkyäs. The hotar recites the yajyäs only at the animal sacrifice. This will be evident from the following quotations from Aśvalāyana, and the Sapta-hautra-prayoga. In the Sanskrit text of the Brāhmana the name of the hotar is not at all mentioned on these occasions.

Daksino hotisadanāt prahvo'vasthāya vedyām dandam avastabhya brūyāt praisāms cādesam (Āśv Śr. Sū 3 1) On this, Nārāyana, the commentator says, etad uktam bhavati, praisāmnāyaparipaṭhitān sarvān praisān maitrāvaruņa eva presitah-presito 'nubrūyad iti arthah casabdo maitrāvaruņānukarsaṇārthah. From this it is clear that the nominative to brūyat in the above sūtra

and consequently in those that follow, in virtue of the rule of anyrtti, is maitrāvarunah. The word occurs in a previous sūtra from which it is brought on here, says the commentator, by the copulative particle ca

The next two sūtras are

Anuvākyām ca sampraise pūrvām praisāt, i e before giving the praisa or order to the hotar, he should repeat the anuvākyā if he has got an order (praisā from the adhvaryu) It is clear from this that the maitrāvaruna and not the hotar repeats the anuvākyās at the animal sacrifice for which Āśvalāyana is here giving rules

Paryagni-stoka-manoto-nnîyamānasûktāni ca i e he should repeat the verses for carrying fire round (the animal), for the drops and for manotā The commentator-says evambhūtamaitrā-varunānukarsanārthas casabhdah, i e the particle ca is used in the sūtra to drag on the word maitrāvaruna (from a preceding sūtra given above) From this we see that all these mantras are repeated by the Maitrāvaruna.

Aśw Śrau Sūtra 3, 2 dasasūktesu presito maitrāvaruno 'gnir hota na iti trcam paryagnaye 'nvāha, 1 e after the ten (āprī verses) are repeated the Maitrāvaruna, when ordered, recites the triplet Agnir hota nah etc for carrying fire round (the sacrificial animal)

Sapta-Hautra . prayagnaye kriyamānāyā 'nubrûhīty ukte tisthann eva maitrāvarunah agnir hotā etc , i e after being told, "Repeat (mantras) for carrying fire round (the animal)", the Maitrāvaruna, standing, (says) Agnir hota etc

Stokebhyo 'nubrūhīty ukte tisthann eva maitrāvarunah, i e. after being told "Repeat (mantras) for drops" the Maitrāvaruna, standing, says jusasva etc

Atha manotăyai presito maitrăvaruna āha Maitrāvaruna being ordered (to repeat mantras) for Manotā repeats, (tvam etc.)

After this it is needless to quote Sayana who also says that these verses (given by Dr. Haug to the Hotar) are repeated by the Maitravaruna

The 22nd khanda of the 2nd pancikā is thus headed in the translation (see page 120): "The Hotar has no share in the Bahis-pavamāna meal—The soma libation for Mitrā-Varuna to be mixed with milk." We think, there can be little doubt that Dr Haug has misunderstood the general bearing of this khanda. For there is no such thing as a Bahis-pavamāna meal, nor is the soma-libation for Mitrā-Varuna mixed with milk—We find no indications of them in Aśvalāyana, Sāyana, or Sapta-Hautra—As to the first, all Aśvalāyana has got to say on it is this

Adhvaryumukhāh samanvārabdhāh sarpanti ā tīrthadeśāt tat stotrāyopavisanty udgātaram abhimukhāh tān hotā 'numantrayate' traivāsīno yo devānām etc., i e "They headed by the Adhvaryu proceed up to the tīrtha and there sit down for the stotra (Bahispavamāna) facing the Udgātar The Hotar sitting here only (i e where he was sitting before) consecrates them (their act) by reciting a mantra, Yo devānām etc."

Here we do not find any mention made of the meal, where it might be expected if the meal were an enjoined rite at all, nor do we find it before, nor after this. This mistake has arisen from a misapprehension of the sense of these words in the original ubhayesam va esa devamanusyanam bhakso yad bahispavamanah. The Doctor translates them thus, "this meal in honour of the Bahis-pavamana-Stotra (which is about to be performed by the Sama-Singers) is enjoyed equally by both Gods and men." We do not know how the words in the original can bear this interpretation. We will give the meaning of each of them in order ubhayesam, of both, vai, a particle very frequently used but having no definite sense, esah that, devamanusyanam, or gods and men, bhaksah meal or something eatable, yad which, bahispavamanah, name of a stotra (performance of the Sama-singers). The whole is this "Of both

gods and men is that which is Bahispayamana a meal", i e. the Bahispavamāna stotra is as it were the meal both of gods and The word bhaksa or meal is used here figuratively Bahispavamāna is compared to a meal, for it gives pleasure or satisfaction to both gods and men as a meal does Sāvana perfectly agrees with us, as is clear from the following quotation yo bahıs-pavamana esa eva devanam manusyanam cobhayesam bhaksah, tena hi te sarve tripyanti, i e that which is Bahispavamāna is itself the meal or eatable thing of both gods and men, for all of them are pleased, or satisfied by its means Sayana makes no mention of the "meal in honour of Bahispavamana," nor does Apastamba as quoted by him Notwithstanding all this, the assurance with which note 12 (page 120) is written is surprising Dr Haug says there that the text which he has misconstrued "refers to the eating of caru or boiled rice by the Sāma-singers before they chant"

With regard to the second error, the milk or curd, spoken of in the text as belonging to Maitra-varuna, forms one of the purodasas offered to some deities before the stotras commence It is not mixed with Soma as Dr Haug says in the heading and in note 16, page 122 This will be clear from the following observation of Sāyana in his comment on the passage ın the text atha savanıyapurodasesu yeyam maitravarunı payasya-'stı tatsadbhava Apastambena darsıtah, 1 e "Among the purodasas at the savana is milk (or curd) dedicated to Maitrāvaruna Apastamba has mentioned its existence amongst them follows the quotation from Apastamba a part of which we give ındrāya harīvate dhānā indrāya pūsanvate karambham sarasvatyai bhāratyai parīvāpam indrāya purodāšam mitrāvarunābliyim payasyām iti, i e dhānā for Indra with Haris (horses), Karambha for Indra with Pūsan and payasyā i e curds or milk to This is also clear from the Praisa and valva given in the Sapta-Hautra, in which, along with the names of the other purodisas, piyasyā (curds) belonging to Maitrāvaruna is men-The story of Khanda 22 is related to account for this

payasyā given to Mitrāvaruna along with the other puroḍāśas and not for its mixture with soma

Dr. Haug's account of Svāhākṛtis at page 100 seems to be confused and inaccurate. We had a mind to discuss their nature fully, but as we have, we are afraid, already wearied our reader with long Sanskrit quotations we forbear. We will only remark that the word Svāhākrti (in the plural) ought not to be translated here by "the call Svāhā" as the Doctor does (see Trans.), for all the three Sanskrit authors we have consulted agree in stating that the Svāhākṛtis are the deities of the 11th prayāja, to whom an offering is given, not with the other ten prayāja deities but after the verses for the drops falling from vapā are repeated. The eleventh Āprī verse is used as their yājyā.

Such is a specimen of the inaccuracies and errors to be found in the Edition and Translation before us. It appears clearly that Dr. Haug has not read Sāyana, Āśvalāyana or the Sapta-Hautra-prayoga carefully. And yet he says in the preface.

"My notes are, therefore, for the most part, independent of Sāyana, for I had almost as good sources as he himself had"

But the great difference, even supposing that the materials were equally good in both cases, is that Sāyana seems to have an intimate knowledge of them, while Dr Haug has at the best only a superficial and general acquaintance, as we hope we have shown And further

"He (Sāyana), however, does not appear to have troubled limself much with a minute study of the actual operations of the sacrificial priests, but derived all his knowledge almost entirely from sūtras only"

How he does not thus appear, we are at a loss to see If Dr. Haug himself notwithstanding the immense help he must have derived from Sāyana, and, notwithstanding that he possessed as good a source as Sāyana himself, was unable to understand the

text of the Attareya Brahmana correctly, without seeing some of the operations performed in his présence, show could it have been possible for Sayana to write such a voluminous and lucid commentary, without seeing the sacrificial rites performed and performing them himself We believe such a minute and accurate description of several of the ceremonies as is given by him cannot be accounted for under any other supposition He never, we apprehend, betokens an ignorance of even the details of the ritual If Dr Haug is led to this conclusion by Sayana's always quoting from Sutra works and never advancing anything on verbal authority such as that of priests, as he himself does, it is because it is always a sound canon of criticism in expounding a book, to produce on matters of fact the authority of standard authors on the subject Every reader is able to estimate for himself the value of such authority, but when what is referred to is a verbal statement which for aught one knows may be false or may have been misunderstood by the person who uses it, he is left helpless and has notchoice but to place implicit confidence in it Besides, a European, for whom the sacrificial ritual of the Brahmans can have no more than a passing interest, may be satisfied with the verbal statements of a priest and believe in them But a person like Sāyana, who was himself a Brahman and believed in the efficacy of the rites and held that the slightest deviation from the processes enjoined in the Sutras was fraught with evil consequences, would place no confidence in mere verbal statements, but would consult the chief authorities on the subject and acquaint himself with the actual practice as it had descended from times immemorial Moreover, if it were necessary, we might mention that there are extant several sacrificial manuals or prayogas written by Sāyana himself have ourselves seen one of the Caturmasya and another, of the Agnistoma Audgātra No doubt, Sāyana may be wrong in the philological interpretation of particular passages, of the Brahmana, but that he betrays any ignorance of the ritual or even shows but a poor acquaintance with it, we do not believe

We beg that the point of our criticism may not be misunderstood It is almost an intuitive belief in modern times that free discussion is indispensable for the advancement of "Truth, like a torch, the more it's shook, it shines". Anybody who is able in howsoever small a measure to help men in separating the grain, of truth from the rubbish of falsehood and does not do so is guilty of an offence against Truth itself and against mankind in general. It is with such feelings as these that we entered upon this discussion. Our object is to enable our readers, so far as we can, to form a correct estimate of the volumes before us Very little was known about sacrifices before in Europe and also in India except to a small minority of Bhattas and Śrotriyas Dr. Haug worked hard for some years and having obtained a considerable knowledge of the ritual has translated the Aitareya Brahmana. The work will afford great help for the understanding of other Brāhmaņas and of some hymns in the Samhita The Doctor has thus rendered good service to the cause of Sanskrit learning. But it is certainly to be desired that the book were freer from inaccuracies than it is and bore fewer marks of haste. If Dr Haug studied Aśvalayana and Sayana more carefully and gave us another edition of his work, free from such faults as we have pointed out. it would no doubt be a valuable and permanent addition to our existing resources for the study of ancient Sanskrit literature and Indian antiquities

Having thus given our estimate of the merits and faults of the edition and translation, we will, in the next notice give some account of the contents of the Antareya Brāhmana and discuss some of the questions to which they give use.

^{1 [}This intention, hir Ramakrishna Bhandarkar said, was not realised -N. B. U.]

A REVIEW OF DR. GOLDSTUCKER'S "PĀNINI" AND HIS THEORY ABOUT PĀNINI'S TECHNICAL TERMS

[From the Indian Antiquary, Volume 6 (1877), pp 107 ff]

The following article on Goldstücker's Pānini was published in two issues of *Native Opinion*, 21st and 28th August 1864. Appearing in a mere newspaper, it probably did not then attract the notice of scholars generally, and is now inaccessible. I am encouraged to reprint it in the *Indian Antiquary* by the suggestion by Prof F Keilhorn in a note to his article on the Mahābhūsya (Indian Antiquaty Volume V, p 251). I have given it as it was, save misprints, and a remark of a personal nature omitted from the last paragraph

²Dr. Burnell, in his recent work, *The Aindra School of Sans-krit Grammarians*, has adopted Prof. Goldstücker's theory about Pānini's technical terms, which, as was shown by me twelve years ago is based on a misapprehension of the sense of certain passages in the Mahābhāsya and Kaiyata, and, like him, is led to awkward conclusions. He gives some technical terms used by the older grammarians, which, he says, Pānini does not define in accordance with the theory. Of these, however, *ekavacana*, *dvivacana* and *bahuvacana* are defined in I 4 103. Upasarga, nipāta, dhātu and pratyaya Pānini defines likewise, but, as observed by me in the following paper, he defines them by enumeration, or by unfolding the denotation, of the term

¹ Panini, His Place in Sanskrit Literature, an Investigation of some Literary and Chronological Questions which may be settled by a study of his works,—by Theodor Goldstucker, London Trubner & Co

² This and the following para were added when the Review was reprinted in the Indian Antiquary -N B U.

instead of the connotation and in the case of dhatu in the latter way also All Indian grammarians so understand him, and Patañjali himself does so. Dhatu is defined in I. 3. 1. This sūtra is interpreted in several ways. First, that bhu and others are dhātus, 1 e. the name dhātu 1s given to bhu and others. The effect of this, we are told in the Mahābhāsya1, is that these get the name from the fact of their being put in that list. Secondly, bhū and others which are of the nature of vā, 1. e. which show action are roots, and thirdly va and others which are of the nature of bha, 1. e. signify being, are roots. What is to be gathered from the last two is that words which show action or being are roots. This is a connotative definition. After finishing his explanation in this way, Patanjali says, "Well, then, if we have got a connotative definition now, the enumeration should not be made "2", which means that the purpose of a connotative definition and enumeration is the same, viz. the explanation of a term. In the same manner the word mpata is explained in I 4. 56. This is an adhikāra, wherefore the term is to be repeated in each of the sutras that follow up to I. 4. 9; and the sense is that all the particles contained in these sutras are nipatas. Upsarga is defined, i. e. explained by enumeration, in I. 4. 59. As to pratyaya, it is defined in III. r. i. This also is an adhıkāra, and by this adhıkāra we are told that a name is given to certain things which are set forth in the following sutras, to which the adhikara extends.3 Bhavisyat and Vartamana are no samjnas, or technical terms of Panini, - they are no more so than the words bhuta, advantana and paroksa, which are also used. The same remark applies to anta, pradhana and prayatna. Anusvara, like visarga, is merely the name of a sound, and is not a samjñā, the object of which in Pānini's grammar is abbreviation, or to be able to state much in a short compass.

पार्टेन धातुसंज्ञेत्येनद्रपणनां भवति । p. 2298.

थाडे ताई लक्षण कियते नेदानी पाठः कर्तम्यः ।

³ मत्ययः आधिकारेंणेयं प्रत्ययसंज्ञा कियते ।

^{63 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

Then follow terms which, according to the theory, Pānini should not define, but as a matter of fact he does. Dr. Burnell gives reasons why he does, the chief of which is that Pānini's new anubandhas and the pratyāhāra sūtras rendered the definition of these terms necessary Prof Goldctucker's theory is that Pānini does not define those terms which admit of an etymology and which are "known and settled otherwise". Now these terms have an etymology, were settled by the previous grammarians, were known before Panini, Panini uses them in the same sense, and there is no difference whatever; why, then, should he define them if the theory be true? What difference does his new system of anubandhas and the pratyahāra-sūtras make? He would be justified in defining them only if he used them in a different sense. But this is not the case. And if his new anubandhas make any difference, why should he not define the names of cases, prathama, dvitīyā etc where also he has got new anubandhas? There are also some terms with the definition of which his innovations have nothing to do, but still he defines them notwithstanding they were used by writers who are believed to have preceded him Pānini defines samhitā as parah samnikarsah (I 4 109), and these are exactly the words in which Yaska explains the terms. Yaska uses the terms abhvāsa and abhyasta2 also, and in Pānini's definition of them there are no anubandhas or pratyāhāras The first of these observations will also stand against the reason advanced by Dr. Burnell for defining anunāsika. Pānini's definition āmantrita he considers to be no definition I do not see why It is as good a definition as that of guna or viddhi The sense of the sūtra (II 3 48) is, "the first case as used in addressing is called amantrita. In the definition of upadha Dr. Burnell thinks that the reason given in the Mahābhāsya for the use of alah is to avoid making it apply to the indicatory letters I do

¹ P. 38, l. 2, Rothe's edition

² P 40 l, 2 from bottom, p 41, 1 8, p 74, 1, 2, p. 83, 1 2 frem bottom, p 94, 1 8

not find this reason in that work. The quotation given in the footnote to support the statement means quite another thing. Its purport is this:—A question is raised whether the "alah is to be taken as an adjective to antya." The answer is "yes, it deserves to be so taken". What follows is a vartika setting forth an objection against this construction. The objection is "If alah is to be taken as an adjective to antya, there should be a prohibition against [the application of the term to] a collection of letters," i. e. in this construction, the sense of the sutra is "what precedes the last letter (lit. the end in the shape of a letter) is upadha" in which case the term would apply to the two letters 3a of the root 3as. A long discussion follows, with which we have nothing to do at present. I need say nothing more.

* * * * * *

Dr. Goldstücker is undoubtedly one of the most learned, laborious, and accurate European Sanskrit scholars we have known, and the wide, and in many cases, precise knowledge he has shown of Indian grammatical literature is particularly striking to a Hindu, especially when we call to mind that he has not had the advantage of oral instruction, which is available only in Of course a minute knowledge of the complicated and India subtle speculations of Indian grammarians can only be acquired after a hard study of at least five years and from a Pandit teacher. But much of what they have written is barren and useless, and no European Sanskritist, or Indian scholar of the new stamp, would consider it worth his while to study it. The Doctor's critical acumen, the skill with which he has brought together stray facts to illustrate and prove his point, and the success with which he has combated the opinions of several scholars command our admiration, though we are rather inclined to think he has handled some of his German friends somewhat roughly His book is, however, not without its weak points, and there are three or four places where it appears to us to be particularly so. It is not our intention at present to write an elaborate review of it, but we will notice one point which bears materially on his theory about Pānini, the Indian grammatical legislator.

At page 166, Dr. Goldstücker lays down the following four propositions —

- That his (Pānini's) Grammar does not treat of those samjūās or conventional names which are known and settled otherwise
- 2 That this term samiña must be understood in our rule to concern only such conventional names as have an etymology.
- 3 That it applies also to grammatical terms which admit of an etymology, but not to those which are merely grammatical symbols
- 4 That such terms as t1, ghu and bha were known and settled before Pānini's Grammar, but that nevertheless they are defined by Pānini because they are not etymological terms.

These four statements contain, according to Dr Goldstücker, the principles which guided Pāṇini in the composition of the work and are deduced as conclusions from one of his Sūtras, Patāṇjali's Bhāsya on it, and Kaiyaṭa's gloss on the latter. Leaving these points for fuller examination at the end, let us in the first place consider if these principles are worthy of being made the basis of a stupendous grammatical superstructure, and bear an air of truthfulness about them, or if there is any external evidence to support them

According to the first two statements, Pānini does not propose to teach samjñās and such samjñās only as have an etymology. Does he then propose to teach samjñās which are without an etymology? The "only" would show that he does propose this What, then, is meant by samjñās without etymology? Are such samjñās as Pañcālāh, Varanāh, Aṅgāh, which are given by the commentators as instances of this sūtra and the previous one to which it refers, and which, therefore, are the

samjñas Pānini, according to them, does not propose to-teach,--are these samjñas, we ask, without etymology? If they are, according to Dr Goldstücker, Panini should teach them. If they are not, no instance can be given of a word, existing in the language, which is a samina without etymology. If we bear in mind that two schools of etymology existed in India, viz. Vyutpatti-paksa, according to which all words have an etymology and Avyutpatti-pakṣa, according to which some have it, and some have it not, and that Panini belonged to the latter, as is asserted and believed by all śāstrins, such words as Pañcālāh and angāh are samjāās without etymology. - And if this be joined with Dr. Goldstücker's statement, it will follow that Pānini should teach them. But as a fact he does not, if we believe the commentators. Now with regard to the Vyutpatti-paksa, we see that the rule in question contradicts its doctrine, for according to that pakṣa all words, samjñās included, have etymology, while the rule makes a distinction between words with and without etymology If we suppose, then, that Pānini belonged to this paksa, and at the same time that he observed the rule given by Goldstücker, we must either suppose him to have possessed an extremely illogical mind or not to have proposed such a rule for his guidance Upon either view of etymology, therefore, we maintain that the rule laid down in statement Nos 1 and 2 could not have been followed by Pānini. We perfectly agree with statement No. 1, if it be separated from No 2, and not interpreted according to the sense of the word samjña given in the latter.

In the next two statements this rule is applied to grammatical samjñās. Such as are settled are not to be defined, but an exception is to be made in favour of such as have no etymology, e. g. 11, ghu, bha &c. We see no reason why Pānini should select for definition, out of settled samjñās, such as have no etymology. For, both those with and those without etymology are settled, i. e have a fixed meaning. The mere circumstance of some samjñās having etymology, which may be considered as

the reason, why they are not to be defined, is immaterial, as the presence of etymology in the one case is nearly the same thing as its absence in the other. The etymology of a technical term is not sufficient to explain its sense, and in some cases it affords no clue to it whatever. How can the etymology of the terms bahuvrihi, pratyaya, &c. enable one to understand their grammatical signification? In so far, then, as words with etymology are used in philosophical treatises in a sense different from the etymological, or from that they have in common language, they are in the same predicament as unmeaning words, such as ti, ghu &c. We see, therefore, no reason why Pānini should have selected the latter for definition, and not the former.

Having laid down this theory about Pāṇini's technical terms. Goldstücker proceeds to test its accuracy with reference to several samiñas which he knows were settled before Panini's time such as pratyaya, prathamā, dvitīyā, tatpurusa &c., and finds that he has not defined them as they have an etymology. He then mentions other samjñās, such as karmadhāraya, anunāsika, hrasva, dīrgha, udātta, anudātta &c, and since they are defined and possess etymology, he concludes that they must have been first used by Panini himself We cannot help thinking that there is here an instance of the fallacy of reasoning in a circle, or of the Anyonyāśraya of Hindu logicians. In order that Dr. Goldstücker's theory may be true, it is necessary that these defined samjñās possessing etymology should be inventions of Panini and they are inventions of Panini because the theory is true Or in plainer terms, the theory is true because these defined samjñās are Pānini's inventions, and they are Pānini's inventions because the theory is true. These defined samıñas may have been settled before Panini's time, in which case the doctor's theory would be false And in fact we have reason to believe that such samjñās as hrasva, dīrgha, pluta, udātta, anudātta &c were invented before Pānini We are sorry we have not got any treatise on siksa to refer to just now, but considering that the names for accents and for long and short

they are the most elementary distinctions and likely to strike a lingual philosopher before many others, and bearing also in mind that, if different terms for these had existed before Pānini, they would not have been altogether lost and we should have known them, we are inclined to believe that the names in question were settled before his time. Dr. Goldstücker himself mentions one such word (2-2), and is not inclined to disbelieve that there may be many more. But the supposition he makes to save his theory that Pānini used them in a sense somewhat different from that in which they were before used has, in our opinion, no basis.

We have all along used the word definition in Dr Goldstücker's sense. He seems to understand by the term definition such a definition as is commonly given in European books, viz. that which unfolds the connotation or comprehension of a term. But the principal object of a definition is to point out or distinguish certain things (definitum) from the rest, and this may be done in other ways than by unfolding the connotation. Unfolding the extension or denotation is often an easier process and may in several cases be resorted to. Even European logicians call this latter a definition, no less than the former. Sanskrit writers do not confine themselves to the former, but frequently use the latter and several other kinds For instance, in Viśvanātha Pañcānana's Muktāvalı (p. 71, Asiatic Society's edition) the fallacy anaikantika is defined as that which is any one of sādhārana &c., i e anaikāntika is either Sādhārana, Asādharana or Anupasamhārın. The fallacy is thus defined by enumerating its several kinds. We need not stop here to quote other instances. Any one who takes the trouble will find many in any Sanskrit philosophical treatise. What we maintain, then, is that, so far as this view of definition is concerned (and we are convinced that that is the Hindu view), Panini has defined the terms pratyaya, tatpuruşa, bahuvrīhi &c., which Dr. Goldstucker says he has not, but he has defined them by enumeTo Hindu writers such a definition is as good as the other, especially, when the latter is difficult to give We think Pānini in defining terms by enumeration was not guided by any such rule as the learned Doctor lays down, but simply consulted his own convenience. When he found it difficult to give a connotative definition, he gave a denotative one How difficult would it have been to give a connotative definition of bahilvith, for instance, containing as it does such compounds as उत्तरपूर्वी, सप्राप्त, दण्डाद्विट, so different from such a one as दसलनयन !

We now proceed to examine the principal evidence upon which Dr. Goldstücker's theory is based. As we said before, he quotes a sūtra of Pānini, the bhāsya on it and Kaiyaṭa's gloss on the latter, and deduces his theory from these. When we read this portion of the book for the first time, we were surprised to find that the Doctor has not understood one of the passages correctly. The sūtra referred to is aqtair âaiinhinala. Dr Goldstücker's translation — "Such matter will not be taught by me for it falls under the category of conventional terms which are settled (and therefore do not require any rule of mine, literally "for it has the authority of a samjñā or conventional term)",

This translation is generally correct. We would, however, translate it more closely, thus — "About that no rule ought to be made or that should not be taught, for (the knowledge derived from) the meaning of conventional terms in common usage is an authority in itself". The word सज्ञा is explained by Patañjali as सज्ञान, which again Kaiyata interprets by सप्रत्यय, अवगम, i e, knowledge obtained (from usage). In a note on that portion of the Siddhānta-Kaumudī (Cowell's edition) where this satra is explained, we find the following — संज्ञानां लोकन्यव हाराणामेवाज प्रमाणत्वम, "samjñās — that is, usages — are here an authority or evidence"

The bhasya on this sutra is as follows — कि या एता कृतिमा टिघुमादिमंज्ञास्तत्यमाण्यादाशिष्यम् । नेत्याह । संज्ञानं संज्ञा । ' 'Dr. Goldstucker's translation '— "When Pānini speaks of conventional terms which he will not teach because they are settled, does he mean by this expression such technical terms as ti, ghu, bha and the like? 'No, for samjñā is here the same as samjñāna understanding (i. e. a name which has a real meaning that may be traced etymologically)."

We do not see whence he gets the first portion up to "settled" If by implication, we do not think it necessary to understand anything There is nothing even in the sutra which has the sense of the words "which he will not teach because they are settled." For, what Pānini says he will not teach is that something which he has alluded to in the last sutra but one, and which we shall explain hereafter We do not deny that this sense may be inferred from what Panini actually says We have, however, a particular objection to the expression "are settled" if it is to be made applicable to the terms ti, ghu, bha, &c, and understood to mean "settled before Panini's time" nothing in the original corresponding to the words enclosed in brackets in the above extract, nor is the sense deducible from any word occurring in the Sanskrit passage There is, no doubt, the word'samiñanam, but we do not know upon what authority Dr Goldstücker renders it by "a name which has a real meaning that may be traced etymologically". Kaiyata explains it by अवगम, सप्रत्यय, as noticed above, which means 'knowing, com-' prehending,' as is evident from his use of the word अवगति (differing from अवगम only in the form and not in the sense of the termination) in the sentence which follows It is this -तत्र यथापो दारा सिकता वर्षा इत्युक्ते लिङ्गसस्याविशेपावगतिरुत्पद्यमाना प्रमाणमेव पश्चाला वरणा इत्यादाविष — " As when one pronounces the words आप , दारा , सिक्ता , चर्पा , the अवगति (knowledge or comprehension) of a particular number and gender which is produced is authority, so is it in the case of पञ्चाली, वरणा.", &c Our translation of the passage in question is as follows - "Is it on account of the authority of (or evidence afforded by) such artificial samiñas as ti, ghu, bha, &c. that'that (the thing mentioned

^{64 [}R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I,]

in a previous satra alluded to before) should not be taught." "No", says he (Gonardīya—Patañjali). "Samjñā is knowing, comprehending" Upon the whole, Dr Goldstücker's translation of these two passages is not very objectionable, but they do not afford any basis for his theory, except for that portion of it which is comprehended in the first statement. But the quotation from Kaiyata is altogether misunderstood. It runs thus—

किं या एता इति । प्रत्यासित्तन्यायाश्रयेण प्रश्न । नेत्याहेति । प्रत्यासते सामध्ये वलवत । निह टिघुमादिसज्ञानां प्रमाणत्व युक्तवद्भावशास्त्रस्याशिष्यत्वे हेतु- रुपपचते । सवन्धामावात् । सज्ञानामिति । अवगम सप्रत्यय इत्यर्थ ।

And Dr Goldstücker's translation of this is as follows -

"The question of Patanjali is suggested by the rule of analogy. His answer is in the negative because the context itself has greater weight than (mere) analogy. Now, though such terms as ti, ghu, bha, and the like are settled terms, this circumstance would not have been a sufficient reason in an etymological work (like that of Panini) for leaving them untaught, for they have no etymology 'Understanding (as Patanjali paraphrases samjna) means mentally entering into, understanding the component parts of a word [or it means the words which admit of this mental process]"

In the first sentence of this, the word analogy is not, we think, a correct translation of water a, though it will do "Proximity" is the word that is equivalent to it, and it ought to have been used here, for a reason which we shall presently explain. But it is the third sentence that is the most objectionable of all. We have no hesitation in saying that the translation here is totally wrong, and it is upon this misapprehension of the sense of the original that the Doctor's peculiar theory is based. We hope our readers will excuse us for the assurance with which we speak, for we feel that no native scholar acquainted with grammatical phraseology would ever think of translating or interpreting the passage thus. As Dr Goldstücker translates it, he appears to connect the nouns universal and services with the

genitive दिम्रभादिसंज्ञानां, and renders the former by "being settled". But अशिष्यत्वे ought really to be taken with the genitive युक्तवद्भावशास्त्रस्य ; and then the translation would be "for learning युक्तवद्भावशास्त्र, untaught," instead of " for leaving them (i. e. ți, ghu, bha, &c) untaught," as the doctor translates it. युक्तवद्भाष-शास्त्र is rendered "an etymological work," which, if one remembers what the sutra is about, he will at once see is altogether wrong. The word can by no stretch of sense mean that. means here 'a rule,' as it frequently and primarily does, and not 'a work'. Various instances may be quoted in support of this, the last pada of the verse about Unadi, एतच्छास्त्रमुणादिषु, being one. संबन्धाभावात is rendered as "having no etymology," for which, however, there is not the slightest authority. सवन्य never means etymology; it means connection. Besides, from the context it is clear that the sentence cannot have the sense Dr. Goldstüker attaches to it. For, the whole subject here discussed by these several writers is this -The last but one sutra of Panini is हापि एक्तवद्वशक्तिवचने (I. 2. 51), which is thus explained in the Siddanta-kaumudi —ल्लापे स्ति। प्रकृतिवल्लिङ्गवचने स्त । पञ्चालानां निवासो जनपद पश्चाला.। क्राचः। अङ्गाः। &c, meaning that when an elision called are takes place, the gender and number (of the noun) are like those of the base; पञाला &c. are instances. This requires some explanation. In virtue of the sutra तस्य निवासः (IV 2. 69) the termination अण् should be added to the noun पञ्चाला, for instance, when we have to form a derivative signifying "the place of residence or the country of the Pañcālas", a race of Ksatriyas (hence the above example from the Kaumudi is worded पञ्चालानां निवासी जनपद). Now this termination is elided in virtue of the sutra जनपदे छप्, IV. 2. 81. If the termination were not dropped, the word expressing 'the country of the Pañcalas' would be पाञ्चाल. Then the question is, when it is dropped, what should

¹ मुक्त is explained as प्रकृति, because in a word the sense of the base is intimately joined to that of the मत्यय or termination (see note 70, p. 549. Cowell's edition of the Siddhanta-Kaumudī , मत्ययार्थेन नित्यसमद्भार्थकतया युक्तमत्र मङ्ति;).

be the gender and number of the noun signifying the armatical Should it be masculine and singular, as the word stage "country" is? If so, the derived word would be quite. But "No." says Pānini (in the sutra हारि युक्त बत &c.), "the gender and number should be like those of the original base," which is valet, and consequently masculine and plural. Hence the noun signifying the residence or country of the Pancalas is quality. "Now," says Pānini (in the sūtra तहांशिष्यं तंज्ञापमाणत्वातु I.-2. 53) "what is the use of teaching by a rule the number and gender of these?", though he himself, in conformity with the practice of former writers has done so. "They are to be learnt from usage, which has itself an authority, just as the gender and number of say: and say: are, and the authority of a grammarian is not required. For पञ्चाहा, अङ्गाः &c in the plural are actually the names of certain countries, and, as-such, ought to be used in the plural in deference to the existing usage and there is no necessity of a grammarians's teaching it". Upon this Patangli raises the question. "Panini speaks of the authority (of usage in matters) of names Are they such names as 1. glu, bla, &c which have an authority" (as used by Pānini, not necessarily by any other writer)? "No" savs he. Kaiyata explains why Patanjali put to himself such a question? "He was led," he says, "to it by the proximity of these artificial grammatical samphās, or that he wanted to determine which were the saminas meant by Panini, because if he did not do so a reader might on reading the sutra in question, be led to think first of them (the grammatical names) rather than of any other, on account of their proximity to or connection with, the science he is studying. In order, therefore, to avoid all such confusion he proposes the question, and answers it by saying "No". "Why not?" "(हि) Because," says Karyate "(दियुमादिसज्ञानां धमाणत्वम) the authority of the grammatical samjñās, u, ghu, bha, &c (न हेतुनप-पदते) is no reason (as the authority of samjuas in common language such as Pañcalah, Augah, &c. is) Why पुक्तवद्भावशास्त्रम् [a sutra or rule expounding that when a termination is elided by

the use of the term हुए, the gender and number are like those of the base] (अशिष्यते) should not be taught? And why is it no reason? "(सबन्धाभावास) Because there is no connection (i. e no connection between such samjñās as ti. ghu, &c and एकवंदाव)" This is the whole sense of the three quotations. एकवंदाव, i e. like the base, is the word used by Pānini in the last but one sutra (द्वाप प्रकेवर्स), &c, and Kaiyata first adds the word will to it, when the whole means "the being like the base", and then the word जान्म व rule, and thus the expression प्रकार वाला जान्म signifies hterally "the rule about the being like the base," and not an etymological word, as Dr. Goldstucker understands.

It will thus be apparent that Dr Goldstucker's theory is based upon a misapprehension of a passage in Kaiyata, and, now that we have explained its true sense, and have also shown that the theory is not supported by any external evidence, it must, we think, be given up. The first of the Doctor's four propositions of congreted from the passage. propositions, if separated from the second, we agree with, as we have already intimated Dr Goldstücker's opinion, that the samjñas ti, ghu and bha were known before Panini's time, may be true for aught we know, but it does not at all follow from anything in the passages commented on He was, no doubt, led to it by the expression दिश्वमादिसज्ञानां प्रमाणत्वम, which he renders by "such terms as ti, ghu, bha are settled terms." We would translate it as "the authority of such samjñās or terms as ti, ghu, bha, &c " and this authority they derive from their having been used and defined by Pānini. The whole grammatical literature based on his work does not admit the authority of any other person except him, his continuator and critic Katyayana and his bhāsyakāra And even if we take Dr Goldstücker's translation, the expression "are settled terms" does not necessarily mean "settled before Pāņini's time, or by any other person than Pānini himself".

Dr Goldstücker has also misunderstood the sense of the sutra प्रधानप्रत्ययार्थवचनमर्थस्यान्यप्रमाणत्वात (I. 2. 56), which is thus

explained in the Siddhanta-Kaumudī प्रत्यवार्थ प्रधानमित्येवंरूपं वचन-मप्यशिष्यम्। इत । अर्थस्य लोकत एव सिद्धे । 1 e "the saying that the sense of a termination is the principal sense of a word (and that that of the base is attributively joined to it) should not be taught Why? Because the sense [of a word] is to be gathered from or is established by usage". We do not know whence Dr Goldstücker brings in the idea of a compound and its "principal part" in his translation. We do not think it necessary to enter at greater length into the explanation of the sutra in this place.

We must here close our remarks, our space does not admit of a more lengthened notice, at least for the present. We hope our observations will be calmly and patiently attended to by European Sanskritists. In several cases, though not in all, native students of Sanskrit have a greater right to be listened to than Europeans. We are also desirous that these few remarks should not give pain to Dr. Goldstücker, who, especially by his articles on our religious difficulties published in the Westminister Review, has shown himself to be our decided friend who sympathizes with our fallen condition and is ready to help us by his friendly advice in our race towards a brighter future.

MR. VINCENT SMITH'S EARLY HISTORY OF INDIA:

[From the Indian Review, Madras, Vol. X (1909) pp. 401ff.]

Including a few paragraphs originally omitted by the Editor of the Review and subsequently printed at the author's special desire.

India has from time immemorial been cut up into a number of independent states, so that the expression "Political History of India" can only mean the political history of the states which composed it. But again from remote times the king of one or other of these states is represented to have conquered the "whole world" and performed the horse sacrifice, indicative of his supreme or paramount power. Such an old work as the Anareya Brāhmana mentions a good many such kings. These paramount sovereigns held power for a few generations, sometimes for only The history of these paramount sovereignties can more appropriately be called the History of India Such paramount sovereignties, however, did not exist at all times The religious and literary History of India is, however, an appropriate expres. sion at all times For any religious literary movement started in one state extended itself immediately to the neighbouring ones, and thence to remoter provinces, and eventually spread over the whole country. Mr. Smith does not propose to give the religious or literary history of the country, but only the political.

But what are the authorities for the political history of India? There are genealogies in the Puranas of royal dynasties that held power up to about the end of the third century of the Christian era. Then we have stray notices of some princes in the literature and whole biographies of a few. There is a chronicle of one province, Kashmir, which contains reliable history, though there is a good deal in the beginning which is mythical. Sanskrit literature does not contain history as a branch of study

¹ The Early History of India, by Vincent A. Smith, — published by Mr. Henry Frowde, Oxford.

and inquiry The Purānas and Itihāsas cannot be relied upon as works of history, though there may be a historic basis or root, which, however, it is difficult to extricate from the massilof legend with which it is enveloped. The principal sources of, history which are reliable are inscriptions on stone and copper, coins, architectural remains, and the accounts written by foreign writers, especially Greek, Chinese and Arabian, of the state of the country when they visited it. The information gathered from some of these sources is extremely meagine, and a special; acuteness is wanted in the writer of the early history of India? to piece together the different scraps of information and form? a consistent whole. This, however, it is not every writer who? possesses, and oftentimes wild statements are made, supported by very little or no evidence or unaccompanied by a statement of the evidence The lay reader should guard himself against a such writers

Mr. Smith gives the histories of the paramount sovereigns that reigned from the third century before Christ to about the end of the seventh century after, and such history of independent provinces as has been worked out by scholars from the available materials His account of Candragupta, who founded the Maurya dynasty about 322 B. C, and of his grandson, Aśoka, is very good and instructive, since the materials are ample, and a good many scholars have been working over them for a It may, however, be stated that I do not quite number of years agree with Mr Smith as to the extent of Asoka's empire that he gives He makes it extend over the whole of India, with the exception of the exteme south, up to Mangalore, where he places the independent country of the Satiyaputas In my "Peep into the Early History of India" I have made his empire extend along the line connecting his inscriptions and made it include the whole of the northern India up to Kathiawad in the west and Ganjam in the east and also the portion of the table-land of the Deccan up to Mysore. I have excluded both the eastern and the western coasts, because there is no relic of the empire there

and also for another reason which may here be given. That reason is that the independent country of the Satiyaputas must be placed, not where Mr Smith does, but a good deal further to the north, for, we have along the westernmost portion of the Deccan table land in the Poona district Maratha, Prabhu and Brahman families bearing the name Satpute, which corresponds remarkably with the Satiyaputas of the inscriptions. The independent state, therefore, of Satiyaputa very likely was situated along the Western Ghats and the Konkan coast below. Upon the whole, the sections on Asoka in Mr Vincent Smith's book are satisfactory.

There is also very little to remark as regards anything in the sections on the Sunga and Kānvāyana dynasties, except this, that I still adhere to the view that to make the Puranic chronology agree with that derived from Nahapāna's date and the information available in Ptolemy's Geography it is necessary to regard the forty-five years assigned to the Kanvayanas to be comprised in the 112 assigned to the Sungas, notwithstanding the statement that Devabhuti was killed by Vāsudeva, and this supposition I still regard as fully supported by the statement in the Puranas that the Kanvayanas were the servants of the Sungas as the Peshwas were of the Rajas of Satara If the Sungas were entirely put an end to by the first of the Kanvas, his three successors can in no sense be called "servants of the Sungas" The Sungas, therefore, must have been reigning while the Kānvāyanas were ruling just as the Rajas of Satara reigned while the Peshwas held the true power.

Mr Smith next proceeds to notice the Andhrabhrtya dynasty. The family is known by the name of Sātavāhana, he says, which is correct, but it is also known, he observes, by the name of Sātakarm, which occurs frequently in the genealogy. The frequency of its occurrence is by no means a reason for its being regarded as a family name any more than Henry which occurs eight times and Edward which occurs seven times is the

^{65 [} R. G. Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I]

name of the dynasty that has been ruling over England since 1066 Sātakarni is the proper name of the king who bore it It was sometimes associated with another name but there is no indication anywhere of its having been the name of the family A long inscription in one of the caves at Nasik on the Western Ghats contains the name of Gotamiputra Satakarni who is represented to have conquered a very large extent of territory, to have restored the "glory of Satavahana race", to have destroyed Śakas and Pahlavas and to have left no trace of the line of Khakhārāta was Ksaharāta Nahapāna, Khal harata This whose coins are extant and whose son-in-law Usavadata, the husband of his daughter Daksamitra, caused caves to be excavated at Nasik and founded a good many other charities Nahapāna is called in the legends on his coins and in the inscriptions a Ksatrapa or Mahākṣatrapa and a Rājā Gotamīputra Sātakarni's son was Pulumāyi, in the 19th year of whose reign the inscription describing the exploits of Gotamiputra is dated names of Simuka, Krsna and Satakarni, the first three princes of the dynasty, also occur in the Western Inscriptions princes, later than Pulumāyi, of the names of Yajña-Śrī-Śātakarnı, and Mādharīputra Śakasena are mentioned No princes of the Satavahana dynasty are mentioned between the first Sitakarnı and Gotamiputra Śātakarnı, wherefore the inference is that foreigners, whose leader was Nahapāna, occupied Western Deccan in the intervening period before Gotamiputra vanquished In the legends of copper coins found at Kolhapur occur these words Vāsithīputasa Vilivāyakurasa, Gotamīputasa Vilivāyakurasa and Mādharīputasa Sevalakurasa Another Ksatrapa ling named Castana is mentioned in coins and inscriptions found in Kathiawad and Malwa, and he was followed by a long series of successors The grandson of Castana was Rudradaman, whose exploits are described in his inscription dated 72, found at Therein he speaks of twice having subdued Satakarni, the lord of Daksināpatha, but not having destroyed him on account of the connection with him not being remote

inscription at Kanheri which is much mutilated, the wife of Vāsisthīputra Šātakarni is represented as the daughter of a Mahāksatrapa.

These are the facts. Let us see how Mr. Smith has concatenated them He says the first Śātavāhana prince Simuka, who has been variously named as Sindhuka, Sipraka, &c., in the Puranas, could not have been the one who uprooted the Kanvas. though the Puranas expressly state it. He gives no reason for the supposition. He then takes Gotamiputm Vilivayakura to he the same king as Gotamiputra Śātakarni, who was the destroyer of the race of Nahapana Ksaharata and the father of Pulumāyi This Pulumāyi, he says, was the son-in-law of Rudradaman of the Junagad inscription and was twice subdued by him. The name of the daughter of Rudradaman married to Pulumayi, he says, was Daksamitrā. All this is opposed to the clearest evidence available to us. The identification of Vilivayakura with Ptolemy's Baleocuros was first made by me, and it has been accepted by others and even by Mr. Vincent Smith himself Ptolemy mentions him as ruling in Hippocura and Siri Polemeos, i. e. Pulumāyi, at Paithan, while Tiastenes or Castana ruled at Ujjayini. These, therefore, since they are so mentioned by Ptolemy, must be considered as contemporaries But Mr. Smith makes Baleocuros the father of the Pulumāyi and Pulumāyi as the contemporary of Rudradaman, the grandson of Castana, and not Castana himself If Baleocuros was the father of Pulumāyi, the father reigned at Hippocura and the son at Paithan and neither liad anything to do with the capital of the dynasty on the lower Godavari. This is certainly, to say the least of it, curious, and it is clear that Mr Vincent Smith has in determining the relations of these princes entirely set aside the information derived from Ptolemy At the same time, Pulumayi cannot have been the prince subdued by Rudradaman, because the name of the prince subdued by him is expressly given as Satakarni and Pulumāyi was never called Sătakarnı The daughter of a Mahakşatrapa, represented in the Kanheri inscription as the queen of Väsisthiputra Satakarni, cannot have been the wife of Pulumāyı, for he was not called a Śātakarni, but of Vasistiputra Catarapana Śatakarni, whose name occurs, according to Bhagwanlal Indrau, in a Nanaghat inscription Her name is lost in the Kanheri inscription, and Daksamitra, which is given as her name by Mr. Vincent Smith, was the name of the daughter of Nahapāna married to Usavadāta it must not be forgotten that Pulumāyi could not have been Rudradāman's son-in-law and could not have fought with him, as he (Pulumāyi) was not his contemporary but of his grandfather Castana Thus then, in connecting together the different pieces of information concerning these princes, Mr. Vinagainst the most unimpeachable cent Smith goes quite Vilivāvakura? evidence Who then must have been That name does not occur in the whole list given in the Purānas, and cannot have been borne by an Andhrabhrtya prince The great Gotamiputra who conquered Nahapana and re-established the power of his family could not have been Vilivāyakura, the ruler of Hippocura For his name is expressly stated in the long inscription at Nasik as Śātakarni, and the whole information therein given is remarkably confirmed by the hoard of about fourteen thousand coins of Nahapana, recently found in the Nasik district, more than nine thousand of which are counter-stamped with the words "Rañño Gotamiputasa Siri Sātakanīsa," which shows that the conqueror used the money of the vanquished monarch, but re-stamped it with his own name, Gotamiputra Śātakarnı The only way of making the whole account consistent is to take Vilivāyakura as viceroy, first of Vāsisthīputra and then of Gotamīputra, as I have done in my "Early History of the Deccan.' In the legends on coins it was usual to associate the name of the supreme sovereign with that of the viceroy of the particular province, as Catarapana's name is with that of Yajña Śrī Śātakarni in the Sopara coin and in a great many coins of the Saka princes of Arachosia and the Punjab Thus then, the Vasisthiputra of the Kolhapur coins must have been Pulumāyı, and Gotamiputra, Yajña Śri Or, if one per-



called Balaśrī by Mr Vincent Smith following Dr Bühler. I have taken her name to be Gotamī, and Balaśrī as a compound word qualifying Gotamī and characterising her as the prosperous goddess of power. Balaśrī is not to be found in the whole range of the Sanskrit literature as the name of a person, and Gotamī is not a Gotra-name here as Dr Bühler takes it, for it is difficult to believe that such old Gotras as those of Gotama and Vasistha were in common use in the caste to which the Śātavāhanas belonged. Besides if Balaśrī had been the proper name of the lady, her son would have been called Balaśrīputra and not Gotamīputra, as Gotamī was her general name according to Dr Bühler's supposition and not her proper name.

Though the Purāņas represent the Āndhrabhṛtyas to have succeeded the Kānvāyanas and the Sungas, they do not appear to have held power for any length of time in Northern India or even in the country of Magadha Shortly after the foundation of the dynasty in about 73 B c. northern India was disturbed by the incursions of the foreign hordes, some of which obtained a permanent footing in the country Of these the Sakas were the most enterprising. They established themselves along the western side of the country from Taksasilā or Taxila to Kathiawad, inclusive of Mathura and Unavini They extended their power even to the Deccan, dispossessing the Śātavāhanas of the country, but did not enjoy it for a long time For Gotamiputra conquered Nahapāna or perhaps his immediate successor, whose name, however, is not known. The eastern side of India was probably in its normal condition, that is, cut up into small states and sheld by many native princes

Mr Vincent Smith proceeds to give the history of the incursions of these foreigners and the establishment of their power in the country, but there are a few points in which I cannot agree with him. The princes who are generally represented as forming a Saka dynasty, notwithstanding some affinity with the Parthian race, are included by him in the

Parthian dynasty. I have shown in my "Peep" that the rule of succession among these princes, viz, Vonones, Spalirises and others was that the younger brother succeeded to the throne in preserence to the son of the first occupant, and, after all the brothers had enjoyed sovereignty, it descended to the son of the eldest brother, and so on. This was the rule of succession among the Kathiawar and Ujjayini Ksatrapas, who belonged to the Saka race, and Dr Bühler has pointed out that that rule prevailed among the Northern Ksatrapas also. This confirms the general view that Vonones and others were also Sakas. Another reason for the supposition of the racial identity of the Kṣatrapas and the line of Vonones is, as pointed out by Prof. Rapson, afforded by the term daman, which forms either the prefix or the suffix of Ksatrapa names and which we find in such names of the princes of the latter line as Sphalgadames. Mr. Vincent Smith regards the southern and northern Ksatrapas as independent hordes of Śakas, but certainly a question ought to arise in one's mind as to why they should have assumed such an inferior title as Ksatrapa corresponding to the Persian Satrap. And the question can be answered only by regarding them as having been in the beginning viceroys of some paramount Such paramount sovereigns were Vonones and others. On their coins appears the title "King of Kings" in Greek as well as Pali language. Why should not one then regard the Ksatrapas as the viceroys of those imperial sovereigns? But to the imperial Sakas European scholars have been in the habit of assigning a very early date, which cannot be harmonized with the Saka dates of the Indian Ksatrapas. I see no reason why one should adhere to the early date and have regarded one of the imperial Sakas as the founder of the Saka Era.

Mr. Vincent Smith then passes on to give the history of the Kusanas. He regards Kaniska as the immediate successor of Kadphises II., usually called Wema or Hima Kadphises. But the great difference in the legends and emblems on the coins of Kaniska and Wema Kadphises prevents the supposition that the

former was the immediate successor of the latter Kaniska and his successors appear to me to have formed a distinct family from that of the two Kadphises The Kusana dynasty became extinct or sank into unimportance, according to the prevailing belief among scholars, a hundred or a hundred and fifty years before the rise of the Guptas in about 350 A D thus a gap of so many years between the two dynasties have brought forward a number of reasons for believing that there was no such gap between the two dynasties and that the successors of Kaniska were in possession of North-western India up to Mathură till the Gupta prince Candragupta II dispossessed them of it. I have thus regarded Kaniska to have begun to reign about 278 A D, and thus shocked all European scholars I expressed the opinion that the figures representing hundreds were omitted in the dates occurring in the inscriptions of Kaniska and his successor But perhaps the existence of such a practice at such an early period cannot be regarded as substantiated by any positive indication I may, therefore, modify my opinion, and say that Kaniska used an era of his own, but its intial date must be such as will make the last of his successors contemporaneous with Candragupta II, who overthrew him, that is to say, that the intial date should be about 260 A D The question is still open and cannot be regarded as settled until some fresh discovery gives us certain information as to Kaniska's date

The foreign domination and the rule of native prince-lings were put an end to when the Imperial dynasty of the Guptas rose in the first half of the fourth century. The first prince of the family who made glorious conquests and extended his dominions over a wide extent of the country was Samudragupta. He was followed by Candragupta II, who was called Vikramāditya. He put an end to the Śaka dynasty ruling over Ujjayinī and also, in my opinion, to the Kusana dynasty. The famous Vikramāditya, the patron of learned men, who was called "Śakāri" or "enemy of the Śakas," was in all probability Candragupta II., whose reign began

before 388 A D and ended about 412 A.D. Mr. Vincent Smith's account of the Gupta dynasty is, on the whole, very satisfactory, and I need go no further into it

About the end of the fifth century the Gupta family broke up and after some time became extinct. About that time the Huns established themselves in the country and gave it two sovereigns, Toramāna and Miliirakula Their power also soon came to an end For about a hundred years we had no imperial 'sovereign, but in the first half of the seventh century we have Hårsavardhana, who exercised paramount power over the whole of Northern India, but was checked effectually, when he attempted to extend it to the South of the Narmada, by Pulakeśi II. of Mahārāstra The itinerary of the Chinese pilgrim Hiuen-tsang and Bana's Harsacarita are the chief authorities for the history of this emperor They give us a far fuller account than such authorities as coins and short inscriptions, with which we have had to satisfy ourselves hitherto, can give Mr Smith's account of this emperor may also be regarded as satisfactory. He then proceeds to give a short account of some of the minor kingdoms of the subsequent centuries. In the following chapters he gives similar accounts of the kingdoms of the Deccan and of those in the extreme south. Having already exceeded my limits I will not go into them

The history of the Kalacuri dynasty ought, in my opinion, to have been given in greater detail, since there were materials for it. That dynasty possessed an era the initial date of which is 249 A. D. and which was current in Gujarat and some parts of Mahārāsṭra also. There are some inscriptions which tend to show that that dynasty ruled over. Western Deccan and a part of Gujarat after the extinction of the Śātavāhanas. But it was dispossessed of these provinces and driven into the interior by somebody and occupied the country of Cedi near the modern Chattisgarh. They often came into close relations with the Rāṣṭrakūṭas of the Deccan, but nobody has yet collected all the information and written a book on the dynasty.

^{66 [} R G Bhandarkar's Works, Vol. I.]

I will now close this criticism with the observation that the circumstances of the case require that the writer of a history of India for the use of ordinary lay-people should give the broad, salient facts which have now been placed beyond the possibility of doubt, and, if he enters into details, they must be such as have been accepted by all scholars or are supported by unimpeachable evidence. If there are conflicting or inconsistent views about a certain matter, all these should be given, and, if they cannot be given, that matter should be entirely omitted. All the available evidence should be carefully gone into, and the facts ascertained should not be combined and connected in a manner to conflict with other equally well-known facts No statement should be made for which there is no authority, and in all cases references should be given in the footnotes. A book written on such principles may then be recommended as a safe guide to lay-readers. It is not meant to say that Mr. Vincent Smith has set aside these principles—and parts of his book are unexceptionably good - but it is impossible to refrain from expressing a desire that it should be thoroughly revised in strict accordance with those principles in order that it may become a safe and useful guide to ordinary readers.

THE LATE PROFESSOR PETER PETERSON

[FROM THE JOURNAL OF THE BOMBAY BRANCH OF THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, Vol. XX (1902), PP. ALVI ff
OF THE PROCEEDINGS]

The following is the tribute paid by Sir R. G. Bhandarkar on the occasion of a meeting called in memory of the late Professor Peterson by the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society on Thursday, the 7th of September 1899, under the presidentship of the Hon'ble Mr Justice Candy.

[The Chairman said that they had met together to place on record the Society's sense of the loss they had incurred in the death of their President, the late Dr. P. Peterson, M A, D. Sc. On behalf of the committee of the Society he asked Dr Bhandarkar to move the resolution.

Dr Bhandarkar then moved the following resolution—"That the Society place on record its sense of loss it has sustained by the death of its president, Dr Peterson, and its testimony to his abilities, to the interest he took in its affairs, and to his great services in connection with Sanskrit literature. That a letter enclosing a copy of the Society's resolution be forwarded to Mrs Peterson, with an expression of sympathy with her and her family"

Referring to Dr. Peterson he said] Dr. Peterson was brought out in January 1873, to supersede me I had been acting as Professor of Oriental Languages in the Elphinstone College for four years, from the beginning of 1867 to the end or 1872. Dr Peterson was a young man of twenty-five and was junior to me by ten years For fifteen years before this I had been learning and teaching Sanskrit, while Dr. Peterson could have been studying it only for about five years before. Under the ordinary operation of our sinful nature, one would expect that distrust, suspicion and jealousy would have sprung

up between us. But such feelings never for a moment took possession of his heart nor of mine, and a cordial friendship grew up between us, which was continued during the varied cocurrences of the last twenty-six years, and has now terminated only by his death, which occurred a few days ago. This good ness was entirely due to the ingate nobility of Dr. Peterson's nature and to the culture which his mind had undergone, as well as his desire to please and to be agreeable. He never gave himself an air of superiority, as is too often done by inferior natures. At a later period we happened to be engaged in a spirited conmoversy on a literary question. Such controversies between scholars often embiner their feelings against each other, and they are sometimes found not to be willing even to shake hands with each other when they chance to meet. But this was not the result of our controversy, and we were as good friends after it as before. Dr. Pererson was Professor and I his assistant and we worked harmoniously together. In September 1874, he went on a year's sick leave to Europe and, after his return, was appointed to the Deccan College as Professor for another year. In November 1876, he came back to the Elphinston College. There was a turning point in Dr. Peterson's career about the end of 1891. A Sanskrit professor is considered unworthy of his cost if he does not carry on original research in Indian anticuities and Indian languages and literature in addition to his tentifica work. The other professors in a college are at liberty to do or not to do anything they like, but this additional duty is emicsed on Sanskrit professors. I do not complain of this, and even in these days, when there is a greater readiness to give professionships to natures, the authorities, I think, should insist that the Similar professor about devote his lessure to this work. Since 1275 I call text doing monk of this nature, but Dr. Kielnorm of Poota was about to retire on that occasion, and the idea tail been concerned of getting out a new man from Germany to named and there, but since it has considered unfair that I s on dieu pariou orun another simel especially after the literary

work that I had done, it was arranged that I should be made professor of Oriental Languages in the Elphinstone College and Dr Peterson appointed professor of English literature. Had this plan succeeded, the world would not have heard of Dr. Peterson as a great scholar. But, having deliberately chosen Sanskrit studies as the work of his life, this proposal was not liked by him. He saw the members of Government and personally protested against it in a strong manner, and the result was that the orders for a new professor from Germany were countermanded by a special telegram, and I was appointed to the Deccan College and Dr Peterson remained professor of Sanskrit in the Elphinstone College.

The Government of Bombay had for several years before been conducting a search for Sanskrit manuscripts and this work had been entrusted to Dr. Bühler, and after his departure to Dr Keilhorn mainly and to me partially. After Dr. Keilhorn's departure Dr Peterson claimed to be allowed a portion of it, and it was divided equally between him and me. In connection with this he went on tour several times to Gujarat and Rajputana and examined a good many of the Jain libraries in those provinces He issued four reports as extra numbers of the Journal of this society Two more were printed at the Government Central Press. He contributed a good many articles to the Journal of this Society, and published at various times editions of the Bālakāņda of the Rāmāyana, of Hitopadeśa. ot Kādambarī, of Vallabhadeva's Subhāsitāvalı and of Ŝārngadhara's Paddhati. In his introduction to Vallabhadeva's work, he gave an alphabetical index of all the poets whose names were found alluded to in the Sanskrit literature, together with all the information that had till then been obtained about them This work he did in conjunction with the late Pandit Durgaprasad of Jaipur An analysis of Śārngadhara's Paddhati had before been given by Professor Aufrecht, but that had only rendered the demand for the work itself keener. Sarngadhara's date is known and from that the inference is easy that poets from whose works he gives elegant extracts flourished before him. This desideratum Dr Peterson supplied by his edition. He also published annotated editions of the Rgveda Hymns laid down for the M. A Examination, and a handbook for the He edited a Buddhistic work entitled Nyāyastudents of Veda binduțikā in connection with the Bibliotheca Indica of Calcutta, and an edition of a Jain work in connection with the same collection is in the press. The first two fasciculi were presented to me by him only a few days before his death. You will thus see that the original work done by Dr Peterson since the end of 1881 has been considerable His examination of Jama libraries in particular has been productive of important results His works are referred to and quoted from by all European scholars who have occasion to write on subjects touched on by him, and he is highly spoken of by them all Professor Ernest Leumann of Strassburg, in the notice of Dr Bühler's life published in the recent number of the Indian Antiquary, says with reference to Dr Peterson. "Bühler imparted his desire of discovering or uncovering all that is hidden or unknown in Jain literature to Peterson, his successor in Bombay, who has been so fortunate as to be able to enter sanctified temple libraries, which in spite of all exertions were closed to Bühler. Peterson has indeed been continuing Bühler's work in the search for manuscripts very much to his credit" Dr Peterson has thus been able to secure for himself a very high place among European scholars. Whenever he came across a fine sentiment in a Sanskrit author he did not fail to appreciate it and often times translated it into English verse and compared it with similar sentiment an English authors or in the Christian Bible. As he appreciated all the good he found in Sanskrit literature, he appreciated also whatever good he found in He was thus a kind and sympathetic friend of us all About six week ago he wrote to me, telling me that he was a candidate for the Boden Professorship at Oxford, and asked me

to give him a testimonial, as I had done on a former occasion when he applied for the Assistant Professorship. I intended to see him personally and speak about it and discuss his prospects at Oxford generally, but this was not to be. After my arrival here I heard of his serious illness on Saturday and heard of his death on the following Monday, after his mortal remains had been consigned to the grave. I had thus not even the satisfaction of having followed them to their last resting-place. Dr Peterson was our Secretary for several years, and I remember that after he assumed office he changed the appearance of these rooms and rendered them more attractive. He was also our President for three years. As he was the only scholar in Bombay who carried on original research the loss occasioned by his death cannot at least at present be made up, and not only on account of these special relations of the society to Dr. Peterson, but on account of the simple fact that he was a man who contributed to the advance of Sanskrit studies, it is but proper and fitting that this Society, the object of which is to promote such studies, should place on record its sense of the loss at has sustained by his premature death.

THE LATE MR A M T JACKSON, I C S

[FROM THE INDIAN ANTIQUARY, VOL XL, 1911, P 1]

The diabolical murder of Mr A M T Jackson, just as he was about to take up the joint Editorship of this Journal, sent a thrill of horror into the hearts of members of both the European and Indian communities throughout India He was by nature a kind-hearted and sympathetic man, and these traits of character were observable in everything that he did both in his official and His charities to poor Brahmans, both of private capacity. Ratnagiri and Nasik, who needed help were unstinted of one such Ratnagiri Brahman, who was given some nominal work in the library of the Bombay Asiatic Society and was paid regularly a monthly allowance from his private resources never spoke an angry or unkind work to anybody, and his general character and conduct were saintly He was an accurate and enthusiastic Sanskrit scholar, and his critical judgment was He made original researches into the ancient history of India, and the introductory volume of the Bombay Gazetteer and his other papers and occasional notes contain the results of these He successfully identified the cities and towns in India mentioned by Greek and Roman authors He pointed out that the Turkomans of Central Asia settled in the western part of India and adopted Hindu civilization He also threw very great light on the origin of the Gujars He showed that they were a foreign race that had established a powerful kingdom over the whole of Rajputana and further to the north-east up to Kanauj. The Gujars were in power from the first quarter of the seventh to about the end of the tenth century and were constantly at war with the princes of the Calukya and Rastrakūta races that ruled over the Maratha and Kanarese countries Mr Jackson put forth a very original and correct idea as regards the nature of the Puranas, which awaited further development at his hands

paper on this subject has appeared in the centenary volume of the Bombay Asiatic Society, and will well repay perusal. He has also contributed several papers to the ordinary volumes of that Journal. His essay on 'Method in the Study of Indian Antiquities' shows a very wide knowledge, not only of epigraphy and numismatics, but also of a number of other lines of research. This is calculated to be of great use to Indian students; and he also projected for their use a handbook to the study of Sanskrit literature and Indian Antiquities To sound scholarship Mr. Jackson added modesty and sobriety of thought and expression, - a combination rarely met with amongst scholars He freely and fully acknowledged all the good that he found in the writings of native Indian scholars. He often complained that his official duties left him little time for his favourite studies. and I had great hopes that after his retirement from service he would be able to apply himself to them with zeal and ardour and to throw light upon many a knotty point in Sanskrit literature and Indian Antiquities The loss that the horrid deed of a fiendish young man inflicted on the cause of Indian research is incalculable.

INDEXES

INDEX T

 $GENERAL\ INDEX$ Abdagases nephew of Gondaphares (Indo-Parthian), p 31, Abhidhamma, work of Kasyapa, p Adiooupatthanajataka (No. 175 of Abhidhana-Cintamani Fausboll's edition vol II, p. 172), oandra, p 188 Abhlyana, p 151. Adıtya (Sun), temple of, p 44 of Hema-Abhimanyu, pp 165-167, A. father Advanta Vedānta, p 291. of Pariksit, ref. to, in Banas Kadam-Adyatana, p 497. Afghanlstan, pp. 11, 18, 19, 37, 367, barī, pp 86-87 Abhimanyu, king Aśoka Inscriptions at Shahbaz-Kanıska, garhi in, p 10, ancient geography statement about, p 184, revival pp 116-117, Kalhana's of study of Mahabhasya in reign Agathooles (descendant of Demeof A, acc. to Kalhapa, p 185 Abhīras, pp 39, 413, A Kings, p Agni, p 7, A called Asura-han, p 283, dynasty of A probably reign-94, Rg hymns addressed to, pp. ing in Khandesh, insoription of one of the A. princes exists at Naslk, Agnı Purana, p 62fn3, p. 414 in A re White Yajurveda as a Abhyantara Karanas, p 71. trumphant one, p 222. Abhyāsa, p 498 prophecy Agnihotrin, or keeper of the saored Abhyasta, p 498 Absolute, p 77 Agnimitra, son of Pusyamitra, hero Acarya (preceptor), pp 136, 286, 287, of Kālīdāsa's Mālavīkāgnimitra, p 17, A., his father's vicercy at Acaryabhimanayoga (Upāya), pp. 285, Vidisa in Malwa, ibid, A. Accents, ways of, at the time of re-Maharathi, p 38 A., Virasena his commander-in-chief, p 111 fn3, Petitlon of Veda, differs in all A. son of Puspamitra, p. 182, Sakhas of Vedas, p. 228 A. king of Vidisa, during his Acchoda, name of a lake, in Bana's father's life time, p 276, A., p. 517. Kadambari oompared to Bharata, Agnistoma Audgatra prayoga Acetana, (Prakrtı), p. 67. Agnisvāmin, (name on charters), Acyutanandin, \$39, t Bhāsyakāra on Lātyāyana Sutra, Adharma, p. 67. Adhlbhautika evils, p. 66. · Adhidaivika evils, p. 66 Agra, p 346 Ahamkāra, pp. 67-71, 75, A. (egoism) Adh_{1kārs}, pp. 145, 497. P 288, A (oonsolousness of self), Adhvaryu, pp. 489-491 Adhyatmika sufferings, p 65. Aher Sonars, Sutars and Gawalis Ahura (in Avesta) name of Supreme Lord Ahuramazda, p. 97.

Ahuras (or Asuras) represented as fiends by Indians, p 97. Ahuramazda (Supreme Lord), pp. 97, 98 Ahutis, p 313 Aigókerôs 'Gr): e Akokera, name of a Ziodiac sign, p 388 Airy, Prof, calculations made by,' re eclipse of the sun, p 79, his calculations about grant wrong, p 92 Aiśvarya, pp. 67, 285, 288, 289. Aitareya, pp 371, 418 Altareya Aranyake, pp 224 & fnl, 226 Aitareya Brahmana, pp 81fn1, 95 fn1, 224 fn1, 226, 321, 369, 398, 402, 480fn1, 494, 495, A B, Janamejaya and Bharata, mentioned ın, p 81, A B, Pānini's rules sorupnlously observed in, p 161, A B, mention of Dirghatamas Māmateya in, p 422, A. B edited and translated by Martin Haug, reviewed by the author, pp 480-495. A B, some errors re faulty punctuation etc pointed out in the edition of, by Haug, pp 483-485, A B, translation of, examined, some inaccuracies and errors pointed out, pp 485-493, A. B, many Kings referred to in, p 511. Aitıhāsikas (knowers of legends), p 396 Ajapañcaudana offering referred to in Atharvaveda, p 369 Ajātašatru, King of Kāšī in Kausītakibrāhmaņopanisad p 292 Anva sect of recluses, p 15 Ajivaka monks, p 17 Ajīvakas, p 17 Ajūana, p 67. Alasa (ether), pp 7, 67. Akhyāna hymns ln Rgveda, p 397 Akhyānas in Mahabharata have separate existence, p 404 Alokeral e Aigókeros (Gr) name of a Zodiac sign, p 388 Akrti-Ganas, p 102

Akrūra plays prominent

Krana Story, p 212

part in

Aksapāda, pp 59, 318 Alah, use of, pp 498, 499 Alberuni, the Arabic writer and traveller, pp 40, 325, 326, 330, 374 Alexander the Great, his invasion, pp 3, 5, 105, 162, 163, 206, A of Epirus (i e Alıkasudara) died between 262 and 258 B C.), p 13, A, Aornos hill fort captured by, p 104, A met with two tribes Malii and Oxydrakæ, p 106, Alikasudara (Alexander of Epirus) died between (262 and 258 B C), pp 12, 13 Allahabad, pp 11, 17 34, 37, 39, 40, 41, 46, 49. Amalananda, anthor of Vedantakalpataru, pp 298, 300 Amantrita, p 498 Amara, definition of Pragdesa aco to, p 133, A, p 188, A, among synonyms of Visnu, Krana mentioned by, but no mention of Rama by, p 406 Amaradeva, one of the nine gems at the Court of Vikramaditya, p 375 Amaraja, his com on Brahmagupta's Khandakhādya, p 374 Amarakośa, p 169 Amarasımba, author of Amarakośa, pp 55, 374, 376 Amitagatı, а Digambara Jaina. author of Dharmapariksa and Bubhasitaratnasamdoha, p 301 Amyntas, p 19 Anagandi or Vijayanagara, p 80, A. same as Hastinapnra, acc to Col Ellis, pp 80, 120 Anagundi (derived from one which means an elephant in Canarese, and Gundi=lane) pp 92, 98. Anahilapattana, Guriaras established Kingdomiat, p 414. Anaikāntika (fallacy), p 503 Anaiśvarya, p. 57. Anandagiri, p 92 1 Anandah (joyless country) aco to Kanva recension, p 100 , Anatman, p 287 Anderson, Political Agent in Kathiawar, p 334.

Anderson Rev. Philip, of Colaba, author of history of the English in Western India, p. 480

Andha, Praktti is said to be, p. 67.

Andhakas (Yadava tribe), p. 292.

Andhra or Tailanga, p 277

Andhras, p. 12, name Dasyus applied to As, p. 95 fn. 1.

Andhrabhrtya dynasty, p 119, name of A dynasty, known as Satavahana in Puranas, p. 276; A, foundation of, about 21 B C., pp 280, 282, A, known by the name of Satavahana as well as Śatakarņi acc to Smith, p. 573.

Andbrabhrtyas (Satavahana mentioned under this name in Puranas), pp 30, 181fn1, 265, 516-518.

Anecdota Oxoniensia, a copy of four parts of, presented to author by Max Müller, p 338

Angādhīkāra from Mahābhāsya of Patanjali, p. 316.

Angah, pp 500, 501, 508.

Ansruddha sprang from Pradyumna, pp 285, 288

Aņkānām Vāmato Gatih, a rule, p 220.

Anne Bolyn, p. 357.

Anquetil Duperron, A French scholar, p 330.

Aprintva (want of sincerity), p. 470 Anta, p 497.

Antaryamin (manifestation of God), p. 285,

Antesu, (and Hida), p. 12 fn1
Antialkidas, p 19.

Antigonos Gonatus of Macedonia (i.e. Antikini), 278-242.B C, p 12 Antikina, a King, p 12.

Antikini (Antigonos Gonatus of Macedonia) 278-242 B C., p. 12.
Antimachus, p 19.

Antiochus of Syria i e Antiyoko (260-247 BC), pp 12, 327, A., King of Syria, called Yonarāja by Aśoka, pp. 178, 388.

Antiyoko, the Yona King pp. 11, 12, A. (Antiochus of Syria) 260-247

B. C., p. 12; A. (Anticous) Yona Rāja, a friend of Aśoka, 8p. 326. Antya, p. 499.

Anubandhas, pp. 143, 498

Anudātta (horizontal accent), pp 228, 502

Anukramanī, pp 304, 313, 314; A. subsequent to Āśvalāyana Grhya, p. 313.

Anukramanıkadhundhu, p. 304.

Anunāsiks, pp 498, 502.

Anupa on the Narmada, its capital Mahismati, p. 238.

Anupasamhārin, p. 503

Anusasana, book of Mahabharata, pp 9, 53 f, 91 fn 4.

Anusasanika Parvan, p 404.

Anustubh ślokas (books written in) pp. 53, 212, 468.

Anusvara, pp 153, 497

Anuvākānukramanī p. 305

Anuvākyā Verses, pp. 321, 489, 490 Anvādeśa, p. 142.

Anvākhyāna (speaking agreeably) called Anutantra also, pp 140, 141 ' A of Kātyāyana, pp 141, 143

Anvīkņikī, defined by Kautilya, consists of Sāmkhya, Yoga and Lokāyata, A. System of Gotama himself acc to Vātsyāyana's Nyāyabhāsya, p. 328

Apyonyāśraya of Hindu Logicians, p 502

Aorist, use of, p. 160.

Aornos, hill fort, captured by Alexander the Great p 104, A. derived from Rājā-Vara acc. to General Cunningham perhaps same as Varanā of Pāṇini, IV 2.82, p. 105.

Apabhramáa, p. 385

Apadesa (statement of the views of others), p. 329.

Apadihatasa (i. e Anikêtou) middle word in the coins, p 20.

Apanya (not vendible), p 190

Aparanta (western coast below Sahyadri), p. 238.

Aparantas, p 12,

Aparārka, p 408.

Apas, p. 67.

534 INDEX I

Apastamba, p 492, his Dharma and Grhya Sütras, pp 53,408, A Śākhā, p 314 A Sütra of Black Yajurveda, p 223

Apollodotos (Yavana prince referred to in Arunad Yavanah Siketam), pp 18, 20.

Appāji, minister of Kṛṣṇa Rāya, same as Janamejaya of coppergrant acc to Col Ellis, p 80

Apramattatva (absence of Concert), p 472

Apratihata (high sounding epithet on coins), p 32

Apri verse, p 493

AptoryIma, p. 230

Arabs, p 466

Arachosia, Saka princes of, p. 516 Arnayakas, pp. 228, 287, 288

Arasakes (Indo-Parthian king,) p 31. Arol (manifestation of God), p 285 Arolduke Rainer, a great patron of learning in Austria, pp 341, 344, 345 Arohebius, pp 19, 20

Archosia (Arkhoj or Rokhoj) derived from the name of mountain Riksods, p 104, A. name of kingdom, called Arkhoj or Rokhoj by Arab Geographers, sold

Arohotis river i. e Zend Haraqaiti i e Skr Sarasvatī, p. 104

Ardhaphīlaka, this seot separated from Digambara Jainas in 272 B C. and thence the Svetīmbara seot, p 375

Arhat, pp 163, 335

Arjuna, pp 85, 86, 88, 90, 212, 213, 215, 291, A referred to by Pānnirin IV 3 98, p. 82, A, ref to, in Kādambarī, p 86; A., life of Maya-Asura saved by, p 98, A, mentioned by Patañjali, p 404

Ārjunāyanas, tribes, p 39

Arkhoj (Archesia or Rokhoj) derived from name of mountain Riksoda p 104, A. (or Rokhoj) Archesia called as, by Arab Geographers, ibid Ārksodas, Brahmans living near mountain Riksoda known as, p 104 Arga, p. 184

Arsagrantha, Mahūbhūsya called so, by Bhartrham, pp 184, 207.

Artabhīga, the son of Jaratkāru, pp 7,8

Artha Purusartha), p 286.

Arthapañcaka, work summarising the doctrines of Rāmānuja school, p. 284, A. (Jīvas, Iśvara, Upāyas, Phala, and Virodhinah), p 287.

Arthaśīstra of Kautilya, genuineness of, p. 328, A not mentioned in Mahābhāsya, ibid, A, authorship of, attributed to school of Kautilya and not to Cāṇakya himself by Prof Hillebrandt, Jincobi however attributes it to Cāṇakya or Viṣṇugupta who overthrew Nandas and raised Candragupta Maurya to the throne ibid, A, p 329, A, a tributed to Kauţilya as he was traditionally conceiver of it, p 350

Arunad Yavanah Süktam, (use of Imperfect) under Pāņim III. 2, 111, pp 17, 18.

Āryā metre, Kīrikās of Īsvarakṛsņa written ln, p. 60

Āryabhaṭṭa, astronomer, p 58, A., Āryā metre used by, p 60.

Aryas, Indian, pp 6, 387

Aryan race, p 390

Aryans, pp 96, 97, 99, 100, 422, 424, A.s. progress of, contested both by Dasyus of India and Asuras of Assyria, p 97, A.s divided into number of tribes, p 100

AryJsanga, or Asunga brother of Vasubandhu founder of YogJcJra School, p 58

Aryasangka, p 46

Aryavarta, pp 18, 39

Asadharana, p 503

Asanga (or Āryāsanga) brother of Vasubandhu founder of Yogācāra Sohool, p 58

Asita DhJava, name of a King, p 98 Asmarathya Rsi, p 409

Asola Emperor, pp 4, 9, 15, 17, 19, 387, 517, A.'s Ediots, their location, p 10 f, his inscriptions, pp 10, 11, 39, 162, 278, 326, 388, 413; A.,

son of Candragupta, his close connection with Buddhism, p 5, extent of his empire, date of his coronation, p. 11 f. A distinguishes between Hida and Antesu, p. 12 fn 1, A. crowned about, 271 B C p 13, A an Upāsaka ibid, A. a Buddhist but tolerant and liberal, ibid, p. 14, his faith in Buddhist Triad of Buddha, Dharma, Samgha, p. 14, his aims and objects and the means he employed, ibid, his work, p 15, A., religion preached by, p. 16; A visiting Buddha's birth-place ibid, successors :bid . A. caiis Antiochus, King of Syria, a Yona-raja, p. 178, A king of Kashmere during his father's life time, p. 276, coronation about 269 BC p. 327, A. grandson of Candragupta, p. 512, A.'s empire extended almost over whole of India acc to Vincent Smith, p 512, A., sections on, in Smith's History, satisfactory, p 513 Aśoka-Avadāna, p 113 fn 1.

Aśribhūti, p. 257.

Assur, p 97

Assur-banı-pal, p 97.

Assur-Nazir-pal, p. 97

Assuryans (i. e Assyrians), p 97 Assyria (Asuryāh) derived from Assur, pp. 97, 100.

Assyrians (or Assuryans or Asuras,) same as Mlecchas, pp. 97, 98, 99, 323. Assyriologists, p. 101.

Astadhyayī of Paņini, pp. 164, 226.

Astaputre Kaśinathaśastri, p 299.

Astrology and Astronomy, Sastra of, well known among Greeks (i.e. Yavanas or Mlecchas), p 388

Astronomy p. 57 f; A. and Astrology, Sastra of, well known Greeks (i. e Yavanas or Miecchas), p 388.

Asura, its meaning, p. 94; A. (i. e. living vigorous, powerful hostile or immical to gods) abid, A. foreign un-Brahmanic race, p. 96, A. (1) living spirit, (ii) human being

hostile to wandering Aryas (iii) race of mythical boings hostile to gods (iv) a Mleccha or a foreigner of that namo, p. 98

Asuras men like Dasyus (or Assy rians), pp 94, 95, 323; A.s aborigines of some country, p. 95; A.s like Dasyus as enemies of Aryan wanderers, ibid, As and Gods compared, p 96; A.s dweilers of earth ibid, A.s (or Ahuras) represented as friends by Indians, p 97, As mythloal same as those of Assyria, pp. 98, 100.

Asura-Māyā, p. 98

Asurī Vidyā (Veda of Asuras) magical skiii and knowledge, p. 98

Asurya-Loka, p 100.

Asurya speech, p. 96

Asuryāh (= Assyria) applied to Lokah in Isa Upanisad, country of Asuras, p. 97.

Asuyaka, p. 472.

Aśvaghosa, author of Buddhacarita (contemporary of Kaniska), p. 60.

Aśvaia, name of Janaka's priest, Bühler compared to, in author's Verses sung at Vienna Congress, pp 346, 347.

Aśvalāyana, pp 92, 225, 306, 311, 314, 418, 420, 489, 490, 491, 493, 495, A, Brahmayajña for Rgvedins enjoined by, p 224fn 1, A., Mahabharata mentioned by, pp. 404, his Dharma and Grhya Sutras, p. 53, A Sutra, pp 55, 308, 309, 310, 313, 314, 482, A, Grhya Sutra, name of Mbh occurrıng in, p 81 A Grhya Sütra p 82 and fn 1 & 2, 371; A. G Sutra m 5 9, p. 307, A, G Sutras iii. 5. 8, 9, p. 310, A G Sutras i 7 9, 1 10 9; i 11 13; 1.14 5. 1. 15 6; i 19 2, i. 20.1, ni-5.3 p. 310. A G Sutras 1 4 2; 1 13.6, ni 5 6, ini 5 8; ini. 5, 9, p 311; A G Sutra 111-5 9, p. 312; iii-3, 4, p 313 A Kalpa Sutra p 224, and fn1, A. Srauta Sutra

X. 7 7. p 98, Ā. S Sūtra p 310, Ā. Ś. Sūtra IV 15 11, p. 322, Ā. S Sūtras, 3 1, p 489, 3 2, p 490, Ā Grhya-Kārikā attributed to Kumārila (?) p 305 Ā Sākhā (school) pp 305, 307, 308, 314

Aśvalāyana Samhìtā, pp 309, 313
Aśvamedha, alluslon to, in Mālavikā
gnimitra, performed by Pusyamitra,
p. 17, A. performed by Samudragupta, p 43, A solemnised by
Pulakeśī I, p 45, A performed by
Puspamitra, p 111 fn 3, A. perform
ed by Puspamitra of Patañjali,
pp 182, 183

Aśvamedha-Mahendra legend on coins, p 43

Aśvamedhaparākrama, title of Samudragupta, p 43

Aśvamedhaparva, p 91 fo4, A 111 Telugu characters, p 404

Aśvamedhika Parva, Arjuna killed by his son Babhruvābana referred to in, p 86.

Aśvapatı, King of Kekaya country (Chāndogya Upanisad, V 11), p 292, Aśvatthāmā, son of Dronācārya, p 87 Aśvins, Rg bymns addressed to, p 322

Atharvaveda XIX 66 1, Asura in p 94, Av. IX 2 17, 18, p 95, Av X 3 11, ibid, and 95 fn1, Av VI 108 3, p 98, Av VI 72 1, ibid, Av. twenty Kāṇdas of, p 99, Av pp 323, 369, 418

Atharvaveda, German Edition of, p 229

Atharva Samhita, p 224 and fnJ Atharvavedins found in Mahuli (Satara dist) and Revakanța, pp 228, 229

Atman, pp 287, 290

Atmanepada, distinction between, and Parasmaipada, pp 296, 297 Attak, p 105

"Auoityūlamkūra of Ksemendra" article on, read by Peterson, p 157 fn 1, A, p 186 fn 1

Auduloma, Rşl, p. 409.

Aufrecht, Prof, his Oxford Catalogue quoted, pp 87 fn 3 and 4, 90 fn1, 91 fn 4, 334, A, p 164, A., Prof, of Bonn not present at Vienna Congress, p 342, A, analysis of Sārngadhara's Paddhati by, p 525 Aulikara, family epithet, p 42 Aupanişada, or Vedānta system, p 294 Avagraha, p 238

Avairāgya, p. 67 Avatāras of Visņu, ten, p. 301 Avesta, p. 330.

Avestic people, p 97

Avidya, p. 78

Avyakta, p 410

Avyutpatti-pakşa, p 501

Ayan, termination called Nic, p. 209 Ayama, minister of Nahapana, p. 31 Ayodhya (Saketa) province of Guptas, p. 51

Azes I, p 21, A II, 161d, A dependent on Vonones and Spalmses, p. 28 Azilises, p 21

Babbruvāhana, son of Ulupī and Arjuna ref to in Kādambarī, p 86 Bactria, p 19, B. Menandros king of, p 108

Bactrian Greeks or Yavanas, p 17
Bactrian kings, their coins, p 18 f.
Bactrians, p 58, Bs followed by Sakas, p 413

Bactro-Indian princes, their coins p 18

Badami, a cave temple of Visnu at, p 45

Bādarāyaņa (Vyāsa) pp 76, 224 and fn1, 329, 419, his Sārīraka aūtras, principal work of Vedānta, p 409

Badarı Rsı, p 409

Bahis-pavamāna-stotra, pp 491, 492 Bahispavamāna meal, pp 491, 492

Bühlika, Panjab called as, by Patanjali and Püņini (IV 2 117 and V 3 114), p. 105

Bahuvacana, under Panini, I 4 103, p. 496.

Bahuvrihi Compound (Pānini II 2 23) Baili set at naught work of Patañ-Jalı acc to Bhartrharı, p 184 Balrat, p 11, ediot of B, p. 13 Baka, ref. to in Vasavadatta, p 87 Bakhali, (North of Panjab) a paper on an old Ms Pritten in Sarada oharaoter of a work on Arithmetic found at, read by Dr Hoernle at , Vienna Congress, p 343. Bala, pp 285, 288 Balakanda of Ramayana, pp 217, 406, B edited by Dr. Peter Peterson, Balākı the Gārgya (Kausıtakıbrāh-Balarama (or Kṛṣṇa), p 301 Balasri, as the name of a person not to be found in Skr literature, p 518 Balaśri, epithet of Gotami, mother of Gotaimputra, (acc to p 518, B mother of Gotamīputra aco to Smith following Dr Bühler, Balavarman, p 39 Baleocuros as father of Pulumāyı acc to Vincent Smith, p. 515. Balı, pp 209, 211 Balibandha, p 209 Ballantine, p 133 fn 1. Ballantyne, his edition of Mahabhāsya, pp 124 fn 1, 127 and fn 3 Bana, author of Harsaoarita, pp 2, 521, B, pp 59, 85, 88, 289, 343, 369, 374, 376, 405, B., ref by, in Harsacarita to Vasavadatta of Subandhu, p 87, B, mention of Kautilya's work by, p 328. Banasa river, p 264 Banavāsi, p 38. Bandh (root), p 210 Bandha or Sanga, p 70 Bannu, name given to Vaneh or Wanneh, province of Afghanistan, by General Cunningham, p 104 Bapat, Rao Saheb Narayan Vishnu, Mss from Benares belonging to,

Barābar near Gayā, three small tablet insoriptions in Caves at, Baranas or Varanas, name of a place on the right bank of the Indus, p 105. Baron Kremer, President of Oriental September 1886, p. 341. Barth Monsieur, p 340 V_{10nna} Barygaza (Broach) p 18 Basileus Basileon (i e Maharajasa Rajarajasa) Kings of Kings, p 21 Basileus Basileon Kanheskkoy (Greek legend on Kaniska coins), p 34 Basileus Basileon Megalou Gundopherrnou, (Greek legend) on some ooins of Gondophares, p. 32 $B_{asileus}$ Kadphises (Greek legend on the coins) p. 34 Basileus Dikaroy Heliokleoys, (1. e author) of Heliokles, the righteous king) the legend on the obverse of ooins p 18f ; Baskala, teaoher of one of the two different recensions of Rgveda, pp Bāskalas,pp 308-311, 313, 314 Bāskala Śākhā, pp 308, 309, 314, 372, B Samhita of the Rgveda, pp 306, Bassein, insoriptions at, p. 30 Bauddhas, pp. 51, 58, 293 Baudhayana, his Dharma and Grhya Baudhāyana Śākhā p. 314 Bazdeo (or Vāsudeva), p 29 Behar, Hindi spoken in, p 342 Belvalkar S K, Dr., paper on Devas and Asuras, read by, P 101fn 1. Benares, pp 133, 315, B, study of Veda in, p 223, B. Sansknt College, ohair for Sainkhya Philosophy in, p. 63 Bendall Mr, of British Museum, present at Vienna Congress, p 342 B, a paper on discovery in Nepal of a new alphabet with arrow-head characters read by, at Vlenna Congress ibid.

Berlin, p 351.

Berlin Catalogue of Mss of Prof Weber, pp 306, 308.

Besnagar, inscription found at, p

Bha (term), pp. 500, 501, 505, 506, 508 509.

Bhabhra Inscriptio, p 14.

Bhadrapada, p. 304

Bhadrasara, successor of Candragupta acc to one Purana, p. 6

Bhadrayana school, p 275

Bhagavadgītā, pp 63, 64, 72 fn 2, 150, 213, 291, 292, 323, 328, 369, 387, 405, 410, 411, B (episode of Mbh) Com, 88, B a on, by Samkarācārya, p Marathi com Jāāneśvarī on, p 90, B quoted in Paddhatı of Sarngadhara, p 92

Bhagavadvrttikrt, p. 307

Bhagavanlal Indraji, Dr., Puspamitra (another) acc to, lived in reign of Somadatta, p 180, B, 181 and fn1, B, name of Vāsisthīputra Catarapaņa Šātakarņi occurs in a Nana Ghat Inscription acc to, p 516

Bhagavat Vasudeva, pp 43, 291, B or Viṣṇu, temple of, p 44, B., both Vasudeva and Buddha calied as, p 292

Bhagavata Puraņa, pp 62 fn3, 69 fn2, B., IX. 9 49, XI 21 1, p. 291 and fn 4, B, ref. to Puspamitra as name of individual in, p 111 fn3

Bhagavata school, p 411, B, or Pancaratra system, Ramanuja's same as, pp 289, 290.

287-289, 292, 294 Bhagavatas, pp

Bhagelkhand, p 11

Bhakşa (meal), p 492

Bhaktı (love and faith), pp 288, 290, 291, 294.

Bhaktiyoga (Upāya), pp 285, 286

Bhāmatī, p. 299 Bhandarkar Devadatta R, Dr pp 21

fn1, 22 fn 1, 36 fn 2, 49 fn 3 Bhandarkar R G, Prof, (author) Peterson's Reply to, p 186fn 1, quotations from Peterson's reply to, pp 188, 198, B, pp 199 205.

Bharadvaja, author of Uddyota, pp 59, 324, 411.

Bharata, his departure to his maternal uncle, pp 217, 218, B., p 406

Bharata or Sarvadamana son of Dusyanta and Sakuntala, p. 79, mentioned in Aitareya Brahmana, p. 81, B, son of Dusyanta and Sakuntala, p 120, B son of Dusyanta crowned by Dīrghatamas Mamateya, p 422 f

Bharata, Acchoda lake in Banas Kādambarī, compared to, p 86.

Bharata, this name indicating races occurs in Rg III, p 422

Bharatītīrtha, his Pancadaśi, p 77

Bharaut, Buddhistic Stupa at, pp. 17, 37.

Bhāravi his Kirātarjunīya based on Mbh. story, p 89, B, p 90 fn 1.

Rudrasena son of Bhartrdaman (Kşatrapa) pp 26, 29.

Bhartrhari, author of Com. on Mahabhasya, p. 158, B hved before 650 A D, p 164, his Vakyapadīya pp. Patanjalı 167, B calls 166. Tirthadarsin (seer of saving truth and Mahabhasya on Arsagrantha, p 184, B, p. 185

Bhartrmentha (or Mentha), a poet, pp 50, 60.

Bharukaccha (; e modern Broach)

Bbāṣā, ourrent language, pp 160, 161, 402.

Bhasarthah, p 337

Bhasarvajña, author of Nyayasara, p 299

Bhasya on Panini VIII. 1 15, p 189, of Sabarasvāmi, p 58, B of Samkara on Vedānta Sutras, p 62 fn 2, Mbh and Gītā quoted in B. p 88.

Bhasyas, pp 52, 54, 55.

Bhatarka, dynasty of, p 103

Bhattas, p 495

Bhatton Diksita, author of Siddhantakaumudī, p 316

Bhau Daji, Dr., pp. 85 fn3, 90 fn1, 180, 181, B thinks Pudumāyī as father of Gotamīputra, p. 275, B. Āryā from Varāhamihira giving twelve names of Zodiao signs quoted by, p 387.

Bhavabhuti, pp. 165, 217, 368, 374, B., verses in Uttara Rāma Carita taken by, from last chapter of Bālakāņda of Rāmāyaņa, p 217, B. pupil of Kumārilabhatta, p. 374.

Bhavasvāmin, Bhāsyakāra on Baudhāyana Śūtra, p 55; B. (name on charters) ibid.

Bhavisyat, p. 497,

Bhawanagar Collection of Inscriptions, p 25 fn 1 & 3.

Bhiksu (his name Bndhamitra), p 46. Bhiksukas, division of Brahmanas, pp. 223, 225.

Bhils, p. 387,

Bhilsa, p 40

Bhīma, ref. to, in Vāsavadattā, p. 87, B. mentioned by Patajūali, p. 404 Bhīmasena, referred to, by Pataūjali, p. 83, B., p. 85

Bhīsma, p 54,

Bhīsmaparva, Bhagavadgītā a part of p 90.

Bhitari, inscription at, p 41, B., seal discovered at, p. 42, B., p. 43, B Lat Inscription on it, pp 180, 181 fn1, 183, 206

Bhogya, what is to be enjoyed or suffered, p. 287

Bhoja of Dhārā pp. 12, 89, 299, B refers to works of Rājaśekhara, in his Sarasvatīkaņṭhābharaņa, p. 87. Bhoktr (eujoyer or sufferer), p. 287. Bhū, pp 234, 497.

Bhumavidya (Chandogya Upanisad VII. 1), p. 290.

Bhusana, pp. 220, 221.

Bhuta, p 497.

Bhūtapāla, a Seth of Vaijayantī, p. 38

Bible, p. 387.

Bihar, inscription at, pp. 41, 43.

Bilhaņa, author of Vikramānkadevacarita, p. 2.

Bilsad, inscription at, pp. 41, 43.

Bindusāra, successor of Candragupta, acc. to one Purāna, p. 6.

Birdwood, Hon Mr., Vice-Chancellor of Bembay University, p. 427.

Birmingham, p. 332

Bizago i e Viśākha (Greek name on coins), p. 34

Bear (Varāha) mearnation of Visnu, p. 42.

Boddo=Buddho (Greek name on coins), p. 34.

Bodhi tree, p 36.

Bodhisatto, pp. 295, 296.

Bodleian Library, p. 336.

Bohtlingk, Dr., pp. 155, 156, 169, 188, 402, his Edition of Brhadaranyakopanisad IV. 4 14, p. 100 fn2, IV. 4. 11, ibid fn 3, B., one of the two authors of Sanskrit Dictionary, p 130, B. of Jena not present at Vienna Congress, p. 342. Boyd Rev, translated Weber's essay

on Ramayana, p. 217.
Bradke, Von. quoted, p. 53 fn1

Brahma (Rajas), p. 69

Brahmacarin, p. 302, B dedicated by his father to service of God Savitr, p. 322

Brahmacarya (Celibacy), p. 473.

Brahmadatta, p. 295.

Brahmagupta, author of Khandakhādya, Com. on it by Amarāja, p. 374

Brahman, several meanings of, p 6, B, pp 284, 287, 289-291, 337, 338.

Brahmans, pp. 15, 16, 38, 39, 44, 45, 47-49, 51-54, 56, 58, 59, 109, 292, 293, 404; Bs divided into Grahasthas and Bhiksukas, p 223, Bs as learners and Ksatriyas as teachers, p 292

Brāhmaņas (work), pp 96, 225, 228, 229, 371, 373, 386, 402, 418, 423, 471, 476, 477, 480 fn1, 495, Bs preceded Sutras, pp. 81, Bs, meaning of the word Asura in, p. 94, Bs, ritual of, elaborated later, p 398; Bs, mention of Purāņas in some of the, p 407.

Brahmāṇda Purana, p 113 fn3.
Brahmanic deities, p 49, B. learning, p 61, B pantheon, emblems of deities from the, on ooins, pp. 34, 44, B revival (under Samudragupta), p 43, B r. pp. 45, 47, 49, 60
Brahmanicm pp. 17, 38, 52, 61, 477, B.

Brahmanism pp. 17, 38, 52, 61, 477, B and Buddhism, p 10, B decline of, p 60, B revival of, pp 46, 48 Brahmapol, a village two miles from Jayapur, p 304

Brahmasutras, p 419.

Brahmāvarta, p 97

Brahmayajña, pp 224 fn1, 313, B for Rgvedins enjoined by Aśvalayana, p 224 and fn1, B of followers of other Vedas, p 225

Brāhmī Character of Ascka Inscriptions at Glrnar, Khalsī, Dhauli, Jaugad, p. 11, B (i e Old Devanāgarī), p. 24, legend in B Character (or ancient Nāgarī) on coins, p. 19, B, pp. 22, 23

Brett, Lieutenant, his copies consulted by author while translating Nasik Cave Inscriptions, p 231, B, pp 240, 241, 245, 246, 248, 271

Brhadūraņyaka Upanisad pp 7, 292, 399, 419, 420, its Mādhyamdina Recension and Kānva one, p 100, B, IV 4 14 *bid fn2, IV 4 11, *bid fn3, Celebrated Chapter in Br. U containing story of Yājñavalkya and 1000 cows of Janaka referred to, pp 346, 347

Brhadatta, last Maurya King, Puspamitra heing his Commanderin-Chief, p 112

Brhaspati, ref to निवर्तन in, p 252 Brhatkatha, p. 45

Brhat-Samhit \overline{u} edited by Dr Kern, p 119

Broach (Barygaza), p 18

Brunnhofer, theory of, (all Veda hymns not composed in Panjah), p 99, B, origin of Rgveda (hymnal literature) 2500 B C acc to, p 100 Buddha pp 10, 14, 47, 49, 73, 301, 302, B Blessed, p 46, his divine nature, p 9, his discourses, p

10, B, figures of, on coins, pp 34, 35, B, images of, p. 46, B. Jīva, p 284, B a Ksatriya of Sākya olan (founder of atheistic system), p 292, B. a relic of, p 36; B religion of, p. 36, site of his birthplace, p. 13.

Buddhas, p 411.

Buddhaoarita work of Asvaghoga, p 60.

Buddhagayā, image of Buddha at, p 46.

Buddhi (Mahat-tattva), pp 66-69 71, 72, 75, 77, B (definite conception i. e अध्यवसाय) first product of Prakṛtı, p 410

Buddhism pp 3, 8, 74, 292, 477 B, rise of, its doctrines and aims, p 5 ff, B, metaphysical doctrines of, p. 9, B not a social revolution, pp 9, 10, B a revolt against the sacrificial system, p 10, B and Brahmanism ibid, its propagation ibid, B. veering towards Mahāyāna, p 35, B, decline of, pp 45f, 46, 47, B, ascendancy of early, p. 60; B, popularity of early, p 61, B, rise of, in 6th Cen B C, p 99, B, flourishing in Kaśmīr in the reigns of Huska, Juska and Kainska (Turuska or Scythian Kings) p 367

Buddhist dcotrines, p 59, B mendioants, p 46, B preachers, p 9, B. Triad of Buddha, Dharma, and Samgha, p 14

Buddhists, pp. 8, 16, 38, 51, 59, 73, 74, 293, Bs preceded by Sāmkhyas, p 76

Buddhistic Accounts, p. 16f, B gospel, p 9, B Schools, pp 72, 75, 131, B Stupa at Bharaut, p 17, B system, pp 74, 76, B Vihūras, p 2, B works, pp 9, Buddho=Boddo (Greek name on ocins), p 34

Budhagupta, pp 41, 42, 44

Budhamitra (Bhiksu), p 46

Bühler, Dr. pp 12fn1 27, 61, 307, fn2, 343, 345, 351, 401, 407, 408, 421, 519, 525, B of Vienna present at Vienna Congress, p 341, B.

compared to Aśvala Janaka's priest in author's verses sung at Vienna Congress, p. 347, B, Balaśrī as mother of Gotamīputra acc. to, (Smith follows him), p. 518, B., Leumann's remark about the work of p 526.

Bukka, king of Vijayanagara, p 90. Bulandshahr district, p. 41.

Bunyin Nanjio quoted, p. 51 fn2.

Burgess, Mr., Copperplate Grant possessed by, p 88, B, p. 344.

Burnell, Dr., author of the Aindra School of Sanskrit Grammarians, p. 496, B, p. 498.

Burnouf, M., Buddhistic account given by, p. 111 fn3, B, p. 113 fn1.

Caltyas (or Stupas), pp 36, 37. Calus, pp 130, 175. Cakora, p. 233.

Cakrabhrt (Visnu) temple of (on inscription), p. 44.

Cakrapalita, son of Parnadatta, p. 44 Caliph Amru, p 344.

Ozlukya Copper plate translated by Prof Dowson, p. 85, C. dynasty, pp 55, 366, 528

Calukyas, p. 45

Cambridge, p. 341

Cāṇakya, assistant to Candragupta, alluded to in a dramatic play etc., p 5. C or Visnugupta, author of Arthasatra acc to Jacobi, Nandas overthrown by, and Candragupta Maurya raised to throne by, p 328 Cāṇḍāia, p 302

Candī, goddess (on inscriptions), p 43
Candrācārya, pp 134, 136, 165, 167, C,
Patañjali's Bhāṣya introduced by,
in Kashmir, p. 118, C, Study of
Mahābhāṣya revived by, in 3rd Cen,
pp. 166, 167. C. obtained tradition
from Parvata acc. to Bhartrhari,
p 184

Candracuda, author of manual for Apastambas, p. 225

Oandragupta, pp. 43, 46, 111, 119, 182, 206, his Oapital Pāţalı-

p. 5, C. founder putra. Maurya dynasty toid, his date of accession 322 B. C ibid. successor Bindusara acc. to one Purana, and Bhadrasara acc. to his son Asoka another ıbıd, closely connected with Buddhism, p 6, C son of Ghatotkaca, p. 39, C. first of ten Maurya kings, p. 113; C founded Maurya dynasty about 320 B C., pp 173,183,512, C., ref to, in Mahabhasya, p 185, O., Mayura, date of, 315 B C, p. 280, C, age of about, 325-315 B C., p. 327; C Maurya, raised to the throne by Cāņakya or Visņugupta who overthrew Nandas, p 328

Candragupta II, called Vikramëditya Sakarî pp 40, 41, 43, 49, 50, 520

Candragupta III, p. 326

Candraguptasabhā, in Patañjalı, pp. 5, 328, O (I. 1.68, Pānini), pp. 182, 188.

Candragupta-Vikrmāditya, pp. 51, 52. 56, 61.

Candravarman, p 39.

Candy, Hon'ble Mr. Justice, author's tribute to Prof. Peter Peterson, under the presidentship of, p. 523.

Carana or Vedic School, Dharma Sutras belonged to a certain, pp, 256, 408

Oaranas (bards) pp 396, 397.

Caranavyuha, pp 305, 309, 312, 313-315.

Carlye, referred to as pessimist by Justice Ranade, pp 453, 454

Cartellieri, Dr., of Vienna present at Vienna Congress, p. 342, C, Bühler's pupil, paper on Bāņa and Subandhu read by, at Vienna Congress, p 343.

Caspian Sea, p. 99.

Caspian same as Kaśyapa (wrongly traced), p. 377.

Caşţana, pp 25, 27, 31, 516, 517, C., son of Ghsamotika, first prince of this dynasty, p. 24, C (same as Tiastenes aco to Ptolemy) a prince at Ozene or Ujiayinī thid, C. (a Kṣatrapa and Mahākṣatrapa),

pp, 25, 28, C. a Ksatrapa King mentioned in coins and inscriptions. p 514 Catarapana, p 516 Catherine Horward, p. 357. Cāturmāsya prayoga Sāyaņa, bу p 494 Oaturmasyas (particular kinds of sacrifices), p. 230 Catusparna, p 31 Catusparņa Sātakarņi, p 30 Cayana, p 230. Cecika, p. 264 Cedi (country near modern Chattisgarh), Kalacuri family reigned in, pp. 414, 521. Ceylon, Buddhists of, p 386 Chamberlain, Mr. author introduced to, by Colonel A Phelps in Birmingham p 339 Chandas, pp 224 and fn1, 226 Chandasa : c obsolete language, p 160. Chandogya Upainsad, pp 7, 292, C VII 1, Bhumavidya in, p 290. Chera country, King Tiru Vikrama of, converted by Samkaracarya from Jainism to Saiva faith, p 88, Chera Kingdom, essay on, by Prof. Dowson, p 88 in4 China, pp 3, 368, Chinese, Kārikās of Iśvarakṛṣṇa and their com translated into, p. 59. Chinese Chronology, p 368 Chinese pilgrima in India, p 3. Chips of Proj Max Muller, p 74 Chattisgarh (in Cedi), Kalaouri reigned at, p 414, C, family ancient Cedi country near, p 521 Cidacidvisistadvaitam, p 287 Cikhalapādra, p. 262 Cis-Satla; side of India, p. 43. Citpavana Brahmanas equally divided between Rgveda and Black Yajurvoda, pp. 222, 378 Citpavan or Cipte same as Copt or Gypt (wrongly traced), p 377. Civarakas, p 258. Coda, p 12 Codas, p 11.

Codrington, Dr., p 333
Cohan Prince, named Hammīra, p 91.
Colebrooke, pp. 69, 348, C, notice of an inscription by, p 79, C, Gowja Agrahāra grant translated by, p 120
Comprise case p 80

Comorin, oape, p 80

Congress of orientalists held at Vienna, visit to, by the author (Monday 27th September 1886,), p 332

Cosmos, p 7.

Ootton, Mr. J S, Editor of the Academy, (Royal Asiatic Society's Journal), p 336

Cowell, his edition of Siddhantakaumudī, pp 504, 507 fn1

Creation (in Väyu, Linga and Markandeya Purāņas), p 56

Cunningham Sir A., pp. 16, fn1, 17, fn1, 23 fn1 and 3, 28, 34 fn1, 51, 113 fn4, 157 fn2, 180, 181, and fn 1, 277 fn1, C. General, Vaneh or Wanneh province of Aghanistan called Bannu bу, p 104. Aornos hill fort derived Rajavara acc to, p 105. Sambrace or Sabrace same as Skr Samvagri acc. to, p 106, C transcribes Pa-la-fa-to as Sorvata and not Parvata after M Julien ibid, C derives Gonda from Gauda, p 123, C identifies Kukura with Gurjjara p 237, O identifies Dhanakataka with ancient Dharapikot, p 276

Curpî, p. 158.

Cust, Dr., of the Royal Asiatic Society of London present at Vienna Congress, p. 342.

Cyrene, p. 12.

Dahanuka river in Thana Dist; p 254

Dahlman, p. 405

Daitya, (Dutch, Germ Deutsch), (wrongly treced) p 377, D (some such form as teudh) ibid, D (this word not found in Vedas) p 378,

Daitvas, p. 216.

Daivaputra Sāhi Sāhanuśāhi, p 40.

Daksamitra wife of Usavadata and daughter of Ksaharata Nahapana, pp 514, 516. D. daughter of Rudradaman married to Pulumayi ace to Smith, p. 515

Dāksī, Pānini son of, acc. to Aufreoht, p. 164

Daksinā, pp 38, 223, 229, 230, 397 Daksinā fund, wasted on Daksinā fellowships, be used for endowing permanent fellowships, pp 415, 439, 440.

Daksināpatha, p. 39, D. (i. e. Southern India), p. 389.

Damaghsada, son of Rudradaman (Mahaksatrapa and Ksatrapa), pp. 25, 27.

Dāmajadaśrī son of Dāmasena (Mk.), p. 26.

Damanā a river in Thana Dst. p 254.

Damasena son of Rudrasimha (Mk) pp. 26, 27.

Damayanti, p. 370

Danakhanda, a section of Hemādri's work, p. 90.

Danastutis (praises of gifts), p. 320 Dandakaranya, p. 386

Dandin, mention of Kautilya's work by, p 328

Danube river, p 345

Daśagva, see under Navagva below, p. 344.

Dasapura in Gujarat, or Maratha country bordering on Gujarat, p. 254.

Daśaratha, name of grandson of Aśoka acc. to Visnu Purāna, p 16 Daśaratha Jātaka, Rāmapandita (Rāma), Lakṣmaṇa, Śitā, three children of Daśaratha's first rufo

children of Daśaratha's first wife in, p 406 Daśarupa mentions Mrcchakatika,

Dhanika on, p. 89.

asyus, pp 94, 95, 100, Ds Asuras men like, p 95, Ds enemies of Aryan wanderers, *ibid*, Ds in the sense of aboriginal races applied to

p 87; D of Dhanamjaya, Com. by

Andhras, Pundras, Sabaras, Pulindas and Mutibas in Ait. Brah., VII. 18, p. 95 fn1, Ds aborigines of India, p 97.

Dāttāmītrī (may be Demetria) under पाणिन IV 3 76, pp 272, 273,

Dāvaka, p 39

Davids, Rhys Dr., Pali Scholar, Professor of Pali in London University, p 334, D, pp. 335, 357.

Dava. p. 69.

Decoan, pp 11, 24, 30, 45, D. ruled by Sakas for about one generation only, p. 30.

Decean College or the old Poona College, pp 461 f, 524, 525.

Delhi, pp. 11, 346.

Demetrius, son of Euthydemus, p. 19, D. Indo-Bactrian prince, p 177.

Deoriya, image of Buddha at, p 46.

Deśasthas are Vājasaneyins (followers of White Yajurveda) and Regredins, p 222.

Desikas, (teachers), p 287.

Desire, first germ of the mind, (i. c Mara the Buddhistic Prince of Darkness or Kama), p. 6.

Deussen, Prof, follower of Samkaracarya's system, p. 324

Devas, worshipped by Brahmanas, stigmatised by Irainans, p. 97.

Devabhuti killed by Vasudeva, p. 513.

Devadatta, pp. 174, 175, 179

Devagui, a Yādava King of, p 90, Devanāgarī, its carliest form being Brāhmī, pp 11,24

Devasyāmin, Bhāsyakāra on Āśvalayana Sūtra, p 55, D. Name on charters, ibid

Devavisnu, endowment of (on inscription) p. 42

Dhavakataka, or Kataka, seat of Satavahana family, p. 30; D or Gautamīputra, lord of, pp 276, 277, D. in Tailangaņa, p 389

Dhanamjaya, author of Daśarupa patronised by Muñja, p 89, D. brother of Dhanika, tbid.

Dhanika, brother of Dhanamjaya, his ! Dhurtasvamin, Bhasyakara on Apadate 10th cen acc to Dr Hall, p 89, namjaya, ibid

Dhanyantarin, p 374.

Dhanya-Visnu, brother of Matr Visnu pp 42, 44

Dhara, Capital of King Bhoja, p 87, D, Bhoja of, p 299, D. Munja of, p 301

Dhārādeśa, p 415

Dharanikot on the Krsna (Dhanakataka aco to Cunningham), pp 276, 277.

Dharasena IV, Copperplate grant of, p 103.

Dharma or righteousness, pp 9, 10, 14, 47, 66, 69, D or Righteonsness explained by Asoke in edicts, p 14, D, invocation to (on inscriptions) p 38, D (Purušārtha) p 286, D. (moral law), p 405.

Dharmacakra (wheel of righteonsness), p 36

Dharmamahamatrah, or OTELEGES pp 12 fn1, 15.

Dharmapariksa of Amitagati a Digam. bara Jain, p 301.

Dharmasastra school, p 318

Dharmasutras, pp 53, 423, D of Apastamba, Gotama, Vāsistha belonged to a certain Vegic School or Carana, p 409

Dharmila (or Dharmika) high-sounding epithet on coins, p 32

Dharwar district, pp 50, 85

Dhato p 496; D defined under Panini I 3 1, p 497.

Dhatupatha, pp 337, 401, 407

Dhanli in Katak, Asoka Inscriptions at pp 10, 11.

DhriarIstra, pp 84 86, 89

Dhrura Sarman (Pratoli built by), p

Douglai group (Panini IV 2 127). p 103

Dhuodhu, p 305.

stamba Sūtra, p 55

his com. on Dasarupa of Dha. Dhvajastambha (or flagstaff), pp 42, 44.

Diametron (Gr), e Jamitra, p 388 Dhanyasrenis (guilds of corn-dealers), , Didhiti of Raghunathabhatta Siromant. p 317.

> Didymos (Gr): e Jituma name of a Zodiac sign, p 387

Digambara Jamas, sect of, founded by Sivabhuti, p 375 these represent themselves to be original Jainas, ibid

Dīksā ceremony, p 322

Dinajapur district, Note on Ghata written by the author at the request of an officer of, p 219

Dīnāra (com), p 46

Dinnaga, opponent of Kalidasa, a Buddhist logician, a pupil of Vasubandhu, pp 51, 52, 59, 318, 325, 411. Dinnagacarya, p 58

Diodotus, founder of Greco-Bactrian monarchy, p 19.

Diomedes, p. 20

Dionysius, p. 20.

Dîrgha, p. 502

Dīrghatamas Māmateya, mention of, in Aitareya Brahmana, p. 428

Dowson, Prof., Calukya Corper plate translated by, p 85; D. on Ms in Mackenzie collection, p 88; his essay on Chera Kingdom, ibid fa4

Dravidas, Indian tribes, p 53

Dravidian races, p. 465

Draupadī, ref to, in Mrcchakatika

Duhkha (misery), first of four noble truths of Buddhism, p 6

Duhsasana referred to by Patañjali, p 83, D., ref. to in, Vasavadatta, p 87, D, p. 88

Durga, pp 56, 407, 411.

Durgaprasad. Pandit of Jaipur, Co-worker of Peterson in preparing an alphabetical index of poets in Skr. literature, p 525

Duryodhana, referred to, by Patanjali, p 83

Duşyanta, pp 80, 81, 120,

Dutch (Germ.) Deutsch wrongly traced to Skr. Daitya, p. 377.

Dvandva, pp. 18, 83, 169, 189

Dvitīyā (case), pp. 498, 502

Dvivacana, under Pāņini, I. 4 103, p 496.

Dvyaņuka, p. 69.

Dyaus. Asura epithet applied to. p. 94.

Eggeling, Prof., his translation of Satapatha Brähmana, (S. B. E.) p. 96 fn1; E., p. 334, E. Prof. of Sanskrit in University of Edinburgh not present at Vienna Congress, p. 342. Ego, pp. 70-74.

Egypt, pp 12, 378.

Egyptians, p. 466

Ekavacana, under Panini I 4. 103, p 496

Elements, subtle five, gross five, pp 67, 68, 70.

Elliot, Sir Walter, quoted, p. 90.

Ellis, Col., pp 92, 480, his correspondence, re Mbh date, p 79, E Copper-plate grant of Janamejaya genuine acc. to, ibid; E, identifies Anagundi with Hastinapur, p 80. his view criticised, p 81, E on Gowja Agrahara grant, p. 119.

Elphnistone College established in 1836, pp 432, 523-525.

England, p. 132.

English as Vaisyas, p. 349

Epics, language of, p. 476.

Eran, inscription at, pp. 40, 41

Eran, p 44

Erannoboas, the same as Huranyabāhā (another name of the Śona), p. 5

Eta, p 41,

Etad, pp. 149, 154

Euoratides, rival of Demetrius, p 19 Euphrates, p 97.

European scholars on Mbh date, p 80

Euthydemia, Indo-Bactrian King rebuilt Sakala, old city, p. 162

Euthydemus, successor of Diodotus, p 19, E. II, (probably son of Deme-

trius) belonged to the line of Demetrius, ibid.

Faculties, internal (Buddhi, Ahūmkāra, Manas) external (organs of sense and action), p 68.

Fa-Hian, a Chinese pirgrim, p 3.

Fa-la-nu, province of Afghanistan Vanch or Wanneh, called so by Hwan Thsang, p 104.

Fausböll, Adiocnpatthana Jataka in the edition of, (No. 175, Vol II, p. 172), p 295.

Fergusson, Dr. J., pp. 280-282, F a zealous student of ancient Indian architecture and archaeology, p.335.

Fergusson, Sir James, Chancellor of Bombay University and Governor of Bombay, p. 427

Fichte, German philosopher, his Idealism, pp 70, 71, 74.

Firozeshah, Emperor, p. 11.

Fitzgerald, Sir Seymour, Chancellor of Bombay University and Gevernor of Bombay, p 427

Fieet, Dr., pp 40 fp. 1-5, 41 fn 1-2, 42 fn2-4, 44 fn2-9, 46 fn1-5, 47 fn.1, 49 in 1, 50 fn. 2, 54 fn.3, 55 fn1-3, 184 fn.1, 344, insoriptions collected by, p 45, F., Epgraphical Surveyor to Government of India, p. 181 fn 1.

Fo-li-shi-sa-tang-na, probably derived from Parsusthana, country of Parsus a warlike tribe (Panini V. 3. 117), p. 105, F. Country about Ortospan called so, by Hwan Thsang, ibid.

Frank Dr. p 403.

French as Ksatriyas, p. 349.

Gadādhara Bhattācārya, author of Gādādharī, p. 317.

Gudadharī of Gadudhara Bhattīcurya, p 317.

Gadhwa, inscription at, pp 40, 41 Gaikavad prince, liberal patron of Veda-reciters, p. 229. Gam with A, (root), p 120

Gana-Pātha, appendix to Pāņini's work, p 102.

Ganapatınaga, p 39.

Gandhara, name of country (Panini iv 2, 133 and iv 1, 169), p. 104

Gandharas, p 12

Gandiva, bow of Arjuna, p 86,

Ganga, pp 42, 56

Ganges, p 5, G, classical Hastingpura on the, p 92

Gangeśa, his son Vardhamana, p 299

Gangeśopādhyāya of Bengal, Navya Nyāya composed by, p 317

Ganjam, pp 10, 11

Garbe, Dr, p 351

Garbhadhana rite, p 10

Garga, pp 320 fn 1, 393, G quoted by Varahamibira, p 388

Gargi Samhita calls Yavanas as astronomers, p 178

Gargi Vaoaknavi, pp 370, 371, G, a Viennese lady present at Vienna Congress compared to, in author's verses sung at Vienna Congress, p. 347

Gargya-Narayana, p 309

Garuda, p 284

Gathas, p 82

Gauda country, p 63

Gaudas of North, White Yapurveda among, p 222

Gaudapādūcārya, his oom on Sāmkhya-Kārikās, p 59

Gaudapati, p 221

Gaudavadha, work of Vakpatiraja, pp 365, 368, 375

Gauirājya, tradition about, current in Nasik and Khandesha, Dsts p 283

Gaurishankara, Mr, sometime Divan of Bhavanagar, his letter to Max Müller, p 337

Gautama, founder of NyEya system, pp 317, 423

Gautami, mother of Sütakarni Gautamiputra, pp 239, 275

Gautamīputra, pp 278 281, G spoken as lord of Dhanakata or Kaţaka

pp 244, 276, G, Khagarāta race, exterminated by, p 280, date of his accession, as 319 A. D., p. 280 his death or end of his reign in 340 A D, ibid, G, protector of Brāhmaņas, p 282.

Gaya, (Buddha) pp 11, 16, 46, insoriptions found at G, p 375

Gayatrī Mantra (Tatsavituh eto) pp 223, 486

Genesis, Book of, p 175

Geldner, pp 396, 397

Germans as Brāhmanas, p 349, G s, p 390,

Ghana, illustrated, pp 225, 226 f, G defined, pp 227, 228

Ghata, pp 69, 152

Ghatā (array) pp 219-221, G. equivalent to three-fold, aoo to Rajendralal Mitra, p 219

Ghatotkaoa, son of Gupta, p 39

Ghazipur, coins of Wemakadphises, a Kusana prince, even found at, p 33, G dst.p 41

Ghsamotika, father of Castana, first King of this (?) dynasty (both these names foreign, not Indian), p 24

Ghu (term), pp 500, 501, 505, 506, 508, 509

Gibbon, pp 130, 176, 179

Gibbons, Rev G. B, calculations made by, re. eolipse of the sun, p 79

Gilliott, his pen factory at Birmingham visited to by the author, p 339

Gipsies, languages of, made as special study by Leland, an American, p 342

Gipsy language, paper on, read by Leland at Vienna Congress, p 344

Girnar, in Kathiavad, pp 10,11, Aśoka Inscriptions at G, p. 61

Gītā, pp. 63 fn 3, 387

Gladstone M, p 481.

Goanese dialect, p 384

God, p 9, G, denial of, p 75, G as oreator of the world, p 76

Godāvarī, Paithan on the bank of the, p 515

Gokhale V V., M A., M.D., his untimely death referred to, p. 428

Gokula, Kṛṣṇa's early life spent in, p. 424.

Golapa in Konkan, some sacrifice, held, at in 1868, p. 230.

Goldstücker, Dr., pp 18, 114, 118, 126, 128, 131, 141, 150, 157-160, 162, 168, 173, 177, 178, 186, 199, 203, 350, G, date of Patanials, 2nd century B.C. aoo. to, pp. 81, 113, G, Yavana m Pānini same as Menandros King of Bactria aco, to, p 108, G, Critique by Weber, on Panini of, pp 115, 125. G., passage Tha Puspamitram Yaravamah' not noticed by, p 116, G, name Madhyamika misunderstood by, p 119, G, Katyayana as an eastern grammarian acc to. p 123, review of his Panini and His theory about Panini's Technioal Terms, pp 496-510 and 496 fn1. his passage on Bhasya on Panini III 2, 111, p 212, appreciation about his precise knowledge of Indian grammatical literature, p 499. his articles on religious difficulties of Hindus in Westminister Roview, p 510

Gonadda (Gonarda, Gonda), p. 123. Gonarda (Gonadda, Gonda) native place of Patafijali, pp. 122, 123, G exact position of, unknown to North or North-west of Oudh, ibid; G. same as modern Gonda 20 miles from Oudh, ibid

Gonarda I, contemporary of Yudhisthira, p. 366, Gonarda III, p 367.

Gonardīya (Patanjali), p 506

Gonda, (Gonadda, Gonarda) town 20 miles from Oudb, p 123

Gonds, p 387

Gondophares (Indo-Parthian king.) p 31, G (155 A D.) his coins found at Seistan, Khandahar and the Western Punjab, p 32

Gopasvämin (name on charters), p. 55 Gorakhpur, coins of Wema-kadphises a Kusana prince even found at, p 33, G. dst., pp 41, 46

Gorresio, his edition of Ramayana, pp. 217, 218,

Gotama, Anvīksikī of Vātsyāyana's Nyāyabhāsya, is system of, p. 328, G. p. 393, G. Dharma Sūtras, pp. 408, 411

Gotamī, mother of Gotamīputra Sātakarm grand-mother of Pulumāyī, p 38, G., a Gotra name acc to Dr. Bühler, p 518, G. as mother of Gotamīputra & Balaśrī epithet of her (acc. to author), ibid

Gotamiputra Satakarnı, pp 38, 516-518. Ujjayinī invaded by, p. 29. G., Sakas Yavanas and Palhavas beaten by, p. 30, G destroyed lineal successor of Nahapana, p 30. G referred to in Nasık Inscripp. 84, G. compared ancient kings, p. 233, G. referred to in one of Nasik oave Inscriptions p. 514, G. Vilivayakura same as G Šatakarni aco to Smith, p. 515, G., great Nahapana conquered by, p 516

Gotamīputasa, pp. 277 and fn1.

Gotras, p. 378

Govardhana as Capital of Satavahana race, pp 38, 278

Govinda, engraver of inscriptions at Gwalier and Mandasor, p 42

Govindananda quoted, p. 75 fn 1.

Govindasvāmin, (name on Charters) p. 55.

Gowja Agrahāra grant translated by Colebrooke, Colonel Ellis on, p 119 f.

Grahamakha, p 321,

Grhapatis, pp. 37, 38

Grahasthas, division of Brahmanas pp 223, 225, 229

Grassmann, Rgveda translated by, from Roth's point of view, 397.

Greco-Bactrian monarchy, Diodotus founder of, p 19.

Greco-Indian Kings, p. 29

Greco-Indians, p. 21

Greek astronomy, p. 58; G Chara oters, pp 27, 28, G desties, p. 48, G Geographers, p. 103, G. Kings of

Bactria, pp 116, 117, G legends, pp 23, 28, 32, 34, G letters, one legend in, on the obverse of coins, pantheon, emblems 21. G are figures of destres from the, on coins, p 34, G princes, p 19 Greeks, pp 3, 22, 32, 49, 466, Gs called Yavanas by Indians for three centuries before christian era, p 178 Grhya-Pariśista, p 313 Grhyasutras, pp 53, 81, 225, 226 228, 307, 322, 418, 420 Grierson, Dr. ref to Kielhorn's note by, pp 214, 215, G, paper on some dialects of Hindi read by, and observations on Tulasīdāsa made by, at Vienna Congress, p 342 Grimm's Law, p 377 Grtsamada, p 371 Guimet, a French scholar present at Vienna Congress, p \$42 Gujarat, pp 63, 279, 521, Chronioles of Kings of G, p 1, G, one Maitrayaniya of Black Sakha Yajurveda in, p. 222

Guna, pp 143, 498 Gunādhya, p 45 Gunda in Jamnagar State, inscription, at, p 24. Guntur dst , Dharanikot d on

Krsna in, p 277

Gupta, name of a prince, p 39, G, controversy re, the initial dates of, pp 325, 326

Guptas succeeding Kusanas, pp 29, 35, 39, 40, 42, 49-51, 56, 282, 520

Gupta dynasty, pp 61, 111, 326, 37-, 413, 521, G era, pp 40 157, 325, 327, 374, G inscriptions, pp 48, 181 fa 1, G period, p 61, G princes, pp 183, 408, G, Valabhī Era, (326=645 A. D.) p 163

Gurjaras came from Punjab, p 414. G., Jackson on the origin of, p 523 Gurjiara copper plate translated by the author, p 85, G, identified with, by Gen Cunningham, p 237 f

Gurn (teacher), p 474

Guttal, Guttas (Guptas) of. p 50 Guttas (Guptas) of Guttal, p 50 Gwalior, inscription at, p 42

Hagana (Ksatrapa), p 23 Hagāmasa (Ksatrapa), p 23 Hāla, his Saptašatī, pp 45, 165

Hall, Dr, pp 47 fn3, 60, and fn 1, 87 fn1, 113 fn3, 299, H., Vijnana Bhksu praised by. 65 Œ edition of Varavadatta, p 85 fn4, 87 fn5, 91 fn6, H. Copperplate grant mentioned by, Dhanika's date 10th oen acc to, p 89, his edition of Dasarupa, pp 89, fn1, 2, fn3, his "Index to the Bibliography of Indian Philosophical systems," pp 298, 299 fm2

Hammīra, a Cohan Prince, p 91, Han (root), pp 209, 210 Hanuman, ref to, in Mrcchakatika, 88 q

Hardy, p 259 fn1

Harida, Harihara at the junction of H. and Tungabhadra, p 93

Harihara, at the mnotion of Tungabbadrā and Harida, p. 93

Harīpātha of Jñāneśvara, p. 324 Harisvamini, wife of Sanasiddha. p

Hārītiputra Sātakarni, inscriptions of, p 38

Harivamsa, p 213

Harris Lord, p 415

Harsacarīta of Bāņa, pp 183, 289, 365, 375, 521; H, mention of Kalidasa 10, p 85, H, Vāsavadattā of Snbandhu mentioned in, p 87

Harsavardhana, patron of Bāņa, p 85 fn5, H or Silāditya, pp 327, 521, H sovereign lord of Uttarapatha or Northern India, p 366

Harsa Vikramāditya mentioned in Rājatarangiņī as sovereign ruling at Ujjayinī, p 376

Haryaksa set at naught work of Patafijalı acc to Bhartrhari, p. 184 Hastinüpur, identified with Anagundi by Col Ellis, pp 80, 120; H., Matha

```
of Mandana Miśra, a fow miles
                      from, thid, & 93, H, olassical, far
                      to the North, on the Gangos, p 92
                   Haug Martin, p 330, H, Altareya
                    by the author, pp 480ff and fal,
                    482ff, his essays on Parsi Religion,
                   P 481
                 Haviska ( Kuşanı prince ), p. 33
                 Heaven, p 66
                Helen, her ravishment same as that
                 of Sita, (wrong analogy,) p 386
               Heli t e. ( the sun) Hélios, (Gr)
              Heliooles, son of Eucratides (his
               reign 160, B C to 150 B C ) p 19
             Heliodora, p 327
             Heliokles, a Bactro-Indian prince, p
            Helios, (Greek name on coins) p 34
           Helios (Gr) t. e Heli (the sun) p 388
           Hellenes, p 390
          Hemaoandra, his Abhidhana-Cinta-
            mani, p 188, H gives art, art, and
            द्वार for 8kr द्वार, p 246
         Hemadri, minister of Mahadeva, a
           Yadava king of Devagiri, p 90, H
          (Dana-Khanda), p 252, H, his
          Vedāntakhanda, p 298
        Heraklio (Greek name on ooins),
       Hermaeus, name of a Greek prince on
        the obverse of coins of Kujula-
        Kadaphises (a Kusana prince)
       <sup>pp.</sup> 20, 33
     Hetu, (reason), p 197
     Heya, (what is to be shunned), p 287
    Hida, t e here in the insoriptions,
   Hidaraja, (t e Kings about here),
    p 12, H a proper name, thid ful
  Hillebrandt, Prof,
    Arthasastra attributed to school of
   Kautilya and not to Canakya by,
   p. 328
Himalaya, pp 10, 80, H, Bodhisatto
  lived on the slopes of, p. 295.
Hindi, paper on some dialects of, read
 by Grierson at Vienna Congress,
p 342, H., p 385,
```

Hindu Kush, paper on dialects in, read by Prof Kuhn at Vienna Con-Hındu law, p. 53 rcviewed Hindu States, p. 80. Hindus, pp. 9, 19, 63 Hippocura, Baleocuros reigning at Pp 515, 516, H., viceroyalty of Anhrabhrtyas at, p 517. H_Ippostratus, p 20 Hiranyabāhā same as Errannoboas, (another name of the Sona), p 5 Hiranyagarbha, body of God oalled, Hiranyakeśi Yajurvedins, p 225 Hiranyakeśın śākhā, p. 314 Hiranyakesin Suras, p 482. Hıtopadeśa, edited by Peterson, p. 525 Hitties, King of, treaty between, and PotorKing of Mitani pp, 94, 323, H. in an inscription found in Asia Minor, p. 323 Hiuen-tsang, Chinese pilgrim, pp 3, 52 fn1, 164, 276, 327, 521, Papini mentioned by H., p 163 Hoernle, Dr., of Caloutta present at Vienna Congress, p. 342, H read his paper in English at Vienna Congress, told, H. paper on an old Ms (written in Sarada character) of a work on Arithmetic found at Bakkhāla, North of Panjab, read by, at Vienna Congress, pp 343, 344 Holtzmann, A, p 405 Hopkins, Prof, p 406 Howard, Mr. E I, one of the earliest of Directors of Public Instructions, his views of Mahabharata, pp 425, Hota repeating a Sastra, pp 321, 485, Hrasva, p 502. Hrdroga; e Hydroohoos (Gr) name Hṛsīkeśa, p 285 Hultzsoh, Dr., p 351 Нира гаое, р 42 Hūņas or Huns, pp 41, 42, 178, 183 Huns or Hunas, pp 41, 178, 183, 521

Huska, Turuska or Indo-Soythian prince, pp. 165, 367.

Hwan Thsang, Chinese traveller, his Chronology, pp 85 & fn5, 103, 104, H, Country about Ortospan oalled Fo-li-shi-sa tang-na by, p 105, H oalls central province of Panjab as Pa-la-fa-to, p 106, H Chinese Pilgrim travelled in India com 629-645, p 366

Hydraotes or Ravi, crossed by Alexander, p 105

Hydrochéos (Gr.) Hrdroga, name of a Zodino sign, p. 388

Ibā rīver, p 255
Iohthy's (Gr): c Itthasi, name of a
Zodiac sign, p. 388

Idam, pp 149, 154

Idealism, position of, p 69, I of Fighte, German Philosopher, compared with system of Kapila, p 70, I., subjective, of Fichte, p 71

Ilbert Bill, p 354

Imperfect, its use, pp 108, 173, 174
India, pp 3, 4, 6, 18, 20, 28, 36, 43
63, 64, 81, 99, 166, 278, 282, I
Northern, pp 31, 167, 223, I
Southern, unknown to Aryas of
North in Pāṇini's time, p 162, I
followers of Black Yajurveda ir,
p 222

Indian Aryans, p 62, I astronomers, p 58

Indisobe Studien of Weber, author's paper appeared in, p 115

Indo-Bactrian dynasty, 177

Indo-Bactrian princes, pp. 177, 182

Indo-Parthians or Pahlavas, succeded Sakas and Ksatrapas, pp 31, 35 Indo-Parthian or Pahlava dynasty, p 33.

Indor in Bulandshahr district, inscription at, pp 41, 44

Indo-Soythian or Turuska princes, Kaniska, Huska and Suska, p 167, I called Sakas in Rūjatarangini, p 178 Indra, invocation to (on insoriptions), p 38, I., Asura epithet applied to, p 94, I, called Asura-han ibid I, invoked by King of Mitani, ibid, I, p 95,

Indragit, p. 386

Indus or Sindhu, p 20, I Baranas or Varanas, name of a place on right bank of, p 105

Inscriptions of some Abhīra prince at Nasik, p 414, I. of Gotamīputra Nos 25 and 26, p 231, I. of G, Usavadūta and private individuals ibid, I of G No 26, pp 231-32, its Sanskrit rendering, pp 234, 235, its English translation, pp 235-237, Notes on it, pp 237-239, No 26 A, pp 239-240, its Sanskrit rendering p 2/2, its English translation, pp. 242-243, Notes on it, pp 243-244, No 25, pp. 244-245, its Sanskrit rendering, pp 249-250, its translation. pp 250-251, Notes on it, pp 252-253, I of private individuals, Nos 6, 3, 27, 4, 15, 2, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 21, 22, 24, pp 265-275, I of Usavadāta, Nos 17, 19, 18, 16, 14, p 231, No 17, p 253, its English translation, pp 253-254, Notes on it, pp 254-256, No 19, p 253, its English translation, p 257, Notes on it, p 257, No 18, pp 252-253, its Sanskrit rendering, p. 260, its translation ibid Notes on it, p 261, No 16, p 261, its Sanskrit rendering, p 262, its English translation ibid, Notes on it, p 263, No 14, pp 263, 264, its translation p 264, Notes on it, ibid

Iran North, p 99

Iranians, Devas stigmatised by, p
97

Iśa Upanisad (3), Asuryāh m, p 97, I, 100 ful

Ist (Rsi), p 295

Isti, p 194

Istis (supplementary rules), p. 141 Istis (sacrifices), p. 230

Isvara (or God), pp 216, 284, his manifestations Para, Vyūha, Vib-

hava, Antary ümin and Arou, pp 284, 285.

Íśvaradatta, p. 29.

Iśvarakṛṣṇa, author of Kārikās on Sāmkhya Philosophy, pp 59, 60, 65, 327, 410.

Italians, p, 390.

Iti, p 227.

Itihasa, p 328.

Itihāsah (Br Up), p 419.

Itihāsas, made use of, by Antiquarians, p 102, Is, p 512

I-tsing, a Chinese pilgrim, pp. 3, 167, I, p. 37, his statement viz Mahābhāsya is a com on Kāśikā is absurd, p 157 f, his confusion of Vārtika Sūtras and Kāśikā, p 158 Itthasi i. e Iohthy's name of a Zodiac sign, p. 388.

Iwullee, inscription in a temple at, pp 85, 90 fn 1.

Jabbalpore, pp 17, 34, 37

Jackson Mr, A. M. T, I. C. S., p. 407, J., obituary notice of, his literary work, pp. 528, 529, his correct idea about the nature of Purāṇas, p. 528, his essay on method in the study of Indian Antiquities, p. 529, appreciation of his literary work, his modest and sobre nature, 1bid.

Jacobi, Prof, pp. 368, 405; J., Arthaśzstra production of Cznakya or
Vispugupta aco to, p 328, J. of
Kiel, present at Vienna Congress,
p. 341, J paper on Jainism
read by, at Vienna Congress, p 343,
J. Ludwig, Rost assembled at
Vienna, compared to Rsis assembled
at Janaka's Mithila in
author's Verses sung at Vienna
Congress, p 347

Jagaddhara, his com on Malati-Madhava, p 74 fn2, 238

Jagadīśa, Bhattācārya, author of Jāgadīśī com, p 317

Jāgadīšī com. of Jagadīša Bhaṭṭā-cārya, p. 317.

Jaimini, pp. 58, 91, 224 & fn1, 418, 419.

Jaina inscriptions, p. 47; J. objects of worship, p. 35.

Jainas, pp 25, 59, 293, 411

Jainism, p. 88, 292, 477

Jams, obronicles written by, p. 1.

Jaitra, his sons Krsna and Mahadeva. p 298

Jaitrapula, p. 298.

Jāmadagnas, p. 310

Jambudvīpa, p 13

Jūmbuvatījaya, Pānini spoken of as author of, in a verse of Rūjašekhara. this tradition, author of J. and Astādhyāyī are same, to be rejected, p 164.

Jāmitra i e. Diàmetron, p. 388

Jampagar State, p 24

Janaka, King of Mithila, pp. 346, 347.

Janamejaya, son of Pariksit, SarpaSatra instituted by, pp 79, 120,
423; his grant of land on
Copper-plates, p 79, J, Asvamedha 'Jagg' (Horse-sacrifico)
held by, p 80; J mentioned in
Aitareya Brāhmaņa, pp 81, 85,
J. mentioned in Jūānesvarī, p. 90,
J. referred to in grant, p 92

Janardana (god Kṛṣṇa) pp. 42, 44, 212.

Jane, p 176

Jane Grey, lady, p. 357

Jaratkāru, his son Ārtabhāga, p. 7.

Jasavantrao Gopālrao of Pātaņ, six Pāncarātra-Samhitās exist in the library of, p. 290.

Jasdan in Kathiawad, p 25.

Jațā, illustrated, pp 225, 226, J. defined, pp. 227, 228

Jataka, pp 37, 296, 297

Jataka stories in Pali literature, p 407.

Jatakas, Pali stories called, p. 401. Jaugad in Ganjam, Aścka Inscriptions at, pp. 10, 11.

Jayadaman (son of Castana, a Ksatrapa), pp. 24, 25, 28.

Jayadharasa (i e. Nikèphorou) middle word in coins, p. 20

552 INDEX I

Jayaditya, author of Kasika (or Vrtti-Sutra) acc. to I-tsing, p 158 Jayadratha, killed by Arjuna, ref to. ın Kādambarī, p. 86 Jayanta, pp 306-309. Jayapida, ref to. in Rajatarangini. pp 166, 167. Jayapur. p 204. Jews, p 378 Jinendra, p 301. Jituma : e. Didymos name of a Zodiac sign, p. 387 Jīva, five kinds of, nitya, mukta, kevala, mumukşu baddha, p 284, J (animal spirit), ibid; J p., 288 Jīvadāman, son of Damaghsada (Mahāksatrapa), p 25 Jñana, pp 66, 68, 69, 285, 288, 289 Jūznadeva, p 324. Jūznamārga, pp 293, 419 Jūznamrtavara-Sambita (Paūcaratra S), p 290 Jñānayoga (Upāya), pp 285,286 Jñanesvara, author of Jñanesvari, Marathi com. on Bhagavadgītā, pp 90, 324. Jñanesvari, work of Jñanesvara, Marathi com on Bhagavadgītā, pp 90, 324. Jūātrkas, Mahāvīra of the race of, p 292 John, pp. 175, 176, 179. Johnson, edited Rambler, p 120 Jolly. Prof. of Wurzburg present at Vienna Congress, p 341, J compared to Kahoda in authors Verses sung at Vienna Congress, p 347, J., a Tagore Law-Lecturer, p 408 Jowett, Prof., author introduced to, by Prof. S Owen, author's master, p 338 Jūka ı e Zygón (Gr.) name of a Zodiac sign, p 387 Julien M., Pa-la-fa-to, transcribed as Parvata by, p 106 Jumna (Yamuna), pp 10, 11, 18, 20, Junagadh, a fortress of, 12 Kathiawad pp. 23, 44, J. inscription at, dated 72, pp 24 25, 41, 514, 515

Junnar, inscription at, pp 30, 31.

Juşka, Turuska or Indo-Scythian prince, pp 165, 367. Jyotirvidābharaņa, p 376 Jyotis, pp 224 and fn 1, 226

Ka (Prampati), p 215
Kabul, valley, copper coins found in,
p 33, K remarkable for fine grapes
p 104, K. river, Suvastu or Swat,
branch of, p. 104.

Kacchhadi group, (Panini IV 2 133), p 104

Kādambarī, pp 150, 343, 369, K. of Bāņa, referring to Mbh and several characters in Mbh., p 86. K. edited by Dr Peter Peterson, pp 183, 525

Kadphises, p 49

Kahzum, inscription at, pp 41,

Kahlenburg hill near Vienna, p 345 Kahoda, Jolly compared to, in author's Verses sung at Vienna Congress, p 347

Kaimkarya (attendance), p 284 Kaivalya (Purusārtha) p 286 Kaiyata, his gloss on Mahābhāsya, pp 109&fn 1, 125, 128, 134, 138 fn1, 140, 187, 189, 198, 203, 204, 214, 215, 216 & fn 1, 291, 496, 500, 506,

Kākakharaparikas, trībes, p. 39 Kalacurī,family of tbis name reigned

in Cedi (country about Chattisgarh) p 414, K. dynasty, p 521.

Kalamba, a city p 307.

505, 506, 508 509,

Kalhana, chronicler of Kasmir, pp 165, 166, 167, 367, 368, 376

Kähdäsa, pp 20, 51, 52, 61, 165, 182, 238, 371, 374, 376; his Mālavikāgnimitra, Agnimitra son of Pusyamitra, its hero, p 17, K said to have composed Kārīkās in the name of Īśvarktsna, p 60, K. mentioned in Harsacarita of Bāna, p 85, K. mentioned in the Insoription at Iwullee, ibid, his Meghadūta p 86; his Mālavikāgnimitra

refers to Puspamitra, p. 111 fn3, K placed in 1st. cen. acc. to some traditions, p. 164.

Kaliyuga, White Yajurvoda, (Vajasaneya) alone in latter part of, acc. to Agni Purana, p. 222, K., Period of Revoda as early as begining of, (3101 B C.) p. 320, K., p. 347.

Kalki, king who will overthrow Miccohas, pp 222 & fn2, 301

Kalpa, p. 15

Kalpa Sutras, pp 228, 230, K, of Aśvalāyana, p. 226

Kalpataru, samo as Vodāntakalpataru, pp 298-300.

Kalpee, victory over General Windham by mutineers at, p. 467

Kāma, (or desire) Māra is spoken as, p. 6; K invoked in Av IX ? 17, 18, p. 95, K (Purusārtha), p 286

Kama, K. R., Institute, oreoted in his name by Sukhadwala, his friend, p. 330.

Kamandaka, mention of Kautilya's work by, p. 328.

Kūmarūpa, p. 39

Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana, oarliest notice of Kautilya's work in, p 328, K. of Vātsyāyana later than Kuntala Sātakarņi Sātavāhana p. 329.

Kamboja, p. 12

Kambojas, pp. 54, 134.

Kamsa, pp 174, 177, 209, 211, 212

Kamsavadha, p 209

Kaṇāda, his Sūtras, pp. 224 & fn1, 393. Kānehi, p. 38.

Kandalī, of Śrīdhara, p 299

Kanheri, inscriptions at, pp. 38, 515, 516

Kaniska, pp 34, 47, 118, 368, 413, & fn1, 520, K., the first prince of Kusana family, pp 29, 33, K., coins of, p 35, K. patron of Northern Buddhists, ibid, K later than 1st century, ibid, K., Aśvaghoṣa contemporary of, p 60., K. Indo-Scythic king, pp 116, 117, K. called Turuskas in Rājataranglņī, p 178, his date about 200 A. D., p 413,

Turuska or Indo-Scythian prince considered by some as founder of Saka era, pp. 165, 167, \$67, K as the immediate successor of Kadphises II (called Woma or Hima Kadphises) acc. to Smith, p. 519.

Kaniska dynrsty, p. 327.

Kanoj, (Kanauj) Yakovarman, sovereign of, conquered by Lalitāditya, p. 368; K, capital of Gūrjaras, p. 414

Kant, his philosophy, pp 74, 334.

Sanva recension of Brhadaranyako panisad, p 100.

Kunvas, pp. 119, 228, 515, K. Sukhu of, p 372.

Kūnvūyana dynasty, p. 513

Kūņvūyanas, following Sungas, p 17, Sūtavūhana dynasty succeeded Ks, acc to Purūņas, p 31, K, p 518.

Kupulikeśvara, terrific form of Śiva, p. 45

Kapila, pp. 65, 71, 289, 393, K. sons of Sagara burnt by, p 64, K. of Vispu (Gītā I. 3, II, 7) vbid, K. author of Sāmkhya system vbid, K., Sāmkhya Pravacana (collection of Sutras) asoribed to, his philosophy, p. 65 f, his system compared with Idealism of Fichte, pp. 69, 70, his idealism, p 73, K., metaphysics of Budhism derived from, pp. 72, 74.

Kāpiśayanī, name of wine derived from Kāpiśī (same as Kapisena) in Pāņini, IV 2.99, p 104.

Kapisene, kingdom of Afghanistan known as, by Greek and Roman geographers, p 103, K. acc. to Hwan Theang Kia-pi-she, ibid

Kāpiśī (same as Kapisene) in Pānini IV 2 99, p 104.

Karabacek, Pro, read a report en paleographical results etc at Vienna Congress, p 344

Karambha, p. 492

Karhad, ref to, in Mahabharata, p. 387.

Kārikās of Iśvarakṛspa on Sāmkhya Philosophy in Arya metre, pp 60, 65, 327, 410 Karkasvāmin, name of a Bhasyakāra on Sutras p. 55 Karla, oave temple at, p 38, K caves, inscriptions at, r 30, K inscriptions at, p 412 Karma (deeds), pp 7, 8, 287 Karmadbaraya, pp 502 Karmakāņda : e Vedic religion (effectual blow to, at the hands of Buddhits generally but of Aśoka particularly), p 16 Karmamarga, pp 293, 419 Karmayoga, (Upāya), p 285 Kārmikas, p. 37 Kārsāpana, pp 257, 258, 260, 273 Kartroura, p 39 Kāśī, Ajātaśatru, king of, (Kausīta kibrahmanopanisad), p 292 Kasia, image of Buddha at, p 46. Kasika Vrtti, pp 158, 182 fn1, 189, 192, 215. K., an old com on Papini's Sutras, p 351 Kāśmir, Mātrgupta, king of, p 50, K., pp 166, 167, K., paper on dialects of, and Hindn Kush, read by Prof. Kuhn, at Vienna Congress, p 343 Kasyapa, pupil of Buddha, author of Abhidhamms, p 76, K. same as Caspian, (wrongly traced), p 377 Kata, p 152 Katak, pp 10, 11. Katha, his Dharma & Grhya Sutras p 53. Katha school, Vināyaka Santı in Yajñavalkya Smrti agrees with sutras of, p 408 Katha Upanisad, VI 14. p 7, K pp 62 fn1, 150, 410 Kathavate Abaji Vishnu, the Sanskrit Scholar, friend of author, p 231 Kathiawad, pp 11, 22, 25, 27, 41, 48, 103, K. Girnar in Asoka Inscriptions at, p 10, K (or Surastra), p 23, K inscription in, p 39, K. coins and Inscriptions found in, p 514 Kathlawad Malwa, p 22, 28

Kātyāyana, pp 121 fn 1, 128, 129, 133, 136, 138-141, 142-147, 157, 160, 161, 173 176, 178, 209, 210, 211 fn 1, 230, 372, 374, 393, 509, K's Vartika, pp 83, 108, K's rule on Lat, p 109 fn 1, K. eastern grammarian acc to Drs Weber and Goldstücker, p 123, K a Daksınatya (Sontherner,) pp 124; 134, his work is edition of Panini with notes, explanatory, critical and supplementary, p 147, K explains, supports, amends. finds fault with and supplements Sutras of Panini, ibid, K., names of places in Southern India inserted by, in his emendation of Sutrag, p 161 f, K's Sarvannkrama, p 304 Kauravas, pp 418, 424. Kaurpya 1 e Scorpios (Gr) name of a Ziodiac sign, p 388 Kausikas, kinsmen of Visvamitra, р 422 Kausītakc, p 418 Kausitaki Brahmana, p. 482 Kausītakibrāhmanopanisad, p. 292. Kautilya, mention of his work first ın Kamaśastra of Vatsyayana, then by Kamandaka, Dandin, and Bana in their works, p 328 genuineness of K.'s Arthasastra ,ibid, K., p. 329 Kāvyaprakāśa, quotations from, in Sarvadaršanasamgraha p 91. K., p 318

Kelts, p 390

Kendra : e Kéntron (Gr.), p. 388 Kéntron (Gr.) : e Kendra, p. 388 Kerala, p. 39

Keralaputa or Ketalaputa, p 11.
Kern, Dr., on Yavanas, p 116 K. on
Mādhyamika, in his preface to Brhat-Samhitā ediled by, p 119, K.,
p 131, his edition of Varāhamihira, p 177 in 2, K of Leyden not
present at Vienna Congress, p 342
Kešava, pp 85, 328

Keśavasvāmin name of Bhūsyakūra on Sūtras, p 55 Ketalaputa, or Keralaputa, p 11

Kevala, p 286 Kevala Jīva, p 284

Kevalis (; c perfoct individuals aco Khagarata, p 278, K. race exterminated by Gautamīputra, pp. 280, 291 Khakharata, race of, no remnant left of, by Gotamīputra Šātakarpı, p. 30, K., same as Ksaharāta Nahapāna, Khalsi, near the sources of the Jumnii, Asoka Inscriptions at, pp 10, 11. Khandahar, coins of Gondophares found at, p 32, Copper Coins found at K., p. 33. Khandakhadya work of Brahmagupta, com of Amaraja on it, P 374 Khāṇḍava forest, p 98 Khara, p 25 Kharamostas, (name of Ksatrapa), p 22, K.'s coins, p. 23 Northern Kharostha, p 27. Kharosthi, pp. 22, 32, K. Character of Asoka Inscriptions at Shahbazgarhi and Mansesahra, p 11 of some coins, pp 18 19, K, one legend in, on the reverse of coins, ^p 21, K, _{pp} 23, 27, Kharosthī legend, pp 28, 34 Khshathrapa (Persian for Ksatrapa) ordinarily Satrap, p 22 Kia-pi-sho t e Hwan Thsang, p 104. Kapisene acc Kloakas, officers of King Virata, ref to, in Vasavadatta p. 87 Kielhorn, Dr, pp 150, 151, 161 fo I, 188, 189, 207, 215, 216 fn 1, 317, 340, 350, 351, 402, 496, 524, 525, his edition of Mahabhasya, Vol II, Pp. 108 fn1, 136, 182 fn1, 205, an article of, in Vienna Oriental Journal, pp 148, 149, ref to his note by Dr Grierson, p. 214, K. of Göttingen attended Vienna Congress, p. 341, K compared to Sakala in author's Verses Sung at Vienna Congress, p 347. Kimdama Muni, death of Pandu, caused by the ourse of, p 86 Kıranavali of Udayana, p 299.

Kirātārjunīya of Bhāravi based on Mbh Story, p 89, K. quoted in Paddhatı of Surngadhara, p. 92 Kolhapur, inscriptions found at, p. 30, K, coins found at, pp. 516, 517. Komaro, (Greck name on coins) p. 34. Kona, t c Kronos, (Gr.) p 388. Konga-Dośa-Carita, a Tamil Chronicle in the Mackenzie Collection Samkara referred to in, p. 88. Kongani Mahadhiraja tenth King after Tirii Vikrama I and third before Tiru Vikrama II, p 88 Kosala, p 39 Kotab, inscription from, p 186 fn1. Krama, illustrated, pp 225, 226, K. defined, p. 227, K, p. 228. Kriós (Gr); e Kriya Zodiao sign, p 387 name of a Kriya: e Kriós (Gr) name of a Kronos (Gr), ; e. Kona, p 388 Kṛṣṇā, Dharanikot situated on, in Guntur dst, p. 277, K, sacrifices on the banks of river, p 481. Kṛṣṇa (Vāsudeva) pp 88, 90, 210-212, 288, 289, 291, 293, 301, 411, K. restoring Pariksit to life, ref to, in Kadambarī, p 86, K. worshipped as God in Patanjali's time, p 212, K, incarnation of, p 285, K, celebration of the birth of God, p. 322, K Brahmanic hero-god admitted by Jainas very Jacobi, p 343, K. mentioned by Amara among the synonyms of Visnu, P 406, his early life spent in Gokula, p 424. Krsna, earliest prince of Satavahana dynasty, p 30, K of Andhrabhrtya dynasty, p. 514, Kṛṣṇa Dvaipāyana ref. to, in Veņī-Kṛṣṇa Rāya, a king of Vijayānagara Kṛṣṇarāja, pp 278, 279, 281, K. of of his accession, 2 A D, p 280; Mahadeva, his brother both, sons date of Jaitra, p 298.

Ksaharāta Nahapāna oalled Ksatrap or Satrap, p. 278.

Ksapika (momentary), p 8

Ksapanaka, p 374.

Ksatrap (Satrap) same as Ksaharāta Nahapāna, p 278

Ksatrapa or Mahāksatrapa (title) pp
21, 24, 514; K. an inferior title to
Mahāksatrapa (a superior title), p
24, a list of K. princes occurring
on the coins and in inscriptions, p
25 f., K., p 30

Ksatrapa family, fortunes of, p 28

Ksatrapas, pp 23, 27, 28, 41, 519, Ks belonging to Saka race, p 22 Ks (Northern) as Viceroys of the Saka kings in the beginning, ibid, K.s of Kāthiawād, Mālwā, p 23, K.s northern and southern, as independent hords of Sakas, acc to Smith, p 519

Ksatrlya girls, p 369

Ksatrıyas, pp 53, 292, 399, Ks as original possessors of knowledge, and Brahmans as learners, p 292

Kşaudrakyas (pl Ksudrakas), p 106

Ksemendra, Aucityālamkāra of, p 186 fn 1

Ksetrajna, p 410

Ksudrakas (1 e Oxydrakæ) tribe of Punjab, p 106

Kubera, p 328

Kühn Prof, of Munich present at Vienna Congress, p 341, K., paper on dialacts of Kasmir and Hindu-Kush read by, at Vienna Congress, p 343

Kujala-kapsa or kasa name on the reverse of coins of Kujala-kadph ses (a Kusana prince), p 33

Kujula-Kadphises (Kusana prince), p. 33

Kukura, called Kiuchelo by Huien Thsang, identified with Gurjjara by Gen Cunnimgham, p 237f

Kulaipa, son of Suvisākha (minister of Rudradāman), p 24

Kulīra (दुन्तेर) one of the names of of Kanoj co twelve Zodiac signs its Greek Lankā, p 239

equivalent not given by the author through oversight, p 387

Kumāragupta, pp 46, 47, 49, 206, K, successor of Candragupta II, p 41 K. (performed horss-sacrifice), p 43

Kumārila, (or chhatţa) a grsat Mīmāmsaka writer of Vārtika on Bhāsya of Śabarasvāmin, pp 58, 293, K., Aśvalāyana-Grhya-Kārike, attributed to, p 306, his Vārtikas, p 319, K., Bhavabhūti pupil of, p 374

Kunda, construction of, p 230

Kunjara-Ghata, pp 219, 220,

Kuntala, p. 165, K. Śatakarni Śatavahana, Vatsyayana later than, his date 1st, B. C. p. 329

Kurus, pp 291, 405, story of K.s. p 82, K.s. referred to, by Patanjali, pp 84, 404.

Kuruksetra mentioned in Meghaduta, p 86

Kurupañcālas (1 e oountry about Thanesar, Mathura, Dslhi and Agra), p 346

Kusana, name of a family, p 29, K dynasty after Indo-Parthian or Pahlava dynasty, p 33

Ktsana-Gupta period, Smrtis referred to, p 53

Kusana dates, p 60, K. dynasty, p 520, K. princes, p 36, K. successors of Wema-Kadphises, p 49

Kusanas, pp 29, 34, 35, 39, 40, 49, 413 519.

Kushana prince III, p 413

Kusulaka (surname borne by Liaka and Patika), p 22

Kusumpura or Pataliputra, p 178 Kuvalayananda, p 318

Laksmaņa, p 406 Laksmī, p 412

Laksmī Samhitā (Pāncarātra S) p. 290

Lalitadit, a, Yaśovarman, Sovereign of Kanoj conquered by, p 368 Lanka, p 239 Lassen, Prof., pp. 19 fnl, 20 fnl, L, Menandros became king about 144 B. C. acc to, p. 108, his wrong view about Puspamitra, 111 fn3, L on date of Menandros, p. 113 and ful, L. on date of Patanjah, p 113 fn4, his chronology, p. 114; L., Indo-Baotrian dynasty became extinct in BC. 85, acc. to, p 177. Lat (present tense), pp. 108, 115, 130 Lata, name of Gujrat given to the province of, p. 414

Law-books, metrical, or Smrtis, p 53 Lekhakus (professional writers), p. 37 Leland, Mr., an American gentleman present at Vienna Congress, languages of Gipsies as his special study p. 342, L, paper on Gipsy language read by, at Vienna Congress, p. 344 Léon (Gr.) t. c Leya, name of a Zodiac sign, p. 387.

Leumann, Prof, Ernest of Strassburg, present at Vienna Congress, p 341, his appreciation of Dr Peterson's work in Jain literature, p 525, his remarks on the work of Dr Bühler, p 526.

Lewis, Sir George Cornwall, p. 481. Leya : e Léon (Gr) name of a Zodiac sign, p. 387

Liaka, p 22

Lignana, an Italian scholar, present at Vienna Congress, p 342

Lila, p 284

Līlāvatī, p 315

Linganusasana, p 221

Linga Purana, creation referred to, in, pp. 56, 407

Lion Pillar at Mathura, p 23

Lokasāstr, temple of, p. 46

Lokayata, p 328

London, p 332

Louvre, pp. 339, 340

Ludwig of Prague, present at Vienna Congress, p. 341, L Rost, Jacobi assembled at Vienna compared Rsis assembled at Janaka's to Mithila in author's Verses sung at -Vienna Congress, p 347 , L. Rgveda translated by, from Roth's

point of view to a cortain extent, Lumbini grove, visited by Asoka,

Sakyamuni born in, p. 13 Luthuanians, p. 390.

Lysins, p 19.

Maedonell, Dr., of Oxford, present at Vienna Congress, p. 342

Macedonia, p. 12.

Macedonian (yoke), p 5

Mackonzic Collection, Samkara mentioned in Konga-Deśa-Carita, a Tamil Chroniele in the, p. 88.

Mackichan, Dr., Vice-Chancellor of Bombay University, p. 427.

Madhariputra Sakasona, p 30: M., later than Pulumayi, p 514, M., p. 517

Madhava, pp 65, 76; M., author of Sarvadaréana Saingraha, p. 64. M., author of Samkara-Vijaya, p. 92 & fn2; his com on Parasara, p. 303.

Madhva, p. 409.

Mādhva, p. 293.

Madhyamas, p. 134.

Vadbyamikā, pp. 18, 182, 184

Madhyamika school, p 58, M., Budhistic works of, p 409.

Madbyamikas, pp 73, 74, 76, & fn1, 108, 116, 117, M.s, name misunnderstood by Weber and Goldstücker. p. 119.

Madhyandina Recension of Brhadaranyakopanisad, p. 100, M. Sakha, pp. 223, 372.

Madhyandinas, pp. 228, 372.

Madrakas, tribes, p 39

Madras, Sakala, capital of, p. 106.

Madras Presidency, p. 277.

Magadha, pp. 42, 56, 518, M, Pāţaliputra capital of, p. 111

Magadha Church, p 14, M. Samgha, a tablet inscription addressed to, p. 11.

Magas of Cyrene, (Maka), died 258 B. C, p. 12 f.

Māgha, his Śiśupālavadha, based on Mbh. story, p. 89.

Mahabharata, pp 48, 57, 63, & fn 3, 64, 105, 224 & fn1, 291, 292, 348, 365, 368, 359, 372, 395, 398, 400, 403, 405, 406, 410, 419, 420, M (Anusasana) p 54, M, Śāntı and Anuśāsanika, books of, p 9, M Santi Parva, pp 69 fn2, 287, M, date of, pp 79-93, 119, M., serpent sacrifice held by Janamejaya described in, p 79, oldness of this opic, acc to Hindn belief p 80, M. written after 1521 A D acc to grant, p 80, specific proofs re its antiquity, p 81, M, name of, occurs in Asvalayana Grhya Sutra III 44., p 82, M, ref to, in Banas Kadambarī, p 86, M, story of, complete in Banas time, p 87, M, many allusions to, in Suhandhu's Vāsavadattā, ıbıd, M misquoted in Mrcchakatika, p 88, M, story of, base of Venīsamhāra, p 89, M, praised in Jaanesvari, p 90, M quoted often in Danakhanda of Hemadri's work, ibid. M. ref to, in Sarvadarsanasamgraha, p 91, M, quoted in com on Parasara by Sayana, ibid, M., quoted in Paddhati of Sarngadhara, p 92, M, quoted in works of Panini, etc of 5th cen B C down to Sarngadhara of 14th ibid, its antiquity A D established, ibid, M., mention of Sakala as capital of Madras in, p 162, M., names of Karad & Supara, Maratha towns, occur in, p 387, M., mentioned by Asvalayana and Pāņini, existed in Patanjali's time, p 404, M., Vyasa anothor of, p 419, M., language, period, grammar, contents, etc of, pp 422-426, M, mention of, by Papini and by Aśvalayana, p 423, M represents speech of Indian Aryans (period later than Panini & Yaska), ibid, M Prospectus prepared by Mr Utgikar, p 424, M. oode of morals embodied in, p 477

Mahabhusya, a com on Punini by Patanjali, pp 61, 81, 108, 113, 114, 124, 126, 133-138, 140, 141 & fn2, 148, 149, 151, 154, 157, 158, 160
164-167, 168 fn2, 171, 173, 181, 183185, 205, 207, 212, 291, 296, 297, 316,
317, 328, 387, 403, 496-498, M refers
to Pusyamitra, p 17, M, Asura in,
p 95, M, study of, revived hy Candrācārya in 3rd cen., p 167, M.,
cailed an Arsagrantha hy Bhartrhari, p 184; M., no mention of
characters of Rāmāyaņa in, p.
406

Mahabhojas, p 37.

Mahādeva, a Yādava king of Devagiri, p 90, M, brother of Rājā Kṛṣṇa, both, sons of Jaitra, p 298.

Māhākala, temple of (Siva) in Ujjaylnī, mentioned in Bāņa's Kādambarī, pp 86, 369

Mahākāntāra, p 39

Mahāksatrapa, a superior title to Kṣatrapa (an inferior one), pp. 21, 22, 24, 515.

Mabāksatrapa dynasty, exterminated by Guptas in 310 or 311 Šaka, p. 29 Mahāksatrapas, pp 27-29.

Mahamatras or Governors, p 14,

Mahānāman, Buddha image set np by, p 46

Mahārāja or Great King, Vonones represented as, p 28, M, title of Gupta and his son Ghatotkaca, p 39

Mahārājabhrātā, (King's hrother) Spalīrises was called as, p 28.

Mabārājādhirāja, title of Candragupta I, p 39.

Mahārāsṭra, pp 30, 36, 521, M, cave temples in, p 37, M, Traīkūtakas dynasty ruled in, & Gujrat, p 414.

Maharathis, pp 37, 38.

Mahūrājasa Apadihatasa Philasinasa, p 20

Mahūrūjasa Dharmikasa Heliyakreyasa (i e Mahūrūjasya Dhūrmikasya Heliyakreyasya), legend on the reverse of the coins, p. 19.

Mahārājasa Dharmikasa Jayadharasa Arkhebiyasa, p 20

Mahūrājasa Jayadharasa Antialkiasa, p 20 Maharajasa rajadhirajasa Sarialogaisrarasa Mahisrarasa Hima Kathpisasa tradata, Kharosthi legend on colas, p. 34

Maharajasa rajarajasa Detafrafasa Gudapharasa (12 Kharosthi) on somo coins of Gondophares, p. 32

Mahārājasa Tradarasa Menadidrasa, p. 20

Mahusens, worship of, p. 45

Mahaseno (Greek name on coins), p 34,

Mahat (or Buddhi), pp 69, 71, 410.

Mahata (high-sounding epithet on

Mahata (high-sounding epitude on coins), p. 32

Mahatara (1 e. Greek Megalou), p 21.

Mahatmyas, p 56

Mahat-tattva (i. e Buddhi), pp. 67, 69.
Mahavira of the race of Jactplas
(founder of atheistic system), p.
292.

Mahtykna, later form of Buddhism, p 47, M school of Buddhism, p. 317, M, clue to Samilaticate, a's theory of world illusion be found in Nihilism of Buddhist, p. 325; M Buddhists, p. 324; M. Buddhism, p 413.

Mahayaniam, rise of, p. 45 f., M, p. 47.

Mahendra, king of Kosala, p. 39, M, title of Kumaragupta, p 43

Maheśvara (Siva), temple of, p 45, M., p 48

Mahesvaras (Kusana kings called M.), devotees of Siva, p. 34

M.), devotees of Siva, p. 34
Maheśvarabhatta, author Maheśvara-

bhattī (for Hiraņyakeśina), p. 225 Maheśvarabhattī of Mahośvarabhatta, used by Hiraņyakeśl Yajurvedins, p. 225

Mahīdāsa, com. of Prātišākhya of White Yajurveda, p 315

Mähismatī, on Narmadā, Maņdana Miśra lived 1n, p 92, M., p. 210, M., capital of Anupa on Narmadā p. 238.

Mahmud of Ghazni, p. 374.

·Mahomedans, ruling over India, p. 80.

Mahuli, in Satura dist. Atharraxedias found in. p. 228

Maine, Sir Sumner quoted, p. 391 Maittävaruna, pp. 489, 480, 482

Matterganiya Upanisad, p. 62 fu 1: M. Sikhi of Black Vajurveda in Gulfrat, pp. 222, 372.

Maltroyl, p 399.

Maka, a ling (Magas of Cyrene) died 258 B. C. p. 12 f

Mülnii-Müdhava, com. on, quoted, p 74 fn 2; M. Act VI, p 238.

Malara ora, p 42.

Malavas, tribos, pp 39, 106, 326; Ms, 1, c. Malil, p 106, Mis, as a Gana or a political unit, Malavasana indentified with name of Vikramūditya, as Saka era vith that of Salivāhana or Sātavāhana, p. 326

Mülavik ignimitra, drama of Külidasa, its hero Agnimitra, son of Posyamitra, p. 17, M, pp. 20, 182; M, ref to Posyamitra in, p 111 fn 3, Mülavyas (pl Mülavas), p 106,

Malayavati, queen of Kuntala Sütakarni Sütavühana, p. 329

Mahi, tribo met with by Alexander, p 106.

Mallinutha, p. 51.

Malva, pp 413.

Mulvaui dialect, p. 384

Malwa, pp. 17, 22, 23, 29, 31, 38, 40, 41, 48, 49, 52, 56, M, coins d inscriptions found in, pp. 33, 514

Mülwü Mahüksatrapa, p. 27.

Manas, (intelligence) organ of sonse and action, pp 67, 68, 71, 77, 288

Manasasagara, son of Pranathaka and grandson of Khara, a Sattra constructed by, p. 25

Mandalas, I & X of Rgveda, p. 312, M s, p 418

Mandanakausika, p 302.

Mandana Miśra, a great Mimāmsaka lived in Māhismatī, p. 92, his Matha, few miles from Hastināpura pp. 92, 293.

Mandasor, inscription at, pp 41, 42, 44, 325.

Māṇdukī Sākhā (fifth) not traced, pp 308, 315.

Manes, p 21

Mangalīśa, cave temple dedicated to Vīsnu by, p 45.

Mānkuwār, inscription at, pp 41, 46 Manoramā, p 316

Mansesabra (Manshera), p 11.

Manshera in Panjab, Asoka Inscriptions at, p 10

Mantra-Jāgara explained, p 229 Mantras, pp 290, 369, 398, 489

Mantraraja, King of Kerala, p 39.

Manu, pp 48, 355, 423

Manusamhut, p 398

Mann Smrti, pp 53, 54, 57, 303.

Manvantaras, p 55

Mara, (the Buddhistic Prince of Darkness): c Kama or Desire, p. 6

Maratha Country, pp 22,63, Study of Veda in M., p 223

Marathi, p 385.

Marga, p 74.

Markandeys Purana, pp 62 fn3, 407, M., creation referred to, in, p. 56, M., ref. to Mauryas (as demons) in, p 172

Mathia, p 11

Mathur I, pp 3, 18, 21-23, 35 , 43, 47, 49, 56, 128, 131, 132, 174, 518 520, M., Lion Pillar found at, p 23, M., inscription found at, pp 35, 40, M., image of Buddha at, p 46; M., con quered by Yavanas acc. to GIrgi Samhit I, p 178

Matila, a king roling in Āryāvarte, p 39

Matroeta, temple of the sun erected by, p 42

Matrgupta King of Kasmir, pp 50, 51 Matr-Visnu, a Brahman Maharaja of the name of, pp 42, 44.

Matsya Portira, pp 62 fo3, 63 fo3 69, 113 fo3, M, ref to Gonarda native place of Pataujali in, p 122, M, ref to विश्वति in, p 252

Maurya, i c guild or caste of idolmakers, acc to Nigonbhatta, p 168. Maurya name of a dynasty, pp 5, 167, 183, M. dynasty founded by Candragupta about 320-22 B. C., pp 173, 512, M family extinct 35 years before Patanjah, p 150.

Mauryas pp 4, 17, 111, 113, 119, 125, 126, 151, 153-156 168-172, 184, 185, 191-196, 198, 200-206, 317; alluded to. b⊽ Patañ-M s. jali, p 5. M s, idols were sold by, p 155 Ms, images such as Sivah, Skandah Višākbah were sold by, 170, their greed for gold, referred to, in Mahabhasya, p \$28. Max Müller, pp 51, 60, 76, 157, 158, 160, 304, M, Chips of, p. 74; his Note on Renaissance, p. 157 fn. 3. M. visited by the author, 336f, M^{-} author's cussion with, about the central

death, p. 342.

MEYE (illusion), pp 78, 77, 78, 294, M (magical power), p. 98; M. (Prakṛti), p 291, M. doctrine not supported by Sutras, p 409.

ides of Samkaracarya's philosophy,

p 338, M., not present at Vienna

Congress because of his daughter's

Maya-Asura, located in Khāṇḍava forest, p 98, M., audience hall constructed by, for Fāṇḍavas, ibid, M., saved by Arjuna, ibid, M (Assyrian or Asura) proficient in architecture, ibid.

Mayasamhītā containing instructions for the accomplishment of wonderful feats, p 417 f.

Mazdaonho-Mazlohano (Greek name on cons), p 34

Mazlohano-Mazdaonho (Greek name on Coins), p 34

McAuliffe, a Punjab Civilian, present at Vienna Congress, p 342

Mc, pp 70, 71, 73

Medhātīthi, p 408

Meerut, p 11.

Megasthenes, an ambassador sent by Selukos to the Court of Sandracotta (: c Candagutta or Candragupta) acc to Greek writers, pp 3, 5, 326. Meghadata of Kalidaen, p. 51, M, ref to Kuruksetra in, p. 86

Menander, pp 20, 177, 178, M. (or Milinda), p 178. M., of Sükula. same as Milinda, the Greek King. p 8, M., aco to Goldstücker to in Arunad Yavanah Süketam, p 18; M, seme as Milinda of Pali work Milinda-Paühe, ili. M. or Milinda reigning at Sügala. p. 162; M. Indo-Bacteian prince, p 177, M. is Yavana (in Arunad Yavanah Süketam) spoken by Patanjali, p 178.

Menandres (Yavana King), pp. 113, and in 4,114, M. became King about 144 B C acc. to Prof Lasten, p. 108, M. King of Bactria, same as the Yavana in Pāṇini acc to Prof. Goldstücker, wid. M. a Bactro-Greek monarch identified with Milinda reignig at Śākala in Punjab called Yona King in Pah, p. 388

Mentha or Bhartrmentha, a poet, pp 50, 51

Mesapetamia, North, pp 99, 100.

Mihira = Miiro (Greek name on Coins), p. 34.

Mihirakula, son of Toramuna (of Huna race), p 42, a Hun Sovereign, p. 521.

Milro = Mibira (Greek name on coins)
p 34.

Milinda, Indianised form of Menander, pp. 18, 20, 178, 413, dialogue between M. and Nāgasena, a Buddhist saint, pp 8, 412, 413, M., a Yona King, p. 178, M reigning at Sākala (Bāgala) in Punjab identified with Bactro-Greek Monarch Menandros called Yona King in Pali, pp 162, 388.

Milindapañho, a Pali Work, pp. 18, 20, 178, M. refers to Sagala (Sākala), p 162

Milloue, a French Scholar present at Vienna Congress, p. 342

Mimbihati, p. 58, M., Sabara's Bhits, a on, p. 65

Mimāihsābhāsya of Sabaranvāmin, p \$8

Mimanian School, p. 318,

Mimadisakas, p. 293

Mitani, King of, treaty between, and King of Hittites (in an inscription found in Asia Minor), pp 94, 97, 323

Mithill, p. 318, M. Hindi spoken in, p. 312, M. Janaka King of, p. 316; M. Capital of Videhas, p. 317

Mitra, Rajendralal, translation of Vorse in Inscription containing the word Ghath, p 219, his raply to Note I by nuthor, p 220, M, p 221. Mitrhvaruna involved by King of Mitani, p. 91, M, p 491

Miyika, p 23

Miccohas, pp 95-97, 376, Ms, same as Yavanas, p 388

Modi, Jivanji Jamshetji, Dr. p. 330 Moga (: c. Sala Emperor Maues) pp. 23, 32

Moksa i c original absolute of Soul, pp 69, 72, 74, 77, M (Purusurtha), p 286, M, (deliverance), p 291.

Moksadharmaparvan, (Süntiparvan of Mbu.) Nürüyapiya soction in, p 287

Mora, inscriptions at, in Rajputana, p 23

Mount Conis tunnel, p 353,

Mrochakatika, Mbh quoted in, p. 87. Mrdangu, p 328

Muir, Dr, of Edinburgh, pp. 350, 387 Mukta, p. 286

Mukta Jīva, p. 284

Muktavali, work of Visvanatha Pahounana, p 503.

Mulwasar in Okhamandala, an insoription at, p 25

Mumukau Jiva, p. 284.

Munis, throo viz. Pāņini, Kātyāyana, and Patafijali, pp. 134, 141.

Munich, p 340.

Munia, uncle of Bhoja of Dhara ref. to, in Sarasvatikanthabharana, p. 87, M., Dhanika patronised by, p. 89, M., p. 301. Murunda tribes, p 40 Mutibas, name Dasyus applied to, p 95 fm1

Mysore, p 11, M. dst, p 85

Nabhapanti, p 12 Nābhāta, p 12. Nagadatta, p 39

Nāgarī, (anoient): e Brāhmī charac-

ter, p. 19

Nagarjuna, contemporary of Kaniska. pp 47, 117; N., founder of Buddhist School named Madhyamikas, p 116

Nagarjuni hills near Gaya, p 16

Nagasena, Buddhist saint, dialogue between him and the Greek Kirg Milinda, pp. 8, 413. N, pp. 18, 9, 178

Nagojibhatta, pp 126, 128, 133, 136, 138, 139 fn1, 171, 172, 176, 177, 187 190, 192-199, 202-205, 216 fn4, 317, N Maurya means guild or caste of idol-makers acc to, p 168, N., Tatrabhavatah (=Īśvara or God) acc to, p 216, N., author of Sabendusekhara and Paribhasendusekhara, p 316

Nahapāna, pp 38, 278, 279, 281, 513, 516-518, N., ruler of Maharastra, p 30; N, named as Ksaharāta (another form of Khakharata), ibid, & 515; his son-in law, Usavadata, p. 31; N., both a K. & Mk., ibid, N., founder of Saka era, in 78 A. D., p 280

Naidhravi-Nārāyaņa, his Vrtti on Aśvalāyana Grhya, III 59, p. 307. N_m pp 309, 310

Kaigamas, (merchants), p 37.

Nakula, referred to, by Patanjali, p 83

Nalodaya, tradition that N ard Sakuntala belong to the same author, be rejected, p 164

Namadeva, p 324.

Nanaghat, mutilated inscription at, PP 38, 39, 516

Nandas, their family uprooted by Canakya or Visnugupta, pp. 5, 328, 330

Nandarāja, p 329

Kandin, emblem of, on the reverse of coins, of Kusana princes, pp 34, 48

Nārada, p. 290

Nāradspāncarātra, p 290 .

Nārada Smrti, p 303

Nārāšamsīs, p 82.

Narasimha, p. 288

Narasimmiyengar, Mr., quoted, p 120, Naravana, pp 285, 293, 309-311, 313, 315; N., Vasudeva of Bhagavata School and of Bhagavadgītā afterwards identified with, pp. 411 412

Nārāyaņa, Commentator of Āśvalayana Srauta Sutras, pp 306, 307, 489.

Nārāyaņa Bhatta, his Veņīsamhāra based on Mbh Story, p 88

Nārāyanabhatta, his work Nārāyaņabhattī, p 225

Narayanabhattı of Narayanabhatta, for Rgvedins, p 225

Nārāyaņīya section of Moksadharmaparvan (Santiparvan of Mbh) р 237, N., older than Ramanuja and Samkarācārya, p 288; N., pp 291, 293, 405.

Narmadā, Māhismatī on, p 92; N., Anupa on, p 238, N., p 521.

Nāsadāsīnno sadāsīt, (Rgv. X. 129),, p. 6

Nāsatyas, invoked by king of Mitani, p 94.

Nasik, pp 281, 528, N., cave temples at, p 38, N., cave inscriptions at, pp 30, 38, 84, 514, 516, 517.

Nāsika, as capital of Satavahana race, p 278

Native Opinion, p 131.

Navagva and Daśagva occurring in Rgveda, remarks on these made by Italian scholar at Vienna Congress, p 344.

Navāhnikī, p 316

Navanara, capital of Pudumayı, p 243.

Nava-ratuani (nine gems) at the court of Vikram iditys, p 375 Navya Nyllya, composed by Gauges opidhyaya of Bengal, p 317. Nepāla, p. 39, N Terai, pp. 11, 13 Newton, Justice, pp. 280, 281. Nibandha defined, p. 253, Ks or commentaries, pp 108, 409 Nic (Aya) termination, p. 209 Nicias, a prince, p. 20 Nighantu, pp 224 and fn1, 226, 396 Niglica, p 11; N., Nepal Inscription found at, p. 13 Nigrahanam, p. 152 Nibilism, pp 73, 71; N. of Buddhist Mahayana school, a clue to theory of Sanikarācārya's world-Illusion be found in, p. 325. Nilakantha, p. 408 Nimbārka, p. 409 Nipāta, p. 496, N., under Pānini 1 4 56, p. 497. Nirodha, p 74. Nirukto, pp 161, 224 & fo1, 226 Nirvana of Buddha, pp 73, 76, 163, 164 Nirvartaka, p 153 Nitya Jīva, p 284. Nivartana defined, p. 252. Nivusa, p 151. Niyama, p 285

Niyantr (ruler), p 287.

Niyoga, p 418

Noble, Colonel, Commissioner of
Sahet Mahet in Oudh, in the Steamer Siam by which the author returned from Vienna to India, pp

359, 360 Non-ego, p. 70

North-Western provinces, pp. 40, 41 Nyaya, Vatsyayana's Bhusya on, p. 65, N. Philosophy, p. 329, N. Sastra, p. 316, N. school, p. 317, N. system, pp. 324, 410, N. of Dialectics p. 411

Nyayabhasya, Vatsyayana, author of, pp 317, 328.

Nyāyabinduṭīkā, a Budhistic work edited by Dr Peter Peterson, p 526

Nyagasara of Bhagarvajaa, p. 299.

Objects of the senses, five, p. 67. Odnun, p. 152.

Okhāmandala, p. 25

Oldenberg, Prof., pp. 305, 309, 517, O. Prof. of Vienna not present at Vienna Congress, p. 312, his method of interpreting Reveda, p. 398

Organs of action, five, p. 67.

Orthagnes (Indo-Parthian ling), p 31

Ortospan, same as modern Kabul, dervied from Urddhasthana aco to Prof Wilson, p 105

Oudh, pp 123, 133

Owen, Mr., Sydney, sometime Professor of History and Political Recurrency in the Elphinstone College, author's master, author well received by him in London, p. 336; O, p. 338

Oxford, p. 332

Oxydrako nearer to Ksudrakas than to Sudrakas, p 106, O. tribe met with by Alexander, identified with Surdrakas of Puranas by Prof. Wilson, ibid.

Ozene or Ujjayinī, p. 24

Pada illustrated, pp. 225, 226, P. definod, pp 227, 228.

Paddhati (or anthology) of Sürnga-dhara, p 91, P, Venīsamhāra Kirātūrjunīya, Šišupūlavadha, Bhaga-vadgītā and other parts of Mbh., quoted in, p. 92, P., edited by Dr. Peter Peterson, p. 525.

Paddhati (manual), p 307

Paderia in Nepal Terai, pp. 11, 13
Padma-Samhita (Panearatra-S), p.
290.

Pagest, Sir Augustus, British Ambassador at Vienna, p. 345

Pahlava, p 24, P or Indo-Parthian dynasty, p 33, P race, p. 293, P. tribes, p. 183.

5

Pahl(lh)avas, pp 48, 53, 54, 514, P.,s beaten by Gotamīputra Sātakarni, p 30, Ps., Persians or Parthians known as, p 178

Pahlavi, p 11

Paila, p 418.

Paithans, pp 389, 518, P capital of the Deccan, p 30, P, Siri Polemecs or Pulumayi ruling at, acc to Ptolemy, pp 31, 515, P, viceroyalty of Andhrabhrtyas at, p 517

Pakores (Indo-Parthian king), p 31. Paksilasvāmin or Vātsyāyana, pp 59, 318

Pa-la-fa-to, (transcribed as Parvata by M Julien), central province of Panjab called so, by Hwan Thsang, p 106

Pali, pp 18, 39, 45, 297, 423, P, Buddhist works in, p 57

Papava, p 328

Pañcadasī of Bhāratītīrtha, p 77 fn1

Pañcajana, p 300

Pañoāla, conquered by Yavanas, aco to Gärgī Samhitā, p. 178

Pancalah, pp 500, 501

Paficalas, pp 507, 508

Pañcaratras, pp 287-289, 291, 294, 411, P.-Agamas, p 412, P.-Samhitas, p 290, P system, pp 288, 289, 294, P or Bhagavata system, Ramanuja's same as, p 290, P a distinct system independent of Vedas and Upanisads, p 293

Pañoasiddhantika of Varahamihira, pp 50, 374

Pañcāvattis, p 311

Pañcikarana, p 77

Pāndava, family name mentioned by Patanjali, p 404

Pündavas, pp 291, 418, 424, their race, p 79, Ps, story of (i e Mbh) as ancient, in Patañjali's time, p 84, Ps, skill of, in gambling, ref to, in Väsavadattü, p 87, Ps, audience hall constructed for, by Maya-Asura, p 98

Pandu, pp 84, 86, 89

Pandya, p 12

Pāndyas, p. 11.

Pangu, (Purusa is said to be), p 67 Panini, pp. 83, 84, 92, 124, 126, 128, 129, 136, 138 fn 1, 139, 141 & fn 2, 142-147, 153, 155, 157-165, 168 fn 2, 169-172, 182, 186, 187, 190, 191, 193-195, 197, 198, 203, 204, 216, 224 & fn 1, 292, 351, 374, 375, 385, 393, 400-402, 423, 476, 496-510 . P., IV. 2 127, p 103, P IV 1 169, IV. 2 77, IV 2 99, IV 2 103, IV 2. 133, IV 3 91, IV. 3 93, p 104, P, IV 2 82, IV 2 117, V 3 114, V 3 117, p 105, P, IV 2 75, IV. 2 143, IV 3 93, V 3, 114, p 106, P, V 3 117, p 107, P, III 2 111, p 108 fn 1, P III 2 123, p 109, P, I 1 68, II 4 23, p 110, P., I. 3 2, II 1 16, II 3 28, III 3 134, III 3 136, V. 3 57, p 111 fn 2, P, $I\Pi$ 2 123, p 113, P, III. 2, 111, III 2 123, p 114, P, III 1 26, р 121, P, III 3 136, p 122, P, V 3 99, p 125, P, I 1 51, p 126 fn 1, P. VI, 4 3, 127 fn 1, P VII p 1100 *ibid* fn2, P, VII 47, ibid fn 5, P, III 2 111, p 128 fn 1, P III 2 115, ibid fn 2, P, V 3 67, p 129,. P, I 2 1, II 4 32, III 3 127, III 3 141, IV 36, IV 3 143, V 1 30, ▼ 3 20, p 142, P, III 2 123, III 11 134, p 143, P, VI 1 86, VI 4 1, VI 4 2, VI 4 3, VI 4 12, VI 4 14, VI 4 16, VI 4 19, VI 4 22, VIII. 2 36, p 144, P., VI 4 23, 4 24, 4 34, 4 37, 4 40, 4 42, 4 45, 4 46, 4.47, 4 48, p 145, P, VI 4 49, 4 51, 4 52, 4 55, 4 56, 4 57, 4 62, p 146, P, V 3 99, p 154, P, IV 275, IV 2 104, p 162, P, V 399, p. 168 fn 2, P, VIII 1 15, p 169, P I 1 68. p 182, P., I 1.68, p 188, P, VI. 3 26, VIII 1 15, p 189, P, III 1 26, p 209, P III, 2 111, p 212, P, III 223, II 336, IV. 2104, IV, 3 64, IV 398, IV 3 99, VI 36 p 213, P, IV 2 25, IV 3 98, p 215, P, H 3 6, p 219, P., III 2 1, P, III 3 113, III, 3 117, p 221,

P., II 2 36, II. 2 37, p. 238, P, IV. 3 76, p 272, P, IV. 2, 101, shid fal , P., IV. 3 98, p 291, P. 1 3 25, p. 296, P. V 3, 99, p. 317; P., IV. 2 60, p 401, P, I, 1, 103, p 406. P., I 3 1, P.I. 4 9, I. 197, P. I 1 59, III 11. p 3 48, p 498, P., I 2 51, IV 2 69, IV 2 81, p. 507, P., I 2 53, p 518, P, I.2 56, p. 509, P, three conturies before Patanjali, p 81, P., flourished before 3rd century B C. at least, p 162, Mahnbhusya of Patanjali, a com on his grammar, pp 81, 108, P (VI 2 38) on accent of Mahn, in Mbh. p.82, P, significant mention of Väsuder a and Arjuna togother by, in IV. 3 98, ibid, P on names of places ato p 102f., P., referred to, as Salaturiya (native of Salatura) copper-plate grant of Dhatasena IV 103, Р, theory p technical terms of, pp 131, 496, P names of places of Southern India not found in, p. 161, P., modern verses attributed to, belong to another author of the time of, p 164, P, author of Astadhyayî, pp 164, 226, P., Hetumati ca III 1. 126, p 210, P, Mahabharata montioned by, pp 404, 423, P, tho Indian Grammatical Legislator, р 500.

Panjab, pp 11, 13-23; P., Candragupta being present in, at the time of Alexander's invasion, p. 5, P, Manshera in, Aśoka Inscriptions at, p 10, P., Western, coins of Gondophares found in, p. 32, P, Copper Coins found in, p 33, P., Aryans settled in, pp 99, 100, P., ancient geography of, p. 108

Pantaleon (descendant of Demetrius), p 19

Pantheism, p. 400.

Pantheon, Brahmanic, p 44.

Panya (vendible), pp. 155, 156, 190, 192-195, 197, 204

Para (manifestation of God), p 284

Pärädä river, p. 255

Partikraminka, a title, p. 30

Parama (Phhantitea Saidhith), p 290.

Parama-Bhagavatas (Candragupta II, Kumaragupta and Skandagupta called, on Coins), p. 43

Paramaramaeidämani-Samhita (Paacaratra-S.), p 290

Paraminus (atoms) of Variesikas, p 69

Paramaras of Ujjayini and Dharadesa, p 414

Parakara, Mbh. quoted in com on, by Sayana, p. 91

Parasara Smrti, p. 303.

Parasmaipada, distinction between, and Atmanopada, p 297.

Paradurima, p 301.

Parasvarapavirodhin, p 286.

Paribhāsondušekhura of Nagonbhatta, p. 316

Paril sit, fother of Janamejaya, p 79, P, son of Abhinanyn restored to life by Krsna, rol to, in Küdambari p 86,

Parinumarada, theory of, p ?? In 2. Paris, pp 339, 340

Parisads, p. 256

Parivrajaka Maharajas, Coppor Charters issued by, p. 55

Parnadatta, Skandagupta's governor of Surastru, p 41

Paroksa, p 497.

Parapamisus, p 20,

Parsis, pp 330, 378,

Parsus, a warlike tribe (Pāmni V. 3 117), p. 105

Parsusthuna, country of Parsus, warlike tribe (Pünini V 3117), Fo-li-shi-sa-tang-na, probably derived from, p 105

Parthénes (Gr.), 1. c. Parthona name of a Zodiac sign, p. 387.

Parthian dynasty, Śaka princes included in, by Smith. p. 519.

Parthians, p. 49, P.s. or Persians spoken of as Palhavas, p. 178

Parthona i. e Parthénos (Gr.), name of a Zodlac sign, p. 387.

Parvata, name of country aco Panini IV 2143 and IV. 393, p. 106, P. Candiācārya obtained tradition from, p 184 Parvata, Pa-la-fa-to, transoribed as, by M Julien, p 106 Parvatī, p 412, Paśu (cattle or wealth), p 397 Pasupata, p. 287 Pāśupatas, p 294 Patalavijaya, p 206 Patalī (for Ujjayinī), p 50 Pataliputra, pp 12, 40, 50, 111 fn3, 114, 122, 123, 131, 132, 167, 206, 276 277, P, oapital of Sandrakottos, p 3, P, capital of Candragupta situated at the confluence of the Ganges and the Erannoboas, p 5, P, capital of Magadha, often referred to, by Patanjali, p 111, P, acc to Patanjalı situated on

the Sona, pp 5, 111 fn2, P., Patañ-

jalı flourished in the reign of king

of, p 108, P, author of Maha-

bhasya lived to the east of, acc

to Weber, really to north-west of

Saketa, p 128, P, or Kusumpura,

p 178 Patanjali, pp 18, 20, 54, 83, 84, 92, 95, 96, 98, 109 fn1, 111, 113 fn4, 124-126, 128, 129, 131-134, 137, 138 fn1, 139 and fn 1, 140, 141 and fn 1, 142-147, 149-152, 155-158, 160, 162, 164, 165, 168-185, 186 fn 1, 187, 189-192, 194, 195, 197, 198, 201, 202, 204, 205, 209-211, 213-215, 216 and fn 1, 291, 296, 297, 316, 328, 387, 403-405, 408, 443, 497, 500, 504, 508, P speaks of Pataliputra situated on the Sona p 5, P, alluding to the Mauryas, and speaking of Candraguptasabha, ibid, his date about 142 B C, pp 17, 81, 119, 131, P, refers to Yavanas (arunad Yavanah Saketam, arunad Yavanah Madyamikām) under Paņini III111, 17 f, P refers to Pusyamitra in Mahabhasya, ibid, his bhasya on Vyākarana, p 65, P, author

of com on Panini's work, p 102,

his Mahābhāsya : e, com. 108, P., oom by, Panini, p the Sutra वासदेवार्जनान्यो etc Pāņini, p 82, P., main story of current long before the time of, pp 83, 84, P, Panjab called as Bahlika by, under Papini IV 2 117 and V. 3 114, p 105, P. lived in the reign of Puspamitra (178 B C to 142 B C), pp 114, 116, 122, 130, 185. P. Weber's remarks on date of, p 115, P., ref, to Puspamitra-Sabha in, ibid, P, brought to 25 after Christ by Weber, p 116, his Bhasya introduced in Kasmir by Candracarya, p 118, P, on Papini, III. 126, p 121 and fn1, P., on sacrifices of Puspamitra, p 122, his native place Gonarda, ibid, his native place to north west by west of Oudh, p 123, P., Comments on Vārtikas, agrees with Kātyāyana, refutes him, raoasts Panini's Sutras, discusses and explains even in the absence of a Vartika, gives supplementary rules (Istis) p 147, his work is Com on edition of Katyayana etc, ibid, P., flourished short time after Mauryas, p 156, P., called Tirthadarsin, zeer of saving truth) by Bhartrham, p 184, P, arguments for date (150 B C) of, summed up, pp 184-185,

Path, (Eight-fold) viz right views, r resolve, r speech, r action, r living, r effort, r self-knowledge, r contemplation, misery destroyed by, p 9

Patika, p 22, P., mentions Moga (i e Saka Emperor Maues), p. 23, P., of Taksasilā, ibid

Pātnā, p 123

Paul, St., his Cathedral, p 333

Pauliśa (Siddhanta), p 57.

Pauskala, derived from Puskala of Pānini, ancient capital of Gāndhāra, p 106.

Pauskara (Pancaratra Samhita), p. 290

Pauskarasamhitā, p 289

Payasyā (curds or mill), p 492.
Percy Gardner, pp 19 fn1, 22 fn2, 28 fn1, 31 fn1
Perfect, pp. 160, 171
Periplus of the Erythrean Sen, author of this work unknown, p 3, P., p. 18
Persian pantheon, emblems of deities from the, on Coins, p 31
Persians or Parthians spoken of as

p 513 Peshäwar, p. 31.

Palhavas, p 178

Potonikas, p 12,

Petersberg (8t) Lexicon, pp 169, 187, 188, 401

Peshwas, servants of Raja of Satura,

Peterson, Dr Peter, pp 153, 161-170, 173, 175-178, 180-183, 185, 186 and fn 1, 187-207, 343, P on date of Pataujalı, p. 156, P. refers Pataujalı to time of Skandagupta of Gupta Dynasty, p 157, P, obituary notice of, pp 523-527, P, editor of Bālakānda of Rīmāyana, Hitopadeśa, Kūdambarī, Subhüsitüralı. Šārugadharapaddhati, 525.his alphabetical index of all the poets in Banskrit literature in his introduction to Subhasituvali of Vallabhadeva, ibid, P., editor of Nyayabındutika, a Buddhistic work, ibid, his work on Jain literature. ibid, P., editor of some Raveda Hymns, p 526, P, author's appreciation about the original research work of, p 527.

Peukalas derived from Puskala of Pāṇini, p 106

Phala or Purusartha (end of life), p 284

Phala (fruits or objects of life), p. 287.

Phelps, Colonel A, sometime Commissary-General Bombay, friend of author, author, well received by, p. 339, P., p. 355.

Philoxenus, p 19.

Phoenicians, p 466

Pingala, p. 371.

Pippala tree, p 36

Pipru, name of Asura, p. 94 Pischel, p. 396. Pistapuri, temple of, p. 14.

Pitinika, p. 12

Physidasi, Inscriptions of, p 12 ful. P. Inscriptions by Senart, p 13 ful.

Pluta (long vowel), pp 96, 502.

Poons, Some Specifice hold at, in 1873, p. 230, P. dist, inscriptions in, p. 517.

Prabhakara, founder of atheistic Miminish school, p 55

Probhusa, near Pattan Somulith or Somulth acc to Dr Stevenson, p 254

Prācyns, p. 131

Pradhana (or Pral pti) first principle, pp 66, 68, 71, 73, 74, 110, 497,

Pradipa, p. 189

Pradipoddyots, p 189

Pradyumna, p. 285. P. sprang from Samkarsana, p. 288

Praisa (order), pp. 490, 492

Praja (progeny), p 397

Prajapati, p 96, P (all) as material cause p 214, P, p 215

Prakalpita, p 153

Peakalpitäh, p. 151.

Prakajūrtlin, work, p 300

Prakrit language, p 18, P, one legend in, on the the reverse of the

Prakrit legend, p. 19

Coins, p. 21

Prākṛtaprakāśa of Vararuoi, p 123 fn1

Prakṛti, pp 69, 75, P (or Pradhāna) first principle, pp. 66, 68, 73, P., as material cause of things ace to Prof. Wilson, p 69, P (Māyā) p. 291, P., (nature) of Sämkhya sebool, p. 410

Pranathaka, p. 25

Prapatti, p. 286

Prapattiyoga (Upaya), p 285.

Praptivirodhin, p 287.

Praptr (he who attains it), p 287.

Prapya (what is to be attained), p 287

Prārjunas, tribes, p. 39.

568 INDEX I

Prarthana Samaja, Justice Ranade's lecture at, p 453 Prāsēda (temple), p 46; P, p 221 Prasasti, p 206 Prasastis, historial accounts of princes, p 4 Prataranuvaka, p 321 Prathama (case), pp 498, 502 Pratīka, p 203 Pratinidhi, Pant, Shrimant Balasaheb, his munificent help to Mahabharata edition of the Bhandarkar Institute, Pratisakhva of White Yazurveda, p 315 Pratoli: e gallery, (on inscription), p 43 Pratyāhāra Sūtras, pp 127, 139, 498 Pratyakhyana (speaking ogainst), pp 140, 143. Pratyaya, p 496, under III, 1. 1, pp 497, 502, 503 Pratyudāharaņas (counter-examples) p. 212 Pravahana, a prince, son of Jaibala, (Chandogya Upanisad, V. 3), p 292 Prayaga, pp 42, 56 Prayatna, p 497 Prayoga, p 306 Prayogas (manuals), pp 230, 482, 494 Prayoga-Darpaga used by Madhyamdinas, p. 225 Present, Progressive, p 175.

Present Tense, pp 178 f., 210

p 90 fn6.

Princep, his Chronological Tables,

Principles of Samkhya system 25,

viz Prakrti or Pradhana, Mahat-

tattva (or Buddhi), Ahamkara, five

five organs of

Manas, five subtle elements, five

objects of the senses or (gross ele-

Prtha, wife of Pandu, ref to, in

Prthvisena, son of Rudrasena (Ksa-

ments) and Purusa, pp 66, 67

Kūdambarī, p. 86

Prahu, p 301

Prthvi, p 67.

traps), p. 26

graphy, pp. 3, 513, P., mentions Sagala, p 162, P Philadelphos, :.e Turamaya, (285-247 B C.), p 12; P, acc to, Pulumayı ruling at Paithana, p 31 Pudumāvī. son of Gotamiputra, Vāsithīputa or Vāsisthīputra, pp 275, 276 Pulakešī I, p, 45, P, (610 A D) p 327, P II of Calukya dynasty, pp 366, 521 Pulinda, p 12 Pulindas, p 12, Ps, name Dasyus applied to, p. 95 fn1 Pulumāyi, pp 30, 31, 38, 515-517, P., Ujjayını invaded by, p 29, P., ruling at Paithana acc to Ptolemy, p 31, P., son of Gotamīputra Sātakarņi, p 514, P, son-in-law of Rudradaman acc. to Smith, p 515, P, as contemporary of Rudradaman acc to Smith, ibid, P, same as Vasisthiputra of Kolhapur Coins, D 516 Pundarīka sacrifice, p 44. Pundras, name Dasvus applied to, p 95 fn1 Pundrakas, Indian tribes, p 53 Purākaipa, p 150 Purāņam, ref to, in Brhadāraņyaka Upanisad, p. 419 Puranas, pp 2, 16-18, 30, 52, 56, 57, 62, 68, 213, 276, 278, 280, 282, 348, 365, 395, 408, 410, 412, 420, 512, 516, 518, P.s giving list of Kings, p 4f, Ps, Vāyu, Visņu, p 42, Ps, date of, p 55, Ps, read in temples (very old system even in Bāna's time) p 86, Ps., made use of, by Antiquarians, p. 102, Ps, reference to Puspamitra in, p 111, P.s. mentioned in some of the Brāhmaņas and Sūtras, p 407, Ps, Contents of, are 1 creation, 2 dissolution, 3 genealogy, 4 Manus or certain periods, 5 doings of persons belonging to certain families, ibid, Ps, written to extol particular deities such as Viṣṇu, Siva, Durga, etc., ibid.

Ptolemr, pp 24, 515, 517, his geo-

Ρ,

Artha,

Purodāśa, pp. 484, 493 Purohita (or priest of Kalki), p 222 Purusa, pp 68, 75, 410, P., referred to ın Purusasükta (Rgv X 90), p 6, his state described after death, p 7, P, last principle, p. 67, P and Pra-(absolute ego), p 70 Purusartha or Phala (end of life), Purusarthas are Dharma, Kama, Kaivalya and Moksa, p 286, Purusārthavirodhin, p. 286 Purusasukta (Rgv X 90), p 6 Purusottama, p 285 Purvapaksin (opponent of Siddha-Pusan, Sukra (bright from) of, in Puskala (Pānini IV 2 75), p 106 Puspamitra, pp 20, 116, 113 fn4, 114, 115, 179, 180, 183, 185, 206, 276, P, or Pusyamitra, founder of Sunga dynasty, pp 17, 81, P, founder of Sunga dynasty, ref to, in Puranas, p 111, P, (Panini III 2 123), p 108, P, under Papini, p 121 and fn1, P, Patanjah lived in the reign of, pp 109, 111, 116, 122, 130, P, ace to Visqu and Vayu Puranas, name of dynasty, aco to former a Bālhīka dynasty, p 111 fn3, P, ref. to, in Bhagavata as name of individual, thid, P, Wrong view of Prof Lassen about, ibid, P, ref to, in Malavikagnimitra, ibid, P, Commander-in-Chief of Brhadatta, last Maurya king, p 112, P, king about 178 B C to 142 B C, p 113, P, supposed, one of 4th or 5th cen P 182, P, father of Agnimitra and grandfather of Vasumitra, p 182, P reigned in 2nd cen BC onquered by Skandagupta, p 184 Puspamitra-sabha (Pānini I. I 68), Pusyadharman, Brhadatta, acc to Buddhist Asoka Avadāna, p. 113 fnl.

Pusyamitra, pp 115, 180, 181 and fol, 182, 205, 206, P, or Puspamitra, P 17, P, represented by Buddhists as their persecutor, his son Agnimitra, ibid Pusyamitras, name of a tribe, p 41, P, a name of a tribe mentioned in Purānas, p 181 fn1 Putumavisa, p. 277

Radhia, p 11 Rāghava, grandfather dhara, author of Paddhati, p 91 Raghavabhatta, his Com on Nyayaof Sarngasāra of Bhāsarvājña, p 299 Raghu, p 221 Raghunathabhatta Siromani, author Raghuvamśa, P 60, R, IV. 52, 53, 58, P. 238 Rājalipikāras (royal sorībes), p 37. Kālıdāsa, Rajas, pp 66, 69 Rajasekhara, Subandhu mentioned in a Sloka attributed to, p 87, R, Panini spoken of as author Jāmbuvatījaya, 111 a p 164. Rajatarangini, pp 116, 118, 134, 136, verse of, 0f 165 and fn1, 166 and fn1, 365, 366, 368, 376, R, Kaniska, Haviska, and Vasndeva or Vasuska, belonging to Turuska race, noticed in, p 33, R, reference Sakārı in, p 50, R, ref to Nāgār-Juna in, p 117, R, calls Kaniska $V_{ikramāditya}$ eto as Turuskas, p 178, Rajvade, Prof, his paper on Bhaga-Rājavārttika, p 299 Rajno Mahaksatrapasa Ghsamotikaputrasa Castanasa, legend on the reverse of the Coins, p 24 Rajputana, Chronicles of Kings of, Rājub (v) ula, p 22 Rājuvula, his ooins, p 23 Rakhumaī, alluded to, often, in Haripātha of Jūāneśvara, p. 324.

Rāksasas (Buddhists troubling Brāhmaņas in Daņdakāraņya), p. 386, R.s. p. 387

Rāma, pp. 288, 289, 293, 301, 328, 369, 386, 406, 407, 412, 421, R, ref to, in Mṛcchakatika, p 88, R., incarnation of, p 285, R, historical person, p 471

Ramananda, author of Ratnaprabha, p 300.

Rāmānuja, p 77 fn2, 287, 289, 291, 293, 294, 409, 419, doctrines of his school summarised in a work entitled Arthapañcaka, p 284, R, Nārāyaṇīya section older than, p 288, his system is the same as the Pāñcarātra or Bhāgavata, p 290, his doctrine of the reality of God, p 409

Rāmapandīta, Rāma called so, in Daśaratha Jātaka, p 406

Rāmatīrtha, place near Sopara, p 255

Rāmāyaṇa, pp 217, 348, 365, 368-371, 395, 400, 406, 420, 424, 471, R, ref to, in Bāṇa's Kādambarī, p 86, R, supposed by some to represent struggles between Brāhmaṇas of India, and Buddhists of Ceylon, p 386, R, state of society pictured in, is archaic, p 387, R, no mention of Maratha town in, ibid, R, characters in, not mentioned in Mahābhāsya, p 406, R, a thorough historical work, p 467, R, Bālakāṇda of, edited by Dr Peter Peterson, p 525

Rambler, edited by Johnson, p 130 Rāmpurvā, p 11

Ranade, Justice, M G, rejoinder to, pp 453ff

Ranga-Rāmānuja, p 328

Rapson, Prof, p 519

Rasagangudhara, p 318

Rastikas, p 12

Rüstrakūţa race, p 528

Rastrakūtas, p 521

Rathbaus or Townball in Vienna, p 345

Ratnagiri, pp 230, 528

Ratnaprabha of Ramananda, p 300. Raukuta, Tsaukuta same as, acc to Hwan Thsang, p 104

Ravi or Hydraotes crossed by Alexander, p 105, R, Sangala to the east of, and Sakala to the West of, p 162

Reay, Lord, Chancellor of Bombay University and Governor of Bombay, p 427

Revakāņţa, Atharvavedins found in, p 229

Rgveda, pp 100, 307, 308, 313, 321, 344, 369, 371, 398, 399, 418, 471, 477, 480 fn1, 482, R VIII 96 9, p 94, R I 1 1, p 224 fn1, R X 88 p 305, R VIII 58, pp 309, 314, R X 191, p 315, R VI 58 1, p 321, R, VIII 11 1, I 81 1, VI 3 7, p 397, R, ten Mandalas of, p 99, R. Citpavana Brahmanas nearly equally divided between, and the Black Yajurveda, p 222, R. Bāskala Samhitā, p 306. R. regarded as Indo-Germanic work European scholars headed by Roth, p. 396, R, III Mandala, Bharata indicating race occurs in, p 422, R, VII Mandala, p 422, R, hymns, pp 320, 371, 397, R, purpose of hymns of, p 321f, R., edited by Dr. Peter Peterson, p. 526, R Pratisakhya, Saunaka, author of, p 371, R Sakhas five, Aśvalayani, Samkhayani, Sakala, Baskala, and Māṇdukā, p 308. R Samhitā, pp 6, 395, R, some hymns from, recited by the author at Vienna Congress Aryan Section, p 346. schools, Samhitā of ail, same, p 315

Rgvedi, Vaidika, p 225

Rgvedins, pp 224, 228, 229

Riksoda, name of mountain, Archosia, Arkhoj or Rokhoj derived from the name of, p. 104

Rks, p 313, Rs in Rgveda, some, found in other Vedas, p 398

Rksamhitū, pp 6, 94, 423, R., meaning of the word in, p 94

Rk-verse, p 227.

Rook Ediots, their contents, p. 15.
Rokhaj (or Arkhoj) Archosia called
as, by Arab Geographers, p. 104
Rokhoj (Archosia, Arkhoj) dervied from the name of mountain
Riksoda, p. 104

Romaka (siddhanta), p 57.

Roman Geographers, p. 103

Romans, p. 466

Rost, Dr., sometime Librarian, India Office, p 334, R., p 340, R. of India Office, present at Vienna Congress, p. 341, R., Ludwig, Jacobi assembled at Vienna compared to Rsis assembled at Janaka's Mithila, in author's Verses sung at Vienna Congress, p 347.

Roth, Dr., pp 169, 188, 343, 397, R., the two authors one of Sanskrit Dictionary, p 130, R of Tübingen, President of Aryan Section at Vienna Congress attended by author, p 341, R. and Weber, compared to Yajūavalkya in author's Verses sung at Vienna Congress, p 347, R, Rgveda regarded as Indo-Germanio work by him other European scholars, p 396, his curious phenomenon in the omission of termination of a case, pp 397, 398, his edition of Nirukta, p 498fnl

Rsis, pp 7, 55, 287, 304, 320, 346, 347, 371, 399, 409, 411, 418, 420, R.s. assembled at Janaka's Mithila, Rost Ludwig, Jacobi, assembled at Vienna, compared to, in author's Verses sung at Vienna Congress, p 347

Rtavah, p 486

Rudra (Tamas), p 69,

Rudra god, pp. 38, 412

Rudrabhuti, p 24

Rudradāman, pp 25, 27, 29, 516, R (a Mahākṣatrapa), p 24, R at war with Sātakaruı (the lord of the Deccan), ibid, his minister Suvi-sākha, ibid, R son of Jayadāman (Mk.), p. 25, his Sanskrit inscription at Girnar, p 61, R, grandson

of Castana, p 514, R. fatherinlaw of Pulumāyi, acc to Smith, p. 515; R. Šātakarni (or Yajāa Šrī Šātakarņi) subdued by, p. 517.

Rudradeva, p 39.

Rudrasena (Mk. and K) pp 25, 27;

R (Mk. and K) son of Rudrasinha, grandson of Rudradāman, grandson of the son of Jayadāman, and great-grandson of the son of Castana, p 25, his genealogy, ibid; R., son, of Vīradāman (Mk.), p. 26.

Rudrasimha (Mk and K), pp. 25, 27, R (a Ksatrap) son of Mahäksatrapa Rudradāman, grandson of Ksatrapa Jayadāman, great-grandson of Mahäksatrapa Caştana, p 24, R, son of Svāmi-Jīvadāman, (K), p 26.

Rupa, one of the five Skandhas, p 8 fnl.

Ruphath, p 11, R, edict of, p. 13 Ruskin, referred to, as pessimist by Justice Ranade, p. 453, R p. 454

Saba Vīrasena, Minister of Candragupta II, and native of Pāţaliputra, p 40.

Sabarasvāmin, a great Mīmāmsaka, author of Mīmāmsābhāsya, pp 58, 65, 293, 319

Sabaras, name Dasyus applied to, p 95 fn1

Śabdanuśasanaśastra, p 140.

Sabdavidya, p. 163.

Sabdenduśekhara of Nagojibhatţa, p. 316.

Sabracæ or Sambracæ tribe, met with, by Alexandar, same as Skr. Samvägri, acc to General Cunningham, p 106, S., probably Śaubhreyas, p. 107.

Sadharapa, p 503.

Sāgala, (Śākala) in Milindapañho, a Pali Buddhist work, p 162

Sagala, mentioned by Ptolemy (: e. Śakala and not Sankala), p. 162 Sagar dst, inscription in, pp 40, 41 Sagara, his sons burnt by Kapıla, p 64 Sah coins, p 279, S, or Satrap dynasty, p 280 Sahs, overthrown by Gautamiputra, p 279, Ss, final date of, 250 (1 e Saka 328), p 281, Ss, p 282 Sahadeva, referred to, by Patanjali, Sahasaram, edict of, p 13 Sahasrām, p 11 Samhalakas, p 40 Saiva-Agamas, p 412, S faith, p 88 Salvas, system of Kasmīr, p 409 Saka Mana Boddo=Śākya Munı Buddha (Greek name on Coins), p 34 Saka, Candragupta, the Maurya referred to, in an inscription in the year 72 (1 e A D 150) of S era, p 5, S., p 29, S coinage, an imitation of Greco-Bactrian Greco-Indian coinage, p dynasty, p 520, S era, pp 22, 31, 32, 36, 40, 85, 89, 282, 325, 326, S. Kaniska believed to be founder of, pp 30, 167, S in A D 78, p 280, S. founded by great King of Saka or Soythian race, p 389, S Kings, pp 21, 516, S power, foundation of, in 1st century B O p 22, S race, pp 293, S or Soythian race, p 389, S tribes, p 183 Sakas, pp 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 27, 28, 37, 33, 38, 48, 50, 53, 54, 58, 376, 404, 413, 514, 517, 518, Ss, imperial, p 28, S.s beaten by Gotamīputra Sätakarni, p 30, Ss or Satraps driven from Mabarastra, between 124 and 132 A. D., p 31, Ss, (; e Satraps of Malwa), p 49, Ss, Indo-Scythians were called as, in Rajatarangini, p. 178 Sakabhupakala, p 389 bakakala, p 389 Sal ala Menander of, (M. same as

Milinda, the Greek Ling), p 8,

S. in Panjab, capital of Yavana king. Menander, p 18, S, p 20, S (: e Sangala) name of town captured by Alexander, p 105, S and Sangala as different places, ibid, S., capital of Madras, p. 105f, S to the west of Rayl, p. 162, S., capital of Madras acc to Mahabharata, ibid. S. old city rebuilt by Euthydemia of Indo-Bactrian king, ibid, S, in Punjab, Milinda king ruling at. p 388 Sakala, pp 308, 314, 412, S. Kielhorn compared to, in author's Verses sung at Vienna congress, p 347, S, teacher of one of the two different recensions of Rgveda, p 371 Śakala Sakha, pp 308, 313, 314, 372, S Samhita, pp 309, 310, 313, 314. Sakalas, pp 308, 309, 311, 313, 314 Sakalya, author of Pada text of Rgveda, pp 371, 372 Sakanrpakāla, p. 389 Sakarı (enemy of Sakas), 1 e, Vikramāditya (Candragupta II, 400 A.D), pp 49, 60, 326, 520 Sakasena, p 31 Sakayavanam, an instance of aggregate Dvandva, p 18 Sakendrakāla, p. 389 Saketa or Ayodhya, pp 18, 42, 52, 56, 108, 114, 116-118, 122, 123, 131, 174, 177, 178, 182, 184, S (Śrāvastī) or Ayodhya, province of Guptas, p 51, 8, Patañjalı lived to northwest of, p 128, Saketa, siege of, by Yavana, before 85 B C, pp 173, 178, S, conquered by Yavanas acc. to Gargi Samhita, ibid Sakha of Veda, p 223 Sakhas (schools) of Brahmans, pp 53, 54, 372 Saktı, pp 285, 288 Sakuntala, pp 80, 120, 164, 371, 374 Sakya clan, Buddha, a Ksatriya of, p 292 Sakyamuni, born in Lumbini grove, p 13, his relics, p 23, S, p 36

Salatura, Panini referred to, as native of, in copperplate grant of Dharasena IV, p. 103, hence P., called Salaturiya, p. 163

Sālāturīya (natīve of Sālātura), Pānini referred to as, in copperplate grant of Dharasena IV, p 103, S (Pānīnī) a natīve of Śālātura, p 163.

Salone (Grock name on Coins), p 34. Salone (Grock name on Coins), p 34. Salovahana, pp 165, 389, 390, Ś. or Śatavahana, pp 326, 517, Ś. Śaka known upto 13th century as 'the era of the Śaka King of Kings' and 'the era of the coronation of the Śaka King' p 21, Ś or Śatavahana dynasty followed, Śaka Kings, p 325, Ś Śaka (two names of the dynasties combined), ibid, S., pp. 389, 390

Salvs, p. 390.

Samanya, pp. 152, 153.

Samatata, p. 39

Sama-Sambitā, p 224 and fn 1.

Samaveda, pp 229, 418.

Samavedis, p 229

Samas, p 229

Sambandha (relation', p 287

Sambhu, dedication of a convete, (on inscription), p 43

Sambodhi, i e Perfect Knowledge, Aśoka set out for, p. 13

Sambrace or Sabrace tribe met with by Alexander, same as Skr. Samvagri, acc. to General Cunnnigham, p 106

Samdhī rules, p 227

Samdhya, p 314

Saindhya-Vandana, time of, pp. 223, 224, S, p 313

Samgha (Assembly), pp 10, 14

Samghadaman, son of Rudrasimha (Mk), p 26.

Samhitā, pp. 225, 228, 303, 398, 495, S, defined, p 227, S, defined by Pāmin, as parah samnikarsah (I. 4 109), p 498

Samhitas, p. 225

Samjāū, one of the five Skandhas, p 8 fn1, S., p. 214, S., (technical term), pp. 497, 501.

Samjans, pp 500, 502, 504-506, 508, 509

Sarinnuma (i. o. Sarinnu), p. 505.

Samjūāna hymn of Rgveda, pp. 312-314.

Samkarācārya (or Samkara), pp 59, 63 fn3, 64, 65 fn1, 77, 289, 293, 294, 328, 337, 338, 405, 409, his Vedunta Bhusya, pp. 62, 65, 73 fal, fn1, 150, 300, S, date of, 9th century, pp. 88, 89, S., Nūrāyanīya section older than, p. 288, his Bhasya (Vayu Purana gutoted in), p. 56, his com, on Bhagavadgītā (opisode of Mbb), p 88, S., mentioned in Konga-Deśa-Carita, a Tamil Chronicle in the Mackenzie Collection, ibid, his system followed by Prof. Denssen, p. 324; S. clue to his theory of world-illusion be found in Nihilism of Buddhist Mahayana school, p. 325

Samkara-Vijaya of Mādhava, p 92.

Samkarsana, pp 285, 289; S., invocation to, (on inscriptions), p 38; S., constant companion of Kṛṣṇa, p 212, S., sprang from Vāsudeva, p. 288.

Śāńkhāyana, pp. 305, 306, 312-315, 371, 418, Ś, Śūkhā, pp 304, 305, 308, 309, 313, 315, Ś. Samhitā, pp 305, 309, 314, Ś, Gṛhya Sūtra, p. 305, Ś Sūtras, pp. 309, 310, 314

Samkhya, pp. 76, 291, 328, S. doctrines, pp. 62, 63, S. Philosophy revived by Iśvarakṛṣṇa, p. 59, S. pp. 62-78, S. principles, p. 69, S. School, p. 62, S. system, pp. 68, 74, 410

Samkhyas, pp 62, 64, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75, 77, 8 s, Buddhists preceded by, p 76

Sāmkhya-Kārīkās of Īśvarakrsna, p. 59

Samkhya Pravaoana, (a collection of Sutras) ascribed to Kapila, p. 64; S, Vijāāna Bhiksu's bhāsya on, p 65

Samkhya Sara quoted, pp 68 fn1, 299 fn3

Sāmkhyatattvakaumudī, p 299 Sāmkhyayoga, p 287.

Sampradayavids (persons acquainted

with tradition), p. 396
Samprati (present time), pp 150, 156

Samprati (present time), pp 150, 156 Samsara (succession of lives and deaths), p, 284.

Samskara, one of the five Skandhas, pp 8 fn1, 462

Samudragupta, pp 43, 49, 206, 520, S, son of Cangragupta I, p 39, S, great grandfather of Skandagupta, p 183

Samvagri, Sambraoæ or Sabraoæ same as, aoc to General Cunningham, p 106

Samvat Era (57 B C), Vikramaditya founder of, p. 374

Sanabares (Indo-Parthianking), p 31 Sanakānikas, tribes, p 39

Sanasiddha, his wife Harisvāminī,

Sanci, pp 35, 46, S, inscription at, pp 29, 40, S, remains of Stupas at, p. 37

Śāndilys, pp 289, 290

Sandracotta, same as Candragupta (Candagutta), ambassador Megasthanes sent to the court of, by Selukos, aoo to Greek writers, p 326

Sandrakottos, a King of Pāṭaliputra, p. 3.

Sandrakottus, c Candragupts, p 206

Sanga or Bandha, p 70

Sangala (Skr Šākala) name of town captured by Alexander, p 105, 8 and Sākala as different places, ibid, S: c Sankala (Pānini, IV 2 75), p 106, S, p 163

Süngala, identified with Sänkala of Püņini, IV 2 75, destroyed by Alexander the Great, p 162, S., to the east of Rūvī, ibid
Sangha, p. 47.

Sānkala, and Sāngala identified, p 162

Sankalādi group, Pāņini IV. 2.75, p 106

Sankha, p 328

Sanskrit, as Vernacular of Indian Aryans (author's view), p 337

Sanskrit passages quoted from Benares edition of Mahābhāsya, pp. 136, 137, Skr p from Kātyāyanā quoted, p 211 fn1, Skr ps from Patañjali and Kaiyata quoted, p 216 fn1, Skr ps from Āśvalāyana etc. quoted, p 224 fn1

Santi (or °parvan) book of Mahabharata, pp 9, 63, 69 fn2, 72 fn2, 287, S, Narayaniya section at the end of, p 405

Sapta-hautra, pp 490-492

Sapta-hautra prayoga, pp 489, 493

Saptasatī, by Hala, p 45

Sapta Sindhavah : c seven rivers of the Vedas, p 104

Sarasvatī (same as Zend Haraqaiti * e Arohotis river) one of the Sapta Sindhus, p 104, S, p 133

Sarasvatīkanthābharaņa, a Work of Bhoja on Rhetorics, Rājašekhara's Works quoted in, p 87, S, p 90

Sarīraka Sutras of Badarayana, principal work of Vedanta, p. 409

Sārngadhara, pp 87, fn 2, 92, S., his Paddhatı, S, grandson of Rāghava, p 91, his Paddhatı edited by Dr Peter Peterson, p. 525

Sarngin image of (on insoription), p 43

Sarpasatra or serpent sacrifice, performed by Janamejaya at Harihara at the junction of Tungabhadra and Harida, p 92f, S, p 120

Sarvadamana or Bharata, son of Dusyanta and Sakuntala, p 79

Sarvadarsana Samgraha, work of Mādhava, pp. 64, 73 fn1-3, 74 fn3, 76 fn1, 91 fn1-2, S, ref to Mahābhārata in, pp 90, 91

Sarvānukrama of Kātyāyana, pp. 304, 305, 309, 314

482

ng P Sas (root), p 499. Śastra, repeated by Hota, p. 321 Sar (not six but part of Vausat here), Satakanisa Ranno, legend of, pp Śatakarni, pp. 515, 516, g, the lord of the Deccan (Daksınapatha), pp 24, 514, S, successor of Krsna, p 30, S Gautamīputra, pp 239, 275, 276, g Andbrabhrtya dynasty known by the name of, acc to Smith, p. 513, S, name of a king and not a family name as thought by Smith, p. 514; S subdued by Rudradaman same as Yajña Śrī Śatakarni, p. 517, Satapatha Brahmana III 2.1 18-24, p. 96, g B, p 98, g B, rarely one found knowing the whole of, by heart, p 228 Satara dist , Mahuli in, p. 228 Satasahasrī or composed of a lakh of Ślokas, Mahabharata oalled, pp Satavahana, pp. 30, 389, g, or Sahvāhana, pp 326, 517, g, Andhra. bhrtya dynasty known by name, p 513, g, dynasty, p 279, g, (or Salivahana) princes ruling over Maharastra, p. 30, g, King, p 280, 8 raoe, pp 276, 277, 281, 282, 514 Satavahanas, pp 36, 278, 518, 521 Satiyaputa, a country, p 11 Satiyaputas, country of, pp 512, 513 Satpute (corresponding to Satiyaputas) family name of Maratha, Prabbhu and Brahmanas in Poona dist, p. 513 Satrap (Ksatrapa), same as Ksahara-Satrap or Sah dynasty, p 280, S, p Satraps, pp 23, 38, 8s ruling over Maharastra also, p 30, Milwa (1e Sakas), p 49 Sattan, p 37 S.s of Sattra or a feeding-house for travel-

15

Sattras, p 44. Sattva, 66, 67, 69 Satvata, name of a descendant of Yadu. his race was race or olan of Satvatas, p 291, S., branch of Yadu race, p 425, S. race, p 292, 8 religion, p 291, Sātvatapumgava, Vasudeva called, p. 292. Sātvatas, p. 291. Sātvatasamhitā, pp (Pancaratra-Samhita), p. 290 Satyadāman, son of Dāmaghsada (K.) Satyakāma, son of Jabalā, pp 487, Satyāśraya Śrī Prthvī Vallabha, a Calukya prince p. 85 fn5 Saubhava set at naught, work of Patanjali, acc to Bhartrham, p 184 Saunaka, p. 309, S, author of Rgveda Prātiśākhya, pp 371, g, p 372 Sautrantika doctrine, referred to, in Madhava, p 74 fn2 Sautrantikas, pp. 73, 76 fn1, philoon Malati-Savana, p. 492. Savitr God, Brahmaoarin dedicated by his father to the service of, p 322. Savitryupakhyana, episode of Vana-Farva of Mbh. referred to, in Śańkara's Bhāsya on Vedānta Sutras, p 88 Sayana, pp 483, 486, 489, 491-495, S, Mbh quoted by, in his com on Taittiriya Upanisad, p 90, 8, Minister of Bukka, King of Vilayanagara, ibid, S. Mbh quoted by, in his com on Parasara, p 291, his com on Rgveda, pp 395, 396, exoellent commentary A_{ltareys}, Brāhmana, Soandinavians, p. 390 on Pр Sohlegel, p 217 Scholnasts, p 63 fn3. Soythian or Turuska Kings, p 367, 8, Seistan, coins of Gondophares found

Selby, Principal, of the Deccan College, p 454 Selukos, General of Alexander the Great, p. 3, S., p 5; S., ambassador Megasthenes sent by, to the Court of Sandracotta (Candagutta 1 e Candragupta Maurya), p 326 Semitic race, p 390 Senar, Monsieur, pp 12, fn1, 13 fn1, Senses, five, viz. seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting and hearing, p 67 Sesa, pp 152, 153 Setthis or Seths p 37 Shahbazkarhi in Afghanistan, Asoka Inscriptions at, p 10; S, p 11 Shakespeare, p 459 Shaonano Shaho Kanheski Kushano Shaonano Shao Harishki Kushano (Turkish), p 34. Sheldonian Theatre, p. 336 Sheppard, Mr., Revenue Commissioner. Northern Division in the steamer Stam by which author returned from Vienna to India, p \$59 Siam, some pali Mss presented to Dr Rhys Davids by the king of, р 335 Siddapur, p 11, S., edict of, p 13 Siddhantas, five, p. 57 Siddhantakaumudī, pp. 221, 507, 510, S., of Bhattoji Diksit p 316, S edited by Cowell, p 504. Siddhantin (Doctor), as opposed to Purvapaksin (his opponent) p. 172, S., pp 193, 194, 204 Siddhartha of the Sakya race (founder of atheistic system), p 292 Siks3, pp 224 and fn1 226, 502 Siladitya or Harsavarohana, pp 327. 366 Simila, Conference at, in 1911, favour the idea of opening Research Institutes at presidency-towns. p 319 Simusa, the founder of Satavahana family, p 30 S., of Andbrabhrtya

dynasty, p 514 S, (Sindhuka,

Sipraka etc.) a Satavahana prince.

p 515

Sindhu or Indus, pp 20, 182 Sindhuka (Simuka. Sipraka p 515 Singhans, p 298 Sipraka (Simuka, Sindhuka etc) p 515 Siri Polemeos: e Polumayi, p 515 Sista, (educated man), pp 443, 444 Sisupalavadha of Magha based Mbh. story, p 89, S., quoted in Paddhatı of Sarngadhara, p. 92 Sītē, pp. 370, 406, 421, her ravishment same as that of Helen (wrong analogy), p. 386, S represented as sister of Rama (Ramapandita) and Laksmana in Dasaratha Jātaka still she is represented as Chief Queen, pp. 406, 407 Siva, pp 34, 33, 56, 190, 191, 194-196, 198, 374, 407, 411, 412, 419, S (Maheśvara) temple of, p. 45; S., worship of, ibid, S., figure of, on coins p 48; his bow same as that of Ulysses (wrong analogy), p 386 Sivah, pp 125, 126, 154-156, 170-172, 193, 198, 204 Sivabhāgavatas, a sect of, p 328 Sivabhuti (83 A C) founder of Digambara sect of Saivas, p. 375. Sivakah, pp 170, 171 Sivaskandavarman, inscriptions of, a ruler of Kancı, p. 38 Siwalik, p 11. Skanda, pp 169, 189-191, 194-196 198 Skandah, pp 125, 126, 154-156, 170-172, 193 198, 204 Skandagupta, pp 42-44, 181, 182, 184; S. son of Kumaragupta, p 41, S., Puspamitra (auother) acc to Bhagavanlal Indraji in reign of, p 180 Skandakah, pp. 170-171, Skandhas, five, viz, Rūpa, Vijūīns, Vedana, Samma, Samskara. (these constitute human being), p S fn1

Skando (Greek name on coins), p 34

Slokavārtika of Kumārila, p 58 fn?.

Smarta (domestic ceremonies), p. 285

information set

Smith, Ptolemy s

aside by, 515

Smrti, romembranco, of what a man's true condition is, p. 9, fnl.

Smrtis (or Motrioni Law Books) pp 52, 53, 303, 403, 409, 420, S.s., some of, based on Dharma Sutras, p 408

Smrtivibhrama or Smrtibhraméa (Bhagavadgita 11 63, XV 15, and XVIII 73), p 9 fnl

Sodasa, son of Rajub(v)ula, p 22, his cate is 72 (i e 150 A.D.), p 23, S, 27.

Soma, pp 321, 492,493, S, sacrifices pp 230, 321, 322, S, tale of bringing of, p 486

Sona, another name of Hirapynbaha (i c the Erannoboas), p 5, S., Pātaliputra situated on the banks of, aco to Patalipali, ibid, p 111 fn2 Sorparaga i c Supara near Bassein p 254.

Sorvata, Pa-le-fa-to transcribed as, by General Cunningham, p 106 Spalahores, pp 21, 27, S, brother of Vonones, p 28

Spalgadames, son of Spalahores, pp 21, 27, 519, S, subordinate to Voncnes and Spalirises, p 28

Spalirless, pp 21, 519, S, successor and brother of Vonces, p 28 Spence Hardy, p 259.

Spooner, Dr., Archæological Superintendent, Bengal Circle, p 98.

Sraddha, p 323.

Sraddha Ceremony, p 323.

Sramaņas, p 10, Šs or holy reoluses, p. 15, Šs or ascetics of all sorts, p 16

Srautasūtras, pp 322, 420, Šs Purāņas referred to in, p 55, Š.s written before Pāṇini, p 81

Srāvaņī Ceremony (: e. Upākaraņa), p 305

Srāvastī (or Sāketa), pp 51, 52 Srī, p 284

Srīdhara p 63 fn3, his Kandalī, p. 299.

Srī-Harsa, pairon of Bāŋa, pp 2, 85 Śrī-Śaila on Krspā, p 233

Śrotriyas (Srautīs), pp 230, 495 Śrnti, pp. 66, 76, 287, 289; Ś., no basis of, for Sūinkhya philosophy acc to Śainkarācūrya, p 64

Stein, Dr., of Buda-Pest, present at Vienna Congress, p 342, S, tho Hungarian, read his paper in English at Vienna Congress, ibid

Stovonson, Dr., pp. 239, 252, 254, 256, 257, 261, 263, 265, 267, 272 273, S. copies of Lt Brott used by, for his translation of Nasik Cavo Inscriptions, p. 231

Strabo, p 18, S., Greek historian, p 177

Strato, p 20

Stūpa raised to Konūkamana, p 13 S., p 24.

Stupas (hemisphorical structures), p. 36, (or Caityas), ibid, S.s. p 37

Subandhu, pp 58, 59, S., author of Väsavadattä, pp 47, 343, 375, S., mentioned in a Śloka attributed to Rājaśekhara, p 87, his work Väsavadattä mentioned in Harsacarita ibid, S (between 550 and 650 A.C.), p. 376

Subhadra, ref to, in Mrcohakatike, p. 88

Subhāsitaratnasamdoha, work of Amita-gati, a Digambara Jama, p. 301

Subhaşitavalı of Vallabhadeva, edited by Dr Peter Peterson, p. 525.

Sudarsana lake, pp. 24, 41.

Sudas prince, p. 422

Sudras, pp 18, 53, 54,

Sudrakas, of Purāņas, Oxydrakæ tribe identified with, by Prof. Wilson, p 106.

Sudrāņām etc., sūtra of Pataūjali, under Pāṇini, II 410, p. 18 Suez Canal, p. 353

Sukara (boar), p 301

Sukhadwala, Mr., Institute erected by, in memory of K. R. Kama, p 330,

Sukra of Pusan in Rgveda, VI 581, p 321

578 INDEX I

Sūksma Sarīra formed by Buddhi. Ahamkāra, Manas, organs of sense and action, and five subtle elements (in all 18), p 68 Suktas of Rgveda (1-1017), p 304, S.s. of Rgveda, some, found in other Vedas, p 398, Ss, in Vedas, p 401 Sulabhā Maitreyī, p 371, Sumantu, p 418 Sun, worship of, p 45, S, oalled Asura-han, p 94 Sung-yun, a Chinese pilgrim, p 3 Sunga dynasty, pp 81, 119, 182, 206, 513, S, following Mauryas, p 111 Sungas, pp 17, 518 Supara, ref to, in Mahabharata. p 387 Surastra, pp 24, 31, 38, 134, S, (same as Kāthīawād) p 23, S. Parņadatta. governor of, p 44, S, Valabhi dynasty in, p 111 fn 3 Sureśvara, pupil of Samkaracarya, ъ 59. Suris, p 287 Surya, p 210 Sutler, p 104. Sutras (prose aphorisms), p 52, Ss, pp 53, 54, 323, 371, 409, 410, 418, 419, 420, 494, Ss of Kanada, p 224 and fn1, Ss, mention of Purapas in some of the, p 407 Suvarnakāras, p 37 Suvāstu, name of river (modern Swat) branch of Kabul river (Papini IV 277), p 104 Suvišakha, a Pablava, son of Kulaīpa, minister to Rudradaman, p 24 Svaha, p 493. Svahakrti, p 493 Svāmin, title of a Bhāsyakara, p Svaml-Mahasena, temple of, p 43 Svāmi-(Rudra?) sena, son of Svāmi-Simhasena (Mk), p 26 Svāmī Rudra Sāh, pp 280, 281 Svāmi-Rudrase_a of Svamı-ROD Mahaksatrapa Rudradaman (Mk.), p 26.

Mahāksatrapa Satyasena p 26 Svāmi-Simhasena, sisters Svāmi-Rudrasena (Mk), p 26 Svarita (vertical accent), p 227 Svasvarupavirodhin p 286 Śvetāmbara Jamas, olaim to represent the original Jainism, p 375 Švetāšvatara Upanisad, pp 64 fn1, 328, S, Kapıla referred to, in p 64 Svistakrt, p 308 Swat, same as Suvastu river of Papini IV 2 77, branch of Kabul river, р 104. Syria, pp 3, 12, S., Antiochus, king of, oalled Yonaraja, by Asoka, pp 178 388

Svāmi-Rudrasimha, son of Svāmi-

(Mk).

Tad, pp 149, 155 Taddhita affixes, p 124 Tagore Law Lecturers, p 408 Tailang Vaidika praising princes of Gaikwad and Travancore, p 230 Tailanga Brahmana wandering for Daksina, p 223 Tailangana, study of Veda in, p 223 Taittlrīya identified with Tartar (wrongly traced), p 337 Taittirīya Prātiśākhya, p. 228 Taittleīya Śākhā, p. 378 Talttırīya Upanısad, Mbh quoted in, by Sayana, p 90 Taittirīyas, p 228, Ts, Sākhā of, p 372 Takh-i-Bahl, to the North-east of Peshawar, inscription of Gondophares discovered at, p 31, T, p 32 Takkhasıla, p 295 Taksaśilā, pp 23, 517, T, or Taxila, p 518 Taksasıladı group, (Pāņini IV 393), p 106 Tamas, pp 66, 67, 69 Tambapannı, pp 11, 12 Tāṇḍya Brāhmaņa, p 482

Tangyes, his engine factory at Birmingham, visited by the author, p 339.

Tantrayuktis, p 329

Tāpī, river, p. 254.

Tara, p 370.

Tārāpīda, King of Ujjayinī, (oharaoter in Kādambarī of Būņa), p 86.

Tartar identified with Taittiriya (wrongly traced), p. 377.

Tartary, p. 378.

Tathagata or Buddha dootrine referred to, in Vasavadatta of Subandhu, p 58, T., p. 163.

Tatparyaparisuddhi, Com by Udayana on Uddyota, p 318.

Tatpurusa compound, Pāpini II. 4 23, p 110, T, pp 502, 503.

Tatrabhagavatah, p 215

Tatrabhavat (respected), pp 214, 215. Tatrabhavatah (i.e of God or a God), p. 216

Tattva, (one entity), p 287

Tattvas or principles of Sämkhya system 25 in number viz Prakṛti or Pradhāna, Mahat-tattva (or Buddhī), Ahamkāra, five senses, five organs of action, Manas, five subtle elements, five objects of the senses (or gross elements) and Purusa, pp. 66, 67.

Tattvacintāmaņi, p. 317.

Taukshika, e. Toxótês (Gr) name of a Zodiac sign, p 388

Taurós (Gr) : e. Tāvuru name of a Zodiao sign, p 387

Tavuru: e Taurós (Gr) name of a Zodiac sign, p 387.

Taxila, pp 21-23, T or Taksasila, p 518

Tejamasa (: e Greek Epiphanous)

Tādārasa (tejamasa : e, brilliant),
p. 20

Tejas, pp 67, 285, 288

Telang, Vice-Chancellor of Bombay University, his life-notice by Sir Raymond West, p 427, T., appreciation about, by the author and his premature death mourned, ibid, T, p 432.

Telephus, p 20.

Temple, Captain, p 342; T., account of Dictorary of Hindustani Proverbs given by, at Vienna Congress, p. 344

Tennyson, two lines from his poem Locksley Hall, quoted, p 354,

Testamont, New, p. 387.

Teutons, p. 390

Thana dist., inscriptions in, p. 517.

Theophilus, p. 19.

Thibaut, Dr., quoted, p. 57 and fn1, 409

Thomas, St., is said to have visited Gondopheres, p. 32.

Thomas, Mr., Edward, a Bengal civilian devoted to study of Indian antiquities, p 335.

Thuoydides, p. 420.

Ti (term), pp 500, 501, 505, 506, 508, 509.

Tiastenes, same as Castana, acc. to Ptolemy, p. 24; T., ruling at Ujjayini, p. 515.

Tiglath-pileser, p, 97,

Tigris, p. 97.

Timira, disease, p. 73.

Tirthadarsin, seer of saving truth, Patafijali called, so by Bhartrhari, p. 184.

Tiru Vikrama, king of Chera Country converted by Sathkaracarya from Jainsom to Saiva faith, p 88; T. I, II, pp 88, 89.

Tod, Col, date of Hammira acc. to, p. 91.

Tom, p 176

Tonakiatsekia, mentioned by Hiuen Thrang as name of a country, Chinese equivalent of Dhanakataka, p 276

Toramana, prince (of Huna race), pp 42, 521

Toxótés (Gr) : e. Taukshika name of a Zodiac sign p. 388

Tradarasa (1. e Greek Sötèros) corruption of tratarasa (Skr tratuh) p 20.

Trādata 1. e trātuh (high-sounding epithet on Coins) p 32.

Traikutakas, dynasty of, ruled in Maharastra and Gujrat, p 414. Trasarenu, p 69. Travancore, Raja of, liberal patron of Veda-reciters, p 230 Trinity, Puranic, p 69 Trirasmi (name of a hill), pp 252 f., 272, 273, 282 Trstu race, p 422 Tsaukuta, same as Raukuta, aco to Hwan Thsang, p 104 Tulsīdāsa, observations made þγ Grierson at Vienna Congress on, p 342 Tungabhadra, Hamhara at the junction of, and Harida, p 93 Turamāya (Ptolemy Philadelphos of Egypt), 285-247 B C, p 12 Turaman race, p. 389. Turks, p 33 Turkish Kings, p 35 Turkish language of some coins, p 34 Turkomans of Central Asia settled in Western part of India, acc, to Jackson, p 528 Turuska race, Kaniska, Haviska and Vasudeva or Vasuska of Kusana dynasty belonging to, acc Rājatarangiņī, p 33, T., generio name for Indo-Scythic Kings, ref to, in Viśvaguņādarśa, p 118, T or Indo-Scythian princes, Kansika, Huska and Juska, p 167 Turuska or Soythian kings, p 367 Turuskas, p 178 Tyndall, a scientist, influenced Carlyle, p 454. Udatta, p 502

Udayagırı, inscription at, pp. 40, 43, Udayana, his Kıraņāvalī, p 299, his com Tatparyaparıśuddhı Uddyota, p 318 Udayanācārya, p 10 Uddālaka Āruni, pp 487, 489 Uddyota, work of Bharadvaja, p 59, U., com of Vacaspation, p 411, U, of Nagojibhatta, pp 317, 318 Udgātar, p 491

Ujjain, p 326 Ujjayinī, pp 22, 27, 29, 31, 52, 210, 376, 389, 414 517, 578; U. (Ozene), p. 24. U, Capital of Vikramaditya, p. 50, U, temple of Mahakala in, mentioned in Bāņas Kādambarī, p 86, Un under Panini IV 2 127, p 103, U., Trastenes or Castana rnling at, p 515 Ujjayinī Mahāksatrapas, p 41. Ullayınıpuravaradhısvara title, p 50 Ulupī, wife of Arjuna, ref. to, in Kādambarī, p 86 Ulysses, his bow, same as that of Siva, (wrong analogy), p 386 Umākhelā, queen, p 239 Unadi, pp. 146, 507 Universe, p 64. University, the idea of p 438 Unsubstantial, world wrapped up in the, p 6 Upadeya (what is to be sought and secured), p 287 Upadha, pp 498, 499 Upakarana ceremony, (1 e Sravani) pp 305, 309, 314 Upanayana, p 322 Upanisads, pp 6, 8, 64, 229, 287, 292, 293, 324, 338, 387, 398-400, 403-405, 409, 410, 420, 423, 471, 477, Us, Purāņas referred to in, p 55, Us., Samkhya terms in, p 62, Us., date of, 6th or 7th century B O, p 468 Upapada compound, p 221 Uparkot, p 23 Upasaka, a lay follower of Buddhism, (Aśoka), p 13 Upasarga, p 496. U under Paņini I. 4 59, p 497 Upasargas, p 140 Upaya (way to God), pp 284, 287 Upāyas (ways to God) are Karmayoga, Jūanayoga, Bhaktıyoga, Prapattiyoga and Acaryabhimanayoga, p 285 Upayavirodhin, p 286 Upendravajrā metre, p. 212 Urddhasthana, Ortospan (modern Kabul) derived from, aoo to Prof Wilson, p 105

Usas, Rg. hymns addressed to, pp. 321, 322 Usavaddia, son-in-law of Nahapitan, pp 31, 38 39, 238, 255-257, 242 516, Un inscriptions of pp 278 252, U., son-in-law of Keaharaia Rahapana and husband of Daksamirs p 514. Utgikar, Mr., Mahabharata Prospectus prepared by, p. 424 Utpatti, p. 151. Uttar's, wife of Abhimanyu ref. to in Kūdambarī, p. 86 Uttaral Anda of Ramasana, p. 406 Uttarapatha or Northern India. p 366 Uttara Rama Carita, p 150 U. p 217, U., III not of, is pathetic, p 421 Umarārba, p. 257.

> Vilonspati author of Virtikatatparyatīkā, pp 59, 299, 318, 411. Vacaspatimišra, p. 299 Vadava Pratithoyi, p. 371 Vadha, p 209 Vaidika, a living Vedlo Library, p 226, Vaidika Brahmans, p. 346 Vaidikas, important class of Bhilaukas oalled, pp 225, 228-230. Valdya, L R., MA., LLB, author of Sanskrit Dictionary, his promature death referred to, p 428 Vaidyaka, p. 328 Vaijayantī, Bhūtapāla, a Seth of, p. 38 Vaikuntba, p. 284 Vaināšikas (nihilists), p. 293 Vairagya, p 66 Valsampāyana, p. 418 Valsesika system, p 410 Vaišesikas, p 69 Vaispava character of Mahabharata, Vaisņavism, rise of, pp. 293, 411 Vaiśvānara, body of God oailed, Valyākaraņa sohool, p. 316.

Vajarancyaka, vill by the only Veda in latter part of Kaliyuga, acc. to Anni Porara, p. 272 and fol. Vafe, p. 10.

Vakovakya defined an Tarka fotra by hadikaricitya and Rallon Riminuja, p. 525

Val patirija, author of Caularadha 15- 255 275,

Valyamitta, p. 159

Vikyapadiya of Bhartifhari, pp. 115-124, 106, 141, 166.

Valsbil, in Kathiawsd, under 1 soud IV, 252, p. 103, V., p. 281.

Anladhi dynasty, p 111 for V. Copper plate Grant of, dated, \$26, p 163

Vallabla, pp. 591-505 Vallabla, pp. 77 fn2, 469.

Vallabhade a his bubbisitivali, edited by Dr. Peter Peterson, p. 525

Valmili, pp 257, 393. V. influenced by Homeric idean (wrong analogy), p 386.

Viimana, p. 301

Vaihanstha motre, p. 84

Vanaparva, p. 88

Vanch or Wanneh province of Afghanistan (same as l'a-la-nu, aco to Hwan Theong and Bannu, acc. to Goneral Cunningham), p 101.

Vāņija (Vānika), p. 37. Vāņika (Vāņija), p. 37

Vapa, p. 493

Varaha (Boar) incarnation of Visnup. 42, V, p. 288

Varühamihira (astronomor), pp. 50, 57, 58, 233, 374, 376, 378, 387, 389, V, edition of, by Korn, p. 177, his verse giving Zodiao signs from Greeks, p. 320 fn1; V, Āryā giving twolvo names of Zodio signs givon by, p. 387, V, Garga quoted by, p. 388

Varaņā (Pāņini. IV. 2.82) perhaps Aornos same as, p. 105, V., name of city as well as its people. ibid Varanādi graps (Pārina IV. 2.02)

Varaņādi group (Pāņim IV 2.82), p 103

Varanah, p. 500.

Varanas or Baranas the name of place on right bank of Indus, p. 105 Vararuci, pp 374, 376, his Prakasa, p 123 fn1

Varcin, name of Asura, p 94.

Vardhakas (carpenters), p 37

Vardhamāna, son of Gangesa, p 299

Varņu (Pāṇini IV 2103, IV. 393) probably same as Fa-la-nu (province of Afghanistan) of Hwan Theang, p 104

Varsa, p 219

Varsma (°n), p 219

Vartamana, p. 497.

Vartika on Bhasya of Sabarasvamin, p 58

Vārtika (rule) by Kātyāyana, pp 108, 133, 134, 138, 140 and fn1, 141, 194, 296, 318, V. on Pānini III.1 26, p 209, V, on Pāṇini II 3 36, p 212

Vārtikas, pp 142-147, Vs. (short critical dicta on Pānini's Grammatical sūtras), pp 157, 176, 178, 374, V.s of Kumārilabhatta, p 319.

Vārtikatātparyatīkā.com. on Uddyota, by Vācaspati, pp 59, 299, 318

Varuna, Asura epithet applied to, p 94.

Varuņa-tree, amulet of, p 95 Vāsanā (habit), p 73 Vasațkāra, p 485.

Vāsavadattā, work of Subandhu, pp 47, 343, V., Tathāgata or Buddha doctrine referred to, 111, p 58, V., edition of, by Dr Hall, p 85 fn4, V., a work of Subandhu mentioned in Harsacarita of Bāṇa, pp 87, 375, V., of Subandhu, many allusions to Mbh., 111, p 87

Vasistha, pp 371, 423

Väsistha Dharma Sutras, p 408

Vasistha Mandala, Danastuti, hymn 18 of, p 321, V., p 422.

Vāsisthas, p 422

Vāsisthī, pp 275, 276

Väsisthiputra Šätakarni, his wife represented as daughter of a Mahaksatrapa in a mutilated inscription at Kanheri, p 515; V., Catarapana Sātakarni, this name occurs in a Nanaghat inscription acc. to Bhagwanlal Indray, p. 516, V, of Kolhapur coins same as Pulumāyi ibid, V, p 517.

Vasubandhu, pp. 52, 58, V., Buddhist patriarch, his pupil Dinnaga, p. 51.

Bhagavat (Kṛsṇa), Vāsudeva pp 43, 174, 175, 177, 210-214, 285, 289-291,293, 327, 411, V, (as god) referred to, by Panini in IV 3 98, p 82; V., should be first in speaking of V. and Arjuna, p 213, V, to be taken in his Divine capacity and not a mere Ksatriya, ibid, tendency towards calling V., as ordinary man, acc to Kielhorn, p 214, V, passage, IV. III. 98, p 215; V spoken of as divine being both by Panini and Patanjaii, p 216, V., founder of theistic system ibid V, called Satvatapumgava, p. 292

Vāsudeva, first of Kānvāyanas, p 17, V, (or Bazdeo), p 29; V., or Vāsuska (Kusana prince), p 33, V., name of a King at Sanci, p 35, V., invocation to, (on inscriptions), p 38, V., Devabhūti killed by, p 513

Vasumitra, grandson of Puspamītra, p 182

Väsuska p 29, V or Väsudeva (Kusana prince), p 33

Vasu Uparicara, follower of Satvata religion, p. 291.

Vātsyāyana, pp 324, 411, V, or (Paksilasvāmin), p 59, his bhāsya on Nyāya, pp 65, 317, 328, V, earliest notice of Kautilya's work in Kāmasāstra of, p 328, his Kāmasūtra his date 1st A D, p 329

Vaux, Mr., sometime Secretary of Royal Asiatic Society, London, p. 336

Vayu (or wind), p 67

Vāyupurāņa, pp. 42, 283, 346, 407, V., (creation referred to, in), p 56, V., a ref. to Puspamitra as name of some dynasty in, p 111 fn3

Veds, pp 47, 139, 223, V of Asuras (Asurī Vidyā), p. 98.

Veda-reciters, patronised by native princes, p 229,

Vedas, pp 54, 59, 91, 124, 216, 225, 230, 287-290, 293, 294, 320, 323, 368, 371, 373, 378, 396, 400, 401, 418, 419, 476, their authority denied by Buddhism p 10. V.s preceded Sutras, p. 81, V.s, all four, form one whole acc to Visnupurana, p. 419.

Vedamulaka, based on Vedas, p 184 Vedana, one of the five Skandhas, p. 8 ful

Vedāngas pp. 225, 228.

Vedānta, pp. 63, 72, 76, 287, 298, V, Bhāsya of Samkarācārya on, pp. 62, 65, 77 fn2

Vedāntabhāsya of Rāmānuja, p. 288 Vedāntakalpataru of Amalānanda pp 298, 300.

Vedāntakhanda of Hemādri, p 298 Vedānta Sāra, quoted, p ?? inl Vedāntašāstra, p. 329

Vedāntasūtra bhāsya of Samkarācārya II. 1 1, 2, 42, p 288, V on, I. 4.11, p 300

Vedāntasūtras, pp 289, 338, V., Mbh and Gītā quoted in Samkara's Bhāsya on, p 88, V or an Aupanisada system, pp 62, 294.

Vedio Āryans, neighbours of Assyrians acc. to Inscription, p 99, V. culture, p 99, V. hymns, p 477, V. Library, a Vaidika is a living, p 226, V. people, p 97, V. or Sacrificial religion (Karmakānda effectual blow to, at the bands of Aśoka) pp. 16, 49, V. rites, p 10, V. ritual, p, 10, V. Rsis, p 99

Venice, author's stay at, for three days in October 1886, p 355

Venisamhāra, drama by Bhaṭṭa Nārāyaṇa, based on Mbh story, p 89, V, quoted by Kāvyaprakāśa, p. 91, V. quoted in Paddhati of Sārngadhara, p. 92.

Venus, the planet Sukra, p 321. Vernacular dialects, p. 45. Vernaculars, p. 61. Versatlles, p. 339.

Vestana, p 227.

Vibhava (Manifestation of God), pp. 285, 289.

Vidhavas, p. 293.

Videbas, Mithila, Capital of. p. 347. Vidisa, pp 17, 111 in3, 276, 517.

Vidya (education), p 470

Vienna, pp. 339, 340, 345, 350, 351, 353, 355, 356, V. Congress of Orientalists held at, 1886, p. 332, V., English, German, French and Italian languages only recognised by, p. 342, comparison between Vienna Congress and assemblage of Rsis at Janaka's Capital Mithila drawn by author in his Verses sung, at Aryan section of Vienna Congress, p. 347.

Vienna Oriental Journal, p 148
Viennese lady, present at Vienna Congress compared to Gargi Vacaknavi in author's Verses sung at Vienna Congress, p. 347

Vihāras, p 37

Vijayaditya, p 85 fn5

Vijayanagara or Anagundi, p. 80, V, Bukka king of, p. 90.

Vijayasena son of Dāmasena (K & Mk), p. 26

Vijūāna, one of the five Skandhas, p. 8 fn1.

Vijnana Bhiksu, his bhasya on Samkhya Pravacana, pp 65, 68.

Vijnanesvara, p 408.

Vikalpa (option), p 310.

Vikrama era, pp 32, 280-282.

Vikrama King, p 301

Vikramānkacarita, p. 365

Vikramänkädevacarita, written by Bilhapa, its hero ruled over Southern India in 11th and 12th centuries, p 2

Vikramāditya, pp 50, 52, 375, 376, V, Šakāri (enemy of Šakas tradition about, applicable to Candragupta II, p. 49, V., King of Śrāvastī (Sāketa) acc. to Chinese authorities p. 51, V., esa, p. 325, V., Mālava-

gaṇa identified with the name of, as Saka era with that of Sāliva-vāhana or Sātavāhana, p 326, V founder of Sāmvat Era, p 374, V., Candragupta II oalled so, p 520

Vilāsavatī, Queen of Tārāpīda (Characters in Bāņa's Kādambarī), pp 86, 369

Vilivāyakura, same as Baleccuros of Ptolemy, p. 515 V, Viceroy first of Vāsisthīputra and then of Gotamīputra acc to anthor (vide R G B Works Vol III pp 30, 413f), pp 516, 517.

Vimalodayamālā, p. 308

Vinaya, its etymological sense, p 462

Vināyaka, Com of Śāmkhāyana Grhya Sūtra, p 306

Vināyakašānti, Sūtras on, in Katha Sūtra, p 53, V., in Yājāavalkya Smṛti, agrees with Sūtras of Katha School, p 408

Vincent Smith, his Early History of India (from 3rd Cen B C to 7th Cen. A. D) reviewed, pp 511-522

Vīracarīta II, p 150

Virasena, Commander-in-Chief of Agnimitra, p 111 fn3, V., (called Abhīra or cowherd), p 282

Virāta, ref. to, in Vāsavadattā, p 87 Virodhinah (obstructions to the attainment of God), p 284.

Virodhins are Svasvarūpa,° Parasvarūpa,° Upāya,° Purusārtha,° and Prāpti°, pp 286, 287

Virya (power), pp 285, 288, 470, 472, 474.

Visa, p 12

Višākha i e Bizago (Greek name on coins), p 34

Visakha, pp 188-191, 194, 196, 198

Visākhah, pp 125, 126, 154-156, 170-172, 193, 198, 204.

Visarga, p 497

Višesa, pp. 152, 153

Visnu (god), Bhagavat, pp 44, 56, 69, 285, 293, 301, 302, 407, 411, 419, his Varāha (Boar) incarnation, p 42, V. god (on inscriptions), p 43, V., worship of, p. 45; V., cave temple

to p 45, his ten forms, p 301, V., among synonyms of, Krsna is mentioned but not Rāma by Amara, p 406, V, Vāsudeva of Bhāgavata school and of Bhagavadgītā afterwards identified with, p 411.

Visnugupta or Canakya, anthor of Arthasastra, acc to Jacobi. Nandas overthrown by, and Candragupta Maurya raised to the throne by, pp 328, 330

Visnu Purāna, pp 16, 42, 56, 407, 419, V later than Vāyu P, p 56, V, ref. to Puspamitra as name of Bāhlīka dynasty in, p 111 fn3, V, edition of Wilson p. 233, (Ch XXIV) p 278 fn1, (Ch XXV), p 280 fn1, V, ref to Vyāsa in, p 418 Visnuvardhana, predecessor of Yasodharman, p 42

Visvagunādarsa, ref to Turuska in, p 118

Viśvāmitra p 371, V, and Vasistha leaders of Bhārata race and Purohitas of Sudās, p 422

Visvaksena, p 284.

Visvanātha Paūcānana, author of Muktāvalī, p 503

Viśvasena, son of Bhartrdaman (K.), p 26

Visvasimha, son of Rudrasena (K and Mk.) p 26

Viśvāvasu, ref. to, in Mrcchakatika, p 88

Vithobā often alluded to, in Haripātha of Jūāneśvara, p. 324, V God, no mention of, in Jūāneśvarī, ibid.

Vonones, pp 21, 519, V., p 21, V, coins of, p 27, V., the first supreme sovereign represented as Mahārāja, p 28

Vrddhabrahma Samhita, (Pañoaraira-S), p 290

Vrddh1, p 498

Vrsabha, first Tirthamkara of Jainas, essay on the myth of, by the French Scholar at Vienna Congress, p 344 Vrsais (Yadava tribe), p. 292

Vun (1 c Aka) termination (IV 398) pp 212, 213

Vuppadevabhatta, p 307. Vyaghrarāja, King of Mahūkāntāra, Vyāhrtis, p. 489 Vyākaraņa, p 400. V_Sākaraņa, Patañjalı's bhāsya on, Vyākaraņa Sāstra, p 316 Vyākhyāna (of a sūtra), p. 195. Vyākhyāna of Patañjalı, p 141 Vyakhyana (speaking about), pp Vyapadeśa, pp 152, 153 Vyāsa, pp 85, 393, 395, 418, 419, as grandfather, by Pandavas and Kanravas, p V, taught Rgveda to Palla, Yajur-V, veda to Vaisampāyana, Sāmaveda 0f to Jaimini, and Atharvaveda Sumantu aco to Visnupurana, thid, V., in his manifold oapaoitles, pp. 418, 419, V, author of all Purapas also, p. 419, V ; e expansion or analysis as opposed to Samasa, e, contraction or synthesis, thid, V., author of Mahabharata, thid, V, identification of action (expanslon) With the actor: analysis denoting analyser, thid, Vyuha (manifestation of God), p 285, V pp 286, 289, Vyuhas (forms), p 288 Vyutpattı-paksa, p 501

Walter Elliot, p 277 Wanne or Vaneh, province of Afghanistan, (same as Fa-la-nu, aco to Hwan Theang and Bannu, acc. to General Cunningham), p 104. Wassiljew, quoted, fn3, 52 fn1, 58 fn3 Weber, Prof., pp 118, 120, 126, 131pp 47 fn4, 51 136, 162, 173, 306, 308, 393, 403, 478, his remarks on date of Patanjali, p 115, W, passage Tha Puspamitram Yajayamah' not noticed by, pp 115, 116, W, name Madhyamika misunderstood by, P 119, W, on date of Patanjali, p 122, W,

Patanjali lived to east of Pataliputra acc to, p. 123, W. Katyayana as eastern grammarian ace to, ibid. his letter, P 123 fn2, his Critique on Dr Goldstücker, p 125, W on Vartika 2 on III. 2. 111, p 128, W on Puspamitra, p. 130, his essay on Ramayana, translated by Boyd, p 217, W., Vice-President of Aryan Section at Vienna Congress attended by author p 341, W and Roth compared to Yajnavalkya in author's sung at Vienna Congress, W on Zodiac signs, p 388 $V_{\tt ersos}$ Wema-Kadphises (Kusana P. 347, pp 33-35, 38, 48, 49 52, 56 Wema or Hima Kadphises same as prince), West, Mr, pp 239-241, 245, 246, 259 fn2, 271, 275, his excellent lithographs followed translating Nasik Cavo Insorip. tions, pp 231, 232 author in West, Sir Raymond, Vice-Chancellor of Bombay University, p 427. Western Malwa, p 42 Westminister Abbey, p 333 Whitney, American Sanskrit scholar Widow remarriage, p. 303

his

Williams, Diotionary, pp 187, 188 Wilson, Profestor, Prakrti acc. to him, is material cause of things, p. 69, W, Avarana (enolosure) aoc. to, p 104, his Ariana Antiqua, p 104fn1, W, Ortospan (modern Kabul) derived fromfrom Urddhasthana aoc to, P 105, W. Oxydrakæ tribe identified with Sudrakas of Puranas by, P. 106, his V_{18\text{\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$} 3, 233, his Visqupurāņa, Ch. XXIV, p 278 fnI, Ch. XXIV, p 280 fn1, W., P 348 Windham, General, victory over, by mutineers at Kalpee, p. 467 Windisch of Leipzig, present at Vienna

Wordsworth (Poet) quoted, pp. 72 fn1, p 454 Wordsworth, Prin., p 356

X-rays, allusion to, in the Rgveda, acc to some, p 418.

Yad, pp. 148, 154.

Yādava race, pp 292, 298, Y. tribes (Andhakas, Vṛṣṇis), p 292

Yadu, Sātvat, name of a descendant of, p 291.

Yadu race, p 425

Yajūas, p 411

Yajūa-mandapas, p 411

Yajūa-mandapas, p 411

Yajūasrī Šātakarņi, pp 30, 31 Y. later than Polumāvi, p 514; Y., in

Yajnasrī Sātakarņi, pp 30, 31 Y. later than Polumāyi, p 514; Y., in Sopāra Coin, p 516; Y., same as Sātakarņi subdued by Rudradāman, p 517.

Yājāavalkya, pp 7, 8, 346, 347, 399, 420; Y., will be Purohita of Kalki King, p. 222 and fn2, Y., first teacher or founder of White Yajurveda, p :bid, Y., Weber and Roth compared to, in author's Verses sung at Vienna Congress, p 347

Yājňavalkya Smrti, pp 53, 224, and fn1, p 468, Y., Vināyaka Santi in, agrees with Sutras of Katha School, p 408

Yajnık, Javirilal Umıashankar; p 332. Yājūtkas, some of Bhiksukas called, p 225

Yajurveda, pp 378, 418, Y, Black, pp 223, 228, Y, followers of, in Sonth India, pp 222, Y,, Citpāvana Brāhmanas have, as well as Rgveda, ibid, Y, White, predominant in Northern India, p 223, Y, p 468, Y, Prātišākhya of, p 315

Yajurvedis, p 229

Yajus-Samhitā, pp 224 and fn1, 482 Yājyā, pp 489, 492

Yalya verses, p 321

Yaksa, of Meghaduta, mentions Kuruktetra, p 85 Yama, p 285

Yamuna, (Jumna) conquests of Menandros pushed upto, pp 108, 117

Yaska, author of Nirukta, pp 323, 396 423, 468, 469, 470, 472, 473, Y., and Panini, same period assigned to, p 161, Y, on definition of Samhita, p 498

Yaśodāman son of Rudrasimha (K), p 26, Y, son of Dāmasena (K. and Mk), ibid

Yasodharman, Mihirakala, subjugated by, p 42, Y., p 43

Yaśovarman, sovereign of Kanoj conquered by Lalitaditya, p 368,

Yas tv Etah, p 148

Yeta (well-behaved), p 473,

Yatındramatadınıka, pp 285, 237.

Yaudheyas. tribes, p 39,Y s, (Pāņini V. 3 117), p 107

Yavakrīta, p 404

Yāvakrītika (one who reads or knows Ākhyāna of Yavakrīta) under Pāṇini IV 2 60, p 404.

Yavana, pp 101, 131, 174, 176, 177; Y or Greek prince, p 18, his siege of Saketa, p 173, Y. (who laid siege to Saketa): e Menander alluded to, by Patañjali, p 178

Yavana race, p 293

Yavanas, pp 38, 48, 53, 54, 116, 183, 184, 404, Ys., or Baotrian Greeks p 17, Ys, beaten by Gotamīputra Sātakarņi, p 30, Ys, spoken of, as astronomers in Gārgī Samhitā, p 178; Y.s., (ie Mlecchas) Sāstra of Astronomy and Astrology well known among, p 320 fal, Ys, same as Mlecchas, p 388.

Yayatı, p 404

Yayatika (one who reads or knows the Akhyana of Yayati) under Panun IV. 2 60, p 404.

Yoga, pp 328, 410, Y., philosophers p 78, Y., Sastra, p 285, Y, School p 64; Y-Vasistha, p 409

Yogacara School, founded by Aryasanga (or Asanga), pp 58, Y doctrines of, p. 73; Y., Buddhistic works of, p. 409.

Yogacaras, p. 74.

Yona King named Autiyoko, pp. 11, 12; Y., in Pali i. e. Milinda reiguing at Śakala in Panjab identified with Bactro-Greek monarch Menaudros, p. 388.

Yonaraja, Antiochus, King of Syria, called so, by Aśoka, pp 178, 388.

Yudhişthira, p. 54, Y, (reference to in copper plate), p. 85, Y., Gonarda I, contemporary of, p. 366, Y, mentioned by Patafijali, p. 404.

Yudhisthirarjunau, this compound occurring in Patanjali's work in several places, p.83.

Yule, Colonel Henry, sometime member of India Council and President of the Royal Asiatic Scolety, p. 335; Y, p 336.

Yupa (on inscription), p. 43.

Zeionises (name of Northern Ksatrapa), his come, pp. 22, 23.

Zend Haraquiti (i.e Archotis river)
i.e Skr. Sarasvati, p. 104.

Zodino signs, not adopted from Greeks by Hindus noo. to some, p. 320 fn1, Z, twelve signs of the, are translation of Greek names, p. 387. Zoilus, p. 20.

Zoronstrians, p. 49.

Zygón (Gr.) i e. Jūka, namo of a Zodiao sign, p. 388.

INDEX II*

INDEX OF SANSKRIT AND PRAKRIT WORDS

अइरकानं (आर्यकानां, अजरकानं) p 241 अकर p 234 अक्त P p 382 अक्ति Pr , p 382 अक्षततत्त्व P 242 अक्षि Skr आंख H G अमर P आरिव B O. अक्ति Pr 7 382 अगियतणक p 271 अग्रहार p 256 fn 1. अहस्य p 144. सङ्घा. p. 508 अजकालकीयं (अयकालकीयं: ०कालिकं) p 246 अज्झन p 144. अड्डी Pr p 382. अप्र p 507. अतो लोप¹, p 145 अत्यन्त · · · · यज्ञदत्ती on Pānini VIII 1.15, p 83 fn 5 अत्यन्तभगबद्भक्त p 44 fn 1. सब pp 144, 145 अत्र प्रकृते · · · संभवति, Samkhyasāra, p 68fn 1 अत्वसन्त p 144 अथवोद्गिरस p 224 fn 1 चलात् p 88 fn 3 अय सत्यवत अ (आ •)दाणसादकं (आदानसातकं or **्खानकं)** p 246 अदिमानतम (अदिमान्यतम) p 242 अय त नास्ति, p 86 fn 2 अध्यवसाय p 410 अध्यापि p 146 अनामसं (अनामुद्रयं) p 246 अनिटि p 146 अनिदितां p 145 अनुदात्त p 145 अनुनासिक p 144

अनुशोणं पाटालिपुत्रम् , p 5 fn 1. अनूप p 234 अन्यतरस्यां p 145 अन्यस्य च • स्मरणात , Vedānta Bhāsva II 1 1 p. 64 fn 5 अपण्य भविष्यति, p 168 fn 2 अपण्य pp 191. 194 195 अपण्ये p. 168. अपरदासिण (अपरदक्षिणीय) p. 246 अपरान्त p 234. अपारिल p 274. अपावेसं (अप्रावेद्यं) p 246 अपासिनव p 14 fn 3. अभ्यञ्जन Skr , भीजना H भिजलें M भिजवं G p 380. अभ्यन्तर Skr भतिर H. भितर M, p.379. अम्बरीप p. 234 अम्बाहर Ap , p. 384 अंबाहा H p 384 अम्माहओ Pr p 384. अयकाय (आर्यकाया) p 234. अयामन्ता p 146 अरघट Skr रहट H रहाट M p 379 अरठसविनीयकं (अराष्ट्रसविनयिकं oसाविनयं) p 246 अरुणयवन साकेतम्, pp 173, 175 अर्जुन pp 213 fn 1, 234 अर्थ p. 238. अवगति p 505 अवगम, pp 504, 505. अवन्ती p 234 अवियादीना • हेतका p. 75 fn 1 अशिष्यत्वे pp 506 507 50°, असमक p 234 अश्वमेध p 361 अम्बल p 361 असमिद्ध (आश्रमे) p 248

[•] In this Index the following abbreviations are used M = Marathi, H.= Hindi, Ap = Apabhramsa, G = Gujarathi, P = Panjabi, S = Sindhi, B = Bengali, O = Oriya, Pr = Prakrit and Skr = Sanskrit

आसिक P. ²³⁴. असिन्द् p. ¹⁴⁴. असिन्द्वद p. ¹⁴⁴ अस्थि Skr. हाड M G B. O हाड, हड्ड or हड्डी H हड्ड or हड्डी P अट्टी • Pr, p 382

आंवळा M , p 383. आकोकेर. p. 387 आंख H G., p. 382 आखि B O., p. 382 आणेतन (आज्ञप्तेन) p. 247 आदित्य p. 174. आप् p. 146. आप pp 505, 508. आभादधिकार P 144 आमलओ Pr, p. 383. आमलक Skr आमलओ Pr. आंवलड. Ap आंबळा M आंबला Or आमला H P.B, p 383. आमला H. P B., p. 383. आमि p. 144 आंबला H. P. B., p. 383. आंबाहा M., p 384 आम्रातक Skr. अम्माहओं Pr अम्बाहर M. आंबाहा M अंबाहा H., p 384. आर्धधातुके P. 145.

आवलंड Ap , p 383

आश्वलायनशाखा p 307 fn 1.

आश्वलायनीशाखा p. 308 fn 3

इ p. 146. इक्स P., p. 382. इस्स Skr. ऊंस M. ऊख or ईस H. इक्स P. उच्छ Pr, p. 382. इस् p. 146 इतिहास p 224 fn 1. इत्थासि p. 387. इत्त p 146. इदानींतन p. 177. इदानींतन प्रयोक्तु p. 177. इन्हन्पूषा p. 144.

इन्द्राग्नीदत्त p. 272.

इवा p. 153. इलु p. 274. इवे p. 170. इट pp. 145, 197, 298.

ईख or ऊख 11., p. 382. ईश्वरकृष्णनाम्ना.....कारिकाः, p. 60 fn 1.

उक्कते (उत्हत्य) p. 266. उच्छु Pr., p. 382. उज्जियिनी pp. 211 fn 1, 263. उत्तरपूर्वी p 504. उदिस (उद्दिश्य) p. 270. उपक्रम p 196 उपदेश p 136. उपिर Skr वर M, p 380. उपविश Skr. उवहस Pr, p 379. उपादान p. 214. उपाध्याय Skr. पाध्या M पाधे P, p.380. उपवदात, pp. 253, 257, 260, 261, 263.

ऊ M , p 383 ऊख or ईख् H., p. 382. ऊतराह (ओक्सराह) p. 272. ऊंस M , p. 382.

सम्बेदे पञ्चशाखाः आश्वलायनी, सांख्या-(शांखा°)यनी शाकला, बाष्कला, माण्डूका, p 308 fn 3. सन्च p. 224 fn 1. ऋज p. 469.

ऌ P. 146.

एकस्थं Skr कहा H., p 380. एतच्छास्त्रसणादिस, p. 507 एता p 170 एतासाम् p 170 एतासाम् p 170

कंसे pp 174, 211 fn 1. कच्छप p 302.

क्जे (कॉर्य) p |241 ਚੌਵੇਂ p 174 कटकं Skr कहअं Pr कहां M. (Goan. Mal and Chit), p. 384 कहा H, p 380. कहर्ज Pr, p 384. कहां M (Goan Mal and Chit) p 384 **ਵਾਹਤ** Ap, p 384 कण्टओ Pr, p 384 कण्टक Skr. कण्टओ Pr कण्टर Ap कांद्रा Ж Н В, р 384 कण्ह (कृष्ण) p 265 क्णहिंहिनि p 274 क्तादि pp 258, 260 क्तु H कान p 380 कनो लुप् , pp 168, 170. क्पणणऋ p 271 क्पुराहार p 261 क्सलनयन p 504 करवेणा p 253 क्णोबर्मन p 268 क्मिमार्ग p 471 कलम्बप्ररं p 307 fn1 कल्की p. 301 कल्प p 224 fn1 क्वि (कड Pr), p 379. पश्चिद्वौद्धसिद्धान्त इव क्षपितश्चतिवचन-द्शेनोभवत्, p 47 fn 3 कहोंड p 361 हांद्रा M. H. B , p 384 कानावा or नावा p 255. कापह St M कापाह Mal Goan, p 385 कापाह Mal. Goan, p 385 काम p 238 कायोपण pp 268, 273 किं या इत्यर्थ , p 506 किं • · संज्ञा, p 504 क्हिंग M, p 384 कीटक Skr कीहओ Pr कीहर Ap किहा 11 की हा H P की हा B, p. 384

कींडर Ap , p 384. कीडओ Pr, p 384 सीहा H P B, p 384 फीरत H G P, p. 381. कीर्ते Ж. Н. р. 381 कीर्ति Skr कीर्त M H. कीरत H. G. P., p 381 कुक्तर p 234 ऋक्ति Pr , p. 382 काशि Skr. कोरव H. P इस्व G कूस M. क्तिव Pr. p 382. क्कुल G , p. 382 ੜਹਾ p. 221 भेमसेन्य . Patañjalı IV. **ऋषेणो** 1 4, p 83 fn 2. क्लरीक (कैलिक) p 268 दुलीर p 387 द्भशन p 261. क्रशी p. 271 कुसण pp. 258, 259. क़सीय (का or केसीय) p 271 कर्म p 301 क्स XL p 382. ऋषा p 211 fn1. क्रणार्मामृत् p. 298 ह्यागिरि p 234 स्पाराज p 265. कुप p. 169 केकापुर p 263 ध्वंसिन , p. 58 fn 1. केचिजीमिनि केशव p 234. देशि p 266 कोख H. P, p 382. क्रोप p 181 क्रीर्घ्य p 387 क्रिय p 387 क्रियताञ्च ऋमश , p. 387. क़ीब p 303 क्षहरात क्षत्रप नहपान p. 253. खंडर Pr , p. 379 खगरात p 234 खगा(खा)रात p. 233,

सहप St. M. पहाप Mal. Goan., p. 385
सहाप Mal Goan, p. 385.
साणि Pr., p. 382.
सदिर Skr. सहर Pr. चेर M. H. P., चेर G., p. 379.
सानि Skr. साण M. सान H. साणि Pr, p. 382.
साण M, p. 382.
साल के (कोटिक) साटिक p. 274
सान H, p. 382.
सेर G., p. 379
सेर M. H. P., p. 379

गत M. H G P., p 381. गत (गर्त) p 274. गति Skr. गत M. H. G. P , p 381. गन्धर्वा p. 234 गम की p 145 गरुह p. 234 गार्गी वाचक्रवी p 361 युज Skr मूजू M मुन H, p 380. गोतमी p 250. गोनबन (for गोवधन) p. 242गोलंड Ap, p 384 गोलओ Pr, p 384 गोलक Skr गोलओ Pr गोलउ Ap गोळा M P गोला H B, p. 384 गोला H. B, p 384 गोळा M P, p 384 गोवर्धन pp 242, 249, 253, 260, 268 गैातमी pp 234, 235 गोतमीपुत्र p 266

घटा p 200 घोटक. Skr घोडओं Pr घोडउ Ap घोडा M H P. B O, p 383. घोटिका Skr घोडिआ Pr घोडी M G H P., p 383 घोडउ Ap, p. 383 घोडओं Pr, p 383. घोडा M H P B O, p. 383. घोडिआ Pr, p 383. घोडिआ Pr, p 383. घोडिआ Pr, p 383. घोडी H घोडा p 380 퍽p 145 चंअना (चार्या) p 248 च अपण्ये, p 170. चकेर p 233 चकोर p 234 चतु शाला p. 255. चन्द्रग्रसभा pp 182 fn1, 189 चरण p. 253 चरण (चरक) p 256 चस (इास्पा) p 274 चारणा p. 234. चिखलपाइ p 261. चिउंतिह्म (तिष्ठति) p 218. चिप्र p. 146 चिण्वत p. 146. चिण्वद्भाव p. 146. चितनं p. 234. चिनिचंदकरेहि p. 242 ाचे(ची)वरिक pp. 258, 259. चेचिक p. 263 ਚੌਕਾ pp. 235, 272. ਚਲੀ ਬੁਫ਼ p. 144.

छ p. 144. छत्तो (क्षिप्तो) p 247. छाकलेपक p. 273 छुप् p 401

जतन St M जतान Mal Goan, p. 385 जतान Mal Goan, p. 385. जनपद p. 508. जनपद gq, IV. 2.81, p. 507. जनमजय p. 234. जनसन p. 145. जयन्त p 307 fn1. जल्ल M., p. 383. जलो G., p. 383. जलोका Skr. जलोआ Pr. . जलो G., p. 383. जसा जैसा p. 379.

जात M. H G P. B., p 381. जाति Skr. जात M. H. G P B, p 381. जित्रम p. 387. जित्पर्याय सभा, Patañjali, p 111 fn1जिन p 242. जिनेन्द्र p. 301 जिज्ञा Pr , p. 381 जिम B. O. S., p 381 जिह्ना Skr जीम M H P G जिम B O, जिम S जिल्मा Pr, p 381 जी H, p 383 জীৱ Ap , p 383 जीओ Pr, p 383 जीभ M H P G, p 381 जीव Skr जीओ Pr जीउ Ap H,p 383 जीविकार्थे p 170 ज़िड़ Pr, p 383 ज़ही or जई M H G, p 383 ज्र H P G, p 383 जुक p 387. जूबा Pr, p 383 ज्ञानमार्ग p 471

झल् p 145

टिघुभादिसंज्ञानां, p 507 टिघुभाज्ञिसंज्ञानां प्रमाणत्वात्, pp 508, 500

हाह H , p 381

णेरानिटि p 146

तहस Ap, p 379.
सटपलिक p 271
तटपालिकाय = त(भ)टपालिकया, p 271
तत्र दावपि, p 505
तत्रभवत p 215
सद्शिष्यं संज्ञाप्रमाणत्वात, I 2 53,
pp 504, 508.
सहभयनिरोध सक्ति, p 76 fn 1.

तसा तैसा, p 379 तस्य निवास IV 2 69, p 507. त्क p 146 तादिस Pr, p 379 ताहुआ Skr तादिस Pr तइस Ap तैसा М Н Р, р 379. तापी p 253. ताबुर p 387 तिथण (पतिखन) Skr तत्स्थान त्रिस्थान p 240 तिथवारा (०रे Skr तीर्थद्वारे) p 246 तिरण्ह (तिरह्मि) Skr त्रिरदिम p 233 त्रक् p 144 तंऽसरा न्याकरणम् , p 95 तेल्लिओ-उ Pr and Ap, p 383 तेली M, p 383 तैलिक Skr तेलिओ-उ Pr Ap तेली M, p 383 तैसा M H, p 379 तोति (स्तौति) pp 258, 259, ताैक्षिक p 387 चिराइम pp 234, 235, 250, 253, 268, 271, 272

इंप्ट्र[ा] 8kr दाह M G हाइ H दाहा Pr., p 381 दखामिन р 261 दण्हादणिह p 504 दत्तिमञ्जक p 272 दता (दतं) pp 257, 258 दमणा p 253 दमरीएञ p 268 द्या p 14 fn 3 द्र्शनविषयः p 174 द्शापुर, pp 253, 270 दाह M G, p 381. दाहा P, p 381 दत्तामित्री P 272 दानि (दानं) p 249 दामांचिकस pp 269, 270. दारा pp 505, 508 दाहणु, ॰का p 255 दाहनुका pp 253,263.

दिया B., p 384.
दिया M., p 384.
दीनीक pp 253, 261
दीनिक Ph 253, 261
दीनक Shr दीनओ Pr दीनल Ap
दिया M दीना P दिया H., p 384
दीनल Ap., p 384.
दीनली Pr., p 384
दीना P., p 384
दीना P., p 384
देनन p. 171
देवदन p. 170
हन्दे pp 169, 503

धनश्ट p 212 पनम्टरमामी pp 212, 249 **ч**नम р 265. पर्म १ 238 पर्मद्व p 272 पर्मनन्दी p 274 पर्मरित p 272 पमेशाला p 253 पदी S , p 382 ₩ P , p 382 ¥₹ M G . p 382 भन्त II क वहरू ਪਾਸ B O , p 382 फ़िटि Pr p 562 प्रदेश एक अ G एक II एही S. एत B o एक P एवंद्र Pr p as2 ncod (cM गानाम ए १३ गानाम 1 " , WATER BEAR DOWN

actions and billing

ਜਦ p. 303 नहपान pp. 261, 263 नहुप p 234 नाई H, p 383 नाहिगेर p 261 नाडींगेर (नान्दीगृह) p 255 नाहिंगेर p. 253 नानगोल p. 253 नान्दीगृह (नाहीगेर) p 256 नापित Skr नाविओ or न्हाविओ Pr नाविउ Ap न्हावी M नाई H., p 383 नामस्त्र (name-and-form)p 8 fn 2 नामि VI 4 3 p. 144 नारायण इत्तिकृत् p. 307 fn 1 नाराशसी गाथा p 224 fn 1 नारसिंह. p 301 नाविउ Ap, p 383 नाविओं Pr, p 383 नासिक PP 265, 270 नास्त्येव तद्दर्शनं . .. नातुतिष्ठाति, Atma. tattvaviveka p 10 fn 1 निगमसमाय pp 258, 250 ਜਿਫ S, p 381 निद्दा Pr , p 381 निहा Skr नीज M नींदं H.P., निंह S निद्य Pr, p 381 निवंध pp 258, 250 नियर्तेन P 249 निरापां सिट, p 146 नीज M., p 381 नीत M H P. G. p 381 नीति Skr नीत M H P. G. p 381 ं नॉद् II P, p 381 नीदि pp 257, 258 नेमर (नैयम्) p 273 न्हाविज्ञा Pr , p 363 न्हारी आ , p 883

पञ्चाला pp 505, 507, 508. पथालातं p 507 पटिस (मतिस) pp 257, 258 पटिसारिय (मतियामित) p 219. पटिसारिय (मतियामित) p 219. **पण्यं** pp 192, 208 पति (पतो, पत्यो) p 303 पतित p 303 पद्धत M H G, p 381 पद्धति Skr पद्धत M H G, p 381 पद्य (or पद्घ) p 241 पवि(वा)कं (प्रवक = पर्वक) p 247 पर p 145 परमे ह्योमन्, p 343 परिनिर्वाण सहादय p 76 fn 1 परिवा(हा)रं p 247 परोक्ष p 174 पवतनारदी द्वन्द्वं, p 169 पल्हव p 234 पाञ्चाल p 507 पाठेन · · p 497 fn 1 पाणिअं Pr., 1 383 पार्जी M G, p 383 पातळ St M पाताळ Mal Goan, p 385 पाताळ Mal Goan, p 385 पाधे P. p 380 पाध्या M.p 380 पानी H, p 383 पानींय 8kr पाणिअ Pr पाणी M G पानी H, p 383 पायुन (पादोन) pp 258, 259 पारंड Ap , p 383 पारओ Pr, p 383 पारद Skr पारओ Pr पारड Ap पारा M H P B O, p 383 पारा M H P B O p 383 पारादा p 253 पारियात्र p 234 पार्थीन p 387 पिसाजिपदर p 234 पींडितकाबह p 253 प्रजितिनं (पूजितानां) p 249 पुडुमायी p 242 तेपाम् p 91 fn 5 प्रराणानि p 224 fn 1 उरिसदत्ता p 274 र्धिसानं (पुरुपाणां) p 246

पुलुमाइ p 265 पुष्पामित्रं pp 109 fn 1, 179 पुष्पामित्रं pp 180, 181 fn 1 पुस्तकं Skr पोत्थओ Pr पोत्थउ Ap. पोथा H P, p 384 पृथांच . .. p 87 fn 1 प्रश्न p 301 पेतकं p 248 पोक्षराणि p 253 पात्यर Ap, p 384 पोत्मओ Pr, p 384 पोथा H P, p 384 प्रकल्पित pp 187, 192, 196, 197, 199, 200, 201, 204 प्रकल्पिता p 208 प्रजापति 214 प्रतिकृतौ p 170 प्रतिभाराक्षित p 250 प्रतिश्रय (अन्नसत्र) p 255. प्रत्यय p 507 fn 1 प्रत्यय अधि • • pp 497 fn 3 **प्रत्ययार्थ** р 510. p 507 fn 1 प्रत्ययार्थेन प्रत्याख्यान p 144 प्रत्यासत्ति P 506 त्वान्,I 2.56, p 509 प्रभास p 253 भमाणत्वम् p 506 प्रयुता (॰क्ता) pp 257, 258 प्रयोजन नित्ति, p 140 fn 3 प्रयोक्तु p 174 प्रवक्ता p 177 वचनम् , Kaiyata, p 109 प्रवृत्त fn 1 त्वात्, Patanjalı, p 109 प्रवृत्त fn 1 प्रवृत्तस्य त्वात्, Kātyāyana, р 109 fn 1पत्रजित pp 242, 303 प्रसङ्ग p 197 फुल (फूल) p 380 बङ्ख Pr p 379.

 P_{r} , p 382

भदाव(य)नीयानं

यानां _{P 234}

भद्रायनीय p. 242

भद्रायनीया p 235

भक्षकच्छ p. 253.

भवगोप p. 266.

भावकर्मणी p 116

भाव p 509

भजा(भार्या) p 248

भदाकाराकि(ाक्री)यस p 271

भारतामिन · · क्षाभम , p 86 fn 1

भाष्यभारतमहाभारतधर्माचार्या Asvalay-

भारत ...भीषणम्, p 86 fn 5.

(Skr.)

भद्रायनी-

बेलिझीलण्यः p 271

बिहाँ S_{kr} बहुसु P_{r} वैलMHPयहन or बहिन p. 382 वहिण or भेज M , p 382 पहिन or बहन 11, p 382.

षादुक्यान (बहुक्ल्याण) p 11 fn 3 बेंद्रा II 17 , 1° 384 बान्दू II., p 383 बाबल p 300 fn 1 पाप्सला p 308 fa 2 षाकलाशासा p 308 fn 3 AL P

बिस् P, P 383 बिस्त P, P 382. निर्दो G , p 383

विमीतर होत वहेरओ ए Ap बेट्डा M बेट्डा II P, p 381 धीरी II P. 383 ET PP 212, 301

Henry han H han H C B भुवत !'. भार () पुरुवता !'r Fran Property \$\frac{\$\partial p_1 \ \partial p_2 \ \partial p_3 \ \partial p_4 \ \partial p_4

हेंद्वा भं . P तन्त्र \$7 II , P 770 graff P P of a करियम । १३४ 6;11

ura z

देल, केल हैं, हिम, केट्र में , ए उन्हें ×2.24

 $rang_{i,j}$ भूजन ए 4: 1 With the

वेहहर

ana Grliya III 4. 1, p 82 fn 1 ·बोध्यम् , p 177 fn 1 Fif B. O, p 381 भिन्स or भीस्व P, P 381 भिक्सा Pr , P. 381 भिद्धा Slar भीक्र अ भीस्त II. G. भिक्ख ा भीरत P. भिक्त B O भिक्स्ता Pr. भिद्ध P 212 भिज्ञणं M , $_{P \to 80}$

भिन्द क 🍴 🕠 🖧 0 भितर अ. p 370 भीक्षा, गंभा भीत ॥ है । १ । ३९१ भीत ल भिन्ना । १ ३९१ भीचा counted with Blattier Bl Haling of wall P. lofn o भीतना $H_{+P_{-c} \sim 0}$

भीतर ॥ । । अस् धीममेन १. २०1 Ven Higher With a Barrey

1

मोक O, p 381 भ्रस्जोरोप p 145. ज़ेनी, on Panin II 2 भातुश्र्व 34, p 83 fn4 मगाहारो = मार्गाहारो pp 253, 256 मश्चर Ap, p 384 **म**श्वओ Pr, p 384 मश्रक Skr मश्रओं Pr, मश्रउ Ap मांचा M, p 384 मंजो H माचा p 380 मद्रिआ Pr , p 383 मद्री H, p 383 मत्तिआ Pr , p 383 मत्थर Ap , p 384 मत्थओ Pr , p 384 मत्थयं Pr , p 384 मत्था P, p 384 मत्स्य P 302 मथुरां p 174 मथो H माथा p 380 मदन (मदेन्) p 380 मदव (मार्द्व) p 14 fn3 मध M G H P, p 381 मञ्ज Skr सच M G H P, p 381 ਜਜੀ p 270 **н**लय р 234 मस्तकं Skr मत्थयं Pr माथां M (Goan Mal and Chit) मत्यओ Pr Ap मत्थर $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}$ माथा $\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}$ मत्थ्रा \mathbf{P} , p 384 महादेव p 298. महादेवीए p 233 कुशलेन, p. 86 in 3

महासामिचहि (महासामिनहिं), p 247 महिना जन्ति p 343 महिपी Skr महिसी Pr म्हेस M भेस H P, भंस G, p 379 महिपी Skr महैस M भेस H. भेस G मह $\, {
m or} \, {
m i} {
m H} {
m R} \, {
m H}$ हिसी $\, {
m Pr} \, , \, {
m p} \, \, 382 \,$ महिमी Pr , pp 379, 382 महेन्द्र p 234 माघा प, p 87 fn 2. मांचा M , p 384.

माण्डुकाशाखा p 308 fn 3 माती M, p 383 मातुए p 233 माथा M H B p 384 मार्था M (Goan Mal. and Chit.), p 384 सालय p 253 माहिष्मती p 211 fn 1 म्मिड्डेआ Pr , p 383 मित्र p 181 मिथिला p 361 मीन p 301 मुखाहारी=मुख्याहारी pp 253, 256मुखदास p 274 सुदक p 234 **मृत** р 303 मृत्तिका p 200 मृत्तिका Skr. मत्तिआ, मद्रिआ, ० मिट्रिआ माती M मही H, p 383 मेरु p 234 मोती G. P H, p 383 मोती M, p 383 मोत्तिअं Pr . p 383 मेह or भैस P, p 382 मौर्या pp 187, 191, 194, 196, 197, 201 203, 208 मौर्चे pp 169, 170 मौक्तिकं Skr 'मोत्तिअं Pr मोती M मोती G P H, p 383 म्हस, म्हैस p 379 म्हेस M pp 379,382 म्हणऊनि जनमेजया, p 90 fn5

य p 146 नाह, from Nirukta, p 472. यक्षा p 234 यर्ज्नुपि p 224 fn 1 p 121 fn 1 यज्यादिषु · पांस , Kātyāyana, p. यज्यादिषु 121 fn 1 यज्ञशातकर्णि p 266 पदिताह .p 497 fn 2. **чн**न р 266.

यमेव विद्या... .. ब्रह्मन् from निरुक्त, p. 469 यवन pp 173, 175, 234 पयाति p 234 **ч**ана р 272 यसपितसतक (यत्सप्तशतकं) pp. 253 यस्य हल , p 146 याजनक्रिया p 179 याज्ञसल्क्य p 361 युक्तव... р 507 प्रक्तवत p 509 सक्तवद्भाव p 509 युक्तवद्भावशास्त्र pp 508, 509

एका Skr. जुना Pr जु H P G ऊ

पृथिका Skr जिहिंआ Pr जिही or निर्ह

M, p 383

M. H G., p 383 रवि (रई Pr p 379. रहट H , p 379 रहल p 271 रहाट M , p 379 सक्षसा p 234 राजन् P 182 fn 1 राजनिता (राजाणाति = राजाज्ञक्ति) p 247 राजभोजना शालय स्थाली p 221 राजविश्वप. p 180 राज्ञि Skr रास M H P G, p 381 राम p. 234 राम (दाशरथिः परशुरामः) pp 301, रामणक p 273 रामतीर्थ p 253 सम M H P G, p 381 रीत M H P G, p 381

रोति Skr रीत M H P G. B, p

लक्षणमातिपदोक्त P 146 लपुपूर्वम्य P 146 लघुपूर्वात् p. 146.

रेभिल गणपक P 268

लाजयमा तो (राजयमात्यो) p. 249 लिखिते वेति भिन्यक्ति', p 74 fn 2. लिम्पतीव नभ , Mrechakatika p. 51 fn 1 लिहि (लिपि) p. 247 लप pp. 507, 509 लुपि अङ्गा P , 507 खपि I. 2 51, p. 507. ल्लाप p 508 खिप सुक्तवत् , p 500 लेय p 387 लोप p 145 लोहिअं Pr, p 383 लोहितम् Skr लोहिञं Pr. लोहि G. लोह् H P, p 383 लोही G, p 383 लोह H P, p 383 ल्यपिलघू p. 146.

वजा (वर्या) p. 248 वतन St M वतान Mal. Goan., वतान Mal Goan, p 385. वथवह्मि (वास्तन्ये) p. 248 बध् p 146 विधिमो(भा)जा = द्विसभाज p. 261 वर M , p 380 वरगहपाति p 274 वरणा. p 505 वर्षा P 505 बस् pp 378, 379 वस (वासू) p 274 वसतानं भिखुसंघस = वसतां भिक्षुसंघस्य वसद्धथानं (वर्षावास्त्र्नामः) p 258. वस (०स्) P 266 वस्त M G, p 381 वस्तु Skr वस्त M G बस्त H P , p 381 वहेंडड Ap, p 384 वहेंडओ Pr, p 384 वाक्यमित्रं P 180 बाटिआ Pr , p 383 बारिका Skr बारिआ or बारिआ Pr. बाही M G H बाटी B., P. 383.

वाटी B, p 383 वाहिआ Pr, p 383 वाही M G H, p 383 वाधवस (वास्तव्यस्य) p 270 वाथवास (वास्तन्यास) pp 257, 258 वामन pp 301, 302 वार pp 258, 259 वारसक (वार्षिक) pp 258, 259 वाणींसा p 253 वालुआ Pr, p 383 वालका Skr वालुआ Pr वाळ M बाल H, p 383 वाक्र M. G., p 383 वासातानं (वर्पास्थान) p 261 वासिटि (वासिटी) P 265 वासिष्टी P 250 वासदेव pp 174, 213 fn 1, 215 82 वासुदेवाजुनाभ्यां तत्रभगवत , P fn 4 विक्रमपार्थिव p 301 विाचिण (विधिणा) P 233 विच्छिन्नं p 166 विछा B O, p 383 विद्ध S, p 383 विजयतीर्थ P 249 विज्जू Pr, p 382 विचू M, p 383 विञ्खु Ap , p 383 विञ्छुओ Pr, p 383 विही M p 383 वितीर्ण p 233 विदर्भ P 234 विद्याधरा p 234 विद्या ह स्याम् from Nırukta, p 468 विद्युत् Skr वीज M G विज्ज P विज्ज Pr, p 382 विद्वज्जनोप कविराजशब्दस्य, p 49 $\ln 1$ धिन्ध्यावत् p 234 विपत M G H P, p 381 विपत्ति Skr विपत M G विपत H P, p 381 विभाषाप P 146

विशास pp 168 fn 2, 169, 187-191 विज्ञाखक p 198 विश्ववर्म गणपक p 268 विष्णुद्त p 270 विष्णुदत्ता p 268 विप्णुपाल p 242 विष्णगालित p 249 वीज M G, p 382 वीटिका Skr वीडिआ Pr विडी Mविही G बीही H p 383 वीडिआ Pr, p 383 वीरसेन P 268 वीर्य p 469 वीसिय (विंशत्या) p 258 बुधि (बृद्धि) pp 257,258 बुधिकस (रुद्धिकस्य) p 270 बुन् p 213 fn 1 बुप्पदेवभट p 307 fn 1 रुवहन् p 144 रुष्ट्रिक Skr विञ्छओं Pr विञ्छ उ AP विचू M विछू H विछू S विछा BO, p 383 चप(उस)भदास p 249 वेण्हुपाल (विण्हुपालित) p 242 वेदस्याध्ययनं यथा। इति, p 91 fn 1 वैदेह p 361 वेशाख p 188 त्रश्वभ्रत्व p 144 ज्ञक p 234

शक p 234
शकारि (for सकर or सगर) p 233
शकारि (for सकर (सगर) acc to
Dr Stevenson, p 233
शके वाराशतें-जाहाला p 90 fn 4
शंकर p 233
शय्या Skr सेज H P शज M G सेज
सेजा S सेञ्जा Pr, p 381
शाकल pp 309 fn 1, 361
शाकल pp 308 fn 2
शाकलाशाबा pp 308 fn 3
शांखा (सांख्या) यनी शाखा p 308 fn 3

शहिरा Skr साहिआ Pr साही M G H, p 383. शातकार्ज p 235 हातिबाहन pp 234, 265 ਗ਼ਾਲ**ਰ.** p 221 ज्ञास pp 507, 509 शासदद p 145 क्षिप pp 168 fn 2, 169, 187, 191, 194-198, 204 शिक्त p 198. शिवसम 1/ 250 शिवदत्ताभीर p 268. शित्रमित्र p 273 शुक्टा Ski मोंह M हंह II हंह G मंद P शंह मंदि B O सेएहा Pr, n 381 ध्रंद B O , p 381 भेज M.G. p. 381. ओर्परम p 253 भाज p 115 खन्न पात II सस्स P सास्र M G सम्बू Pr., p. 382 भगर ए 250 भीतरूमाधी (पासिटीपुत्र) p 234 भारतिकालि, p. 240 धीरान p 219 भेडगिति p 231 रगर (मनर) ए १३३ mit I - 14 then (Nerolet) here 25 principles enthree periods 整性 武 mig might life n the state of the state of \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 1 mans amas bib profits. - 1 4 In. materials establish geograph 2 11 14 2 ** (***)

सपण (स्वप्न) p 242 सपन्ती Skr. सवत M सौत H सवती Pr., p 382 सप्रज्ञ p 504 समण (अमण) p 265 समर p 233 समलपदं (0 पदं or 0 पद्म) p 241 समानाश्रयत्व p 145 समे सरान, Av X 3 11, p. 95 संप्रति p. 170 संप्रतिपुजार्था pp 170, 171 समत्यय pp 504, 505 संबन्ध p 507 संबन्धामाबात् pp 507, 509 सम्यक्तर्मान्त. p 9 fn 1 सम्यक्संकल्प p 9 fn 1. सम्यक्समाधि p 9 fn 1 सम्पक्सपृति p 9 fn 1 सम्यगाजीव p 9, fn 1 सम्यग्हाटि p 9 fn 1 सम्पन्त्राक् p 9 fn 1 सम्यग्ड्यायाम p. 9 fn 1 सरय (सिरिथ or सिरिणा = श्रिया) p 242. सर्वेश्च प्रजापाति , p 214 सर्वाक्षदलन p. 242 सवत M., p 382 सवत्ती Pr, p 382 सविकाराव वसविष्णुमहेश्वरा , p 69 fn 1 सस्त P., p 382 संस् Pr, p 382. सत्व p 234 मारेतम pp 173, 175 सांग्यं derived from संस्या (number) (नम्यक् र्यानं) true knowledge, सांग्यं रुचते in Matsya, p. 63 In 3 सांग्यदशन ..परिसंख्याय, in Mahã. 11-rais, p.63 in 3. नांच्या (झांमा घनी झासा, p 308 fn 3 ्माहिजा Pr , p 383 . महो अ G H, p 383

साद or साध B, p 381 साध H P.p 381 साध O p 381 साध or साद B. p 381 साध H S p 381 साध Skr H S साद O साध B साध O, सद्धा Pr p 381 साधव (साधुन्व) p 14 fn 3 साधु Skr साव H P. p 381 समानि p. 224 fn 1 सालह A., p 384 सालओ Pr, p 384 साळा M H, p 384 साला H., p 384 सास H, p 382 सास M. G , p. 382. र्सिकता P 505. सिद्धा P 234 सिरिटन (श्रीस्तन) p^{-233} सिलाविगहभीचा an enclosure railing made of stone, p 13 fn 3 ਜ਼ੱਫ P, p 381. सदसनानं p 242. सुराष्ट्र p 234 सुवर्णमुख p 253. स्रणिणेण (स्विज्ञेन) p 247 स्कर p 302 संह H, p 381

संद G, p. 381

म्ंहि B. O , p. 381. सेज H P S , p. 381 सेजा S , p 381 सेज्जा Pr , p 381 सोटि p 146 सेनानी pp 245, 249. सोचप (शोच) p 14 fn 3. सॉह M p 381 सोण्डा Pr , p 381. सोत H , p 382 स्कन्दो विशास , p 168 स्कन्दो विशास , p 168 स्कन्य pp 187, 191 194, 198, संघ्रम p 198 स्थाली p 221 स्पसिच् p 146 स्विप्टन p 309 fn 1

हहू H P,p 382 हही H P,p 382 हाड H,p 382 हाड M G B O,p 382 हाउ हाथ P 380. हन् P 146 हल VI 4 2,p 144 हिरुद्रतममद्र P 253 हृहोग P 387 हे ३ अरय, P 96 हेल्प P 95

